

# Algebras which are independently generated by every $n$ elements

by

S. Świerczkowski (Wrocław)

## 1. Preliminaries and results

By an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  we mean a pair  $(A, \mathbf{F})$  where  $A$  is a set and  $\mathbf{F}$  is a family of functions of finitely many variables defined on  $A$  and  $A$ -valued.  $\mathbf{F}$  is called the *class of fundamental operations*. The *class of algebraic operations* is, by definition, the class of operations  $\mathbf{A}$  generated by  $\mathbf{F}$ , i. e. the smallest class  $\mathbf{A}$  such that  $\mathbf{A}$  contains  $\mathbf{F}$ , all identity operations belong to  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  is closed with respect to composition. The subclass of all algebraic operations of  $n$  variables will be denoted by  $\mathbf{A}^{(n)}$ . The above definitions are given in a more detailed form in [3]; we use here the same notation.

Following E. Marczewski [3] we say that  $N \subset A$  is a *set of independent elements* if, for each sequence of  $n$  different elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in N$  and for each pair of operations  $f, g \in \mathbf{A}^{(n)}$ , the equality

$$f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = g(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

implies that  $f$  and  $g$  are identical in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

We shall call the identity operations also *trivial operations*. More exactly: An operation  $f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  is called *trivial* if, for a certain  $l \leq k$ , we have  $f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_l$  for all values of  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ . If all algebraic operations are trivial then the algebra will be called trivial. For  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  and  $\mathbf{F} = \{f\}$  we shall write  $(a_1, \dots, a_n; f)$  instead of  $(A, \mathbf{F})$ . Two algebras,  $(A, \mathbf{F}_1)$  and  $(A, \mathbf{F}_2)$ , having the same class of all algebraic operations will be treated here as identical.

We say that a set  $B \subset A$  *generates*  $\mathcal{A}$  if each  $x \in A$  is the result of an algebraic operation applied to some elements in  $B$ . Let  $\bar{S}$  denote the cardinal of the set  $S$ . We then say that *the algebra is independently generated by every  $n$  elements* if each set  $B \subset A$  satisfying  $\bar{B} = n$  is a set of independent elements and  $B$  generates  $\mathcal{A}$ . In this paper we show some properties of those algebras. The results were announced in paper [4].

**THEOREM 1.** *If all elements of an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  are independent and  $\bar{A} \neq 2$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a trivial algebra. There exists a non-trivial two-element algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  all elements of which are independent.*

The algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  has been found by E. Marczewski. It is evident that all elements of a trivial algebra are independent. Hence, if the trivial algebra has  $n$  elements, we obtain an example of an algebra which is independently generated by every  $n$  elements. If  $n > 3$ , then there are no other algebras of this kind since we have

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $n > 3$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra such that  $\bar{A} \geq n$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is independently generated by every  $n$  elements, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is the trivial algebra with  $n$  elements.*

The assumption  $n > 3$  is essential in this theorem. For  $n = 3$  we consider the following:

Let us put  $\mathcal{A}_0 = (a, b, c, d; f_0)$  where  $f_0 = f_0(x, y, z)$  is the operation which associates with every three distinct elements of the set  $\{a, b, c, d\}$  the remaining one and satisfies identically

$$f_0(x, x, y) = f_0(x, y, x) = f_0(y, x, x) = y.$$

**THEOREM 3.** *The algebra  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is independently generated by every three elements.*

**THEOREM 4.**  *$\mathcal{A}_0$  is the unique algebra which is non-trivial, has at least three elements and is independently generated by every three elements.*

There exist non-trivial algebras which are independently generated by every two elements. An example is the algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  considered in Theorem 1. Another kind of example gives the following theorem, which was communicated to me by A. M. Macbeath.

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $K$  be a field, let  $A$  be the set of all elements of  $K$  and let  $F$  be the class of all operations  $f(x_1, x_2) = \lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2$ ;  $\lambda \in K$ . Then the algebra  $\mathcal{A} = (A, F)$  is independently generated by every two elements.*

It follows from this theorem that there is, for every number  $p^k$  ( $p$  prime,  $k$  natural), an algebra with  $p^k$  elements which is independently generated by every two elements. Also the converse of this result is true:

**THEOREM 6.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite algebra which is independently generated by every two elements, then  $\bar{A}$  is a power of a prime.*

In view of Theorems 5 and 6 (cf. also [5]) one might suspect that each algebra which is independently generated by every two elements is defined, as in Theorem 5, by a corresponding field. This, however, is not true and the simplest counter-example is the algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  of Theorem 1.

