

# On the countable sum of zero-dimensional metric spaces \*

by

J. Nagata (Osaka, Japan)

**1. Introduction.** As is well known, not every infinite-dimensional metric space is the countable sum of zero-dimensional spaces; in fact the Hilbert-cube  $I_\omega$  is not the countable sum of zero-dimensional spaces. It is known that by the generalized decomposition-theorem due to M. Katětov [3] and to K. Morita [4] a metric space is the countable sum of zero-dimensional spaces if and only if it is the countable sum of finite-dimensional spaces. We call such a space a *countable-dimensional space*. It seems, however, that our knowledge of countable dimensional spaces, owing to peculiar difficulties in the infinite-dimensional case, is very little if compared to that of finite-dimensional spaces.

The purpose of this paper is to extend the theory of finite-dimensional spaces to the countable-dimensional case. First we shall characterize countable-dimensional spaces by extending Eilenberg-Otto's theorem [1]. Then we shall characterize such spaces by closed coverings and show that every countable-dimensional space is an image of a generalized Baire zero-dimensional space  $N(\Omega)$  <sup>(1)</sup> by a closed continuous mapping such that the inverse image of each point consists of finitely many points. Furthermore, it will be shown that a countable-dimensional space with a  $\sigma$ -star-finite basis can be imbedded in  $N(\Omega) \times R_\omega$ , where  $R_\omega$  is the set of points in  $I_\omega$  at most a finite number of whose coordinates are rational. Finally we shall discuss on a metric space which is the countable sum of finite-dimensional closed sets.

All spaces considered in the present paper will be assumed to be metric spaces unless the contrary is explicitly stated.  $\dim R$  denotes the strong inductive dimension of  $R$ , i. e.  $\dim \emptyset = -1$ ,  $\dim R \leq n$  if and only if for any pair of a closed set  $F$  and an open set  $G$

---

\* A part of this paper was published in Proc. Jap. Acad. 34 (1958), p. 146-149.

<sup>(1)</sup>  $N(\Omega) = \{(a_i, \alpha_2, \dots) \mid a_i \in \Omega, i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . We define the metric of  $N(\Omega)$  as follows: if  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$ ,  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots)$ ,  $a_i = \beta_i$  for  $i < n$ ,  $a_n \neq \beta_n$ , then  $\rho(a, \beta) = 1/n$ . As is well known,  $N(\Omega)$  is a 0-dimensional metric space. This notion is due to [4].

with  $F \subset G \subset R$  there exists an open set  $U$  such that  $F \subset U \subset G$ ,  $\dim(\bar{U} - U) \leq n-1$  <sup>(2)</sup>.

**2. An extension of Eilenberg-Otto's theorem.** For a point  $p$  and for a covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of a space  $R$  we denote by  $\text{order}_p \mathcal{U}$  the largest integer  $n$  such that there are  $n$  members of  $\mathcal{U}$  which contain  $p$ . We also use the notation  $B(\mathcal{U}) = \{B(U) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ , where  $B(U)$  means the boundary of  $U$ .

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $A_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , be a countable number of zero-dimensional sets of a space  $R$ . Let  $\{U_\alpha \mid \alpha < \tau\}$  <sup>(3)</sup> be a collection of open sets and  $\{F_\alpha \mid \alpha < \tau\}$  a collection of closed sets such that  $F_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \tau$ , and such that  $\{U_\beta \mid \beta < \alpha\}$  is locally finite for every  $\alpha < \tau$ . Then there exists a collection of open sets  $V_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \tau$ , such that*

- (1)  $F_\alpha \subset V_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \tau$ ,
- (2)  $\text{order}_p B(\mathfrak{B}) \leq n-1$  for every  $p \in A_n$ ,

where  $\mathfrak{B} = \{V_\alpha \mid \alpha < \tau\}$ .

**Proof.** We shall define, by induction on  $\alpha$ ,  $V_\alpha$  satisfying (1) and

- (2) <sub>$\alpha$</sub>   $\text{order}_p B(\mathfrak{B}_\alpha) \leq n-1$  for every  $p \in A_n$ , where  $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha = \{V_\beta \mid \beta \leq \alpha\}$ .

