

On the classification of $\sigma(\mathbf{B})$ -measurable functions defined on an abstract point set

by

J. Novák (Praha)

Let X be a non-empty abstract point set, \mathbf{X} the system of all its subsets and $\mathbf{B} \subset \mathbf{X}$ a non-void system of sets. Denote by $\sigma(\mathbf{B})$ the σ -algebra generated by the system \mathbf{B} and containing the greatest element X . Let \mathfrak{F} be the system of all real-valued functions defined on X and \mathfrak{M} the subsystem of all $\sigma(\mathbf{B})$ -measurable functions. Denote by \mathfrak{M}^0 the system of all real-valued $a(\mathbf{B})$ -measurable functions ⁽¹⁾ on X , $a(\mathbf{B})$ being the ring generated by the system \mathbf{B} containing the element $X \in a(\mathbf{B})$. The elements of the σ -algebra $\sigma(\mathbf{B})$ will be called *measurable sets* and the elements of the system \mathfrak{M} *measurable functions*.

The sequential topology λ in the system \mathbf{X} is defined by the well-known convergence:

$$\lim A_n = A, \quad \text{whenever} \quad A = \limsup A_n = \liminf A_n,$$

whereby

$$\limsup A_n = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf A_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n.$$

The sequential topology \varkappa in \mathfrak{F} is defined by the convergence at each point as follows: $\lim f_n = f$ whenever $\lim f_n(x) = f(x)$ for each $x \in X$. In such a way we get two convergence spaces (\mathbf{X}, λ) and $(\mathfrak{F}, \varkappa)$ the topologies (λ and \varkappa) of which are defined by the convergences fulfilling both Fréchet's axioms of convergence (the axiom of the stationary sequence and the axiom of the subsequences of a convergent sequence) and also Urysohn's axiom (if a sequence of elements z_n does not converge to the element z , then there is a subsequence $\{z_{n_i}\}$ no subsequence of which converges to z).

Let L be a convergence space and λ its sequential topology. The closure λA of a subset A (i.e. the set of all limits of sequences of points $x_n \in A$)

⁽¹⁾ $\{V(x)\}$ denotes the set of all $x \in X$ fulfilling the property $V(x)$. The function f is $a(\mathbf{B})$ -measurable if $\{f(x) > t\} \in a(\mathbf{B})$ for each real number t .

need not be closed. Therefore it is possible to form the successive closures. In such a way we get a non-decreasing sequence of closures:

$$\lambda^0 A \subset \lambda^1 A \subset \lambda^2 A \subset \dots \subset \lambda^\xi A \subset \dots$$

where $\lambda^0 A = A$, $\lambda^1 A = \lambda A$, $\lambda^{\xi+1} A = \lambda(\lambda^\xi A)$ and $\lambda^\xi A = \bigcup_{\eta < \xi} \lambda^\eta A$ if ξ is a limiting ordinal. From the property of the set of all countable ordinals it follows that there is no sense in forming the closures for uncountable ordinals $\xi > \omega_1$, ω_1 being the first uncountable ordinal. As a matter of fact $\lambda(\lambda^{\omega_1} A) = \lambda^{\omega_1} A$. Thus the set $\lambda^{\omega_1} A = \bigcup_{\xi < \omega_1} \lambda^\xi A$ is closed, i.e. it fulfills the following property: $\lim x_n = x$ and $x_n \in \lambda^{\omega_1} A$ implies $x \in \lambda^{\omega_1} A$. Evidently $A \subset \mathbf{B}$ implies $\lambda A \subset \lambda \mathbf{B}$.

LEMMA 1. $\sigma(\mathbf{B}) = \lambda^{\omega_1} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B})$.

