

Reversibility in absolute-valued algebras

by

K. Urbanik (Wrocław)

Let A be an algebra, not necessarily associative, over the real field R , which is a normed linear space under a norm $||$ satisfying, in addition to the usual requirements, the condition $|xy| = |x||y|$ for every x, y of A . Such an algebra is called *absolute-valued*. A complete description of all absolute-valued division algebras was given by F. B. Wright ([4]), who proved that every such algebra is isotopic to one of the following: the real field R , the complex field C , the quaternion algebra Q or the Cayley-Dickson algebra D . A. A. Albert ([1]) had previously established this result under the restriction that the algebra be algebraic in the sense of every element generating a finite-dimensional subalgebra. F. B. Wright and the present author have shown that an absolute-valued algebra with a unit element is isomorphic to one of the classical algebras R, C, Q , and D ([3]). Infinite-dimensional absolute-valued algebras with an involution were studied in [2].

An element x from A is said to be *reversible* and to have y as a *reverse* if

$$(1) \quad x + y - xy = x + y - yx = 0.$$

If an algebra has a unit element e , then y is the reverse of x if and only if $e - y$ is the inverse of $e - x$. In equation (1) there is no reference to the unit element and the relation between x and y can hold in any algebra regardless of the existence of a unit element. Therefore the concept of reverse is capable of replacing the inverse in algebras without unit element.

We say that an algebra satisfies the *reversibility condition* if all its elements except of a countable set are reversible. In the present note we shall give a complete description of all absolute-valued algebras satisfying the reversibility condition.

It is obvious that all the classical algebras R, C, Q and D satisfy the reversibility condition. Moreover, all their elements except the unit element are reversible and have exactly one reverse. We note that in arbitrary absolute-valued algebras the uniqueness of the reverse of

elements x with the norm $|x| \neq 1$ can easily be established. In fact, if y_1 and y_2 are the reverses of x , then $x + y_1 - xy_1 = x + y_2 - xy_2$, which implies the equality $|y_1 - y_2| = |x||y_1 - y_2|$. Hence, taking into account the inequality $|x| \neq 1$, we get the equality $y_1 = y_2$. In the sequel, for $|x| \neq 1$, the unique reverse of x will be denoted by x^- .

THEOREM. *An absolute-valued algebra satisfying the reversibility condition is isomorphic to one of the following: the real field, the complex field, the quaternion algebra or the Cayley-Dickson algebra.*

Before proving the Theorem we shall prove some lemmas. In what follows A will denote an absolute-valued algebra satisfying the reversibility condition. Further, $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ will denote the linear set spanned by the elements x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n from A . For each x in A , we shall denote by $A(x)$ the subalgebra generated by x .

We shall use the following lemma, proved in [3] (p. 862).

LEMMA 1. *If the elements x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n from A commute with one another, then $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ is an inner product space.*

For each x in A , let us introduce the notation

$$(2) \quad x^1 = x, \quad x^{n+1} = x(x^n); \quad x^1 = x, \quad x^{n+1} = (x^n)x \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

LEMMA 2. *The reverse of a reversible element x , with $|x| < 1$, can be expanded into the series*

$$x^- = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{2n} = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{2n}.$$

Proof. Using the notation

$$u_1 = x(x^-), \quad u_{n+1} = xu_n; \quad v_1 = (x^-)x, \quad v_{n+1} = v_n x \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

we start from equation (1) and, by means of successive multiplication by x , obtain the equations

$$x^{n+1} + u_n - u_{n+1} = 0, \quad x^{n+1} + v_n - v_{n+1} = 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Hence and by (1) we conclude that the reverse x^- can be expanded in the following manner:

$$(3) \quad x^- = - \sum_{n=1}^N x^{2n} + u_{N+1} = - \sum_{n=1}^N x^{2n} + v_{N+1} \quad (N = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Since $|u_{N+1}| = |v_{N+1}| = |x^{-}| |x|^N$, ($N = 1, 2, \dots$), the sequences u_N and v_N converge to 0 when N tends to infinity. Passing to the limit in (3) we get the assertion of the lemma.