For every set  $A$  there is a class of operations  $F$  such that  $\mathcal{A} = (A, F)$  is an algebra which is independently generated by every element. To prove

this we introduce in  $A$  a group addition so that  $A$  is an Abelian group. We associate with every  $a \in A$  the operation  $f_a(x) = a + x$  and we denote by  $F$  the class of all those operations. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is generated by every element. Moreover, every element is independent since  $f_a$  are the only operations of one variable.

## 2. Algebras with all elements independent

In this section we shall prove Theorem 1. Denote by  $n$  the cardinal of  $A$ . The theorem is trivial for  $n = 1$ . We assume therefore that  $n \geq 3$  and we have to show that every algebraic operation  $f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  is a trivial one. We consider the possibilities:  $k < n$  and  $k \geq n$  (the latter obviously only for finite  $n$ ).

For  $k < n$  it is convenient, for a further application, to derive the result from the weaker assumption  $\bar{A} \geq n$ . So we first prove the following:

( $\alpha$ ) *If  $\bar{A} \geq n$  and every  $n$  elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  are independent, then  $A^{(k)}$  contains, for each  $k < n$ , only trivial operations.*

**Proof of ( $\alpha$ ).** Let  $k < n$  and let  $f \in A^{(k)}$ . Consider  $k$  different elements  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A$ . The elements  $a_1, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1} = f(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  are obviously not independent. Thus they cannot be different, by  $k+1 \leq n$ , and we have

$$f(a_1, \dots, a_k) = a_l \quad \text{for some } l < k.$$

From the independence of the  $a_i$  it follows that we have identically  $f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_l$ , so that  $f$  is a trivial operation. Hence ( $\alpha$ ) is proved.

Now let  $k \geq n$ ,  $f \in A^{(k)}$  and let  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A$ . Suppose that the element  $a_{k+1} = f(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  is different from all  $a_i$ ,  $i \leq k$ . Then, if  $r$  is the number of distinct elements  $a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_r}$  occurring in the sequence  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$ , we infer that all  $a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_r}, a_{k+1}$  are different and thus independent. This is impossible since  $a_{k+1}$  is the result of an algebraic operation on  $a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_r}$ . So

$$(*) \quad f(a_1, \dots, a_k) = a_u$$

for some  $u \leq k$ . Let  $S = \{1, \dots, k\}$  and let  $\Delta(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  be the subset of all  $u \in S$  satisfying (\*). We shall show that  $f$  is a trivial operation if we prove that there is a number  $u$  such that (\*) holds for that  $u$  and for arbitrary  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A$ . Equivalently, we have to show that the intersection of all sets  $\Delta(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  is non-empty.

Suppose we have a one-to-one mapping of  $A$  onto itself. Let  $a'$  denote the image of  $a$ . It follows from the independence of all elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  (cf. [3], sec. 2 (ii)) that (\*) holds with the same numbers  $u$  for  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  and  $a'_1, \dots, a'_k$ . Thus  $\Delta(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  depends only on the decomposition  $\delta$  of the set of indices  $S$  in the disjoint sets  $D_1, \dots, D_m$  containing indices of equal  $a_i$  (so that  $a_i = a_j$  if and only if  $i, j$  belong to the same  $D_l$ ,

whence  $m \leq n = \bar{A}$ . Evidently  $\Delta(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  is one of those sets  $D_i$  and since it depends only on the decomposition  $\delta$ , it will be denoted by  $\varphi\delta$ . We observe that every decomposition of  $S$  into not more than  $n$  subsets is realized by some sequence  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$ .

**DEFINITION.** For any decompositions  $\delta, \delta'$  we write  $\delta < \delta'$  if, for each set  $D_i$  of  $\delta$ , there is a set  $D'_j$  of  $\delta'$  which contains  $D_i$ .

Let us prove that  $\delta < \delta'$  implies  $\varphi\delta \subset \varphi\delta'$ . Indeed, if  $\delta < \delta'$  and  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$  is a sequence that determines the decomposition  $\delta$ , then there is a mapping of  $A$  into itself such that the sequence  $\langle a'_1, \dots, a'_k \rangle$ , which is the image of  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$ , determines the decomposition  $\delta'$ . From the independence of the elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  it follows ([3], sec. 2, (ii)) that if (\*) holds for some  $u$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ , then it holds for the same  $u$  for  $a'_1, \dots, a'_k$ . Thus  $\varphi\delta \subset \varphi\delta'$ .