Proof. Since $\lim A_n = A$ and $\lim B_n = B$ implies $\lim(A_n \cup B_n) = A \cup B$ and since $\lim C_n = C$ if and only if $\lim(X - C_n) = X - C$, it easily follows that each system $\lambda^\xi \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B})$, ξ being any ordinal, is an algebra; so is the system $\lambda^{\omega_1} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B})$ which is identical with $\bigcup_{\xi < \omega_1} \lambda^\xi \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B})$. Hence, if A_n are elements of $\lambda^{\omega_1} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B})$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then $\bigcup_{n=1}^m A_n \in \lambda^{\omega_1} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B})$ for every $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Since the system $\lambda^{\omega_1} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B})$ is closed and $\lim \bigcup_{n=1}^m A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n$, it follows that $\bigcup_1^\infty A_n$ belongs to $\lambda^{\omega_1} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B})$; thus $\lambda^{\omega_1} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B})$ is a σ -algebra. $\mathbf{B} \subset \lambda^{\omega_1} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B})$ then implies that $\sigma(\mathbf{B}) \subset \lambda^{\omega_1} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B})$.

On the other hand, using the method of transfinite induction we easily prove that $\lambda^\xi \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B}) \subset \sigma(\mathbf{B})$ for each $\xi < \omega_1$, so that $\lambda^{\omega_1} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B}) \subset \sigma(\mathbf{B})$.

Let (L, λ) be a convergence space. Let A be a subset of L . The set $\lambda^{\xi+1} A - \lambda^\xi A$ is called the $(\xi+1)$ th class and each point z of it is called a point of the class $\xi+1$; it will be denoted by $c(z; A)$ or simply by $c(z)$. Further we define $c(z; A) = 0$ if $z \in A$. If $c(z; A) > 0$, then $c(z)$ is a countable and isolated ordinal such that $z \in \lambda^{c(z)} A - \lambda^{c(z)-1} A$.

LEMMA 2. If A and B are two elements of $\sigma(\mathbf{B})$ and a an ordinal such that $c(A \cap B; \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B})) \geq a$, then either $c(A; \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B})) \geq a$ or $c(B; \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B})) \geq a$.

Proof. If we had $c(A) < a$ and $c(B) < a$, then $A \in \lambda^{a'} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B})$ and $B \in \lambda^{a'} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B})$, where $a' = \max(c(A), c(B))$. The product $A \cap B$ would be an element of the algebra $\lambda^{a'} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B})$ and consequently $c(A \cap B) \leq a' < a$; this would be a contradiction.

Let f be a $\sigma(\mathbf{B})$ -measurable function on X . Let $o(f)$ be the least ordinal such that $(1) c(\{f(x) > t\}; \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B})) \leq o(f)$ for each real number t ; the ordinal $o(f)$ will be called the order of the element f . Each characteristic function χ_A of the set $A \in \sigma(\mathbf{B})$ belongs to \mathfrak{M} and its order $o(\chi_A) = c(A; \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B}))$; it is 0 or an isolated ordinal. Also each simple function

$s(x) = \sum_{i=1}^p k_i \chi_{A_i}(x)$ where k_i are real numbers different from one another and A_i are disjoint elements of $\sigma(\mathbf{B})$ such that $\bigcup_1^\infty A_i = X$, has an order $o(s)$, which is 0 or an isolated ordinal. This follows from

LEMMA 3. The order $o(s)$ of a simple function $s(x) = \sum_{i=1}^p k_i \chi_{A_i}(x)$ is equal to $\max[c(A_1), \dots, c(A_p)]$.

Proof. Denote by q the natural $\leq p$ such that $c(A_q) = \max[c(A_1), \dots, c(A_p)]$. There exists a semiclosed interval (a, b) containing k_q and no other k_i , $i \neq q$. Then $(1) \{s(x) > a\} \cap \{s(x) \leq b\} = A_q$ so that $c(\{s(x) > a\} \cap \{s(x) \leq b\}) = c(A_q)$. In view of Lemma 2 and since $c(\{s(x) \leq b\}) = c(\{s(x) > b\})$, it follows that $c(\{s(x) > a\}) \geq c(A_q)$ or $c(\{s(x) > b\}) \geq c(A_q)$; consequently $o(s) \geq c(A_q)$.

Now, let t be a real number. If $\bigcup^* A_i$ denotes the union of all sets A_i whose indices i fulfill the relation $k_i > t$, then $\{s(x) > t\} = \bigcup^* A_i$. Since $A_i \in \lambda^{c(A_i)} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B})$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, the set $\bigcup^* A_i$ is an element of the algebra $\lambda^{c(A_q)} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B})$. Consequently $c(\{s(x) > t\}) \leq c(A_q)$. Thus $o(s) \leq c(A_q)$, so that $o(s) = c(A_q)$.

