

is of at most dimension  $k$  and is not a local  $r$ -separating set of  $C$  for  $r \leq k$ , and such that there exists an  $e$ -transformation of  $C$  into  $U \cup T$ . For  $n = 2m$  or  $2m + 1$ ,  $k = m - 1$ , and in case  $n = 2m + 1$ ,  $H_m(C)$  is finitely generated. Then  $C$  is a closed, orientable  $(n - 1)$ -generalized closed manifold<sup>(\*)</sup>.

Proof. Let  $U$  and  $V$  denote distinct domains of which  $C$  is given as the common boundary in the statement of the theorem. We shall show that  $U$  and  $V$  are  $ulc^k$ .

Let  $0 \leq r \leq k$ ,  $e > 0$ , and  $p$  a point of  $C$ . Since  $C$  is  $r$ -lc, there exists  $\bar{d} > 0$  such that every  $r$ -cycle of  $C \cap S(p, \bar{d})$  bounds on  $C \cap S(p, e/2)$ . Suppose there exists a cycle  $C_r$  in  $U \cap S(p, \bar{d})$  which is non-bounding in  $U \cap S(p, e)$ . Then ([2]; p. 159, Lemma) there exists  $s > 0$  such that every  $s$ -transformation of  $C$  is linked by  $C_r$  in  $S(p, 3e/2)$ . By hypothesis, there exists an  $s$ -transformation  $f(C) = C'$  into  $V \cup T$ , where  $T$  is a closed subset of  $C$  of dimension at most  $k$ , and which is not a local  $r$ -separating set of  $C$ .

Now  $C_r \sim 0$  in  $S(p, \bar{d}) - T$ , since  $r \leq k$  and  $\dim T \leq k$ . Using the notation of [4]; p. 203, Lemma 1.13, let  $M$  be a closed subset of  $S(p, \bar{d}) - T$  carrying the homology  $C_r \sim 0$ ,  $K$  a carrier of  $C_r$  in  $U \cap S(p, \bar{d})$ , and  $L$  the closure of  $S(p, \bar{d}) - U$ . Then (loc. cit.) there exists on  $M \cap \bar{F}(L)$ —and hence on  $C \cap S(p, \bar{d})$ —a cycle  $Z_r$  such that  $C_r \sim Z_r$  on  $M \cap \bar{U}$ . As  $M$  does not meet  $T$ ,  $Z_r$  is on  $C - T$ ; and by the choice of  $\bar{d}$ ,  $Z_r \sim 0$  on  $C \cap S(p, e/2)$ . Therefore, since  $T$  is not a local  $r$ -separating set of  $C$ ,  $Z_r \sim 0$  on  $C \cap S(p, e/2) - T$ . But then (by combining homologies)  $C_r \sim 0$  in  $S(p, 3e/2) - C'$ , in contradiction to the choice of  $s$  and  $f$ . We conclude that such a cycle as  $C_r$  cannot exist, and that  $U$  (and likewise  $V$ ) is  $ulc^k$ .

When  $n = 2m$ , then,  $U$  and  $V$  are both  $ulc^{m-1}$ , and since  $(m - 1) + (m - 1) = 2m - 2 = n - 2$ , it follows ([4]; p. 308, Th. 7.1) that  $C$  is an orientable  $(n - 1)$ -gcm. When  $n = 2m + 1$ ,  $2m - 2 = n - 3$ , and since  $H_m(C)$  is finitely generated, it follows from the Alexander Duality Theorem and [4]; p. 308, Th. 7.3, that  $C$  is an orientable  $(n - 1)$ -gcm.

### References

- [1] R. H. Bing, *Pushing a 2-sphere into its complement*, Amer. Math. Soc. Notices 6 (1959), p. 838, Abstract No. 564-165.  
 [2] R. L. Wilder, *Concerning a problem of K. Borsuk*, Fund. Math. 21 (1933), pp. 157-167.  
 [3] — *On free subsets of  $E_n$* , Fund. Math. 25 (1935), pp. 200-208.  
 [4] — *Topology of Manifolds*, Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Pub. 32, 1949.