We have to prove that the intersection of all  $\varphi\delta$  is non-empty. This follows from the lemma

( $\beta$ ) *If we have a fixed number  $n \geq 3$ ,  $S$  is a finite set, and to every decomposition  $\delta$  of  $S$  in not more than  $n$  disjoint subsets corresponds a set  $\varphi\delta$  of that decomposition so that  $\delta < \delta'$  implies  $\varphi\delta \subset \varphi\delta'$ , then the intersection of all  $\varphi\delta$  is non-empty.*

**Proof of ( $\beta$ ).** The assumption  $n \geq 3$  means that the correspondence  $\delta \rightarrow \varphi\delta$  is defined for all decompositions in not more than three subsets.

It is sufficient to verify that, for any  $\delta', \delta''$  the set  $\varphi\delta' \cap \varphi\delta''$  is also a  $\varphi\delta$ . We prove first that  $\varphi\delta'$  and  $\varphi\delta''$  are not disjoint. Consider the decompositions  $\delta_1, \delta_2$

$$S = \varphi\delta' \cup B, \quad S = \varphi\delta'' \cup C,$$

where  $B$  and  $C$  are uniquely determined. We have  $\delta' < \delta_1$ ,  $\delta'' < \delta_2$  and this implies  $\varphi\delta_1 = \varphi\delta'$ ,  $\varphi\delta_2 = \varphi\delta''$ . Suppose that  $\varphi\delta'$  and  $\varphi\delta''$  are disjoint. Then the decomposition  $\delta^*$

$$S = \varphi\delta' \cup \varphi\delta'' \cup D$$

satisfies  $\delta^* < \delta_1$ ,  $\delta^* < \delta_2$ .  $\varphi\delta^*$  is contained in both  $\varphi\delta_1$  and  $\varphi\delta_2$ , which contradicts  $\varphi\delta_1 \cap \varphi\delta_2 = \varphi\delta' \cap \varphi\delta'' = \emptyset$  ( $\emptyset$  is the empty set).

Now consider the decomposition  $\delta$  defined by

$$S = (\varphi\delta' \cap \varphi\delta'') \cup (\varphi\delta' - \varphi\delta'') \cup B.$$

From  $\delta < \delta_1$  follows  $\varphi\delta \subset \varphi\delta_1 = \varphi\delta'$  and thus  $\varphi\delta \neq B$ . Since two sets  $\varphi\delta, \varphi\delta''$  are never disjoint, we have  $\varphi\delta = \varphi\delta' \cap \varphi\delta''$ . This completes the proof of ( $\beta$ ) and of the first part of Theorem 1.

Let  $\mathcal{M} = (a, b; f)$  where  $f = f(x, y, z)$  is the operation defined on  $\{a, b\}$  so that identically

$$f(x, x, y) = f(x, y, x) = f(y, x, x) = x.$$

Hence  $f$  is non-trivial and thus the algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  is non-trivial. All elements of  $\mathcal{M}$  are independent, since, for any algebraic operations  $g, h$  of two variables, the equality  $g(a, b) = h(a, b)$  implies  $g(b, a) = h(b, a)$  because of symmetry and  $g(x, x) = x = h(x, x)$  holds since there is no non-trivial operation of one variable in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

### 3. Algebras which have more than three independent generators

We shall now prove Theorem 2. The result follows by Theorem 1 if we verify that there are only  $n$  elements in  $A$ . If  $n$  is infinite, then, by ( $\alpha$ ), every operation is trivial and hence  $A$  contains only the  $n$  generators. If  $n$  is finite, then it is enough to prove that  $A^{(n)}$  contains only trivial operations.

Let us suppose that there is in  $A^{(n)}$  a non-trivial operation  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . By ( $\alpha$ ), the operation on  $n-1$  variables  $f(x_1, x_2, x_2, x_4, \dots, x_n)$  is trivial, whence it is identically equal to one of the variables  $x_1, x_2, x_4, \dots, x_n$ . Certainly one of the two variables  $x_1, x_4$  (we have  $n \geq 4$ ) is not identically equal to  $f(x_1, x_2, x_2, x_4, \dots, x_n)$  and we may assume that it is the variable  $x_1$ , performing in the opposite case a suitable rearrangement of indices. Therefore, identically

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_2, x_4, \dots, x_n) = x_2 \text{ or } x_4 \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } x_n.$$

Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  be distinct elements and let  $a_{n+1} = f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Since  $f$  is non-trivial and  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are independent, we have  $a_{n+1} \neq a_1, \dots, a_n$ . The elements  $a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}$ , being different, generate the algebra. Hence, for a certain algebraic operation  $h$ ,  $a_1 = h(a_2, \dots, a_{n+1})$ , i. e.

$$a_1 = h(a_2, a_3, a_4, \dots, f(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n)).$$

Since all elements appearing in the above equality are independent, the equality holds identically, e. g. holds also if we put  $a_2$  in place of  $a_3$ . Now  $f(a_1, a_2, a_2, a_4, \dots, a_n)$  is one of the elements  $a_2, a_4, \dots, a_n$  and so  $a_1$  is the result of an algebraic operation on  $a_2, a_4, \dots, a_n$  in spite of the independence of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . We have obtained a contradiction and we have proved the theorem.