It is well known that every measurable function g is the limit of a sequence $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of simple functions $s_n(x)$, whereby

$$s_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{i-1}{2^n} & \text{if } \frac{i-1}{2^n} \leq f(x) < \frac{i}{2^n}, i = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm n2^n, \\ n & \text{if } f(x) \geq n, \\ -n - \frac{1}{2^n} & \text{if } f(x) < -n - \frac{1}{2^n}. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $\{g(x) > t\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \{s_n(x) > t\}$. It follows from Lemma 3 that each simple function s_n has a countable order. Thus each measurable function g has a countable order as well.

THEOREM 1. $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{N}^{\omega_1} \mathfrak{M}^0$.

Proof. It is evident that $\mathcal{N}^{\omega_1} \mathfrak{M}^0 \subset \mathfrak{M}$. In order to prove the reverse inclusion it suffices to show that each simple function belongs to $\mathcal{N}^{\omega_1} \mathfrak{M}^0$; as a matter of fact, if g is a measurable function on X and $\{s_n\}$ a sequence of simple functions converging to g , then from the supposition $s_n \in \mathcal{N}^{\omega_1} \mathfrak{M}^0$ and because $\mathcal{N}^{\omega_1} \mathfrak{M}$ is a closed system it follows that $g \in \mathcal{N}^{\omega_1} \mathfrak{M}^0$.

We shall use the method of transfinite induction. Assume that the system $\mathcal{N}^\xi \mathfrak{M}^0$ contains all simple functions of orders $\leq \xi$ where $\xi < a$. If a is a limiting ordinal, then in view of Lemma 3 there is no simple function of order a at all and the system $\mathcal{N}^a \mathfrak{M}^0$ contains—by our supposition—all simple functions of orders $\leq a$. Now, suppose that a is isolated and con-

sider a simple function $s(x) = \sum_1^p k_i \chi_{A_i}(x)$ of order a . Then A_i are elements of $\lambda^a a(\mathbf{B})$. For every $i \leq p$ there exists a sequence $\{A_i^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of sets $A_i^n \in \lambda^{a-1} a(\mathbf{B})$ converging to the set A_i . For each natural m let B_i^m , $1 \leq i \leq p$, be the sets defined as follows $B_1^m = A_1^m$; $B_i^m = A_i^m - \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} A_j^m$ for $i = 2, \dots, p-1$ and $B_p^m = X - \bigcup_{j=1}^{p-1} B_j^m = X - \bigcup_{j=1}^{p-1} A_j^m$. The sets B_i^m are disjoint; they are elements of the algebra $\lambda^{a-1} a(\mathbf{B})$ and $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^p B_i^m$, so that $c(B_i^m) \leq a-1$ for every $i = 1, \dots, p$. Therefore the function $s_m(x) = \sum_{i=1}^p k_i \chi_{B_i^m}(x)$ is simple and—by Lemma 3—its order is $o(s_m) \leq a-1$ for every natural m . By our supposition each function s_m belongs to $\kappa^{a-1} \mathfrak{M}^0$. Thus it is sufficient to prove that $\lim s_m(x) = s(x)$ for each $x \in X$ since then $s \in \kappa^a \mathfrak{M}^0$.

Choose a point $x_0 \in X$. Then there is a natural $q \leq p$ such that $x_0 \in A_q$ and for each natural m there is an index i_m such that $1 \leq i_m \leq p$ and that $x_0 \in B_{i_m}^m$. It follows that $s(x_0) = k_q$ and $s_m(x_0) = k_{i_m}$. Since $\lim_m (A_{i_m}^m - \bigcup_{j=1}^{i_m-1} A_j^m) = A_{i_m} - \bigcup_{j=1}^{i_m-1} A_j = A_{i_m}$ for $2 \leq i_m \leq p-1$ and $\lim_m (X - \bigcup_{j=1}^{p-1} A_j) = A_p$, the limits $\lim_m B_{i_m}^m = A_{i_m}$ do exist for each $i \leq p$ and $x_0 \in A_q = \lim_m B_{i_m}^m$; consequently $i_m = q$ for all but a finite number of naturals m . Hence $\lim s_m(x_0) = s(x_0)$.