(\*) For the definition and properties of a generalized closed manifold (= gcm), see [4]; VIII.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 17. 9. 1960

## Proof of a conjecture of S. Ruziewicz

by

A. Hajnal (Budapest)

**§ 1. Introduction.** Let  $S$  be an infinite set of power  $m$ . Let  $F(x)$  be a set-mapping defined on  $S$ , i.e. a function which associates to every element  $x$  of  $S$  a subset  $F(x)$  of  $S$  such that  $x \notin F(x)$ . Suppose that, for every  $x \in S$ ,  $\overline{F(x)} < n$  where  $n$  is a given cardinal number less than  $m$  (finite or infinite). A subset  $S'$  of  $S$  is called a *free set* (with respect to the set-mapping  $F(x)$ ) if, for every pair  $x, y \in S'$ ,  $x \notin F(y)$  and  $y \notin F(x)$ .

The following proposition has been conjectured by S. Ruziewicz.

*Under the above conditions  $S$  has a free subset  $S'$  of power  $m^{(1)}$ .*

This theorem was proved firstly for  $n = \aleph_0$  and  $m$  either of the form  $2^p$  or of the form  $\aleph_{\alpha+1}$  (see [2] and [3]), secondly for  $m$  a regular cardinal number, or  $m$  a countable sum of cardinals smaller than  $m$  (see [4]), and thirdly for  $m$  not the sum of  $n$  or fewer cardinal numbers less than  $m$  (see [5]).

Finally P. Erdős proved—using the generalized continuum hypothesis—that the conjecture is true in the general case (see [6]).

The aim of our paper is to prove the above mentioned conjecture without using the generalized continuum hypothesis.

**§ 2. THEOREM 1.** *Let  $S$  be an infinite set such that  $\overline{S} = m$ . Let  $F(x)$  be a set-mapping defined on  $S$  such that  $\overline{F(x)} < n$  for every  $x \in S$ , where  $n < m$ . Then there exists a free subset  $S'$  of  $S$  such that  $\overline{S'} = m$ .*

Proof. We distinguish two cases (i)  $m$  is regular and (ii)  $m$  is singular.

Case (i)<sup>(2)</sup>. Let  $\varphi$  denote the initial number of the cardinal number  $n$ . We are going to define a sequence  $\{S_r\}_{r < \varphi}$  of type  $\varphi$  of subsets of  $S$  by

(<sup>1</sup>) See [1]. Questions of this type have been first posed by P. Turán—see: G. Grünwald, *Egy halmazelméleti tételről*, Math. Fiz. Lapok 44 (1937), pp. 51-53.

In some of the cited papers binary relations of form  $yRx$  are investigated, where the corresponding set-mapping is to be defined by the stipulation  $F(x) = \{y: yRx\}$ .

(<sup>2</sup>) In this case the theorem is well known (see the papers cited). However, for the convenience of the reader, we reconstruct here a simple proof of it. This proof is due to D. Lázár.

transfinite induction on  $\nu$  as follows. Suppose that  $S_{\nu'}$  is defined for every  $\nu' < \nu$  for a  $\nu < \varphi$ .

(1) Let  $S_\nu$  be a maximal free subset of  $S - \bigcup_{\nu' < \nu} S_{\nu'}$ .

Such an  $S_\nu$  exists by Zorn's lemma.

If  $\overline{S}_\nu = m$  for a  $\nu < \varphi$ , then the theorem is true, thus we may suppose that

(2)  $\overline{S}_\nu < m$  for every  $\nu < \varphi$ .

Taking into consideration that  $\overline{\varphi} = n < m$  and that  $m$  is regular by the assumptions and by (i), we get from (2) that

(3)  $\overline{\bigcup_{\nu' < \nu} S_{\nu'}} < m$  for every  $\nu \leq \varphi$ .