COROLLARY. *For every element x from A the equality*

$$(4) \quad x^{2n} = x^{2n} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

holds.

Indeed, for non-denumerably many real numbers λ the elements λx are reversible and

$$(\lambda x)^- = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n x^{2n} = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n x^{2n},$$

provided $|\lambda x| < 1$. Hence noting that the coefficients of λ^n are the same in both expressions we get formula (4). In the sequel by x^n we shall denote the common value of x^{2n} and x^{2n} . Definition (2) yields the formula

$$(5) \quad x \cdot x^n = x^n x = x^{n+1} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

LEMMA 3. *For every pair x_1, x_2 of elements from A we have the equality*

$$(6) \quad x_2^2 x_1 + (x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_1) x_2 = x_1 x_2^2 + x_2 (x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_1).$$

Proof. For every pair α, β of real numbers we have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2)^2 (\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) &= \alpha^3 x_1^3 + \alpha \beta^2 x_2^2 x_1 + \alpha^2 \beta (x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_1) x_1 + \\ &\quad + \alpha^2 \beta x_1^2 x_2 + \beta^3 x_1^3 + \alpha \beta^2 (x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_1) x_2, \\ (\alpha x_1 \beta x_2) (\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2)^2 &= \alpha^3 x_1^3 + \alpha \beta^2 x_1 x_2^2 + \alpha^2 \beta x_1 (x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_1) + \\ &\quad + \alpha^2 \beta x_2 x_1^2 + \beta^3 x_1^3 + \alpha \beta^2 x_2 (x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_1), \end{aligned}$$

which, by virtue of (5), imply

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \beta^2 (x_2^2 x_1 + (x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_1) x_2) &+ \alpha^2 \beta (x_1^2 x_2 + (x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_1) x_1) \\ &= \alpha \beta^2 (x_1 x_2^2 + x_2 (x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_1)) + \alpha^2 \beta (x_2 x_1^2 + x_1 (x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of $\alpha \beta^2$ on both sides of this equation we get formula (6).

LEMMA 4. *For every element x from A we have the relations*

$$(x^2)^2 \in [x^2, x^3]$$

and

$$(7) \quad x^2 x^3 = x^3 x^2.$$

Proof. Substituting in formula (6) $x_1 = x^2$, $x_2 = x$ we get, in virtue of (5), equality (7).

Since, by (5), $x x^2 = x^2 x$, we infer, in view of Lemma 1, that $[x, x^2]$ is an inner product space. If x and x^2 are linearly dependent, then, of course, $A(x) = [x] = [x^2]$, which implies the assertion of the Lemma. Now let us suppose that x and x^2 are linearly independent. Let z be an element from $[x, x^2]$ different from 0 and orthogonal to x . Of course, element z can be written in the form $\alpha x + \beta x^2$, where

$$(8) \quad \beta \neq 0.$$

Taking into account the equality

$$(9) \quad z^2 = \alpha^2 x^2 + 2\alpha\beta x^3 + \beta^2 (x^2 x^3)$$

and (7), we conclude that x^2 commutes with z^2 . Consequently, by Lemma 1, $[x^2, z^2]$ is an inner product space. Further, since w and z are orthogonal and commute with one another, we have the formula

$$|x^2 - z^2| = |(x+z)(x-z)| = |x+z||x-z| = |x^2| + |z^2| = |x^2| + |z^2|.$$

Hence it follows that x^2 and z^2 are linearly dependent. Consequently, according to (8) and (9), the element $(x^2)^2$ is a linear combination of x^2 and x^3 , which completes the proof.

LEMMA 5. For every x from A , x^2 commutes with x^4 , $x^2 x^3$ and $(x^3)^2$.

