

Table des matières du tome L, fascicule 2

	Pages
A. D. Wallace, Acyclicity of compact connected semigroups	99-105
M. Henriksen, J. R. Isbell, D. G. Johnson, Residua class fields of lattice-ordered algebras	107-117
R. L. Wilder, A converse of a theorem of R. H. Bing and its generalization	119-122
A. Hajnal, Proof of a conjecture of S. Ruziewicz	123-128
W. Szmieliew, A new analytic approach to hyperbolic geometry.	129-158
L. E. Ward, Jr., Characterization of the fixed points property for a class of set-valued mappings.	159-164
A. Mostowski, Axiomatizability of some many valued predicate calculi	165-190
K. Sieklucki, The family of dendrites \mathfrak{R} -ordered similarly to the segment	191-193
K. Borsuk, Remarks on the homotopic join of maps.	195-206
B. Eckman and P. J. Hilton, Structure maps in group theory	207-221

Tous les volumes des FUNDAMENTA MATHEMATICAE sont
à obtenir par l'intermédiaire de
ARS POLONA
Warszawa 5 (Pologne), Krakowskie Przedmieście 7
Le prix de ce fascicule est 2 \$

Acyclicity of compact connected semigroups

by

A. D. Wallace (New Orleans, Louisiana)

0. For the purpose of this note a *semigroup* ([14]) is a non-void Hausdorff space together with a continuous associative multiplication, denoted by juxtaposition, $(x, y) \rightarrow xy$. In more detail a semigroup is such a function

$$m: S \times S \rightarrow S$$

that S is a non-void Hausdorff space, m is continuous and satisfies

$$m(x, m(y, z)) = m(m(x, y), z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in S$. In all that follows S will denote a semigroup.

An element t of S will be termed a *left unit* (*left zero*) if it satisfies the equation $tx = x(tx = t)$ for each $x \in S$. It has been known for some time ([13]) that a compact connected semigroup with left zero and left unit has the cohomology groups of a point-space (is *acyclic*). Thus, for example, a simple closed curve cannot be a semigroup with left unit and left zero. Also, as may be shown from results in [15], a compact connected locally connected one-dimensional metrizable semigroup with (two-sided) unit is either a tree (dendrite) or contains exactly one simple closed curve.

Without some restriction on the algebraic structure of a semigroup it is not possible to conclude much about its topological structure. For example, for some point p in a simple closed curve we may define $xy = p$ for all points x and y .

Perhaps the first positive result which relates to the problem considered here is given in a paper by R. J. Koch and the author ([8]). More recently L. W. Anderson and L. E. Ward, Jr., have proved that if S is a compact connected locally connected one-dimensional semigroup which is commutative ($xy = yx$ for all $x, y \in S$) and idempotent ($x^2 = x$ for all $x \in S$) then S is a tree ([1]). Their methods are order-theoretic and do not seem to extend to the proofs of results given here.

We terminate the introduction with an indicative result of this paper. Adopting the notation

$$AB = m(A \times B)$$

for subsets $A, B \subset S$ and putting

$$E = \{x \mid x \in S \text{ and } x^2 = x\}$$

we have—

If S is a compact connected semigroup with zero which satisfies also the conditions (i) $S = ES = SE$ and (ii) E is commutative, then S is acyclic.

I am greatly obliged to Miss Anne Laster and Professor R. J. Koch for their several comments and to the National Science Foundation for its support.

1. We state here some definitions and preliminary results. The Alexander-Kolmogoroff-Spanier cohomology groups will be used as explicated in [9], [10] and [12], though we shall generally employ the terminology of [6] and [12]. The coefficient groups is fixed but anonymous throughout. The following is easily proved from the definition—

(1.1) For the space X and any connected set $A \subset X$ the homomorphism i^* is an epimorphism and hence δ , the coboundary homomorphism, is 0—

$$H^0(X) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^0(A) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(X, A).$$

We recall ([11]) that a compact Hausdorff space is fully normal and hence that results of [12], in particular the Map Excision Theorem, may be used. From this it follows that the isomorphisms demanded in [6], p. 43, are available and we may then use the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence give there—

(1.2) If X is compact Hausdorff and if $X = A_1 \cup A_2$, with A_1 and A_2 closed then there is an exact sequence

$$\dots H^p(A_1 \cap A_2) \xrightarrow{\Delta} H^{p+1}(X) \xrightarrow{J^*} H^{p+1}(A_1) \times H^{p+1}(A_2) \dots$$

such that $\Delta = 0$ if $p = 0$ and if $A_1 \cap A_2$ is connected.