We take open sets  $G_1, W_1$  such that

$$G_1 \supset F_1, \quad W_1 \supset U_1^c \text{ (4)}, \quad \bar{G}_1 \cap \bar{W}_1 = \emptyset.$$

Since  $A_1$  is zero-dimensional, there exists an open closed set  $N_1$  of  $A_1$  satisfying

$$\bar{G}_1 \cap A_1 \subset N_1 \subset (\bar{W}_1)^c \cap A_1.$$

If we put  $B_1 = N_1 \cup F_1$ ,  $C_1 = (A_1 - N_1) \cup U_1^c$ , then  $(\bar{B}_1 \cap C_1) \cup (B_1 \cap \bar{C}_1) = \emptyset$ . Hence there exists an open set  $V_1$  such that  $B_1 \subset V_1 \subset \bar{V}_1 \subset C_1^c$ . Since  $B(V_1) \cap A_1 = \emptyset$  is clear,  $V_1$  satisfies (1) and (2) <sub>$\alpha$</sub>  for  $\alpha = 1$ .

Suppose that  $V_\beta$  has been constructed for every  $\beta < \alpha$  ( $< \tau$ ). Then we put

$$H_1 = A_1, \quad H_n = \bigcup \{B(V_{\beta_1}) \cap \dots \cap B(V_{\beta_{n-1}}) \cap A_n \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1} < \alpha\}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots,$$

$$K_\alpha = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n.$$

It follows from  $\dim A_n = 0$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , that  $\dim H_n \leq 0$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

<sup>(2)</sup> The equivalence of this dimension with the Lebesgue dimension in every metric space was proved by [3] and [4].

<sup>(3)</sup> We denote by  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau$  ordinal numbers.

<sup>(4)</sup> We denote by  $U_i^c$  the complement set of  $U_i$ .

We easily see that, for every  $n$ ,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i$  is open in  $K_\alpha$ . For let  $p \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i$ ,

then  $p \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ ; hence we have  $\text{order}_p \{B(V_\beta) \mid \beta < \alpha\} \leq n-1$  by the assumption of induction. Therefore we can find, from every collection  $\{B(V_{\beta_1}), \dots, B(V_{\beta_j})\}$  with  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_j < \alpha$ ,  $B(V_{\beta_i})$  with  $B(V_{\beta_i}) \ni p$  if  $j \geq n$ . On the other hand,  $U(p) = \bigcap \{R - B(V_\beta) \mid \beta < \alpha, p \notin B(V_\beta)\}$  is an nbd (= neighborhood) of  $p$  by the local finiteness of  $\{U_\beta \mid \beta < \alpha\}$ . Hence we conclude  $U(p) \cap (\bigcup_{i=n+1}^{\infty} H_i) = \emptyset$ , which implies the openness of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i$  in  $K_\alpha$ . Thus,

for every  $n$ ,  $H_n - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} H_i$  is a 0-dimensional  $F_\sigma$ -set. Hence we have, by the generalized sum-theorem [4],  $\dim K_\alpha \leq 0$ . Consequently we can define, in the same way as for the case of  $\alpha = 1$ , an open set  $V_\alpha$  such that

$$F_\alpha \subset V_\alpha \subset U_\alpha, \quad B(V_\alpha) \cap K_\alpha = \emptyset,$$

which implies (2) <sub>$\alpha$</sub> . This completes the proof.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *A space  $R$  is countable-dimensional if and only if there exists a countable collection of locally finite open coverings  $\mathfrak{B}_i$  such that  $\mathfrak{B} = \{V \mid V \in \mathfrak{B}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a basis of open sets of  $R$  and  $\text{order}_p B(\mathfrak{B}) < +\infty$  for every point  $p$  of  $R$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $R$  be countable-dimensional, i. e.  $R = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  for 0-dimensional  $A_n$ ; then by a theorem of A. H. Stone [8] there exists a countable collection of locally finite open coverings  $\mathcal{U}_i$  of  $R$  such that  $\{S(p, \mathcal{U}_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  <sup>(5)</sup> is an nbd basis of each point  $p$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_i = \{U_\alpha \mid \tau_{i-1} \leq \alpha < \tau_i\}$ ,  $\tau_0 = 1$ . Then there exists, by the local finiteness of  $\mathcal{U}_i$ , a closed covering  $\{F_\alpha \mid \tau_{i-1} \leq \alpha < \tau_i\}$  such that  $F_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$ . Putting  $\tau = \sup \{\tau_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  we have the collection  $\{U_\alpha \mid \alpha < \tau\}$  of open sets satisfying the condition of Lemma 2.1. Hence there exists a collection  $\mathfrak{B} = \{V_\alpha \mid \alpha < \tau\}$  of open sets satisfying (1), (2) of Lemma 2.1.  $\mathfrak{B}_i = \{V_\alpha \mid \tau_{i-1} \leq \alpha < \tau_i, i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  are clearly open coverings satisfying the condition of this proposition.