Proof. Substituting in (6) $x_1 = x^4$, $x_2 = x$, we get, by virtue of (5), the equality

$$(10) \quad x^2 x^4 = x^4 x^2.$$

Further, substituting $x_1 = x^3$, $x_2 = x^2$ and taking into account formula (7), we have

$$(11) \quad (x^2)^2 x^3 + 2(x^2 x^3)x^2 = x^3(x^2)^2 + 2x^2(x^2 x^3).$$

By Lemma 4, $(x^2)^2$ is a linear combination of x^2 and x^3 . Thus, by (7), we have the equality

$$(12) \quad x^3(x^2)^2 = (x^2)^2 x^3.$$

Hence and from (11) the formula

$$(13) \quad (x^2 x^3)x^2 = x^2(x^2 x^3)$$

follows.

Finally, substituting in (6) $x_1 = x^2$, $x_2 = x^3$ and applying (7) we obtain the equality

$$(14) \quad (x^3)^2 x^2 + 2(x^2 x^3)x^3 = x^2(x^3)^2 + 2x^3(x^2 x^3).$$

By simple computations for every pair α, β of real numbers we get the equalities

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} (\alpha x + \beta x^2)^2 (\alpha x + \beta x^2)^3 &= \alpha^5 x^5 + \alpha^3 \beta^2 x^3 (x(x^2)^2) + 2\alpha^4 \beta x^2 x^4 + \\ &+ \alpha^4 \beta (x^2)^3 + \alpha^2 \beta^3 (x^2)^4 + 2\alpha^3 \beta^2 x^2 (x^2 x^3) + \alpha^3 \beta^2 (x^2)^2 x^3 + \\ &+ \alpha \beta^4 (x^2)^2 (x(x^2)^2) + 2\alpha^2 \beta^3 (x^2)^2 x^4 + \alpha^2 \beta^3 ((x^2)^2)^2 + \beta^5 (x^2)^5 (x^2)^2 + \\ &+ 2\alpha \beta^4 (x^2)^2 (x^2 x^3) + 2\alpha^4 \beta (x^2)^2 + 2\alpha^2 \beta^3 x^3 (x(x^2)^2) + 4\alpha^3 \beta^2 x^3 x^4 + \\ &+ 2\alpha^2 \beta^2 x^3 (x^2)^2 + 2\alpha \beta^4 x^3 (x^2)^2 + 4\alpha^2 \beta^3 x^3 (x^2 x^3) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} (\alpha x + \beta x^2)^3 (\alpha x + \beta x^2)^2 &= \alpha^5 x^5 + \alpha^3 \beta^2 (x(x^2)^2 x^2) + 2\alpha^4 \beta x^4 x^2 + \\ &+ \alpha^4 \beta (x^2)^3 + \alpha^2 \beta^3 (x^2)^4 + 2\alpha^3 \beta^2 (x^2 x^3) x^2 + \alpha^3 \beta^2 x^3 (x^2)^2 + \\ &+ \alpha \beta^4 (x(x^2)^2) (x^2)^2 + 2\alpha^2 \beta^3 x^4 (x^2)^2 + \alpha^2 \beta^3 ((x^2)^2)^2 + \beta^5 (x^2)^5 (x^2)^2 + \\ &+ 2\alpha \beta^4 (x^2 x^3) (x^2)^2 + 2\alpha^4 \beta (x^2)^2 + 2\alpha^2 \beta^3 (x(x^2)^2) x^3 + 4\alpha^3 \beta^2 x^4 x^3 + \\ &+ 2\alpha^3 \beta^2 (x^2)^2 x^3 + 2\alpha \beta^4 (x^2)^3 x^3 + 4\alpha^2 \beta^3 (x^2 x^3) x^3. \end{aligned}$$

By (7) the right-hand sides of the last equalities are identical. Thus, in view of (7), (10), (12) and (13), we have the equality

