

*Dedicated to
Professor L. Lusternik
on his 60-th birthday*

On the disconnection of Banach spaces

by

A. GRANAS (Toruń)

1. Introduction. For arbitrary metric spaces X and Y , we denote by Y^X the set of all continuous mappings of X into Y , and by $X \times Y$ — the Cartesian product of X and Y . If a mapping $f \in Y^X$, then we shall write also $f: X \rightarrow Y$.

If $X_0 \subset X$ and $f \in Y^X$ then $f|X_0$ will denote the *partial mapping* of f , i. e. the mapping f_0 , defined in X_0 by the formula $f_0(x) = f(x)$; we shall say that f is an *extension* of f_0 over X and then we shall write $f_0 \subset f$.

Two mappings $f, g \in Y^X$ are called *homotopic* (written $f \simeq g$) if there exists a mapping $h \in Y^{X \times I}$ (I denotes the closed interval $[0, 1]$) such that for each $x \in X$

$$h(x, 0) = f(x), \quad h(x, 1) = g(x).$$

If $f \in Y^X$ is homotopic to a constant mapping (i. e. a mapping onto a single-point set in Y) then we shall write $f \simeq l$.

If the points x_1, x_2 belong to the same component of the space X , then we shall write: $x_1 \sim x_2$ in X .

Let X be a closed and bounded subset of the n -dimensional Euclidean space R^n and let 0 denote the origin of R^n .

In 1931 K. Borsuk proved in the paper [1] the following theorem:

The set $R^n \setminus X$ is connected if and only if the functional space $(R^n \setminus \{0\})^X$ is connected or, which is the same, if any two mappings $f, g \in (R^n \setminus \{0\})^X$ are homotopic.

The same author also gave a criterion concerning the separation of the Euclidean space between two points (see, for instance, [4], p. 302):

$$(x_1 \sim x_2 \text{ in } R^n \setminus X) \equiv (x - x_1)|X \sim (x - x_2)|X \text{ in } (R^n \setminus \{0\})^X;$$

that is to say:

The set X does not separate the space R^n between two points $x_1, x_2 \in R^n \setminus X$ if and only if the mappings $(x - x_1)|X$ and $(x - x_2)|X$ are homotopic in $(R^n \setminus \{0\})^X$.

In this paper we shall give an extension of Borsuk's theorems to the case of arbitrary Banach spaces (Theorems 2 and 3).⁽¹⁾

In this case the space $(E^n \setminus \{0\})^X$ is replaced by the space $\mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$ consisting of all non-vanishing compact fields on X , where X is a bounded closed subset of the Banach space and the homotopy of two mappings $f, g \in (E^n \setminus \{0\})^X$ is replaced by a homotopy of two elements of the space $\mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$.

The proof of Theorems 2 and 3 is based on Theorem 1, which is Borsuk's Extension Homotopy Theorem⁽²⁾ formulated for Banach spaces.

The invariance of the disconnection property of Banach spaces under a certain class of homeomorphisms is deduced directly from Theorem 3. The proof of this does not refer to the Leray-Schauder notion of the degree of a mapping [8]; it is, as a matter of fact, a consequence of the well-known Schauder Fixed Points Theorem.

2. Preliminaries. We shall use the following notation: E_∞ —infinite-dimensional Banach space, E_n —a subspace of E_∞ of dimension n , P_∞ —the space E_∞ without the origin 0, P_n —the space E_n without 0. If Z is a subset of E_∞ , we denote the closure of Z by \bar{Z} and the convex closure (i. e. the smallest convex closed set containing Z) by $\text{conv}(Z)$. We shall denote by $V_\infty(x_0, \rho)$ an open spherical region in the space E_∞ with centre x_0 and radius ρ and by $S_\infty(x_0, \rho)$ its boundary; if $x_0 \in E_n$, then we shall put

$$V_n(x_0, \rho) = V_\infty(x_0, \rho) \cap E_n, \quad S_{n-1}(x_0, \rho) = S_\infty(x_0, \rho) \cap E_n.$$

In the sequel we shall use the following lemma:

2.1. Let X be a closed bounded separable convex subset of E_∞ . Then X is a retract of E_∞ , i. e. there exists a mapping $r: E_\infty \rightarrow X$ such that $r(x) = x$ for every $x \in X$.