Conversely, if there exists such a collection  $\{\mathfrak{B}_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  of open coverings of  $R$ , then we let

$$\{p \mid \text{order}_p B(\mathfrak{B}) = n-1\} = A_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Since  $\mathfrak{B} = \{V \cap A_n \mid V \in \mathfrak{B}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is an open basis of  $A_n$  such that  $\text{order}_p B(\mathfrak{B}) = n-1$ , we have, by [4],  $\dim A_n \leq n-1$ . Thus it follows from the generalized decomposition-theorem that  $R = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  is countable-dimensional.

<sup>(5)</sup> We denote by  $S(p, \mathcal{U}_i)$  the union of all the sets of  $\mathcal{U}_i$  containing  $p$ .

**THEOREM 2.3.** *A space  $R$  is countable-dimensional if and only if for every collections  $\{U_\alpha \mid \alpha < \tau\}$  of open sets and  $\{F_\alpha \mid \alpha < \tau\}$  of closed sets such that  $F_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \tau$ , and such that  $\{U_\beta \mid \beta < \alpha\}$  is locally finite for every  $\alpha < \tau$ , there exists a collection of open sets  $V_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \tau$ , satisfying*

- (1)  $F_\alpha \subset V_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \tau$ ,
- (2)  $\text{order}_p B(\mathfrak{B}) < +\infty$  for every  $p \in R$ ,

where  $\mathfrak{B} = \{V_\alpha \mid \alpha < \tau\}$ .

*Proof.* The "only if" part is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1. The "if" part is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.2.

### 3. Characterizations by closed coverings and by $N(\Omega)$ .

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $A_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , be a countable number of 0-dimensional sets of a space  $R$ . Let  $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_\alpha \mid \alpha < \tau\}$  be a locally finite open covering. Then there exists a closed covering  $\mathfrak{F} = \{F_\alpha \mid \alpha < \tau\}$  such that  $\mathfrak{F} < \mathfrak{U}$  and  $\text{order}_p \mathfrak{F} \leq n$  for every  $p \in A_n$ .*

*Proof.* We obtain by Lemma 2.1 an open covering  $\mathfrak{B} = \{V_\alpha \mid \alpha < \tau\}$  such that  $\bar{V}_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$ ,  $\text{order}_p B(\mathfrak{B}) \leq n-1$  for  $p \in A_n$ , where we notice that we can easily choose  $V_\alpha$  satisfying  $\bar{V}_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$  instead of (1) of Lemma 2.1. Let

$$F_1 = \bar{V}_1, \quad F_\alpha = \overline{V_\alpha - \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \bar{V}_\beta}, \quad \alpha < \tau.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{F} = \{F_\alpha \mid \alpha < \tau\}$  is a closed covering satisfying the condition of this lemma. In fact, let  $p \in A_n$ ,  $p \in V_\alpha$ ,  $p \notin V_\beta$  for every  $\beta < \alpha$ . Then it is clear that  $p \notin F_\gamma$  for every  $\gamma > \alpha$ , and  $p \in F_\beta$  for some  $\beta < \alpha$  implies  $p \in \bar{V}_\beta - V_\beta = B(V_\beta)$ . Thus it follows from  $\text{order}_p B(\mathfrak{B}) < n-1$  that  $\text{order}_p \mathfrak{F} \leq n$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** *A space  $R$  is countable-dimensional if and only if there exists a countable collection  $\{\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  of locally finite closed coverings of  $R$  satisfying*

- (1) for every nbd  $U(p)$  of every point  $p$  of  $R$  there exists some  $i$  with  $S(p, \mathfrak{F}_i) \subset U(p)$ ,
- (2)  $\mathfrak{F}_i = \{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \mid \alpha_k \in \Omega, k = 1, 2, \dots, i\}$ , where  $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$  may be empty,
- (3)  $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}) = \bigcup \{F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, \beta) \mid \beta \in \Omega\}$ ,
- (4)  $\sup \{\text{order}_p \mathfrak{F}_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\} < +\infty$  for each point  $p$  of  $R$ .