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha \beta^4 (x^2)^2 (x(x^2)^2) + 2\alpha \beta^4 (x^2)^2 (x^2 x^3) + 2\alpha \beta^4 x^3 (x^2)^3 + 2\alpha^2 \beta^3 (x^2)^2 x^4 + \\ + 2\alpha^2 \beta^3 x^3 (x(x^2)^2) + 4\alpha^2 \beta^3 x^3 (x^2 x^3) + \alpha^3 \beta^2 x^2 (x(x^2)^2) + 4\alpha^3 \beta^2 x^3 x^4 \\ = \alpha \beta^4 (x(x^2)^2) (x^2)^2 + 2\alpha \beta^4 (x^2 x^3) (x^2)^2 + 2\alpha \beta^4 (x^2)^3 x^3 + 2\alpha^2 \beta^3 x^4 (x^2)^2 + \\ + 2\alpha^2 \beta^3 (x(x^2)^2) x^3 + 4\alpha^2 \beta^3 (x^2 x^3) x^3 + \alpha^3 \beta^2 (x(x^2)^2) x^2 + 4\alpha^3 \beta^2 x^4 x^3. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, comparing the coefficients of $\alpha^2 \beta^3$ and $\alpha^3 \beta^2$ on both sides of this equality, we get the following equalities:

$$(18) \quad (x^2)^2 x^4 + x^3 (x(x^2)^2) + 2x^3 (x^2 x^3) = x^4 (x^2)^2 + (x(x^2)^2) x^3 + 2(x^2 x^3) x^3,$$

$$(19) \quad x^2 (x(x^2)^2) + 4x^3 x^4 = (x(x^2)^2) x^2 + 4x^4 x^3.$$

By Lemma 4, $(x^2)^2$ is a linear combination of x^2 and x^3 : $(x^2)^2 = \lambda x^2 + \mu x^3$. Substituting this expression in (18) and (19) and applying (7) and (10), we obtain the equalities

$$(20) \quad \mu x^3 x^4 + x^3 (x^2 x^3) = \mu x^4 x^3 + (x^2 x^3) x^3, \quad x^3 x^4 = x^4 x^3,$$

which imply $x^3 (x^2 x^3) = (x^2 x^3) x^3$. Combining this equality and (14), we obtain the relation $x^2 (x^3)^2 = (x^3)^2 x^2$, which together with (10) and (13) completes the proof of the lemma.

LEMMA 6. For every element x from A we have the relation

$$x^3 \in [x, x^2].$$

Proof. Contrary to our statement, let us suppose that $x^3 \notin [x, x^2]$. Then, of course, the elements x and x^2 are linearly independent and, consequently, the space $[x, x^2, x^3]$ is three-dimensional. Since, by (5) and (7), the elements x , x^2 and x^3 commute with one another, we infer, in view of Lemma 1, that $[x, x^2, x^3]$ is an inner product space. Let x, y, z be an orthonormal basis of $[x, x^2, x^3]$. Since x, y, z commute with one another, we have the equalities

$$(21) \quad |x^2 - y^2| = |(x+y)(x-y)| = |x+y||x-y| = 2 = |x^2| + |y^2|,$$

$$(22) \quad |x^2 - z^2| = |(x+z)(x-z)| = |x+z||x-z| = 2 = |x^2| + |z^2|$$

and

$$(23) \quad |y^2 - z^2| = |(y+z)(y-z)| = |y+z||y-z| = 2.$$

We note that y^2 and z^2 are linear combinations of x^2 , $(x^2)^2$, x^3 , x^4 , x^2x^3 , x^3x^2 , $(x^3)^2$. Thus, by (7) and Lemmas 4 and 5, x^2 commutes with y^2 and z^2 . Consequently, by Lemma 1, $[x^2, y^2]$ and $[x^2, z^2]$ are inner product spaces. Thus from (21) and (22) we get the equalities $y^2 = -x^2$ and $z^2 = -x^2$, which imply $y^2 = z^2$. But this contradicts formula (23). The lemma is thus proved.

As a direct consequence of the last lemma and Lemma 4 we get the following

COROLLARY. For every element x from A the equality $A(x) = [x, x^2]$ holds.