Proof. For $x \in E_\infty \setminus X$ and $y \in E_\infty$ the function

$$p(x, y) = \min \left\{ 2 - \frac{\|x - y\|}{\inf_{z \in X} \|x - z\|}, 0 \right\}$$

is continuous on the set $E_\infty \setminus X$ and we have $0 \leq p(x, y) \leq 2$. Hence if $\{y_k\}$ is a dense sequence of points in X , then the function

$$r(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x \in X, \\ \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} p(x, y_k) \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} p(x, y_k) y_k \right), & x \notin X, \end{cases}$$

is the required retraction of E_∞ onto X .

⁽¹⁾ These theorems were announced in [5].

⁽²⁾ For Borsuk's Theorem, see [2] and [7], p. 86.

Let X be an arbitrary space. A mapping $F: X \rightarrow E_\infty$ is said to be compact on X if the image $F(X)$ is contained in some compact set.

Compact mappings will be denoted in the sequel by capital letters F, G, H .

A compact mapping $F: X \rightarrow E_\infty$ is said to be finite-dimensional on X if its values lie in some finite-dimensional subspace $E_n \subset E_\infty$ depending on F , i. e. $F: X \rightarrow E_n$.

The following theorem is due to J. Schauder and J. Leray [8]:

2.2. APPROXIMATION THEOREM. Let $F: X \rightarrow E_\infty$ be a compact mapping on X . For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite-dimensional mapping $F_\varepsilon: X \rightarrow E_n$ such that

$$(1) \quad \|F(x) - F_\varepsilon(x)\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for each } x \in X.$$

Proof. For a given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find a finite subset $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\}$ of E_∞ such that every point of the compact set $\bar{F(X)}$ is at a distance less than ε from at least one of the y_i . Let E_n be a finite-dimensional subspace of E_∞ which contains all the points y_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$).

Let us put

$$(2) \quad F_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(x) y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(x)} \quad \text{for } x \in X,$$

where

$$(3) \quad \lambda_i(x) = \max \{0, \varepsilon - \|F(x) - y_i\|\} \quad \text{for } x \in X \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k).$$

The mapping F_ε defined by (2) is finite-dimensional on X , $F_\varepsilon: X \rightarrow E_n$, and satisfies inequality (1); thus the proof is complete.

2.3. Every compact mapping $F: X \rightarrow E_\infty$ can be represented in the form

$$(4) \quad F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n(x),$$

where the mappings F_n are finite-dimensional on X ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) and

$$(5) \quad \|F_n(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{for every } x \in X \text{ and } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. This is a simple consequence of the Approximation Theorem 2.2.

In the sequel we shall use the following theorem, which is a very special case of the theorem of Dugundji concerning extensions of continuous transformations [3]:

2.4. EXTENSION OF COMPACT MAPPINGS THEOREM. Let X_0 be a closed subset of a metric space X . Then every compact mapping $F: X_0 \rightarrow E_\infty$ can be extended to a compact mapping $\bar{F}: X \rightarrow \text{conv}(F(X_0))$.



Proof. In the case when the mapping F is finite-dimensional our theorem is a simple consequence of lemma 2.1 and the well-known Tietze Extension Theorem ([7], p. 80). For the proof of our theorem in the general case let us consider the representation of F on X_0 given by formulas (4) and (5). Let \bar{F}_n ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) be an extension of the finite-dimensional mapping F_n from X_0 over X such that

$$\|\bar{F}_n(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{for } x \in X \text{ and } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Denote by r a retraction of E_∞ on the set $\text{conv}(F(X_0))$, which is obviously bounded and separable.

The mapping \bar{F} defined on X by the formula

$$\bar{F}(x) = r \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{F}_n(x) \right)$$

is the required extension of F from X_0 over X .