Put  $S^* = S - \bigcup_{\nu < \varphi} S_\nu$ . It follows from (1) and (3) that

(4)  $S_\nu$  is non-empty for every  $\nu < \varphi$ ,  $S_{\nu_1} \cap S_{\nu_2} = 0$  for  $\nu_1 \neq \nu_2 < \varphi$

and from (3) we get

(5)  $\overline{S^*} = m$ .

By the maximality of  $S_\nu$  and by (4) corresponding to every element  $x$  of  $S^*$ , we can single out an element  $y_\nu(x)$  of  $S_\nu$  such that

(6) either  $y_\nu(x) \in F(x)$  or  $x \in F(y_\nu(x))$  for every  $\nu < \varphi$ .

Put  $S^{**} = \bigcup_{\nu < \varphi} \bigcup_{x \in S_\nu} F(x)$ . We have

(7)  $\overline{\bigcup_{x \in S_\nu} F(x)} \leq n \overline{S}_\nu < m$

by (2), hence by the regularity of  $m$  we get

(8)  $\overline{S^{**}} < m$ .

Its results from (5) and (8) that  $S^* - S^{**}$  is non-empty. Let  $x_0$  be an element of it. Then  $y_\nu(x_0) \in F(x_0)$  for every  $\nu < \varphi$  by (6), and  $y_{\nu_1}(x_0) \neq y_{\nu_2}(x_0)$  for every  $\nu_1 \neq \nu_2 < \varphi$  by (4), hence  $\overline{F(x_0)} \geq n$  in contradiction to the assumption.

Case (ii). Put  $m = \aleph_\alpha$ . Then  $\alpha$  is an ordinal number of the second kind;  $\text{cf}(\alpha) < \alpha$ . Let  $\beta$  be an ordinal number such that

(9)  $\text{cf}(\alpha) < \beta + 1$ ,  $n < \aleph_{\beta+1}$  and  $\beta + 1 < \alpha$ .

(10) Let further  $(\alpha_\nu)_{\nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(\alpha)}}$  be a monotone increasing sequence of type  $\omega_{\text{cf}(\alpha)}$  of ordinal numbers less than  $\alpha$  cofinal with  $\alpha$ .

We may suppose that the sequence  $(\alpha_\nu)_{\nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(\alpha)}}$  satisfies the following conditions:

(11)  $\aleph_{\alpha_\nu}$  is regular for every  $\nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(\alpha)}$

(e.g.  $\alpha_\nu$  is of the form  $\beta_\nu + 1$ ),

(12)  $\alpha_\nu > \beta + 1$  for every  $\nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(\alpha)}$ .

It follows from (10) that

(13)  $\sum_{\nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(\alpha)}} \aleph_{\alpha_\nu} = \aleph_\alpha$ .

Let now  $(S_\nu)_{\nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(\alpha)}}$  be a sequence of type  $\omega_{\text{cf}(\alpha)}$  of subsets of  $S$  such that

(14)  $S = \bigcup_{\nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(\alpha)}} S_\nu$ ,  $\overline{S}_\nu = \aleph_{\alpha_\nu}$  for every  $\nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(\alpha)}$  and  $S_{\nu_1} \cap S_{\nu_2} = 0$  for every  $\nu_1 \neq \nu_2 < \omega_{\text{cf}(\alpha)}$ .

Consider the set mapping  $F_\nu(x)$  induced by  $F(x)$  on  $S_\nu$  defined by the following stipulations

$$F_\nu(x) = F(x) \cap S_\nu \quad \text{for every } x \in S_\nu,$$

where  $\nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(\alpha)}$  is arbitrary.

Then  $\overline{S}_\nu = \aleph_{\alpha_\nu}$  is regular by (11),  $\overline{F_\nu(x)} \leq \overline{F(x)} < n$  by the assumption and by (9) and (12). It is obvious from the definitions that if a subset  $S'$  of  $S_\nu$  is free with respect to  $F_\nu(x)$  then it is also free with respect to  $F(x)$ . Thus it follows from the case (i) of Theorem 1, which has already been proved, that there exists a subset  $S_\nu^1$  of  $S_\nu$  satisfying the following conditions

(15)  $S_\nu^1 \subset S_\nu$ ,  $\overline{S_\nu^1} = \aleph_{\alpha_\nu}$  and  $S_\nu^1$  is a free subset of  $S$  with respect to  $F(x)$ .