LEMMA 7. If y is a reverse of x , then

$$(24) \quad x^2y = yx^2,$$

$$(25) \quad y^2x = xy^2,$$

$$(26) \quad x(x^2y) = (x^2y)x,$$

$$(27) \quad x^2(x^2y) = (x^2y)x^2.$$

Proof. Equality (1) can be written in the form

$$(28) \quad xy = yx = x + y.$$

Substituting in formula (6) $x_1 = x$, $x_2 = y$ and taking into account the last formula, we get equality (24). Furthermore, by symmetry, we get formula (25). From (24) and (28) it follows that y commutes with all elements of $[x, x^2]$ and, consequently, by the Corollary to Lemma 6, it commutes with all elements of $A(x)$. Thus using (28) and substituting in formula (23) $x_1 = y$, $x_2 = x + \lambda x^2$, we obtain for every real number λ the equality

$$(yx^2)x + \lambda(yx^2)x^2 = x(yx^2) + \lambda x^2(yx^2),$$

which implies (26) and (27).

LEMMA 8. If x is a reversible element of A and if the subalgebra $A(x)$ is of dimension two, then all reverses of x belong to $A(x)$.

Proof. Let y be an arbitrary reverse of x . Contrary to our statement, let us suppose that $y \notin A(x)$. By (5), (24) and (28), the elements x , x^2 , y commute with one another and, consequently, by Lemma 1, $[x, x^2, y]$ is an inner product space of dimension three. Let u be an element from $[x, x^2, y]$ with the unit norm orthogonal to both x and x^2 . Since, by the Corollary to Lemma 6, x^3 and $(x^3)^2$ belong to $[x, x^2]$, the element u^2 is a linear combination of x , x^2 , x^2y , y , y^2 and, consequently, by formulas (25), (26), (27) and (28), it commutes with both x and x^2 . Thus, by Lemma 1, $[u^2, x, x^2]$ is an inner product space. Further, using a representation

theorem for commutative absolute-valued algebras proved in [3] (p. 865), we infer that the algebra $A(x)$, being of dimension two, is isomorphic either to the complex field C or to the algebra C^* of all complex numbers with the usual addition and scalar multiplication, where the product of x_1 and x_2 is equal to $\bar{x}_1 \cdot \bar{x}_2$. Since both these algebras contain an idempotent which is non-trivial (i.e. different from 0), there exists an idempotent a belonging to $[x, x^2]$, with $|a| = 1$. Taking into account the orthogonality of u and a , we have

$$|u^2 - a| = |u^2 - a^2| = |(u+a)(u-a)| = |u+a||u-a| = 2.$$

Since both u^2 and a are elements of the inner product space $[u^2, x, x^2]$, the last equality implies $u^2 = -a$. Further, the isomorphism between $A(x)$ and C or C^* implies the existence of such an element b of $A(x)$ that $b^2 = -a$. Thus $u^2 = b^2$. Hence, by the commutativity of u with all the elements of $A(x)$, we have either $u = b$ or $u = -b$. Consequently, u belongs to $[x, x^2]$. But the element u is orthogonal to both x and x^2 and is different from 0, which gives a contradiction. The lemma is thus proved.

LEMMA 9. For every element x from A different from 0, the subalgebra $A(x)$ is isomorphic to either the real field or the complex field.

Proof. By the representation theorem for commutative absolute-valued algebras every subalgebra generated by one element different from 0 is isomorphic to one of the following: the real field, the complex field or the algebra C^* ([3], p. 865). Contrary to our statement, let us suppose that there exists an element x_0 in A such that $A(x_0)$ is isomorphic to C^* . We can then find a pair e_0, i_0 of elements of $A(x_0)$ such that $e_0^2 = e_0$, $e_0i_0 = i_0e_0 = -i_0$, $i_0^2 = -e_0$ and $A(x_0) = [e_0, i_0]$. Let us consider a non-denumerable family of elements from $A(x_0)$ of the form $\lambda e_0 + (1 - \lambda^2)^{1/2}i_0$, where $|\lambda| \neq \frac{1}{2}$ and $|\lambda| < 1$. Since the algebra A satisfies the reversibility condition, there exists a number λ_0 such that