### 4. The algebra $\mathcal{A}_0$

**Fundamental properties.** Let us prove Theorem 3. Since evidently  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is generated by every three elements, we have to show that every three elements are independent. Let us denote by  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  the three trivial operations in  $\mathcal{A}_0^{(3)}$  so that identically

$$e_1(x, y, z) = x, \quad e_2(x, y, z) = y, \quad e_3(x, y, z) = z.$$

It is easy to check that the class of operations  $\Phi = \{f_0, e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  has the following property:

If  $h_1, h_2, h_3 \in \Phi$ , then the operation  $h$  defined by the formula

$$h(x, y, z) = f_0(h_1(x, y, z), h_2(x, y, z), h_3(x, y, z))$$

also belongs to  $\Phi$ .

This shows, by the definition of  $A_0^{(8)}$  (cf. [3], sec. 1, (a)) that  $A_0^{(8)} = \Phi$ . Knowing  $A_0^{(8)}$  we easily check that every three elements are independent.

**Uniqueness of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ .** We now proceed to prove Theorem 4. We assume that the algebra  $\mathcal{A} = (A, A)$  is non-trivial, independently generated by every three elements and  $\bar{A} \geq 3$  (where  $A$  denotes the class of all algebraic operations). We first show that

( $\gamma$ ) If  $f \in A^{(8)}$  is non-trivial, then identically

$$f(x, x, y) = f(x, y, x) = f(y, x, x) = y.$$

Proof of ( $\gamma$ ). Let  $a, b, c$  be independent generators of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $f$  is non-trivial, we have  $f(a, b, c) \neq a, b, c$  and thus  $a, b, f(a, b, c)$  generate  $\mathcal{A}$ . It follows that, for a certain algebraic operation  $h(x, y, z)$ ,

$$c = h(a, b, f(a, b, c)).$$

Since  $a, b, c$  are independent, this equation holds identically, e. g. also if  $a$  stands at the place of  $b$ . So  $c = h(a, a, f(a, a, c))$ . Now  $f(x, x, y)$  is, by ( $\alpha$ ), a trivial operation, whence  $f(x, x, y) = x$  or  $y$ . But  $f(a, a, c) = a$  gives  $c = h(a, a, a)$ , which is a contradiction. Thus we have  $f(x, x, y) = y$ . The other equalities in ( $\gamma$ ) hold by symmetry.

Now let us show that  $\mathcal{A}$  has exactly four elements. We have assumed  $\bar{A} \geq 3$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is non-trivial and every three elements are independent, we have, by Theorem 1,  $\bar{A} > 3$ . Let us suppose that there are at least five elements  $a, b, c, d, e \in A$ . Since  $a, b, c$  are generators, there are operations  $f, g$  such that

$$d = f(a, b, c), \quad e = g(a, b, c).$$

Also  $c, d, e$  are generators; thus, for some operation  $h$ ,  $a = h(c, d, e)$  and we have

$$a = h(c, f(a, b, c), g(a, b, c)).$$

Since this equality must hold identically, we have, writing  $a$  at the place of  $b$ , by ( $\gamma$ ),  $a = h(c, c, c)$ . This is a contradiction and so  $\bar{A} = 4$ .

From what we have shown it follows that we may assume that both algebras,  $\mathcal{A}_0$  and  $\mathcal{A}$ , have the same set of elements  $A_0 = A = \{a, b, c, d\}$ . To complete the proof of our theorem it remains to prove that the operations are in both algebras the same, i. e. that  $A_0 = A$ .

Since  $a, b, c$  generate  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have, for a certain  $f \in A^{(8)}$ ,  $d = f(a, b, c)$ . Thus  $f$  is non-trivial and hence it associates with every three elements of  $A$  the remaining one. Since the equalities in ( $\gamma$ ) hold, we infer that  $f$  coincides with the fundamental operation  $f_0$  of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Consequently  $A_0$  is the class of operations generated by  $f$  and we have  $A_0 \subset A$ .

