

## Decomposition of the line in isometric three-point sets

by

A. D. Sands and S. Świerczkowski (Dundee, Scotland)

In the present note we have simplified the proof first obtained by K. Koutský and M. Sekanina<sup>(1)</sup> of the following result.

**THEOREM.** *Given any three distinct real numbers  $x, y, z$  the real line  $L$  can be decomposed in sets isometric to  $\{x, y, z\}$ .*

**Proof.** By a set isometric to  $\{x, y, z\}$  we mean a set which can be obtained from  $\{x, y, z\}$  by translation or by reflection and translation. If the result holds for the set  $\{x, y, z\}$  it clearly holds for any translate  $\{x+a, y+a, z+a\}$  of it. Thus, without loss of generality, we may suppose that  $z = 0$ . Also if it holds for  $\{x, y, z\}$  it must clearly hold for  $\{ux, uy, uz\}$  where  $u$  is any non-zero real number. If  $x$  and  $y$  are commensurable we may suppose that they are relatively prime integers. If not, we may suppose that  $x$  is irrational and that  $y = 1$ . For any sets  $A, B \subset L$  we define  $A+B = \{a+b: a \in A, b \in B\}$ .

We consider first the case where  $x$  and  $y$  are relatively prime integers. Since  $L$  can be decomposed in translates of the set  $N$  of all integers, it is sufficient to show that  $N$  can be decomposed in sets isometric to  $\{0, x, y\}$ . If  $0, x$  and  $y$  form a complete set of residues modulo 3, then this result is a consequence of the more general well-known fact:

(\*) *If  $k$  integers  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  are different modulo  $k$ , then  $N = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} + \{0, \pm k, \pm 2k, \dots\}$ , i. e.  $N$  is decomposed in translates of  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ .*

If  $0, x, y$  are not different mod 3, then, since  $x$  and  $y$  are relatively prime, exactly one of the possibilities

$$3|x, \quad 3|y \quad \text{or} \quad 3|x-y$$

holds. The first two cases are clearly similar and only  $3|x$  need be considered. In the third case we may consider instead of the set  $\{0, x, y\}$ , its translate by  $-y$ ,  $\{0, x-y, -y\}$ , and so this case also reduces to the first one above. Thus we may suppose that  $3|x$ .

<sup>(1)</sup> See Časopis pro Pěstování Matematiky 83 (1958), No. 3, p. 317-326 (in Czech, English summary).

We denote by  $l \geq 0$  the largest integer  $l$  for which  $2^l | x$  and we define

$$S = \{0, y, 2y, \dots, (3 \cdot 2^l - 1)y\}.$$

Then all elements of  $S \cup (S+x)$  are different mod  $3 \cdot 2^{l+1}$ . For if  $s$  and  $t$  are in  $S$ , then  $s \equiv t \pmod{3 \cdot 2^l}$  is not possible, unless  $s = t$ , since as  $x$  and  $y$  are relatively prime and  $3 \cdot 2^l | x$  it follows that  $3 \cdot 2^l$  and  $y$  are relatively prime. Now if  $s \equiv t+x \pmod{3 \cdot 2^{l+1}}$ , then, since  $3 \cdot 2^l | x$ , we have  $s \equiv t \pmod{3 \cdot 2^l}$  and so  $s = t$ . But this implies  $2^{l+1} | x$  which is not so. Hence, by (\*),  $N$  can be decomposed in sets isometric to  $S \cup (S+x)$ . The theorem now follows, in this case, since  $S \cup (S+x)$  can be decomposed into sets isometric to  $\{0, x, y\}$ , namely  $\{3ny, (3n+1)y, 3ny+x\}$  and  $\{(3n+2)y+x, (3n+1)y+x, (3n+2)y\}$ , where  $n = 0, \dots, 2^l - 1$ .

If now  $y = 1$  and  $x$  is irrational, then there exists a maximal set of rationally independent real numbers (i. e. a Hamel basis) containing  $1$  and  $x+1$ , say  $\{1, x+1, \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots\}$ . Let  $A$  be the set of all numbers  $r_{i_0}(x+1) + r_{i_1}\delta_{i_1} + \dots + r_{i_k}\delta_{i_k}$ , where  $k$  is an integer and  $r_{i_k}$  are rational. Then, if  $R$  is the set of all rationals, we have

$$(1) \quad L = A + R$$

and moreover each number  $l \in L$  has a unique representation as  $l = a+r$  with  $a \in A$ ,  $r \in R$ . We define  $B = \{r \in R: 3m \leq r < 3m+1; m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$  and  $C = A + B$ . We shall show that

$$(2) \quad L = C \cup (C+1) \cup (C+x)$$

and that the sets  $C, C+1, C+x$  are disjoint. Then clearly  $L$  is decomposed in sets  $\{c, c+1, c+x\}$ , where  $c \in C$ , which are isometric to  $\{0, 1, x\}$ .

We first prove (2). We observe that  $C+1 = A+(B+1)$  and  $C+x = (A+x+1)+B-1 = A+B-1$  since  $A+x+1 = A$ . Now  $R = B \cup (B+1) \cup (B-1)$  and so (2) follows by (1). The sets  $C, C+1, C+x$  are disjoint since the sets  $B, B+1, B-1$  are disjoint. This completes the proof.

QUEEN'S COLLEGE, DUNDEE

Reçu par la Rédaction le 21. 6. 1959

## Sur les limites approximatives

par

A. Matysiak (Łódź)

Examinons l'ensemble  $E = \overline{\lim}_x \{f(x) > b, x > x_0\}$ . Nous nommons la limite approximative droite supérieure de la fonction  $f(x)$  au point  $x_0$  la borne inférieure de tels nombres  $b$ , dont la densité de l'ensemble  $E$  au point  $x_0$  égale zéro. Nous désignons cette limite par le symbole  $\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow x_0+} \text{apr} f(x)$ .

On peut écrire

$$\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow x_0+} \text{apr} f(x) = \inf_b \left[ \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{|(x_0, x_0+h) \cap \{f(x) > b\}|}{h} = 0 \right],$$

où le symbole  $||$  signifie la mesure de l'ensemble, lorsque la fonction  $f(x)$  est mesurable et la mesure extérieure lorsque  $f(x)$  est non-mesurable. Il est évident qu'il faut dans ce cas remplacer dans la désignation de la limite approximative la définition „densité“ par la définition „densité extérieure“.

D'une façon analogue nous déterminons la limite approximative gauche supérieure

$$\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow x_0-} \text{apr} f(x) = \inf_b \left[ \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{|(x_0-h, x_0) \cap \{f(x) > b\}|}{h} = 0 \right].$$

Dans son travail „Résolution d'un problème de M. Z. Zahorski sur les limites approximatives“, Fund. Math. 48 (1960), p. 277-286, L. Bellowa a indiqué la construction de la fonction  $f(x)$ , pour laquelle l'ensemble des points  $x_0$ , dont la limite approximative supérieure gauche n'est pas égale à la limite approximative supérieure droite, est de la puissance du continu. Ci-dessous nous démontrerons qu'un tel ensemble est de la I-ère catégorie.

THÉORÈME. L'ensemble  $E$  des points  $x_0$ , pour lesquels

$$\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow x_0+} \text{apr} f(x) \neq \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow x_0-} \text{apr} f(x),$$

est un ensemble de la I-ère catégorie.