As a simple consequence of the Approximation Theorem 2.2 we shall prove the well-known Schauder Fixed Point Theorem [10], which will be used in the sequel:

2.5. *If X is a closed convex subset of E_∞ and F a compact mapping of X into itself, then F has a fixed point.*

Proof. By 2.1 for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$ there exists a finite-dimensional mapping $F_{1/k}: X \rightarrow X \cap E_{n(k)}$ such that

$$(6) \quad \|F(x) - F_{1/k}(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{k} \quad \text{for each } x \in X.$$

By the Brouwer Fixed Point Theorem ([4]) the mapping $F_{1/k}$ has a fixed point $x_k = F_{1/k}(x_k)$ and hence by (6) we have

$$(7) \quad \|F(x_k) - x_k\| \leq \frac{1}{k}.$$

Since F is a compact mapping, we can assume, without loss of generality, that there exists $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(x_k) = x^*$. On account of (7) we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = x^*$ and hence $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(x_k) = F(x^*)$, i. e. $x^* = F(x^*)$, which completes the proof.

3. The space $\mathfrak{C}(E_\infty^X)$ of compact fields in E_∞ . Now let X be a subset of the Banach space E_∞ .

A mapping $f: X \rightarrow E_\infty$ is said to be a *compact vector field* on X if it can be represented in the form

$$(8) \quad f(x) = x - F(x),$$

where $F: X \rightarrow E_\infty$ is a compact mapping on the set X .

The set of all compact vector fields on X will be denoted by $\mathfrak{C}(E_\infty^X)$.

A compact vector field $f \in \mathfrak{C}(E_\infty^X)$ is said to be *finite-dimensional* if the mapping F of formula (8) is finite-dimensional. The set of all finite-dimensional vector fields on X will be denoted by $\mathfrak{C}_0(E_\infty^X)$.

In the sequel we shall consider the set $\mathfrak{C}(E_\infty^X)$ as a metric space and define the distance $\varrho(f, g)$ by setting

$$(9) \quad \varrho(f, g) = \sup_{x \in X} \|f(x) - g(x)\| \quad \text{for each } f, g \in \mathfrak{C}(E_\infty^X).$$

From the Approximation theorem 2.2 we obtain:

3.1. *The set $\mathfrak{C}_0(E_\infty^X)$ is dense in the space $\mathfrak{C}(E_\infty^X)$.*

3.2. *If X is closed in E_∞ and $f \in \mathfrak{C}(E_\infty^X)$ then the set $f(X)$ is also closed in E_∞ .*

Proof. Let $y_n \in f(X)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y_0$, $y_n = f(x_n) = x_n - F(x_n)$; without loss of generality, we can assume that there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n) = y^*$, $y^* \in E_\infty$. We have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = y_0 + y^*$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(y_0 + y^*)$, i. e. $y_0 = f(y_0 + y^*)$, $y_0 + y^* \in X$.

If X and Y are subsets of E_∞ then we shall put

$$\mathfrak{C}(Y^X) = \mathfrak{C}(E_\infty^X) \cap Y^X, \quad \mathfrak{C}_0(Y^X) = \mathfrak{C}_0(E_\infty^X) \cap Y^X.$$

In the sequel we shall consider the space $\mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$ of *non-vanishing compact fields* on X .

Let X be a closed subset of E_∞ . From 3.1, 3.2 we infer that:

3.3. *The set $\mathfrak{C}_0(P_\infty^X)$ is dense in the space $\mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$.*

4. Notion of homotopy in the space $\mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$. Two non-vanishing compact vector fields $f, g \in \mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$ are called *homotopic* in the space $\mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$ (we shall write $f \simeq g$ in $\mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$) if there exists a mapping $h \in P_\infty^{X \times I}$ which satisfies the following conditions:

- 1° $h(x, 0) = f(x)$, $h(x, 1) = g(x)$ for each $x \in X$;
- 2° a mapping h can be represented in the form

$$h(x, t) = x - H(x, t),$$

where the mapping $H: X \times I \rightarrow E_\infty$ is compact on $X \times I$.

The relation of homotopy established in the space $\mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$ is a relation of equivalence and thus the set of all non-vanishing compact vector fields $f \in \mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$ decomposes into disjoint classes of homotopic fields.

4.1. *Let X_0 be a subset of X and $f, g \in \mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$; then $f \simeq g$ in $\mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$ implies $f|_{X_0} \simeq g|_{X_0}$ in $\mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^{X_0})$.*



4.2. For a given $f \in \mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$, if a positive number ε is less than the distance $\text{dist}\{f(X), 0\}$ then for every $g \in \mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$ the condition $\varrho(f, g) < \varepsilon$ implies $f \simeq g$ in $\mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$.