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a countable-dimensional space with  $R = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  for 0-dimensional  $A_n$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_1 > \mathfrak{S}_2 > \dots$  a uniformity of  $R$ . Then we shall define  $\mathfrak{F}_i$  satisfying (2), (3),  $\mathfrak{F}_i < \mathfrak{S}_i$  and  $\text{order}_p \mathfrak{F}_i \leq n$  for each point  $p \in A_n$ . We can define  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  by Lemma 3.1. Assume that we have defined  $\mathfrak{F}_k$

for every  $k < i$ ; then we put  $\mathfrak{F}_{i-1} = \{F_\alpha \mid \alpha < \tau\}$  for brevity. To obtain  $\mathfrak{F}_i$  we shall define closed sets  $F_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $\alpha < \tau$ ,  $\beta \in \Omega$ , such that

$$(i) \quad F_\alpha = \bigcup \{F_{\alpha\beta} \mid \beta \in \Omega\}, \quad \{F_{\alpha\beta} \mid \beta \in \Omega\} < \mathfrak{S}_i,$$

(ii)  $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha = \{F_{\alpha\beta} \mid \alpha' \leq \alpha, \beta \in \Omega\} \cup \{F_{\alpha'} \mid \alpha' > \alpha\}$  is locally finite for every  $\alpha < \tau$ ,

$$(iii) \quad \text{order}_p \mathfrak{G}_\alpha \leq n \text{ for every } \alpha < \tau \text{ and for each point } p \in A_n.$$

First we define  $F_{1\beta}$ ,  $\beta \in \Omega$ , as follows. We let

$$H_{10} = F_1 \cap A_1,$$

$$H_{21} = \{p \mid \text{order}_p \{F_\alpha \mid 1 < \alpha < \tau\} = 1, p \in F_1 \cap A_2\},$$

$$H_{32} = \{p \mid \text{order}_p \{F_\alpha \mid 1 < \alpha < \tau\} = 2, p \in F_1 \cap A_3\},$$

$$\dots \dots \dots$$

$$K_1 = H_{10} \cup H_{21} \cup H_{32} \cup \dots$$

and

$$H_{20} = \{p \mid \text{order}_p \{F_\alpha \mid 1 < \alpha < \tau\} = 0, p \in F_1 \cap A_2\},$$

$$H_{31} = \{p \mid \text{order}_p \{F_\alpha \mid 1 < \alpha < \tau\} = 1, p \in F_1 \cap A_3\},$$

$$H_{42} = \{p \mid \text{order}_p \{F_\alpha \mid 1 < \alpha < \tau\} = 2, p \in F_1 \cap A_4\},$$

$$\dots \dots \dots$$

$$K_2 = H_{20} \cup H_{31} \cup H_{42} \cup \dots$$

and generally

$$H_{r+s} = \{p \mid \text{order}_p \{F_\alpha \mid 1 < \alpha < \tau\} = s, p \in F_1 \cap A_{r+s}\},$$

$$K_r = \bigcup_{s=0}^{\infty} H_{r+s}.$$

If  $p \in H_{r+s}$ , then  $p \in F_{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{\alpha_s}$  for some  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$  and  $p \notin F_{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{\alpha_{s+1}}$  for every  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{s+1}$ . Hence the nbd  $U(p) = \bigcap \{F_\alpha \mid p \in F_\alpha, 1 < \alpha < \tau\}$  of  $p$  satisfies  $U(p) \cap H_{r+t} = \emptyset$  for every  $t > s$ , which implies the openness of  $\bigcup_{s'=1}^s H_{r+s's'}$  in  $K_r$ . Since evidently  $\dim H_{r+s} \leq 0$  for every

$s \geq 0$ , we have  $\dim K_r \leq 0$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \dots$ . Therefore we can define by Lemma 3.1 a locally finite closed covering  $\mathfrak{G}'_1 = \{F_{1\beta} \mid \beta \in \Omega\}$  of  $F_1$  such that  $\mathfrak{G}'_1 < \mathfrak{S}_1$ ,  $\text{order}_p \mathfrak{G}'_1 \leq n$  for every  $p \in K_n$ .