The set  $\bigcup_{\nu' < \nu} \bigcup_{x \in S_{\nu'}} F(x)$  has power less than  $\aleph_{\alpha_\nu}$  for every  $\nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(\alpha)}$ , since  $\overline{\bigcup_{x \in S_{\nu'}} F(x)} \leq n \overline{S}_{\nu'} \leq \aleph_{\alpha_{\nu'}} < \aleph_{\alpha_\nu}$  by (9) and (10),  $\aleph_{\alpha_\nu}$  is regular by (11) and  $\overline{\nu} < \aleph_{\text{cf}(\alpha)} < \aleph_{\alpha_\nu}$  by (9).

Put  $S_\nu^2 = S_\nu^1 - \bigcup_{\nu' < \nu} \bigcup_{x \in S_{\nu'}} F(x)$ .

It follows from (15) and from the definition that

(16)  $S_\nu^2 \subset S_\nu^1$ ,  $\overline{S_\nu^2} = \aleph_{\alpha_\nu}$  and  $x \in S_\nu^2$ ,  $y \in S_\nu^2$  implies  $y \notin F(x)$  for every  $\nu' < \nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(\alpha)}$  (3).

(3) The construction of the sets  $S_\nu$ ,  $S_\nu^1$ ,  $S_\nu^2$  is well known. The next step contains the main idea of our proof.

Now we are going to define a sequence  $(S_\nu^3)_{\nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(a)}}$  of type  $\omega_{\text{cf}(a)}$  of subsets of  $S$  by transfinite induction on  $\nu$  as follows.

(17) Put  $S_0^3 = S_0^2$ .

Taking into consideration that by (12),  $\bar{S}_0^3 = \bar{S}_0^2 = \aleph_{\alpha_0} \geq \aleph_{\beta+1}$  there exists a sequence  $(S_{0,\rho}^3)_{\rho < \omega_{\beta+1}}$  of type  $\omega_{\beta+1}$  of subsets of  $S_0^3$  satisfying the conditions

(18) 
$$\bigcup_{\rho < \omega_{\beta+1}} S_{0,\rho}^3 = S_0^3; \quad \bar{S}_{0,\rho}^3 = \aleph_{\alpha_0}; \quad S_{0,\rho'}^3 \cap S_{0,\rho}^3 = 0$$

for every  $\rho' \neq \rho < \omega_{\beta+1}$ .

Suppose that the sets  $S_\nu^3$  and  $S_{\nu',\rho}^3$  are already defined for every  $\rho < \omega_{\beta+1}$ ,  $\nu' < \nu$  for a  $\nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(a)}$  in such a way that

$$\bigcup_{\rho < \omega_{\beta+1}} S_{\nu',\rho}^3 = S_\nu^3 \quad \text{and} \quad S_{\nu',\rho}^3 \cap S_{\nu',\rho'}^3 = 0$$

for every  $\rho' \neq \rho < \omega_{\beta+1}$ ,  $\nu' < \nu$ .

Put  $Z_\nu = \bigcup_{\nu' < \nu} S_{\nu'}^3$ , and  $Z_{\nu,\rho} = \bigcup_{\nu' < \nu} S_{\nu',\rho}^3$ . Then  $Z_\nu = \bigcup_{\rho < \omega_{\beta+1}} Z_{\nu,\rho}$  and  $Z_{\nu,\rho'} \cap Z_{\nu,\rho} = 0$  for every  $\rho \neq \rho' < \omega_{\beta+1}$ . Let  $x$  be an arbitrary element of  $S_\nu^3$ . Then  $F(x) \cap Z_\nu = \bigcup_{\rho < \omega_{\beta+1}} (F(x) \cap Z_{\nu,\rho})$ . Taking into consideration

that by the assumption and by (9)  $\overline{F(x)} < \aleph < \aleph_{\beta+1}$ , from the regularity of  $\aleph_{\beta+1}$  it follows that for every  $x \in S_\nu^3$  there exists an ordinal number  $\rho(x, \nu) < \omega_{\beta+1}$  such that  $F(x) \cap Z_{\nu,\rho} = 0$  if  $\rho(x, \nu) \leq \rho < \omega_{\beta+1}$ .