The assertion that $\Delta = 0$ follows from (1.1) and the construction given in [6] for this homomorphism. (Our notation differs a little from that in [6].)

The following notation is convenient. If $P \subset Q$ and if $h \in H^p(Q)$ then $h|P$ denoted the image of h under the natural homomorphism induced by the inclusion map of P into Q . Using this notation the homomorphism J^* may be described thus—

$$\text{If } h \in H^p(X) \text{ then } J^*(h) = (h|A_1, h|A_2).$$

We denote the closure of a set by affixing an asterisk, thus the closure of A is A^* .

It is convenient to state the Reduction Theorem ([12])—

(1.3) If X is compact Hausdorff, if A is closed and if $h \in H^p(X)$ such that $h|A = 0$ then there is an open set U about A such that $h|U^* = 0$.

A tower is such a family \mathcal{A} of sets that if $A_1, A_2, \in \mathcal{A}$ then either $A_1 \subset A_2$ or $A_2 \subset A_1$. It is a consequence of the Hausdorff Maximality Principle that any tower is contained in a maximal such.

2. We shall need some results on semigroups.

(2.1) If S is a connected semigroup with zero such that $S = SE$ then $AS \cap BS$ is connected for any subsets $A, B \subset S$.

Proof. Upon writing $R = AS \cap BS$ we have $RS \subset R$. Moreover, if $x \in R$ then $x = ye$ for some $y \in S$ and some $e \in E$ so that

$$xe = ye^2 = ye = x$$

and thus

$$R \subset RE \subset RS \subset R.$$

We have

$$R = RS = \bigcup \{xS \mid x \in R\}$$

and S , being connected and multiplication continuous, we see that R is the union of a family of connected sets all of which contain the zero element of S .

(2.2) If E is commutative, if $E^2 = E$, and if $S = ES$, then for any sets $A, B \subset E$ there is a set $C \subset E$ such that $AS \cap BS = CS$.

Proof. If $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, then $ab = ba$ and $ab \in Ea$, by assumption. It is clear from this that $ab \in aS \cap bS$ and thus

$$abS \subset (aS \cap bS)S \subset aS^2 \cap bS^2 \subset aS \cap bS.$$

Also $abS \subset aS^2 \subset aS$ and similarly, $baS \subset bS$. It follows that $aS \cap bS = abS$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} AS \cap BS &= \bigcup \{aS \cap bS \mid a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\} \\ &= \bigcup \{abS \mid a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\} \\ &= ABS. \end{aligned}$$

A subset $A \subset S$ is a subgroup if it is not empty and if

$$xA = A = Ax$$

for each $x \in A$. From [3] or [7] it may be seen that each subgroup of S is contained in a maximal such and that no two maximal subgroups intersect.

(2.3) If S is the union of its subgroups then $S = ES = SE$ and if A and B are subsets of E there is a subset C of E such that $AS \cap BS = CS$.

Proof. If $x \in S$ then there is one and only one maximal subgroup, $G(x)$, which contains x ; let $u(x)$ be the unit of $G(x)$. Then $x = xu(x) \in Su(x) \subset SE$ and thus $S = SE$. Similarly we see that $S = ES$. Now if A and B are subsets of E we shall prove that $AS \cap BS = u(AS \cap BS)S$.

For this purpose it is enough to show that $aS \cap bS = u(aS \cap bS)S$ for each $a \in A$ and each $b \in B$. Let $w \in aS \cap bS$ and let w' be the inverse of w in $G(w)$ so that $ww' = u(x) = w'w$. Then, from $w \in aS \cap bS$, we obtain

$$u(x) = ww' \subset (aS \cap bS)w' \subset aSw' \cap bSw' \subset aS \cap bS$$

so that $u(aS \cap bS) \subset aS \cap bS$ and right multiplication by S gives one inclusion necessary for the desired equality. Moreover,

$$w = u(x)w \in u(x)S \subset u(aS \cap bS)S$$

so that $aS \cap bS \subset u(aS \cap bS)S$ and the proposition is proved.

This result is implicit in [3].

From the continuity of the multiplication in S we readily obtain the following—

(2.4) *If A is compact and if U is an open set containing AS , then there is an open set V containing A such that $V^*S \subset U$.*

3. The principal result of this note follows—

THEOREM. *If S is a compact connected semigroup with zero, if $S = SE$ and if E_0 is a closed subset of E and*

(*) *If A and B are closed subsets of E_0 then $AS \cap BS = CS$ for some closed subset C of E_0*

then PS is acyclic for any closed subset P of E_0 .