To show  $\text{order}_p \mathfrak{G}_1 \leq n$  for  $p \in A_n$  and for  $\mathfrak{G}_1 = \mathfrak{G}'_1 \cup \{F_{\alpha'} \mid \alpha' > 1\}$ , we assume  $\text{order}_p \mathfrak{F}_{i-1} = s+1$  and  $p \in F_1 \cap F_{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{\alpha_s}$ , where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s > 1$  and  $0 \leq s \leq n-1$  because of  $\text{order}_p \mathfrak{F}_{i-1} \leq n$ . Then  $p \in H_{ns} \subset K_{n-s}$ , and hence  $\text{order}_p \mathfrak{G}'_1 \leq n-s$ , proving  $\text{order}_p \mathfrak{G}_1 \leq n$ . Assume that we have defined  $F_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $\beta \in \Omega$ , for every  $\alpha' < \alpha$ ; then we can define  $F_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $\beta \in \Omega$ , satisfying (i)-(iii). The method of defining  $F_{\alpha\beta}$  is parallel to that of  $F_{1\beta}$  except that we use  $F_\alpha$  and  $\{F_{\alpha'\beta} \mid \alpha' < \alpha, \beta \in \Omega\} \cup \{F_{\alpha'} \mid \alpha' > \alpha\}$  instead of  $F_1$  and  $\{F_\alpha \mid \alpha > 1\}$  respectively; hence its proof is left to the reader. Thus we can define the required covering  $\mathfrak{F}_i = \{F_{\alpha\beta} \mid \alpha < \tau, \beta \in \Omega\}$ .

Conversely, if there exists a countable collection  $\{\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  satisfying (1)-(4), then we set  $\{p \mid \sup \{\text{order}_p \mathfrak{F}_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\} = n\} = A_n$ .

Since  $\text{order}_p \mathfrak{F}_i \leq n$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , for every  $p \in A_n$ , we have, by [5],  $\dim A_n \leq n-1$ . Hence  $R = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  is countable-dimensional.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *A space  $R$  is countable-dimensional if and only if there exist a subset  $S$  of  $N(\Omega)$  for suitable  $\Omega$  and a closed continuous mapping  $f$  of  $S$  onto  $R$  such that for each point  $p$  of  $R$  the inverse image  $f^{-1}(p)$  consists of finitely many points.*

**Proof.** The "only if" part is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.2. In fact, let  $R$  be countable-dimensional and  $\mathfrak{F}_i$  closed coverings satisfying (1)-(4) of Theorem 3.2. Then we define a subset  $S$  of  $N(\Omega)$  by  $S = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots) \mid \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(a_1, \dots, a_i) \neq \emptyset\}$  and a mapping  $f$  of  $S$  onto  $R$  by  $f(a) = p = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(a_1, \dots, a_i)$  for  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$ . To show the closedness of  $f$  we put  $N(a_1, \dots, a_i) = \{(a'_1, a'_2, \dots) \mid a'_k = a_k, k = 1, \dots, i\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{N}_i = \{N(a_1, \dots, a_i) \mid a_k \in \Omega, k = 1, \dots, i\}$ . Let  $K$  be a closed subset of  $S$  and let  $f(K) \not\subseteq p$ . Then it follows from the finiteness of  $f^{-1}(p)$  that  $S(K, \mathfrak{N}_i) \cap f^{-1}(p) = \emptyset$  (\*) for some  $i$ . Hence  $S(f(K), \mathfrak{F}_i) \not\subseteq p$ , i. e. we obtain an nbd  $U(p) = [S(f(K), \mathfrak{F}_i)]^c$  of  $p$  satisfying  $U(p) \cap f(K) = \emptyset$ , which shows that  $f(K)$  is closed. The other conditions of  $f$  are clearly satisfied.

Conversely, if there exist such  $N(\Omega)$ ,  $S$  and  $f$ , then putting  $A_n = \{p \mid f^{-1}(p) \text{ consists of } n \text{ points}\}$  we obtain, by [5], an at most  $n$ -dimensional subset  $A_n$  of  $R$ . Thus  $R = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  is countable-dimensional.