Put  $T_{\nu,\rho} = \{x: x \in S_\nu^3 \text{ and } \rho(x, \nu) \leq \rho\}$  for every  $\rho < \omega_{\beta+1}$ .

It follows that  $S_\nu^3 = \bigcup_{\rho < \omega_{\beta+1}} T_{\nu,\rho}$  and  $T_{\nu,\rho'} \subset T_{\nu,\rho}$  for every  $\rho' < \rho < \omega_{\beta+1}$ . Taking into consideration that by (11) and (12)  $\aleph_{\beta+1} < \aleph_{\alpha_\nu}$  and  $\aleph_{\alpha_\nu}$  is regular, it results from (16) that there exists a  $\rho_0 = \rho_0(\nu) < \omega_{\beta+1}$  such that  $\overline{T_{\nu,\rho_0}} = \aleph_{\alpha_\nu}$ .

(19) Put  $S_\nu^3 = T_{\nu,\rho_0}$  for such a  $\rho_0$ .

Taking into consideration that by (12)  $\bar{S}_\nu^3 = \aleph_{\alpha_\nu} \geq \aleph_{\beta+1}$  there exists a sequence  $(S_{\nu,\rho}^3)_{\rho < \omega_{\beta+1}}$  of type  $\omega_{\beta+1}$  of subsets of  $S_\nu^3$  satisfying the following conditions

(20) 
$$S_\nu^3 = \bigcup_{\rho < \omega_{\beta+1}} S_{\nu,\rho}^3; \quad \bar{S}_{\nu,\rho}^3 = \aleph_{\alpha_\nu} \text{ and } S_{\nu,\rho}^3 \cap S_{\nu,\rho'}^3 = 0$$

for every  $\rho' \neq \rho < \omega_{\beta+1}$ .

Thus  $S_\nu^3$  and  $S_{\nu,\rho}^3$  are defined for every  $\nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(a)}$ ,  $\rho < \omega_{\beta+1}$  and it follows by induction from (17), (18), (19) and (20) that (19) and (20) holds for every  $\nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(a)}$ .

By the construction  $S_\nu^3$  has the following properties:

(21)  $S_\nu^3 \subset S_\nu^2$ ; there exists a  $\rho(\nu) < \omega_{\beta+1}$  such that  $F(x) \cap Z_{\nu,\rho} = 0$  for every  $\rho \geq \rho(\nu)$  and for every  $x \in S_\nu^3$ .

In fact,  $S_\nu^3 = T_{\nu,\rho_0}$  and  $\rho_0 = \rho(\nu)$  satisfy the requirement of (21).

(22) Put  $R_\nu = \{\rho(\nu')\}_{\nu' < \nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(a)}}$  for every  $\nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(a)}$ .

Then  $\bar{R}_\nu \leq \aleph_{\text{cf}(a)} < \aleph_{\beta+1}$  by (9). Hence by the regularity of  $\aleph_{\beta+1}$  there exists an ordinal number  $\rho^*(\nu)$  such that

(23) 
$$\begin{aligned} \rho^*(\nu) &< \omega_{\beta+1} && \text{for every } \nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(a)}, \\ \rho(\nu') &< \rho^*(\nu) && \text{for every } \nu < \nu' < \omega_{\text{cf}(a)}. \end{aligned}$$

(24) Put  $S_\nu^4 = \bigcup_{\rho^*(\nu) \leq \rho < \omega_{\beta+1}} S_{\nu,\rho}^3$  for every  $\nu < \omega_{\beta+1}$  and  $S' = \bigcup_{\nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(a)}} S_\nu^4$ .