Given an operation  $h \in A^{(k)}$ , we say that  $h$  depends on the variable  $x_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , if there is a sequence  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_k \rangle$  of elements of  $A$  and an  $a'_i \in A$  such that

$$h(a_1, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_k) \neq h(a_1, \dots, a'_i, \dots, a_k).$$

We have to show that  $A \subset A_0$ . We observe that if  $h \in A$ , then  $h$  must depend on some variables, for if  $h$  takes a constant value, say  $h = a$ , then also  $h(b, \dots, b) = a$ , contradicting the independence of  $a$  and  $b$ . We can even assume that  $h$  depends on every variable, for if  $h(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  does not depend on some of the variables, then, after a suitable rearrangement of indices if necessary, we have

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_k) = g(x_1, \dots, x_m), \quad m < k;$$

$g$  depends on every variable and if  $g \in A_0$ , then  $h \in A_0$ .

The idea of our proof is now the following. To show that if  $h \in A^{(k)}$  depends on every variable, then  $h \in A_0^{(k)}$ , it is enough to verify that there is at most one operation in  $A^{(k)}$  which depends on every variable, and, if there is one, then there is at least one which belongs to  $A_0^{(k)}$ . This follows, by  $A_0 \subset A$ , from

( $\varepsilon$ ) For any  $k$ , if there exists an operation  $h \in A^{(k)}$  which depends on every variable, then there is exactly one such operation and  $k$  is an odd integer.

( $\eta$ ) For every odd integer  $k$ , there exists an operation  $h \in A_0^{(k)}$  which depends on every variable.

Since the proof of ( $\eta$ ) is much simpler than the proof of ( $\varepsilon$ ), we shall give it first.

Proof of ( $\eta$ ). The assertion is trivial for  $k = 1$ . Suppose that, for some odd  $k \geq 1$ , there is an operation  $h(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  belonging to  $A_0^{(k)}$  and depending on every variable. Then the operation  $g$  defined by

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_{k+2}) = f(h(x_1, \dots, x_k), x_{k+1}, x_{k+2})$$

belongs to  $A_0^{(k+2)}$ . From  $g(x_1, \dots, x_k, x, x) = h(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  we infer that  $g$  depends on each of the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ . Since, for a constant  $u \in A$ , the function  $f(u, x, y)$  depends on each of the variables  $x, y$ , it follows that  $g$  depends also on  $x_{k+1}$  and  $x_{k+2}$ .

Proof of ( $\varepsilon$ ). Since, by ( $\alpha$ ), every operation of not more than two variables is trivial, ( $\varepsilon$ ) holds for  $k = 1$  and  $k = 2$ . Let us show that ( $\varepsilon$ ) holds for  $k = 3$ , i. e., that  $f(x, y, z)$  is the only operation in  $A^{(3)}$  which depends

on all variables. Suppose that  $g(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{A}^{(3)}$  depends on every variable. Hence  $g$  is a non-trivial operation and we must have  $g(a, b, c) = d$ , by the independence of  $a, b, c$ . So  $g(a, b, c) = f(a, b, c)$  and, using again the independence of  $a, b, c$ ,  $g(x, y, z) = f(x, y, z)$ .

Thus it remains to prove  $(\varepsilon)$  for  $k > 3$ . Suppose that  $h \in \mathcal{A}^{(k)}$ ,  $k > 3$  and  $h$  depends on every variable. Since we have only four elements in  $\mathcal{A}$ , the operation  $h$  is given by a system of operations of not more than four variables which are obtained from  $h$  by identifying some of the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  so that not more than four different ones are left. Let us call those operations *derived* from  $h$ . If we show that each operation derived from  $h$  depends only on the given identification of the variables and not on  $h$ , then it is evident that  $h$  is unique. This proof will be given in two steps. First, in  $(\varepsilon_0)$ , we show that each operation  $h_\delta$  derived from  $h$  is determined by the system of operations of two variables derived from  $h_\delta$ . Then, in  $(\varepsilon_1)$ , we prove that the system of operations of two variables derived from  $h$  can be determined without knowing  $h$ .

We consider the family of all decompositions of the set of indices  $S = \{1, \dots, k\}$  in not more than four subsets. For each decomposition  $\delta$

$$S = X \cup Y \cup Z \cup U$$

(where some of the sets  $X, \dots, U$  may be empty) we identify those variables in  $h(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  which have indices belonging to the same set of the decomposition. We denote by  $x, y, z, u$  those variables  $x_i$  whose indices belong to the sets  $X, \dots, U$  respectively. Thus we obtain an operation  $h_\delta(x, y, z, u)$ , which is derived from  $h$ .

$(\varepsilon_0)$  The operation  $h_\delta(x, y, z, u)$  is determined by the system of operations of two variables which are derived from  $h_\delta$ .

