Embedding linearly ordered sets in real lexicographic products

by

I. Fleischer (Waltham, Mass.)

In a previous paper, the author has studied linearly ordered sets isomorphic to subsets of the real numbers; here representation by lexicographic products is treated. Sets embeddable in countable products are characterized as containing no uncountable well-ordered or inversely well-ordered sequence. Subsets of finite products are treated in greater detail; the uniqueness of their representation is also investigated.

For a mapping \( \varphi \) of a linearly ordered set \( L \) into a linearly ordered set \( L' \), we shall use the term monotone to mean that \( \varphi \) is monotone non-decreasing; if \( \varphi \) is strictly monotone or monotone increasing, we shall say it effects an embedding of \( L \) in \( L' \); or if also \( L' \) is completely covered by \( \varphi \), that it is an isomorphism of \( L \) with \( L' \). The inverse image of a single element under a monotone mapping is an interval; that is, a set which contains with any two elements also all elements between. Conversely, if \( L \) is partitioned into disjoint intervals, the set \( L' \) of these intervals inherits from \( L \) a unique linear order, while the mapping \( \varphi \) which sends every element on the interval containing it, is monotone.

Let \( L \) and \( L' \) be linearly ordered sets. Their lexicographic product is, by definition, their Cartesian product ordered by appeal to the first differing component. Explicitly, \( L \times L' \) consists of pairs \((x, x')\) with \( x \in L \), \( x' \in L' \) under the ordering convention: \((x, x') < (y, y') \) means \( x < y \) or \( x = y \) and \( x' < y' \). Clearly the definition can be extended to any finite number of factors presented in a definite order; and indeed to infinitely many factors provided that for any two elements in the product “first differing component” has an unambiguous meaning; in other words, provided that the set indexing the components is well-ordered.

We recall that a cut in a linearly ordered set \( L \) is a decomposition of \( L \) into two disjoint non-empty intervals. Equivalently, a cut is a monotone mapping of \( L \) on the two element set. Every linearly ordered set of more than one element has cuts; the intervals of a cut, insofar as they do not consist of a single element, may be cut again, effecting a monotone mapping of \( L \) into the lexicographic product of the two element set with...
in the real numbers is readily seen to be an equivalence relation whose equivalence classes are intervals. Thus, \( \varphi \) is induced by a monotone mapping of \( L \) on a linearly ordered set \( L' \). If \( L \) is the monotone image of a subset \( S \) of real lexicographic \( \alpha \) space, then the equivalence induced by \( \varphi \in S \) identifies at least all elements differing only in the last component (the monotone image of a real subset being real). It follows that \( L' \) is the monotone image of the set obtained from \( S \) by identifying last components; that is, of the subset of lexicographic \((n-1)\) space made up of first \((n-1)\) components of elements of \( S \). The equivalence \( \varphi \) may also be introduced in \( L' \) and defines a monotone mapping of \( L' \) on the linearly ordered set of its equivalence classes; and so on, but not ad infinitum. For it follows easily by ordinary induction that the procedure applied to a monotone image of a subset of lexicographic \( \alpha \) space will yield the one point set after at most \( n \) iterations.

Conversely, the validity of this last condition ensures embeddability in lexicographic \( \alpha \) space in the presence of the condition of Theorem 1. For the absence of uncountable well-ordered or inversely well-ordered subsets in \( L \) yields by transfinite induction that every subset contains a countable subset cofinal in both directions (i.e., generating the same interval). This being so in particular for the equivalence classes of \( \varphi \), one deduces that these are each embeddable in the real numbers. Choice of an embedding for every class effectively furnishes an embedding of \( L \) in the lexicographic product of \( L' \) with the real numbers. The result now follows by ordinary induction.

**Theorem 2.** A necessary, and for sets satisfying the condition of Theorem 1 also sufficient, condition for the embeddability of \( L \) in real lexicographic \( \alpha \) space is that the equivalence \( \varphi \) applied successively to the sets of its own equivalence classes, shall yield after at most \( n \) iterations the universal equivalence.

The embedding achieved in the proof has the characteristic that the last component of the image is made as large as possible: in precise terms, the equivalence obtained by identifying last components in terms of any other embedding is finer than \( \varphi \). If this property is specified for the image of \( L \) as well as for those of the successive sets of equivalence classes in the lower dimensional spaces, then the embedding is unique, except for the arbitrariness associated with the representation of each equivalence class by real numbers: that is, for fixed \( x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_n \) one has the freedom to replace the linearly ordered set of \( x_1 \) for which \((x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in L \) by any real subset isomorphic to it.

Among equivalences on \( L \) with real equivalence classes, \( \varphi \) may be identified as that one for which every interval of \( L' \) contains uncountably many equivalence classes with more than one element. The proof will be omitted.
Sur les familles d'ensembles infinis de nombres naturels
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\( F \) étant une famille donnée d'ensembles, le problème se pose de trouver les conditions pour qu'il existe au moins un ensemble ayant avec tout ensemble de la famille \( F \) un et un seul élément commun, respectivement un nombre fini non nul d'éléments communs.

Dans le cas où la famille \( F \) est formée d'ensembles non vides disjoints, l'existence d'un ensemble ayant un et un seul élément commun avec tout ensemble de cette famille résulte de l'axiome du choix. Or, la question se pose d'étudier le cas où les ensembles de la famille \( F \) ont deux à deux au plus un élément commun, respectivement un nombre fini d'éléments communs.

Nous nous occuperons ici seulement des familles \( F \) d'ensembles infinis de nombres naturels.

**Théorème 1.** Il existe une famille dénombrable \( F \) d'ensembles infinis de nombres naturels ayant deux à deux au plus un élément commun et telle qu'il n'existe aucun ensemble qui ait avec tout ensemble de la famille \( F \) un et un seul élément commun.

**Démonstration.** Soit \( p_n \) le \( n \)-ième nombre premier. Soit

\[
E_i = \{2, 2^2, 2^3, \ldots\}, \quad F_i = \{3, 3^2, 3^3, \ldots\}.
\]

On sait que tout nombre naturel \( n \) peut être mis d'une seule manière sous la forme \( n = 2^{a_1} (2l_n - 1) \), où \( k_n \) et \( l_n \) sont des nombres naturels.

Posons, pour \( n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \),

\[
E_{m+2} = \{2^{k_n}, 3^{k_n}, p_{n+1}, p_{n+2}, p_{n+3}, \ldots\}.
\]

Nous démontrerons que la famille \( F = \{E_1, E_2, \ldots\} \) satisfait à notre théorème.

Les ensembles \( E_i \) et \( E_i \) sont disjoints. Or, il est évident que chacun des ensembles \( E_i \) et \( E_i \) a avec chaque ensemble \( E_{m+2} (n = 1, 2, \ldots) \) un et un seul élément commun. Si l'on admet que les ensembles \( E_{m+2} \) et \( E_{m+3} \)