Remark 1. We have just proved that each simple function s of order $a > 0$ is the limit of a sequence of simple functions s_m of order $a-1$.

LEMMA 4. Let f and f_n be measurable functions on X such that $\lim f_n = f$. Then $o(f) \leq \sup_n o(f_n) + 3$.

Proof. Let t be any real number and $\{t_m\}$ a strictly decreasing sequence of real numbers converging to t . Then

$$\{f(x) > t\} = \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty \bigcup_{n=k}^\infty \{f_n(x) > t_m\}.$$

As a matter of fact, if x_0 is a point of X such that $f(x_0) > t$, then $f(x_0) > t_{m_0}$ for a suitable m_0 and consequently the point x_0 belongs to $\limsup \{f_n(x) > t_{m_0}\}$ which is contained in $\bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty \bigcup_{n=k}^\infty \{f_n(x) > t_m\}$. On the other hand,

if x_1 is a point belonging to the set $\bigcap_{k=1}^\infty \bigcup_{n=k}^\infty \{f_n(x) > t_{m_1}\}$, m_1 being a suitable index, then $f_n(x_1) > t_{m_1}$ for infinitely many n and since $\lim f_n(x_1) = f(x_1)$, we have $f(x_1) \geq t_{m_1} > t$.

Since

$$c(\{f_n(x) > t_m\}; a(\mathbf{B})) \leq o(f_n) \leq \sup o(f_n)$$

for each natural m and n , we have

$$c\left(\bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty \bigcup_{n=k}^\infty \{f_n(x) > t_m\}; a(\mathbf{B})\right) \leq \sup o(f_n) + 3$$

for each real t so that

$$o(f) \leq \sup o(f_n) + 3.$$

THEOREM 2. If f is a measurable function of the class $c(f; \mathfrak{M}^0) = a\omega_0 + k$, k being a non-negative integer, a an ordinal and ω_0 the least infinite ordinal, then the order $o(f) \leq a\omega_0 + 3k$.

Proof. Suppose that the assertion is true for all $a\omega_0 + k < \zeta$, where $\zeta = \beta\omega_0 + h$, h being a non-negative integer. If $h = 0$, the proof is evident. Let $h \geq 1$. If g is a measurable function of the class ζ , then there exists a sequence of functions $g_n \in \kappa^{\zeta-1} \mathfrak{M}^0$ of classes $\leq \zeta - 1 = \beta\omega_0 + h - 1$ such that $\lim g_n = g$. By our supposition each order $o(g_n) \leq \beta\omega_0 + 3(h-1)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ According to Lemma 4 the order $o(g) \leq \beta\omega_0 + 3h$.

LEMMA 5. Let s be a measurable simple function on X . Then $c(s; \mathfrak{M}^0) \leq o(s)$. If the class of the measurable set A is $c(A; a(\mathbf{B})) = a\omega_0 + 1$, then $c(\chi_A; \mathfrak{M}^0) = o(\chi_A) = a\omega_0 + 1$.

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 1 we have proved the following statement: The system $\kappa^s \mathfrak{M}^0$ contains all simple functions of order $\leq \xi$. This implies the inequality $c(s; \mathfrak{M}^0) \leq o(s)$.

Now, let $A \in \sigma(\mathbf{B})$ and let $c(A) = a\omega_0 + 1$. Then also $o(\chi_A) = a\omega_0 + 1$. In view of the first part of this proof the inequality $c(\chi_A; \mathfrak{M}^0) \leq a\omega_0 + 1$ holds true. If we had $c(\chi_A; \mathfrak{M}^0) < a\omega_0 + 1$, then $c(\chi_A; \mathfrak{M}^0) = 0$ or $c(\chi_A; \mathfrak{M}^0) < \eta$ for a suitable ordinal $\eta < a\omega_0$, each class being 0 or an isolated ordinal. By Theorem 2 we should have $o(\chi_A) \leq a\omega_0$; but this would contradict the fact that $o(\chi_A) = a\omega_0 + 1$.