Proof. From (2.1) we know that PS is connected and hence has the 0-dimensional groups of a point. We proceed inductively, letting n be a positive integer.

If $h \in H^n(PS)$ and if $h \neq 0$ let Q be a maximal tower of closed subsets of P such that $h|QS \neq 0$ for any $Q \in Q$. Denoting by R the intersection of all the members of Q we show that $h|RS \neq 0$. In the contrary case, that is if $h|RS = 0$, there is an open set $U \supset RS$ such that $h|U^* = 0$, by the Reduction Theorem (1.3). From $RS \subset U$ we obtain, by (2.4), an open set V about R with $V^*S \subset U$. Since Q is a tower whose intersection is contained in V , there is an element Q of Q contained in V and thus $QS \subset U$ so that $h|QS = 0$. Since this contradicts the definition of Q , we conclude that $h|RS \neq 0$.

We consider first the case in which $\text{card} R = 1$. We know that RS is a compact connected semigroup with unit (the element of R) and zero (the zero of S) and thus that $H^n(RS) = 0$, by [13]. But then $h|RS = 0$, a contradiction.

Thus $R = M \cup N$ where M and N are closed proper subsets of R and hence $RS = MS \cup NS$ so that upon putting $h' = h|RS$,

$$h'|MS = 0 = h'|NS.$$

We apply (1.2) taking $X = RS$, $A_1 = MS$, $A_2 = NS$ and $p = n-1$. From the last equation of the preceding paragraph we have $J^*(h') = 0$. If $n = 1$ then $A_1 \cap A_2$ is connected by (2.1) and thus $A = 0$ and J^* is a monomorphism. If $n > 1$, $A_1 \cap A_2 = CS$ for some closed set $C \subset E_0$ by (*) and, by the inductive hypothesis, we have $H^{n+1}(CS) = 0$ so that $h|RS = 0$ and again J^* is a monomorphism. We conclude that $h' = 0$, i.e. $h|RS = 0$ and this contradiction completes the proof.

COROLLARY 1. *If S is a compact connected semigroup with zero and if either S is the union of its subgroups or if $S = ES = SE$ and E is commutative then S is acyclic.*

Proof. The first case follows from the theorem and (2.3). The second follows from the theorem and (2.2) if it is observed that when E is commutative the product of any two idempotents is again an idempotent.

Recall that a *semilattice* is a commutative semigroup S for which $S = E$. We improve slightly the result of Anderson and Ward ([1]) —

COROLLARY 2. *If S is a compact connected semilocally connected semilattice of codimension 1 ([4]) then S is a tree.*

Proof. First of all, we know that S has a zero, which is indeed the unique element of the set

$$\bigcap \{xS \mid x \in S\}.$$

Since S is compact and $\text{card} S = 1$, we have at once that $H^1(A) = 0$ (see Cohen [4]) for any closed subset $A \subset S$. By a result of Borsuk [2] and Čech [5] we know that any subcontinuum of S is unicoherent. If S is not a tree then, by definition, there exist two points a and b such that no point separates a and b in S (in the strong sense). By a suitable modification of an argument of Whyburn's ([17], p. 50), it follows that there is, for any p different from both a and b , a continuum P irreducible from a to b and which does not contain p . If q is an element of P distinct from a and from b there is also a continuum Q irreducible from a to b and which does not contain q . But then $P \cap Q$ is a subcontinuum of S which is not unicoherent because $P \cap Q$ is clearly not connected. This completes the proof. Actually, it is not necessary to assume commutativity to obtain the stronger result but a different argument must be used.

We recall that I is an *ideal* of S if I is not void and if $SI \subset I \supset IS$. The next result can be improved somewhat but, since it is unlikely that even the improved version is in any sense a final result, we remain content to state it in its present form, which is somewhat easier to prove than the more general version.