$$(29) \quad |\lambda_0| < 1, \quad |\lambda_0| \neq \frac{1}{2}$$

and the element $y_0 = \lambda_0 e_0 + (1 - \lambda_0^2)^{1/2}i_0$ is reversible. By simple computations we get the equalities

$$e_0 = -(1 - 4\lambda_0^2)^{-1}(y_0^2 + 2\lambda_0 y_0),$$

$$i_0 = (1 - 4\lambda_0^2)^{-1}(1 - \lambda_0^2)^{-1/2}(\lambda_0 y_0^2 + (1 - 2\lambda_0^2)y_0),$$

which show that the subalgebra $A(y_0)$ is of dimension two. Thus, by Lemma 8, $A(y_0)$ contains all reverses of y_0 . Representing a reverse of the element y_0 in the form $\alpha e_0 + \beta i_0$ we deduce from (1) the following equations for α and β :

$$(1 - \lambda_0)\alpha + (1 - \lambda_0^2)^{1/2}\beta = -\lambda_0,$$

$$(1 - \lambda_0^2)^{1/2}\alpha + (1 + \lambda_0)\beta = -(1 - \lambda_0^2)^{3/2}.$$

However, it is very easy to verify that these equations have no solution whenever λ_0 satisfies inequalities (29), which implies a contradiction. The lemma is thus proved.

LEMMA 10. For every pair e_1, e_2 of linearly independent idempotents in A there exists an element $v \in A$ such that $A(v)$ is of dimension two, $e_1 \in A(v)$ and $A(v) \subset [e_1, e_2, (e_1 - e_2)^2]$.

Proof. First we shall prove that there exists a sequence $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ of real numbers tending to 0 such that all the subalgebras $A(e_1 + \lambda_n e_2)$ are of dimension two. Contrary to this, let us suppose that there exists a positive number ω such that the subalgebras $A(e_1 + \lambda e_2)$ are of dimension less than two whenever $|\lambda| < \omega$. Thus for $|\lambda| < \omega$ we have the equalities

$$(30) \quad (e_1 + \lambda e_2)^2 = \alpha_1(e_1 + \lambda e_2), \quad (e_1 - \lambda e_2)^2 = \alpha_2(e_1 - \lambda e_2),$$

where α_1 and α_2 are real numbers depending on λ . Hence and from the equality

$$(e_1 + \lambda e_2)^2 + (e_1 - \lambda e_2)^2 = 2e_1 + 2\lambda^2 e_2$$

we get the equality

$$2e_1 + 2\lambda^2 e_2 = \alpha_1(e_1 + \lambda e_2) + \alpha_2(e_1 - \lambda e_2).$$

Thus, by the linear independence of e_1 and e_2 ,

$$2 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = 0, \quad 2\lambda^2 - \lambda\alpha_1 + \lambda\alpha_2 = 0$$

and, consequently, $\alpha_1 = 1 + \lambda$, $\alpha_2 = 1 - \lambda$. Now equality (30) can be rewritten in the form

$$e_1 + \lambda^2 e_2 + \lambda(e_1 e_2 + e_2 e_1) = (1 + \lambda)(e_1 + \lambda e_2),$$

whence the formula $e_1 e_2 + e_2 e_1 = e_1 + e_2$ follows. Further, in view of the last equality, we obtain $(e_1 - e_2)^2 = 0$. Since absolute-valued algebras contain no divisors of zero, we have $e_1 = e_2$, which contradicts the linear independence of e_1 and e_2 . Thus there exists a sequence $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ tending to 0, for which $A(e_1 + \lambda_n e_2)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) are of dimension two, and, consequently, by Lemma 9, are isomorphic to the complex field. Hence we infer that there exist elements v_n with unit norm, orthogonal to v_n^2 , such that $A(e_1 + \lambda_n e_2) = A(v_n)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). By the Corollary to Lemma 6, all the elements v_n and v_n^2 are contained in the unit sphere of the subspace $[e_1, e_2, (e_1 - e_2)^2]$. Thus the sequence $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ contains a subsequence convergent to an element v such that $v, v^2 \in [e_1, e_2, (e_1 - e_2)^2]$ and v is orthogonal to v^2 . Since $e_1 + \lambda_n e_2 \in A(v_n)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and $e_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e_1 + \lambda_n e_2)$,