Proof of  $(\varepsilon_0)$ . Our assertion is trivial if  $\delta$  is a decomposition of  $S$  in two sets. If  $\delta$  is a decomposition in three sets, then  $h_\delta = h_\delta(x, y, z)$ . If  $h_\delta$  is not trivial, then  $h_\delta = f$  since  $f$  is the only non-trivial algebraic operation of three variables. Consequently  $h_\delta(x, x, y) = h_\delta(x, y, x) = h_\delta(y, x, x) = y$ . If  $h_\delta$  is trivial, then only two of these equalities holds and the remaining four are false. Moreover, we know  $h_\delta$  if we know which of the equalities holds. Thus all the possible cases are distinguished by the behaviour of the operations of two variables derived from  $h_\delta$ ; we can determine  $h_\delta$  by examining those operations.

Now suppose that  $\delta$  is a decomposition of  $S$  in four sets. We have to consider an operation  $h_\delta(x, y, z, u)$ . Let us determine first  $h_\delta(a, b, c, d)$ . Suppose that  $h_\delta(a, b, c, d) = d$ . Then  $h_\delta(a, b, c, f(a, b, c)) = f(a, b, c)$  and, by the independence of  $a, b, c$ , we have  $h_\delta(x, y, z, f(x, y, z)) = f(x, y, z)$ . Identifying any two of the variables  $x, y, z$  we easily obtain

$$h_\delta(x, x, y, y) = h_\delta(x, y, x, y) = h_\delta(y, x, x, y) = y.$$

Let us call an identification of some of the variables  $x, y, z, u$  *even* if it results in one of those which appear in brackets in the above equalities. Thus we infer that for any even identification of variables,  $h_\delta(x, y, z, u) = u$ . By symmetry, if we assume that  $h_\delta(a, b, c, d) = c$ , we shall find that, for every even identification of variables,  $h_\delta(x, y, z, u) = z$  holds and it is similar for  $a$  and  $b$  instead of  $c, d$ . So we see that by examining the operations of two variables derived from  $h_\delta$  (in fact only those which are derived by an even identification of variables) we can determine  $h_\delta(a, b, c, d)$ .

It remains to verify that also in the case when two of the arguments  $x, y, z, u$  are equal,  $h_\delta$  can be determined. It is not difficult to see that we then have to find the value of an operation  $h_{\bar{\delta}}$  of not more than three variables which is derived from  $h_\delta$ . Since we know the operation of two variables derived from  $h_{\bar{\delta}}$  (they are also derived from  $h_\delta$ ) we determine  $h_{\bar{\delta}}$  so in the same way as we determined  $h_\delta$  when it was assumed to be an operation of not more than three variables. Thus  $(\varepsilon_0)$  is proved.

Let  $\Omega_h$  be the family of subsets of  $S$  which contains, for every decomposition  $\delta: S = X \cup Y$ , one of the two sets  $X, Y$ , namely

$$X \text{ if } h_\delta(x, y) = x, \quad Y \text{ if } h_\delta(x, y) = y.$$

(Let us recall that in  $\mathcal{A}$  every algebraic operation of two variables is trivial.)

Obviously

$$(o) \quad S \in \Omega_h.$$

(i) If  $G \cup H = S$  and  $G \cap H = \emptyset$ , then exactly one of the sets  $G$  and  $H$  belongs to  $\Omega_h$ .

It is evident that  $\Omega_h$  determines the system of operations of two variables derived from  $h$ . Thus, by  $(\varepsilon_0)$ ,  $h$  is completely determined by  $\Omega_h$ . To show that  $h$  is unique it is enough to show that  $\Omega_h$  is unique, i. e. that  $\Omega_h$  is fully determined by the mere condition that  $h$  is operation of  $k$  variables which depends on every variable. This will be shown in  $(\varepsilon_1)$ , but to prove  $(\varepsilon_1)$  we need some more properties of  $\Omega_h$ . Assume that  $G, H \in \Omega_h$ , Let us prove

(ii) If  $G \cap H \neq \emptyset$  and  $S = G \cup H$ , then  $G \cap H \in \Omega_h$ .

(iii) If  $G \cap H = \emptyset$ , then  $G \cup H \notin \Omega_h$ .

(iv) If  $G \subset H$ , then  $H - G \notin \Omega_h$ .

In the proofs of (ii), (iii) and (iv) we shall consider an operation  $h_\delta(x, y, z)$  given by a decomposition  $\delta: S = X \cup Y \cup Z$ . The sets  $X, Y, Z$  will be defined in each case separately.

Proof of (ii). Assume  $G \cap H \neq \emptyset$ ,  $S = G \cup H$ . Define  $X = G - H$ ,  $Y = G \cap H$ ,  $Z = H - G$ . Consider the operation  $h_\delta(x, y, z)$ . We have  $X \cup Y, Z \cup Y \in \Omega_h$  and thus  $h_\delta(x, x, y) = x$  and  $h_\delta(x, y, y) = y$ . Hence

$h_\delta$  is different from  $f$  and thus it is a trivial operation. Consequently  $h_\delta(x, y, z) = y$ . It follows that  $h_\delta(x, y, x) = y$  and thus (ii).