It follows from (20) and (23) that  $\bar{S}_\nu^4 = \aleph_{\alpha_\nu}$  for every  $\nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(a)}$ . Taking into consideration that  $S_\nu^4 \subset S_\nu^3 \subset S_\nu^2 \subset S_\nu^1 \subset S_\nu$ , it follows from (13) and (14) that

(25) 
$$\bar{S}' = \sum_{\nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(a)}} \aleph_{\alpha_\nu} = \aleph_\alpha.$$

On the other hand we have

(26)  $y \in S_\nu^4, x \in S_{\nu'}^4$  implies  $y \notin F(x)$  for every  $\nu < \nu' < \omega_{\text{cf}(a)}$ .

In fact,  $S_\nu^4 \subset S_\nu^3$ , hence if  $x \in S_{\nu'}^4$ , then  $F(x) \cap Z_{\nu',\rho} = 0$  for every  $\rho \geq \rho(\nu')$  by (21), hence  $F(x) \cap Z_{\nu',\rho} = 0$  for every  $\rho \geq \rho^*(\nu)$  by (23). Thus

$$F(x) \cap S_\nu^4 = \bigcup_{\rho^*(\nu) \leq \rho < \omega_{\beta+1}} (F(x) \cap S_{\nu,\rho}^3) = 0 \quad \text{for every } \nu < \nu'.$$

Now we are going to prove that

(27) 
$$S' \text{ is a free set.}$$

$S' = \bigcup_{\nu < \omega_{\text{cf}(a)}} S_\nu^4$  by (24). Let  $x, y$  be two arbitrary distinct elements of  $S'$ . By (14) there exist uniquely determined ordinal numbers  $\nu_1, \nu_2$  such that  $x \in S_{\nu_1}^4, y \in S_{\nu_2}^4$ . By reason of symmetry, we may suppose  $\nu_1 \leq \nu_2$ . If  $\nu_1 = \nu_2$  then  $y \notin F(x)$  and  $x \notin F(y)$  follows from (15) taking into consideration that  $S_{\nu_1}^4 \subset S_{\nu_1}^3$ . If  $\nu_1 < \nu_2$  then  $y \notin F(x)$  by (16) and  $x \notin F(y)$  by (26). Hence  $x \notin F(y)$  and  $y \notin F(x)$  in any cases.

$S'$  satisfies the requirements of Theorem 1 by (25) and (27). Q.e.d.

Remarks. In their paper [7] P. Erdős and G. Fodor prove the following theorem.

Let  $S$  be a set,  $\bar{S} = m \geq \aleph_0$ ,  $F(x)$  a set-mapping defined on  $S$  such that  $\overline{F(x)} < n < m$  for every  $x \in S$ , for an  $n < m$ . Let further  $\{S_\nu\}_{\nu < \varphi}$  be a system of disjoint subsets of  $S$  satisfying the conditions:  $\bar{S}_\nu = m$  for every  $\nu < \varphi$  and  $\bar{\varphi} < m$ . Then there exists a free subset  $S' \subset S$  such that  $\overline{S' \cap S_\nu} = m$  for every  $\nu < \varphi$ .

The proof given in [7] makes use of the generalized continuum hypothesis in the case when  $m$  is singular.

It is easy to see that using the idea of the proof of our Theorem 1 this generalization of the Ruziewicz conjecture can also be proved without using the generalized continuum hypothesis.

On the other hand in his paper [8] G. Fodor states the following generalization of the Ruziewicz conjecture.

Let  $S$  be a set,  $\bar{S} = m \geq \aleph_0$ , and  $F(x)$  a set-mapping defined on  $S$ , satisfying the condition  $\overline{F(x)} < n < m$  for every  $x \in S$  for some  $n < m$ . Let further  $\Pi(S')$  denote the set  $\bigcup_{x \neq y, x, y \in S'} (F(x) \cap F(y))$  for every  $S' \subset S$ .