Proof. Let $f(x) = x - F(x)$ and $g(x) = x - G(x)$. For each $x \in X$ we have $\|x - F(x)\| > \varepsilon$, $\|F(x) - G(x)\| < \varepsilon$. From these inequalities we infer that, for each $x \in X$ and $t \in I$, $x \neq H(x, t) = tF(x) + (1-t)G(x)$ and thus the mapping $h \in \mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^{X \times I})$ defined by $h(x, t) = x - H(x, t)$ (since H is a compact mapping on $X \times I$) is a homotopy between f and g .

Properties 3.3 and 4.2 imply:

4.3. Every compact field $f \in \mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$ is homotopic to some finite-dimensional field $g \in \mathfrak{C}_0(P_\infty^X)$.

4.4. Let $X = \overline{V_\infty(x_0, \varrho)}$ be a closed spherical region in E_∞ . Then any two compact fields $f, g \in \mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$ are homotopic $f \simeq g$ in $\mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$.

Proof. By 4.3 we can assume that the compact fields $f, g \in \mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$ are finite-dimensional. Let $f(x) = x - F(x)$, $g(x) = x - G(x)$; we can assume that the values of F and G lie in the same finite-dimensional subspace $E_n \subset E_\infty$ and that the point x_0 belongs to E_n . Put $V_n = X \cap E_n$, $f_0 = f|V_n$, $F_0 = F|V_n$, $g_0 = g|V_n$, $G_0 = G|V_n$. We have $f_0, g_0: V_n \rightarrow P_n$ and thus $f_0 \simeq g_0$. Let $h_0(x, t) = x - H_0(x, t)$ be a homotopy joining f_0 with g_0 in the space P_n^I ; we have $H_0(x, 0) = F_0(x)$, $H_0(x, 1) = G_0(x)$ for each $x \in V_n$. We shall extend the mapping $H_0: V_n \times I \rightarrow E_n$ over $X \times I$ to a compact mapping $H: X \times I \rightarrow E_\infty$ which satisfies the following conditions:

$$x \neq H(x, t) \quad \text{for each } x \in X \text{ and } t \in I,$$

$$H(x, 0) = F(x), \quad H(x, 1) = G(x) \quad \text{for each } x \in X.$$

For this denote by $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$, $e_k \in E_n$, a basis of E_n and by $\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\}$ the dual basis in the conjugate space to E_n ; thus every element $z \in E_n$ can be written in the form

$$(10) \quad z = \sum_{i=1}^n l_i(z) e_i.$$

Let us consider the following closed subset of $X \times I$:

$$T_0 = (X \times \{0\}) \cup (V_n \times I) \cup (X \times \{1\})$$

and define on T_0 a real-valued functions φ_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) as follows:

$$(11) \quad \varphi(x, t) = \begin{cases} l_i(F(x)) & \text{for } x \in X \text{ and } t = 0, \\ l_i(G(x)) & \text{for } x \in X \text{ and } t = 1, \\ l_i(H_0(x, t)) & \text{for } x \in V_n \text{ and } t \in I. \end{cases}$$

Tietze Extension Theorem yields an extension $\tilde{\varphi}_i(x, t)$ of $\varphi_i(x, t)$ over $X \times I$; since each function φ_i is bounded, we can assume that also each $\tilde{\varphi}_i$ is bounded and thus the mapping $H: X \times I \in E_n$ defined by

$$(12) \quad H(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\varphi}_i(x, t) e_i$$

is compact. From (10) and (11) it follows that the mapping H defined by (12) is the desired extension of H_0 over $X \times I$ and thus the proof is complete.

5. Extension Homotopy Theorem. We shall consider the question of extending a non-vanishing compact field defined on a closed subset X_0 of $X \subset E_\infty$ to a non-vanishing compact field defined over the whole X . We shall prove that the existence of such extension depends only on the homotopy class of the given compact field.