#### 4. Imbedding.

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Let  $R$  be a countable-dimensional space with  $R = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ ,  $\dim A_n = 0$ . Let  $\{U_m \mid m = 1, 2, \dots\}$  be a collection of open sets and  $\{F_m \mid m = 1, 2, \dots\}$  a collection of closed sets such that  $F_m \subset U_m$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then there exists a collection of open sets  $U_{mr}$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $|r| < \sqrt{2}/2m$ ,  $r$  rational, such that*

- (1)  $F_m \subset U_{mr} \subset \bar{U}_{mr} \subset U_{mr'} \subset \bar{U}_{mr'} \subset U_m$  for  $r < r'$ ,
- (2)  $\bar{U}_{mr} = \bigcap \{U_{mr'} \mid r' > r\}$ ,  $U_{mr} = \bigcup \{\bar{U}_{mr'} \mid r' < r\}$ ,
- (3)  $\text{order}_p \{B(U_{mr}) \mid m = 1, 2, \dots, |r| < \sqrt{2}/2m, r \text{ rational}\} \leq n-1$  for each point  $p \in A_n$ .

**Proof.** First we number all rational numbers with  $|r| < \sqrt{2}/2m$  so that

$$r_{m1}, r_{m2} < r_{m1} < r_{m3}, r_{m4} < r_{m2} < r_{m5} < r_{m1} < r_{m6} < r_{m3} < r_{m7}, \dots$$

Then we put

$$N_{m1} = \{r_{m1}\}, N_{m2} = \{r_{m2}, r_{m3}\}, N_{m3} = \{r_{m4}, r_{m5}, r_{m6}, r_{m7}\}, \dots$$

(\*)  $S(K, \mathfrak{N}_i)$  denotes the union of all the sets of  $\mathfrak{N}_i$  intersecting  $K$ .

We shall define  $U_{mr}$  satisfying (1), (3) and

(2') if  $r_{mi}$  and  $r_{mk}$  are adjoining numbers contained in  $\bigcup_{h=1}^{s-1} N_{mh}$ ,  $r_{mj} \in N_{ms}$  and  $r_{mi} < r_{mj} < r_{mk}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} U_{r_{mj}} &\subset S_{1/s}(\bar{U}_{r_{mi}}) \quad (?) \quad \text{if } s \text{ is odd,} \\ (\bar{U}_{r_{mj}})^c &\subset S_{1/s}((\bar{U}_{r_{mk}})^c) \quad \text{if } s \text{ is even,} \end{aligned}$$

where we denote, for brevity,  $U_{mr_{mi}}$  by  $U_{r_{mi}}$ . Then it will easily be seen that  $\{U_{mr}\}$  also satisfies (2). In fact, let  $\bar{U}_{r_{mi}}$  satisfy (2'). Let  $p \in \bar{U}_{r_{mi}}$ ,  $r_{mi} \in N_{ms-1}$ ; then we take an odd  $t$  with  $t \geq \max[s, \varrho(p, \bar{U}_{r_{mi}})]$  and  $r_{mj} \in N_{mt}$  which is next to  $r_{mi}$  in  $\bigcup_{k=1}^t N_{mk}$ . It follows from (2') that  $U_{r_{mj}} \subset S_{1/t}(\bar{U}_{r_{mi}}) \not\subseteq p$ . Let  $p \in U_{r_{mk}}$ ,  $r_{mk} \in N_{ms-1}$ ; then we take an even  $t$  with  $t \geq \max[s, \varrho(p, (\bar{U}_{r_{mk}})^c)]$  and  $r_{mj} \in N_{mt}$  to which  $r_{mk}$  is next in  $\bigcup_{k=1}^t N_{mk}$ . It follows from (2') that  $p \in [S_{1/t}((\bar{U}_{r_{mk}})^c)]^c \subset \bar{U}_{r_{mj}}$ , proving (2).

We define, by induction, all  $U_{mr}$  in such order that  $U_{r_{11}}, U_{r_{12}}, U_{r_{21}}, U_{r_{13}}, U_{r_{22}}, U_{r_{31}}, U_{r_{14}}, \dots$ . First we define, in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, an open set  $U_{r_{11}}$  such that

$$F_1 \subset U_{r_{11}} \subset \bar{U}_{r_{11}} \subset U_1, \quad B(U_{r_{11}}) \cap A_1 = \emptyset.$$