Then there exists a subset  $S' \subset S$ ,  $\bar{S}' = m$  such that  $\overline{\Pi(S')} < m$ .

Fodor proves this theorem for singular  $m$  using the generalized continuum hypothesis; our method does not enable us to prove this theorem without using this hypothesis. The simplest unsolved problem here is: Is it possible to prove Fodor's theorem without using this hypotheses for  $m = \aleph_{\omega_1}$  or for  $m = \aleph_{\omega_1}$ ?

### References

- [1] S. Ruziewicz, *Une généralisation d'un théorème de M. Sierpiński*, Publications Math. de l'Université de Belgrade 5 (1936), pp. 23-27.
- [2] W. Sierpiński, *Sur un problème de M. Ruziewicz de la théorie des relations*, Fund. Math. 29 (1937), pp. 5-9.
- [3] D. Lázár, *On a problem in the theory of aggregates*, Compositio Math. 3 (1936), p. 304.
- [4] Sophie Piccard, *Sur un problème de M. Ruziewicz de la théorie des relations pour les nombres cardinaux  $m < \aleph_\alpha$* , Comptes Rendus Varsovie, 30 (1937), pp. 12-18.
- [5] G. Fodor, *Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős*, Acta Sci. Math. 14 (1951), pp. 219-227.
- [6] P. Erdős, *Some remarks on set theory*, Proceedings Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1950), pp. 133-137.
- [7] P. Erdős and G. Fodor, *Some remarks on set theory, VI*, Acta Sci. Math. 18 (1957), pp. 243-260.
- [8] G. Fodor, *Some results concerning a problem in set theory*, Acta Sci. Math. 16 (1955), pp. 232-240.

Reçu par la Rédaction 26. 9. 1960

## A new analytic approach to hyperbolic geometry

by

W. Szmielew (Warszawa)

### Introduction

Hilbert was the first who constructed in plane hyperbolic geometry without the axiom of continuity a commutative ordered field  $\bar{\mathbb{C}} = \langle \bar{D}, +, \cdot, < \rangle$  and founded an analytic geometry over it (see [3] or [2], Appendix III). The field  $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$  is known in the literature as the *end-calculus* since the class  $\bar{D}$  consists of pencils of parallel half-lines, which Hilbert refers to as *ends*. The analytic geometry over  $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$  is based upon a coordinate system for straight lines.

In this paper a new commutative ordered field  $\bar{\mathbb{S}} = \langle \bar{S}, +, \cdot, < \rangle$  is constructed in the same system of geometry. This field seems to be conceptually simpler and more adequate for the foundation of analytic geometry than  $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ . It is generated by a *hyperbolic calculus of segments*, more precisely by an algebraic system  $\mathfrak{S} = \langle S, +, \cdot, < \rangle$  in which the class  $S$  consists of the segments. The operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$  are defined in terms of such simple notions as the Lambert quadrangle and the right triangle and are not relativized to any fixed geometrical objects, while the relation  $<$  coincides with the usual less-than relation for the segments. Finally a rectangular coordinate system over  $\bar{\mathbb{S}}$  can be constructed (the two coordinates of a point being elements of  $\bar{S}$ ), and moreover the analytic geometry based on it is identical with that of the two-dimensional Klein space the absolute of which coincides with the unit circle.

Chapter I is algebraic. We introduce there the notion of a *unit interval algebra* and reduce the problem of constructing a commutative ordered field to that of constructing a unit interval algebra.

Chapter II is geometrical. In Section 1 we describe the axiomatic theory  $\mathcal{H}'$  of the hyperbolic geometry in which the field  $\bar{\mathbb{S}}$  is to be constructed. In Sections 2-13 we construct the system  $\mathfrak{S}$ , furthermore we prove it to be a unit interval algebra, and consequently, using the result of Chapter I, we obtain the ordered field  $\bar{\mathbb{S}}$ . In Sections 14-18 we outline the foundations of the analytic geometry over  $\bar{\mathbb{S}}$ .