THEOREM 1 (ON THE EXTENSION OF HOMOTOPY [5]). *Let X_0 be a closed subset of $X \subset E_\infty$ and $f_0, g_0 \in \mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^{X_0})$ two homotopic in $\mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^{X_0})$ compact fields. Then if there is an extension $f \in \mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$ of f_0 over X , there is also an extension $g \in \mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$ of g_0 over X with f and g homotopic in $\mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$.*

Proof. The homotopy of the non-vanishing compact fields

$$f_0(x) = x - F_0(x), \quad F_0: X_0 \rightarrow E_\infty,$$

$$g_0(x) = x - G_0(x), \quad G_0: X_0 \rightarrow E_\infty,$$

means that there exists a compact mapping $H_0: X \times I \rightarrow E_\infty$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$x \neq H_0(x, t) \quad \text{for each } x \in X_0 \text{ and } t \in I,$$

$$H_0(x, 0) = F_0(x), \quad H_0(x, 1) = G_0(x) \quad \text{for each } x \in X_0.$$

There exists, by hypothesis, an extension $f \in \mathfrak{C}(P_\infty^X)$, $f(x) = x - F(x)$, of f_0 over X ; thus $F_0 \subset F: X \rightarrow E_\infty$.

Denote by T_0 the following subset of the Cartesian product $X \times I$:

$$T_0 = (X_0 \times I) \cup (X \times \{0\}),$$

and define the following mapping $H_0^*: T_0 \rightarrow E_\infty$:

$$H_0^*(x, 0) = F(x) \quad \text{for } x \in X \text{ and } t = 0,$$

$$H_0^*(x, t) = H_0(x, t) \quad \text{for } x \in X_0 \text{ and } 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

The mapping H_0^* is compact on T_0 and hence by 2.4 it can be extended to a compact mapping $H^*: X \times I \rightarrow E_\infty$ over $X \times I$.



Let us define the set $X_1 \subset X$ by the condition:

$$(x \in X_1) \text{ if and only if } (x - H_0(x, t) = 0 \text{ for some } t \in I).$$

X_1 and X_0 are obviously disjoint closed subsets of X . Hence there is a continuous real-valued function $\lambda(x)$ defined over X whose range is between 0 and 1 and which is 0 on X_1 and 1 on X_0 .

Now consider the mapping

$$H(x, t) = H^*(x, \lambda(x)t) \quad \text{for } x \in X \text{ and } t \in I.$$

It is clear that H is a compact mapping on $X \times I$ and for each $x \in X$ and $t \in I$

$$x \neq H(x, t).$$

If we define $g(x)$ by

$$g(x) = x - G(x), \quad \text{where } G(x) = H(x, 1), \quad x \in X,$$

it is clear that $g(x)$ is an extension of $g_0(x)$ over X , and likewise that $H(x, 0) = F(x)$ for $x \in X$. Since $H(x, 1) = G(x)$ by definition, we conclude that the non-vanishing compact fields

$$f(x) = x - F(x) \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) = x - G(x) \quad (x \in X)$$

are homotopic in $\mathcal{C}(P_\infty^X)$. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

6. Separation of the space between two points. Let X be a closed and bounded subset of E_∞ .

THEOREM 2. *The set X does not separates the Banach space E_∞ between two points $x_1, x_2 \in E_\infty \setminus X$ if and only if the non-vanishing compact fields $(x - x_1)|X, (x - x_2)|X$ are homotopic in the space $\mathcal{C}(P_\infty^X)$.*

The proof of theorem 1 is based on the following

LEMMA 1. *Let U be a bounded open set in E_∞, x_1 a point in U and Y the boundary of U . Then the non-vanishing compact field $(x - x_1)|Y$ cannot be extended to a non-vanishing compact field over $\bar{U} = U \cup Y$.*

Proof. Suppose it were possible to extend $(x - x_1)|Y$ over \bar{U} to a non-vanishing compact field f , say, $f(x) = x - F(x), f(x) = x - x_1$ for each $x \in Y$.

Let ϱ be so large that \bar{U} and $F(\bar{U})$ are contained in the spherical region $\bar{V}_\infty = \bar{V}_\infty(x_1, \varrho)$ of radius ϱ and x_1 as centre.