we have $e_1 \in A(v)$. Further, from the orthogonality of v and v^2 it follows that $A(v)$ is of dimension two, which completes the proof.

LEMMA 11. If e_1 and e_2 are idempotents from A , then $(e_1 - e_2)^2$ commutes with e_1 and e_2 .

Proof. By symmetry it suffices to prove that $(e_1 - e_2)^2$ commutes with e_1 . Substituting in formula (23) $x_1 = e_2$, $x_2 = e_1$ we get the equality

$$e_1 e_2 + (e_1 e_2 + e_2 e_1) e_1 = e_2 e_1 + e_1 (e_1 e_2 + e_2 e_1).$$

In other words, e_1 commutes with $e_2 - e_1 e_2 - e_2 e_1$. Hence and from the equality $(e_1 - e_2)^2 = e_1 + e_2 - e_1 e_2 - e_2 e_1$ we get the assertion of the lemma.

Proof of the Theorem. To prove the Theorem it is sufficient to show that the algebra A has a unit element (see [3], p. 863). By Lemma 9 every subalgebra $A(x)$ ($x \in A$, $x \neq 0$) has a unit element. Consequently, it suffices to prove that the algebra A contains exactly one nontrivial idempotent. Contrary to this, let us suppose that there exist two nontrivial idempotents, e_1 and e_2 . Of course, e_1 does not belong to $A(e_2)$ and, consequently, e_1 and e_2 are linearly independent.

First let us consider commuting idempotents. By Lemma 1, $[e_1, e_2]$ is then an inner product space. Since e_1 and e_2 are linearly independent, the space $[e_1, e_2]$ is of dimension two. Therefore we can find in $[e_1, e_2]$ an element c with unit norm and orthogonal to e_1 . Writing $c = \alpha e_1 + \beta e_2$, where α and β are real numbers, we have the equality

$$(31) \quad c^2 = (\alpha^2 + \alpha\beta)e_1 + (\beta^2 + \alpha\beta)e_2 - \alpha\beta(e_1 - e_2)^2.$$

Hence and from Lemma 11 we infer that c^2 commutes with e_1 and, consequently, by Lemma 1, $[e_1, c^2]$ is an inner product space. Using the orthogonality of e_1 and c we have the equality

$$|c^2 - e_1| = |(c + e_1)(c - e_1)| = |c + e_1| |c - e_1| = 2,$$

which implies $c^2 = -e_1$. Thus, by (31), $(\alpha^2 + \alpha\beta + 1)e_1 + (\beta^2 + \alpha\beta)e_2 = \alpha\beta(e_1 - e_2)^2$. By the linear independence of e_1 and e_2 the right-hand side of the last equality is different from 0. Thus, $e_1 e_2 \in [e_1, e_2]$. In other words $[e_1, e_2]$ is a commutative two-dimensional subalgebra of A . Being isomorphic either to the complex field or to the algebra C^* (see [3], p. 865), it is generated by an element of A and, consequently, by Lemma 9, it is isomorphic to the complex field. But the complex field does not contain two non-trivial idempotents, which gives a contradiction. Thus we have proved that the algebra A does not contain any pair of commuting non-trivial idempotents.