THEOREM 3. If ϱ is the least ordinal such that the ϱ -th class $\lambda^a a(\mathbf{B}) - \lambda^{\varrho-1} a(\mathbf{B})$ is empty and if τ is the least ordinal such that the τ -th class $\kappa^s \mathfrak{M}^0 - \kappa^{\tau-1} \mathfrak{M}^0$ is empty, then the following inequality holds true: $\tau \leq \varrho + 1$. If $\varrho = a\omega_0 + 1$, then also $\varrho \leq \tau$.

Proof. Suppose, on the contrary, that $\varrho + 2 \leq \tau$. Then there is a function $f \in \kappa^{\varrho+1} \mathfrak{M}^0 - \kappa^\varrho \mathfrak{M}^0$ and, consequently, there is a sequence of simple functions of classes $\geq \varrho$ which converges to f . Denote by s one of these simple functions. From Lemma 5 it follows that $c(s; \mathfrak{M}^0) \leq o(s)$. By Lemma 3 there is a set A_0 of the class $c(A_0; a(\mathbf{B})) = o(s)$. Thus $\varrho \leq c(s; \mathfrak{M}^0) \leq o(s) = c(A_0; a(\mathbf{B}))$. Therefore $\varrho \leq c(A_0; a(\mathbf{B}))$. This is a contradiction.

Now, suppose that $\varrho = a\omega_0 + 1$. Since τ is an isolated ordinal, we

may denote it by $\beta\omega_0 + k_0$ where k_0 is a suitable natural. Assume, on the contrary, that $\tau < \rho$. Then $\beta\omega_0 + 3k_0 < \alpha\omega_0$ and consequently there is a set A_0 of class $c(A_0; a(B)) = \beta\omega_0 + 3k_0$. Denote $c(\chi_{A_0}; \mathfrak{M}^0) = \gamma\omega_0 + h$; here h is a non-negative integer, each class being 0 or an isolated ordinal. Evidently $\gamma\omega_0 + h < \beta\omega_0 + k_0$. From Theorem 2 it follows that $o(\chi_{A_0}) \leq \gamma\omega_0 + 3h < \beta\omega_0 + 3k_0$. However $o(\chi_{A_0}) = c(A_0; a(B))$. Thus we have got a contradictory result $c(A_0) < \beta\omega_0 + 3k_0$.

Remark 2. If $\rho = \alpha\omega_0 + k$, $1 < k < \omega_0$, is the least ordinal such that the ρ -th class $\lambda^\rho a(B) - \lambda^{\rho-1} a(B)$ is empty, then from Lemma 5 it follows that each class $\kappa^{\xi+1} \mathfrak{M}^0 - \kappa^\xi \mathfrak{M}^0$, $\xi \leq \alpha\omega_0$, is non-empty. In this case the number of all other non-empty classes $\kappa^{\eta+1} \mathfrak{M}^0 - \kappa^\eta \mathfrak{M}^0$, $\eta > \alpha\omega_0$, is at most finite; this follows immediately from Theorem 3.

Remark 3. Let X be the set of all real numbers and \mathbf{P} the system of all semiclosed intervals $\langle a, b \rangle \subset X$ where $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$. Denote by \mathfrak{Q} the system of all real-valued continuous functions on X . Then $\sigma(\mathbf{P})$ is the system of all linear Borel sets, \mathfrak{M}^0 the system of all $a(\mathbf{P})$ -measurable and \mathfrak{M} the system of all B -measurable real functions, $\kappa^{\omega_1} \mathfrak{Q}$ is the system of all Baire functions.