Assume that we have defined all  $U_{r_{nh}}$  before  $U_{r_{nj}}$  and that  $r_{mj} \in N_{ms}$ . Then we define  $U_{r_{mj}}$  as follows: we take  $r_{mi}, r_{mk} \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{s-1} N_{mk}$  such that  $r_{mi} < r_{mj} < r_{mk}$  and such that  $r_{mi}$  and  $r_{mk}$  are adjoining in  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{s-1} N_{mk}$ . We can define  $U_{r_{mj}}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{U}_{r_{mi}} &\subset U_{r_{mj}} \subset \bar{U}_{r_{mj}} \subset U_{r_{mk}} \cap S_{1/s}(\bar{U}_{r_{mi}}) \quad \text{if } s \text{ is odd,} \\ [S_{1/s}((\bar{U}_{r_{mk}})^c)]^c &\cup \bar{U}_{r_{mi}} \subset U_{r_{mj}} \subset \bar{U}_{r_{mj}} \subset U_{r_{mk}} \quad \text{if } s \text{ is even} \end{aligned}$$

and such that

$$\text{order}_p B(U_{mj}) \leq n-1 \quad \text{for each point } p \in A_n,$$

where  $U_{mj} = \{U_{r_{11}}, U_{r_{12}}, U_{r_{21}}, \dots, U_{r_{mj}}\}$ . The method of defining  $U_{r_{mj}}$  is parallel to that of  $V_\alpha$  in the proof of Lemma 2.1, and hence it is left to the reader. Thus the proof of this lemma is complete.

(?)  $S_\varepsilon(U) = \{y \mid \inf \{\varrho(x, y) \mid x \in U\} < \varepsilon\}$ , where  $\varrho(x, y)$  denotes the distance between  $x$  and  $y$ .

DEFINITION 4.2. Let  $\{\mathfrak{N}_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  be a collection of star-finite open coverings<sup>(8)</sup> of a space  $R$ . If  $\mathfrak{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{N}_i$  is a basis of open sets, then we call  $\{\mathfrak{N}_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  a  $\sigma$ -star-finite basis.

THEOREM 4.3. Let  $R$  be a space with a  $\sigma$ -star-finite basis. Denote by  $R_\omega$  the set of points in  $I_\omega$  at most finitely many of whose coordinates are rational. Then  $R$  is countable-dimensional if and only if  $R$  is homeomorphic to a subset of  $N(\Omega) \times R_\omega$  for suitable  $\Omega$ .

Proof. Let  $R$  be a space with a  $\sigma$ -star-finite basis. Then, since  $R$  is homeomorphic to a subset of  $N(\Omega) \times I_\omega$  by a theorem of K. Morita<sup>(9)</sup>, we obtain a sequence  $\mathfrak{N}_1 > \mathfrak{N}_2 > \dots$  of star-finite open coverings such that  $\{S(p, \mathfrak{N}_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is an nbd basis of each point  $p$  of  $R$ . We let

$$\mathfrak{S}_i = \{S^\infty(N, \mathfrak{N}_i) \mid N \in \mathfrak{N}_i\}, \quad \text{where} \quad S^\infty(N, \mathfrak{N}_i) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S^n(N, \mathfrak{N}_i) \quad (10).$$

Then we can put  $\mathfrak{S}_i = \{S_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega_i\}$ ,  $S_\alpha \cap S_\beta = \emptyset$  for  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Since  $\mathfrak{N}_i$  is star-finite, for  $\alpha \in \Omega_i$  we can put  $S_\alpha = \bigcup \{N_{\alpha j} \mid j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $N_{\alpha j} \in \mathfrak{N}_i$ . We take an open covering  $\mathfrak{P}_i$  of  $R$  such that

$$\mathfrak{P}_i = \{P_{\alpha j} \mid \alpha \in \Omega_i, j = 1, 2, \dots\}, \quad \bar{P}_{\alpha j} \subset N_{\alpha j}, \quad \alpha \in \Omega_i, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Letting

$$U_{ij} = \bigcup \{N_{\alpha j} \mid \alpha \in \Omega_i\}, \quad F_{ij} = \bigcup \{\bar{P}_{\alpha j} \mid \alpha \in \Omega_i\},$$

we obtain an open set  $U_{ij}$  and a closed set  $F_{ij}$  with  $F_{ij} \subset U_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then we put

$$\begin{aligned} \{F_{ij} \mid i, j = 1, 2, \dots\} &= \{F_m \mid m = 1, 2, \dots\}, \\ \{U_{ij} \mid i, j = 1, 2, \dots\} &= \{U_m \mid m = 1, 2, \dots\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if  $R$  is countable-dimensional, then for these  $F_m$  and  $U_m$  we define  $U_{mr}$  by Lemma 4.1. Next we define a real-valued continuous function  $f_m$  of  $R$  by

$$f_m(p) = \inf \{r \mid p \in U_{mr}\}.$$

Then it is obvious that

$$f_m(F_m) = -1/\sqrt{2}/2m, \quad f_m(U_m) = \sqrt{2}/2m, \quad |f_m| \leq \sqrt{2}/2m.$$