The formulas

$$\begin{aligned} F^*(x) &= x_1 & \text{for } x \in \bar{V}_\infty \setminus U, \\ F^*(x) &= F(x) & \text{for } x \in U \end{aligned}$$

would then define $F^*: \bar{V}_\infty \rightarrow \bar{V}_\infty$ as a compact mapping of \bar{V}_∞ into itself without fixed points. This is a contradiction of the Schauder Fixed-Points Theorem 2.5. The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2. Assuming first that x_1 and x_2 are not separated by X we shall prove that the compact fields $(x - x_1)|X$ and $(x - x_2)|X$ are not homotopic. We are given

$$E_\infty \setminus X = U \cup V,$$

U, V being disjoint sets which are open in E_∞ , and $x_1 \in U, x_2 \in V$. One of the sets U, V , say U , is bounded. The non-vanishing compact field $(x - x_2)|X$ can be extended over $U \cup X$, in fact over $E_\infty \setminus \{x_2\} \supset U \cup X$. On the other hand, according to Lemma 1, it is not possible, in view of the boundary of U being contained in X , to extend $(x - x_1)|X$ over $U \cup X$ to a non-vanishing compact field. Hence $(x - x_1)|X$ and $(x - x_2)|X$ are not homotopic in the space $\mathcal{C}(P_\infty^X)$ since Theorem 1 would be contradicted if they were.

Now let us assume that $x_1 \sim x_2$ in $E_\infty \setminus X$. Then one can join x_1 and x_2 by a continuous arc in $E_\infty \setminus X$, i. e. one can find a continuous function $r(t)$ of the real parameter $t, 0 \leq t \leq 1$, with values in $E_\infty \setminus X$ such that

$$r(0) = x_1, \quad r(1) = x_2.$$

The mapping $h: X \times I \rightarrow P_\infty$, defined by

$$h(x, t) = x - r(t), \quad x \in X \text{ and } t \in I,$$

is obviously a homotopy joining $(x - x_1)|X$ and $(x - x_2)|X$ in $\mathcal{C}(P_\infty^X)$. Hence Theorem 2 is proved.

7. The main theorem. For the proof of the main theorem we shall use the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2. *Let $V_\infty = V_\infty(x_0, \varrho), x_0 \in E_n \subset E_\infty, V_n = V_\infty \cap E_n$. Suppose that the mapping $F: \bar{V}_n \rightarrow E_n$ has a finite number of fixed points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in \bar{V}_n$. Then there is a compact mapping $\bar{F}: \bar{V}_\infty \rightarrow E_n$ which has the same fixed points as F and which is an extension of F over \bar{V}_∞ .*

Proof. By 2.1 it follows that \bar{V}_n is a retract of \bar{V}_∞ , i. e. there is a mapping $r: \bar{V}_\infty \rightarrow \bar{V}_n$ such that $r(x) = x$ for $x \in \bar{V}_n$.

Putting for each $x \in \bar{V}_\infty$

$$\bar{F}(x) = F(r(x))$$

we obviously obtain the desired compact mapping $\bar{F}: \bar{V}_\infty \rightarrow E_n$.



LEMMA 3. Let X be a closed bounded subset of E_n and $f_0 \in P_n^X$. Then there exists a mapping $f \in E_n^{\overline{V}_n}$ such that:

1° the set N of all roots of the equation

$$f(x) = 0$$

is finite; if $x_1, x_2 \in N$ then x_1, x_2 belong to different components of $E_n \setminus X$,
 2° $f(x) = f_0(x)$ for each $x \in X$.

The proof is a slight modification of the proof of a similar Lemma given in [4], p. 300.

LEMMA 4. Suppose that a bounded and closed subset X of E_∞ does not disconnect E_∞ and that $V_\infty = V_\infty(x_0, \varrho)$ is a spherical region which contains X . Then every non-vanishing compact field $f_0 \in \mathcal{C}(P_\infty^X)$ can be extended over \overline{V}_∞ to a compact non-vanishing field $f \in \mathcal{C}(P_\infty^{\overline{V}_\infty})$.

Proof. By Theorem 1 and 4.3 we can assume, without loss of generality, that a compact field $f_0(x) = x - F_0(x)$ is finite-dimensional, i. e. $F_0: X \rightarrow E_n$.