First, let us prove that $\mathfrak{M}^0 \subset \kappa^2 \mathfrak{Q}$. Suppose that $g \in \mathfrak{M}^0$. Then $g(x) = \lim r_m(x)$ for each $x \in X$, r_m being simple functions. Since $\{a \leq g(x) < b\} = \lim \{a - 1/n < g(x) \leq b - 1/n\} \in \lambda a(\mathbf{P})$, we may suppose, with respect to Lemma 3 that the order $o(r_m) \leq 1$ for every m . According to Remark 1, each simple function of order α is the limit of a sequence of simple functions of order $\alpha - 1$. Put here $\alpha = 1$ and notice that each element of the algebra $a(\mathbf{P})$ is a finite disjoint union of semiclosed intervals so that each simple function of order 0 is the limit of a sequence of continuous functions. It follows that $r_m \in \kappa^2 \mathfrak{Q}$ for each m so that $\mathfrak{M}^0 \subset \kappa^2 \mathfrak{Q}$.

Now prove that $\mathfrak{Q} \subset \kappa^3 \mathfrak{M}^0$. Let $f \in \mathfrak{Q}$ and let $\lim s_n(x) = f(x)$, for each $x \in X$, s_n being simple functions. Since each set $\{a \leq f(x) < b\}$ is a G_δ -set belonging to $\lambda^2 a(\mathbf{P})$, we may suppose, in view of Lemma 3, that each order $o(s_n) \leq 2$ so that, by Lemma 5, also $c(s_n, \mathfrak{M}^0) \leq 2$. Consequently $f \in \kappa^3 \mathfrak{M}^0$ and hence $\mathfrak{Q} \subset \kappa^3 \mathfrak{M}^0$.

Using the method of induction we easily prove that $\kappa^n \mathfrak{M}^0 \subset \kappa^{n+3} \mathfrak{Q}$ and $\kappa^n \mathfrak{Q} \subset \kappa^{n+3} \mathfrak{M}^0$, $1 \leq n < \omega_0$. Then we can conclude that $\kappa^{\omega_0} \mathfrak{M}^0 = \kappa^{\omega_0} \mathfrak{Q}$. Thus we have proved the following statement:

Each ξ -th class of Baire functions is identical with the ξ -th class of B -measurable functions, $\omega_0 < \xi < \omega_1$.

Requ par la Rédaction le 15. 3. 1961

О диадических бикомпактах

П. Александров и В. Пономарев (Москва)

Введение

В этой работе дается внутренняя характеристика диадических бикомпактов, т.е. бикомпактов X , являющихся непрерывными образами так называемых обобщенных канторовых дисконтинуумов D^τ (под D^τ , где τ — бесконечное кардинальное число, понимается, как известно, топологическое произведение τ бикомпактов D_λ , каждый из которых состоит из конечного числа изолированных точек ⁽¹⁾).

Важность класса диадических бикомпактов подтверждается, например, следующими фактами:

1°. Класс ⁽²⁾ диадических бикомпактов есть наименьший класс, удовлетворяющий следующим условиям

- а) он содержит все бикомпакты, состоящие из конечного числа точек;
- б) вместе с данными бикомпактами X_α он содержит их топологическое произведение $\prod_a X_\alpha$;
- в) вместе с данным бикомпактом X он содержит и всякий бикомпакт Y , являющийся непрерывным образом бикомпакта X .

2°. Класс диадических бикомпактов совпадает с классом всех бикомпактов, являющихся непрерывными образами бикомпактных топологических групп. В частности, пространством всякой бикомпактной топологической группы есть диадический бикомпакт (теорема Ивановского-Кузьмина [4] ⁽³⁾).

3°. Всякий диадический бикомпакт, удовлетворяющий 1-ой аксиоме счетности, метризуем (теорема А. С. Есенина-Вольпина [3]).

Из последнего предложения легко следует, что

4°. Всякий упорядоченный диадический бикомпакт гомеоморфен ограниченному множеству действительных чисел.

Интересные свойства диадических бикомпактов установлены Э. Марчевским (Шпильрайном) [6], Н. А. Шаниным [8] и другими исследователями.

⁽¹⁾ Без ограничения общности можно предполагать, что каждый множитель D_λ этого произведения состоит из двух точек.

⁽²⁾ Можно ограничить его требованием, чтобы вес или мощность рассматриваемых бикомпактов не превосходил данного кардинального числа.

⁽³⁾ Цифры в квадратных скобках означают ссылки на литературу, помещенную в конце статьи.