Now let us assume that

$$(32) \quad e_1 e_2 \neq e_2 e_1.$$

By Lemma 10, the idempotent e_1 belongs to a two-dimensional subalgebra $A(v)$ contained in $[e_1, e_2, (e_1 - e_2)^2]$. Of course, e_1 and v are linearly independent and commute with one another. Writing the element v in the form $v = \lambda e_1 + \mu(e_1 - e_2)^2 + \nu e_2$ and taking into account Lemma 11, we see that e_1 commutes with $\nu e_2 = v - \lambda e_1 - \mu(e_1 - e_2)^2$, which, according

to (32), implies the equality $\nu = 0$. Further, from the linear independence of e_1 and v the inequality $\mu \neq 0$ follows. Thus $(e_1 - e_2)^2 \in A(v)$ and $e_1, (e_1 - e_2)^2$ are linearly independent. Hence and from the isomorphism between $A(v)$ and the complex field it follows that the subalgebra $A((e_1 - e_2)^2)$ is of dimension two. Thus $A((e_1 - e_2)^2) = A(v)$ and, consequently, $e_1 \in A((e_1 - e_2)^2)$. By symmetry, we also have the relation $e_2 \in A((e_1 - e_2)^2)$, which shows that the subalgebra $A((e_1 - e_2)^2)$ contains two non-trivial idempotents. But this contradicts the isomorphism between $A((e_1 - e_2)^2)$ and the complex field. The Theorem is thus proved.

References

- [1] A. A. Albert, *Absolute-valued algebraic algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1949), pp. 763-768.
 [2] K. Urbanik, *Absolute-valued algebras with an involution*, Fund. Math. 49 (1961), pp. 247-258.
 [3] — and F. B. Wright, *Absolute-valued algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1960), pp. 861-866.
 [4] F. B. Wright, *Absolute-valued algebras*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 39 (1953), pp. 330-332.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
 INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY POLSKIEJ AKADEMII NAUK

Reçu par la Rédaction le 7. 9. 1961

A characterization of abelian groups of automorphisms of a simply ordering relation *

by

C. C. Chang (Los Angeles, Calif.) and A. Ehrenfeucht (Warszawa)

A binary relation S is a set of ordered pairs $\langle x, y \rangle$ of elements x and y ; the field of S , denoted by $F(S)$, is the set of all elements x for which there exists an element y such that either $\langle x, y \rangle \in S$ or $\langle y, x \rangle \in S$. A binary relation S is a *simply ordering relation* if for any elements $x, y, z \in F(S)$,

(i) $\langle x, x \rangle \in S$,

(ii) for $x \neq y$, either $\langle x, y \rangle \in S$ or $\langle y, x \rangle \in S$, but not both,

and

(iii) if $\langle x, y \rangle \in S$ and $\langle y, z \rangle \in S$, then $\langle x, z \rangle \in S$.

A set X is said to be *simply ordered by a relation S* , if S is a simply ordering relation and $X \subseteq F(S)$. Two binary relations S and T are *isomorphic*, in symbols $S \cong T$, if there exists a one-to-one mapping f of $F(S)$ onto $F(T)$ such that for $x, y \in F(S)$, $\langle x, y \rangle \in S$ if and only if $\langle f(x), f(y) \rangle \in T$. The mapping f is called an *isomorphism of S onto T* . If the range of f is a proper subset of $F(T)$ then f is an *isomorphism of S into T* ; if S and T are the same relation, then the isomorphism onto is called an *automorphism of S* . Given a binary relation S , the set of automorphisms of S , denoted by $G(S)$, is a group under the usual operations of functional composition and inverse. In this paper we are interested in those groups $G(S)$ which are groups of automorphisms of a simply ordering relation S . We shall prove the following theorem. Let G be an abelian group. A necessary and sufficient condition that G be isomorphic to a group $G(S)$, for some simply ordering relation S , is that G be isomorphic to a direct (cartesian) product $\prod_{i \in I} G_i$ of groups G_i each of which is a subgroup of the additive group of real numbers. This result will be provided as a consequence to several lemmas.

* This paper contains results announced by the authors in [1] and [2]. The first named author was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation. Problems of the type we consider here were presented by Goffman [4].