Suppose that S_∞ is the boundary of V_∞ and that a point $x^* \in E_n$ does not belong to \overline{V}_∞ . Define the mapping $f_1 \in P_\infty^{X_1}$, $X_1 = S_\infty \cup X$ by

$$f_1(x) = x - F_1(x), \quad \text{where} \quad F_1(x) = \begin{cases} x^* & \text{for } x \in S_\infty, \\ F_0(x) & \text{for } x \in X. \end{cases}$$

Putting $X_1^* = X_1 \cap E_n$, $f_1^* = f_1|X_1^*$ we have $f_1^* \in P_n^{X_1^*}$.

By Lemma 3 there exists a mapping $f_2^* \in E_n^{\overline{V}_n}$ such that the set N of all roots of the equation $f_2^*(x) = 0$ is finite, $N = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$, and $f_2^*(x) = f_1^*(x)$ for every $x \in X_1^*$.

By Lemma 2 the mapping $f_2^*|(\overline{V}_n \setminus N)$ can be extended to a finite-dimensional field $f_2 \in \mathcal{C}(P_\infty^{\overline{V}_\infty \setminus N})$.

Since the set $\overline{V}_\infty \setminus N$ is connected, the points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k can be joined by a chain $V_\infty^1, V_\infty^2, \dots, V_\infty^l \subset V_\infty \setminus X$ of open spherical regions such that \overline{V}_∞^i intersects \overline{V}_∞^j if and only if $|i - j| = 1$ ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, l$).

Let E_m be a finite-dimensional subspace of E_∞ spanned by the centres of \overline{V}_∞^i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, l$) and containing E_n .

Let us put $T = \bigcup_{i=1}^l V_\infty^i$, $T^* = T \cap E_m$, $\overline{V}_m = \overline{V}_\infty \cap E_m$, $X_2 = \overline{V}_\infty \setminus T$, $X_2^* = \overline{V}_m \setminus T^*$, $f_2^* = f_2|X_2^*$.

Since X_2^* is connected, it follows that the mapping $f^* \in P_m^{X_2^*}$ can be extended to a mapping $f_3^* \in P_m^{\overline{V}_m \setminus V_m}$ over $\overline{V}_m \setminus V_m$, where V_m is a certain open spherical region contained in T^* . Since $f_3^*(x) = x - x^*$ for $x \in S_{m-1} = E_m \cap S_\infty$, we have $f_3|S_{m-1} \simeq 1$ and consequently $f_3|S_{m-1}^* \simeq 1$, where S_{m-1}^* is the boundary of V_m . This implies that $f_3^* \subset f_4^* \in P_m^{\overline{V}_m}$.

By Lemma 2 we extend the mapping $f_4^*(x) = x - F_4^*(x)$, $x \in \overline{V}_m$, to a compact field $f \in \mathcal{C}(P_\infty^{\overline{V}_\infty})$ and thus the proof of Lemma 4 is complete.

The main result of this paper is the following

THEOREM 3 (ON THE DISCONNECTION OF BANACH SPACES). Let X be a bounded closed subset of the Banach space E_∞ . The set $E_\infty \setminus X$ is connected if and only if any two non-vanishing compact fields $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(P_\infty^X)$ are homotopic in the space $\mathcal{C}(P_\infty^X)$.

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that X does not disconnect E_∞ , and $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(P_\infty^X)$. By Lemma 4 compact fields \tilde{f} and \tilde{g} can be extended to non-vanishing compact fields $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in \mathcal{C}(P_\infty^{\overline{V}_\infty})$ over a closed spherical region \overline{V}_∞ which contains X .

By 4.4 we have $\tilde{f} \simeq \tilde{g}$ in $\mathcal{C}(P_\infty^{\overline{V}_\infty})$ and hence by 4.1 the compact fields f and g are homotopic in $\mathcal{C}(P_\infty^X)$.

Sufficiency. Suppose that X disconnects E_∞ . Then there certainly exist two points x_1 and x_2 separated by X . By Theorem 2 the non-vanishing compact fields $(x - x_1)|X$ and $(x - x_2)|X$ are not homotopic in $\mathcal{C}(P_\infty^X)$ and thus the proof of Theorem 3 is complete.

8. Jordan separation theorem in Banach spaces. We shall say that two bounded and closed subsets X and Y of E_∞ are *homeomorphic in the narrow sense* if there exists a homeomorphism $h \in \mathcal{C}(E_\infty)$ such that $Y = h(X)$.