COROLLARY 3. *Assuming the hypotheses of Corollary 1 but with the deletion of the assumption that S has a zero, we have $H^p(S)$ naturally isomorphic with $H^p(I)$ for any closed ideal I of S .*

Proof. Upon endowing $S \times S$ with coordinatewise multiplication we see that it is a semigroup. Let D be its diagonal and let R be a closed reflexive symmetric transitive subset of $S \times S$ which satisfies also the condition

$$DR \subset R \supset RD,$$

which is equivalent, under the assumptions on R , to $R^2 \subset R$. Let S/R denote the set of equivalence classes for the relation R and let f denote the function which assigns to each $x \in S$ the equivalence class $f(x) \in S/R$ which contains x . For simplicity of writing we put $T = S/R$ and observe that there is one and only one multiplication on T , denoted by juxtaposition, such that

$$f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in S$. Since S is compact and since R is closed, it follows that T is a semigroup, termed the *quotient of S by R* . Clearly the natural map f is a homomorphism of S onto T .

Adhering to the notation and assumptions of the preceding paragraph it may be shown without difficulty that if S is the union of its subgroups then so is T and also that when S satisfies the second set of conditions in Corollary 1 then these are also satisfied by T .

Now let I be a closed ideal of S and let

$$R = I \times I \cup D.$$

It is readily verified that R satisfies all of the hypotheses of the first paragraph. Moreover, f collapses I to a point q , $f(I) = q$, and f is a homeomorphism on $S \setminus I$ (the complement of I in S) onto $T \setminus q$. The Map Excision Theorem ([12]) may be applied and, denoting by f^* the appropriate homomorphism induced by f , we have

$$f^*: H^p(T, q) \approx H^p(S, I)$$

for any non-negative integer p . Now it is readily verified from the fact that f is a homomorphism that q is the zero of T and thus, since T satisfies the conditions of Corollary 1, we know that it is acyclic. Using the acyclicity of T and of q and the exact sequence for the pair (T, q) we have $H^p(T, q) = 0$ for all non-negative integers p and thus $H^p(S, I) = 0$ for the range of p . From the exact sequence for the pair (S, I) it is readily seen that $H^p(S)$ is naturally isomorphic with $H^p(I)$, as was to be shown.

Employing the above corollary and the results of [16] we may prove the following—

(i) If S is a compact connected manifold without boundary, if S is the union of its subgroups and if S is prime in the sense of Borsuk then either S is a Lie group or else has one of the multiplications

$$xy = x \text{ or } xy = y \quad \text{for all } x, y \in S.$$

(ii) If S is a compact connected manifold without boundary, if $S = ES = SE$ with E commutative and if S is prime then S is a Lie group.

Since the above results are unlikely to be final, we omit the proofs.

References

- [1] L. W. Anderson and L. E. Ward, Jr., *One dimensional topological semi-lattices*, Illinois Journ. Math. 5 (1961), pp. 182-185.
- [2] K. Borsuk, *Über die Abbildungen der metrischen kompakten Räume auf die Kreislinie*, Fund. Math. 20 (1933), pp. 224-231.
- [3] A. H. Clifford, *Semigroups admitting relative inverses*, Ann. of Math. 42 (1941), pp. 1037-1049.
- [4] H. Cohen, *A cohomological definition of dimension for locally compact Hausdorff spaces*, Duke Math. Journ. 21 (1954), pp. 209-224.
- [5] E. Čech, *Sur les continus Péaniens univoquements*, Fund. Math. 20 (1933), pp. 232-243.
- [6] S. Eilenberg and N. E. Steenrod, *Foundations of algebraic topology*, Princeton 1952.
- [7] J. A. Green, *On the structure of semigroups*, Ann. of Math. 54 (1951), pp. 163-172.
- [8] R. J. Koch and A. D. Wallace, *Admissibility of semigroup structures on continua*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1958), pp. 277-287.
- [9] T. Rado and P. V. Reichelderfer, *Continuous transformations in analysis*, Berlin 1955.
- [10] E. H. Spanier, *Cohomology theory for general spaces*, Ann. of Math. 49 (1948), pp. 407-427.
- [11] John W. Tukey, *Convergence and uniformity in topology*, Princeton 1940.
- [12] A. D. Wallace, *The map excision theorem*, Duke Math. Journ. 19 (1952), pp. 177-182.
- [13] — *Cohomology, dimension and mobs*, Summa Brasil. Math. 3 (1953), pp. 43-54.
- [14] — *The structure of topological semigroups*, this Bulletin 61 (1955), pp. 95-112.
- [15] — *Topological invariance of ideal in mobs*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1954), pp. 866-868.
- [16] — *Retractions in semigroups*, Pacific Journ. Math. 7 (1957), pp. 1513-1517.
- [17] G. T. Whyburn, *Analytic topology*, New York 1942.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 20. 8. 1960