Proof of (iii). Suppose that  $G \cap H = \emptyset$ . Let  $X = G$ ,  $Y = H$ ,  $Z = S - (G \cup H)$ . From  $X, Y \in \Omega_h$  it follows  $h_\delta(x, y, y) = x$ ,  $h_\delta(x, y, x) = y$  and thus  $h_\delta$  cannot be a trivial operation. Hence  $h_\delta(x, y, z) = f(x, y, z)$  and we have  $h_\delta(x, x, z) = z$ . Thus  $Z \in \Omega_h$  and consequently  $G \cup H \notin \Omega_h$ .

Proof of (iv). Let  $G \subset H$ . Define  $X = G$ ,  $Y = S - H$ ,  $Z = H - G$ . It follows from  $X, X \cup Z \in \Omega_h$  that  $h_\delta(x, y, y) = x = h_\delta(x, y, x)$ . We see that  $h_\delta$  is different from  $f$ . Thus it is a trivial operation satisfying  $h_\delta(x, y, z) = x$ . For  $x = y$  we obtain  $Z \notin \Omega_h$ . This proves (iv).

We shall derive from  $(\varepsilon_0)$ , (ii) and (iii) that

(v) Every one-element subset of  $S$  belongs to  $\Omega_h$ .

Proof of (v). Without loss of generality it will be enough to prove that  $\{1\} \in \Omega_h$ . Since  $h(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  depends on  $x_1$ , there are sequences  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$ ,  $\langle b_1, \dots, b_k \rangle$ ,  $a_i, b_i \in A$  such that  $a_i = b_i$  for  $i \geq 2$  and

$$h(a_1, \dots, a_k) \neq h(b_1, \dots, b_k).$$

Since obviously  $a_1 \neq b_1$ , we can assume that  $a_1 = a$ ,  $b_1 = b$ . Both sequences,  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$ , and  $\langle b_1, \dots, b_k \rangle$ , are composed of the elements  $a, b, c, d$ , and thus there are uniquely determined decompositions  $\delta$  and  $\bar{\delta}$  of  $S$ .

$$S = X \cup Y \cup Z \cup U, \quad S = \bar{X} \cup \bar{Y} \cup \bar{Z} \cup \bar{U}$$

such that

$$h(a_1, \dots, a_k) = h_\delta(a, b, c, d); \quad h(b_1, \dots, b_k) = h_{\bar{\delta}}(a, b, c, d).$$

It is not difficult to check that  $\bar{X} = X - \{1\}$ ,  $\bar{Y} = Y \cup \{1\}$ ,  $\bar{Z} = Z$ ,  $\bar{U} = U$ . We see also that the operations  $h_\delta$  and  $h_{\bar{\delta}}$  are different.

Let  $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4$  stand for the symbols  $X, Y, Z, U$  but not necessarily in the same order. We find, by  $h_\delta \neq h_{\bar{\delta}}$  and by  $(\varepsilon_0)$  that there are two different operations of two variables, one derived from  $h_\delta(x, y, z, u)$ , the other from  $h_{\bar{\delta}}(x, y, z, u)$  and both obtained by the same identification of some of the variables  $x, y, z, u$ . This means that there is a set  $C \subset S$  which can be denoted by  $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$  or by  $C_1 \cup C_2$  or by  $C_1$  such that  $C \in \Omega_h$  but the corresponding set  $\bar{C} \subset S$  denoted by  $\bar{C}_1 \cup \bar{C}_2 \cup \bar{C}_3$  or  $\bar{C}_1 \cup \bar{C}_2$  or  $\bar{C}_1$  does not belong to  $\Omega_h$ .

It is obvious that  $C$  and  $\bar{C}$  differ only in the element 1 of  $S$  and we have either  $\{1\} = C - \bar{C}$  and  $\bar{C} \subset C$  or  $\{1\} = \bar{C} - C$  and  $C \subset \bar{C}$ . We define  $G = C$ ,  $H = S - \bar{C}$  so that  $G \in \Omega_h$  and, by (i),  $H \in \Omega_h$ .

If  $\{1\} = C - \bar{C}$ , then  $S = G \cup H$  and  $G \cap H = \{1\}$ . We derive from (ii) that  $\{1\} \in \Omega_h$ . If  $\{1\} = \bar{C} - C$ , we have  $G \cap H = \emptyset$  and therefore, by (iii),  $G \cup H \notin \Omega_h$  and, by (i),  $\{1\} \in \Omega_h$ .