It is clear that if the closed and bounded subsets X and Y of E_∞ are homeomorphic in the narrow sense then the space $\mathcal{C}(P_\infty^X)$ consists of one homotopy class if and only if the space $\mathcal{C}(P_\infty^Y)$ consists of one homotopy class.

From this we obtain the following, due to J. Leray [9]:

CORROLARY 1. If a closed and bounded subset X of the Banach space E_∞ disconnects E_∞ , then so does every subset of E_∞ which is homeomorphic to X in the narrow sense.

As an obvious application of Corrolary 1 we obtain the following:

CORROLARY 2 (JORDAN SEPARATION THEOREM). A subset of E_∞ which is homeomorphic in the narrow sense to a sphere $S_\infty(x_0, \varrho)$ disconnects E_∞ .

References

[1] K. Borsuk, *Über Schnitte der n-dimensionalen Euklidischen Räume*, Math. Annalen 106 (1932), p. 239-248.
 [2] — *Sur les prolongements des transformations continues*, Fund. Math. 28 (1937), p. 99-110.
 [3] J. Dugundji, *An extension of Tietze's theorem*, Pacific J. Math. 1 (1951), p. 353-367.
 [4] S. Eilenberg and N. Steenrod, *Foundations of algebraic topology*, Princeton 1952.

- [5] A. Granas, *On disconnection of Banach spaces* (in Russian), Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., Série des Sci. Math., Astr. et Phys. 7 (1959), p. 395-399.
- [6] — *Homotopy extension theorem and some of its applications to the theory of non-linear equations* (in Russian), ibidem 7 (1959), p. 387-394.
- [7] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension theory*, Princeton 1948.
- [8] J. Leray and J. Schauder, *Topologie et équations fonctionnelles*, Ann. École Norm. Sup. 51 (1934), p. 45-78.
- [9] J. Leray, *Topologie des espaces abstraits de M. Banach*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 200 (1935), p. 1082.
- [10] J. Schauder, *Der Fixpunktsatz in Funktionalräumen*, Studia Math. 2 (1930), p. 171-180.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 22. 8. 1959

On completion of proximity spaces by local clusters *

by

S. Leader (Rutgers)

1. Introduction. In [4] the concept of a "cluster" of subsets from a proximity space X was introduced and used to construct the compactification \bar{X} of X by identifying each point x in \bar{X} with the cluster of all subsets of X which are close to x . Viewing the completion X^* of X as a subspace of \bar{X} , the present paper characterizes those clusters, the "local" clusters, from X which are determined by points in X^* . These clusters can be used to construct a completion theory for proximity spaces along the same lines as the compactification theory in [4].

The key concept in any completion theory for proximity spaces is that of "small" sets, since X^* consists of just those points in \bar{X} which are close to small subsets of X . The concept of small sets can be introduced through various devices: uniform structures, uniform coverings, or pseudometrics. Smirnov uses the second device in [11], [12], [13] and [14]. We shall use the third device here making use of the ideas and results of [4].

In the last two sections of the paper two conjectures are posed for consideration by the interested reader.

2. Gauges. A gauge ρ on a proximity space X is a real-valued function $\rho(x, y)$ on $X \times X$ satisfying the following two conditions:

- (2.1) $\rho(x, y) \leq \rho(x, z) + \rho(y, z)$ for all x, y, z in X .
- (2.2) Given A close to B in X and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a in A and b in B such that $\rho(a, b) < \varepsilon$.

We define $\rho(A, B)$ to be the infimum of $\rho(a, b)$ for all a in A and b in B . We define $\rho[A]$, the ρ -diameter of A , to be the supremum of $\rho(x, y)$ for all x and y in A .

That $\rho(y, y) \leq 0$ follows from (2.2) for all y in X . The reversed inequality follows from (2.1) if we set $z = y$. So $\rho(y, y) = 0$. Thus, setting $z = x$ in (2.1), we find $\rho(x, y) \leq \rho(y, x)$ for all x and y in X . So $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x)$. Finally, setting $y = x$ in (2.1) gives $0 \leq \rho(x, x)$.

* Research supported by a grant from the Research Council of Rutgers — The State University.