We are now in a position to prove that  $\Omega_h$  does not depend on  $h$ . By applying induction on the number of elements in  $X$  and using (o), (i), (iii), (iv) and (v) we find that

( $\varepsilon_1$ ) A set  $X \subset S$  belongs to  $\Omega_h$  if and only if the number of elements in  $X$  is odd. In particular, since  $S \in \Omega_h$ ,  $k$  is odd.

As we noticed above, ( $\varepsilon_1$ ) implies that there is at most one operation  $h \in A^{(k)}$  which depends on every variable. Since  $k$  must then be odd, our proof of ( $\varepsilon$ ) and of the theorem is now complete.

### 5. Algebras independently generated by every two elements

We shall prove here Theorems 5 and 6. Consider first the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  defined in Theorem 5. It is easy to see that the class of all algebraic operations  $A$  consists of operations of the form

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_k x_k$$

where  $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$ . Let  $a, b$  be distinct elements in  $A$ . Then there exists, for every  $c \in A$ , exactly one operation  $f \in A^{(2)}$  for which  $c = f(a, b)$  (since the equations  $\lambda_1 a + \lambda_2 b = c$ ,  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$  determine  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ ). Suppose that  $g(a, b) = h(a, b)$  holds for some  $g, h \in A^{(2)}$ . It follows that  $g = h$  and thus  $a, b$  are independent. Obviously these two elements generate the algebra and thus we have proved Theorem 5.

To prove Theorem 6 suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra which is independently generated by every two elements. A group  $T$  of one-to-one mappings of a set onto itself is called *doubly transitive* when it contains one or more mappings changing given two elements  $a, b$  into any two elements  $c, d$ . If the conditions  $c = t(a)$ ,  $d = t(b)$  determine uniquely the mapping  $t \in T$ , then  $T$  is said to be *minimal*. We prove first that

( $\lambda$ ) The group  $T$  of all automorphisms of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a doubly transitive and minimal group of one-to-one mappings of  $A$  onto itself.

Proof of ( $\lambda$ ). If  $a, b$  are distinct elements of  $A$  and  $c, d$  also, then, by the independence of  $a, b$ , we infer that the mapping  $a \rightarrow c, b \rightarrow d$  has an extension to a homomorphism  $t$  of the subalgebra generated by  $a, b$  on the subalgebra generated by  $c, d$  and obviously this extension is unique (cf. [3], sec. 2, (ii)). Since  $a, b$  generate  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $t$  is an endomorphism and since  $c, d$  generate  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $t$  is onto. Finally, from the independence of  $c, d$  it follows that  $t$  is an automorphism. Hence  $T$  is doubly transitive and since  $t$  is determined uniquely by the conditions  $t(a) = c$ ,  $t(b) = d$ ,  $T$  is minimal.

Our theorem now follows by ( $\lambda$ ) since it is well known that if there exists, for a finite set, a doubly transitive and minimal group of one-to-one mappings of this set onto itself, then the number of elements of this set is a power of a prime (cf. [1], sec. 105; also [2]).

## References

- [1] W. Burnside, *Theory of groups of finite order*, Cambridge 1897.  
 [2] — *On doubly transitive groups of degree  $n$  and order  $n(n-1)$* , The Messenger of Mathematics XXV (1896), p. 147-153.  
 [3] E. Marczewski, *A general scheme of the notions of independence in mathematics*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Série math., astr. et phys. 6 (1958), p. 731-736.  
 [4] S. Świerczkowski, *Algebras independently generated by every  $n$  elements*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Série math., astr. et phys. 7 (1959), p. 501-502.  
 [5] K. Urbanik, *A representation theorem for Marczewski's algebras*, Fund. Math. 48 (1960), p. 147-167.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY POLSKIEJ AKADEMII NAUK  
 MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 30. 12. 1959*

P O L S K A A K A D E M I A N A U K

# FUNDAMENTA M A T H E M A T I C A E

Z A Ł O Ż Y C I E L E:

ZYGMUNT JANISZEWSKI, STEFAN MAZURKIEWICZ  
i WACŁAW SIERPIŃSKI

KOMITET REDAKCYJNY:

WACŁAW SIERPIŃSKI, REDAKTOR HONOROWY,  
 KAZIMIERZ KURATOWSKI, REDAKTOR,  
 KAROL BORSUK, ZASTĘPCA REDAKTORA,  
 BRONISŁAW KNASTER, EDWARD MARCZEWSKI,  
 STANISŁAW MAZUR, ANDRZEJ MOSTOWSKI

XLIX. 2

WARSZAWA 1961  
 PAŃSTWOWE WYDAWNICTWO NAUKOWE