To show that  $f_m(p)$  has an irrational value at every point  $p \notin \bigcup \{B(U_{mr}) \mid |r| < \sqrt{2}/2m, r \text{ rational}\}$  we take any point  $p \notin \bigcup B(U_{mr})$

<sup>(8)</sup> A covering  $\mathfrak{U}$  is called *star-finite* if each member of  $\mathfrak{U}$  intersects finitely many members of  $\mathfrak{U}$ .

<sup>(9)</sup> The proof of the theorem is unpublished.

<sup>(10)</sup>  $S^1(N, \mathfrak{N}) = S(N, \mathfrak{N})$ ,  $S^n(N, \mathfrak{N}) = S(S^{n-1}(N, \mathfrak{N}), \mathfrak{N})$ .

and any rational number  $r$  with  $|r| < \sqrt{2}/2m$ . If  $p \in U_{mr}$ , then there exists, by (2) of Lemma 4.1,  $r'$  with  $r' < r$ ,  $p \in U_{mr'}$ ; hence  $f_m(p) \leq r' < r$ . If  $p \notin U_{mr}$ , then there exists, by (2),  $r'$  with  $r' > r$ ,  $p \notin U_{mr'}$ ; hence  $f_m(p) \geq r' > r$ . Therefore  $f_m(p) \neq r$  in either case. Hence at most finitely many of  $\{f_1(p), f_2(p), \dots\}$  are rational by (3) of Lemma 4.1.

Putting  $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega_i$ , we define a continuous mapping  $c$  of  $R$  into  $N(\Omega)$  by

$$c(p) = a = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \quad \text{for} \quad p \in S_{\alpha_i}, \quad \alpha_i \in \Omega_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Finally we define a continuous mapping  $\varphi$  of  $R$  into  $N(\Omega) \times R_\omega$  by

$$\varphi(p) = (c(p), f_1(p), f_2(p), \dots) \in N(\Omega) \times R_\omega \quad \text{for} \quad p \in R.$$

To see that  $\varphi$  is homeomorphic, let  $U(p)$  be an nbd of a point  $p$  of  $R$ .

Let  $S(p, \mathfrak{N}_i) \subset U(p)$ ,  $p \in F_{ij} = F_m$ ,  $p \in \bigcap_{k=1}^i S_{\alpha_k}$ . Then we define an nbd  $V(f(p))$  of  $\varphi(p)$  by

$$V(\varphi(p)) = N(a_1, \dots, a_i) \times N(\varphi(p)),$$

where

$$N(a_1, \dots, a_i) = \{(a'_1, a'_2, \dots) \mid a'_k = a_k, k = 1, \dots, i\} \subset N(\Omega),$$

$$N(\varphi(p)) = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots) \mid a_m > 0\} \subset R_\omega.$$

It is clear that  $\varphi^{-1}[V(\varphi(p))] \subset U(p)$ , proving this assertion. Thus the "only if" part of this theorem is valid.

Conversely, since

$$N(\Omega) \times R_\omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [N(\Omega) \times R_n]$$

for  $R_n = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots) \mid a_j \text{ are irrational for } j > n, |a_i| \leq 1/i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  and since  $\dim R_n = n$ ,  $N(\Omega) \times R_\omega$  is a countable-dimensional space. This proves the "if" part of the theorem.

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.3:

COROLLARY 4.4. Let  $R$  be a separable space. Then  $R$  is countable-dimensional if and only if  $R$  is homeomorphic to a subset of  $R_\omega$ .

## 5. The countable sum of zero-dimensional closed sets.

DEFINITION 5.1. If a space  $R$  is the countable sum of finite-dimensional closed sets, then we call  $R$  a *strong countable-dimensional space*.

A strong countable-dimensional space is countable-dimensional, but the converse is not true.

EXAMPLE 5.2. The countable-dimensional space  $R_\omega$  of Theorem 4.3 is not a strong countable-dimensional space.



Proof. Assume the contrary, i. e.  $R_\omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$  for finite-dimensional closed sets  $F_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . First we notice that for  $F_j$  and for every number  $a_k$  with  $a_k \in I_k = \{x \mid |x| \leq 1/k\}$  and every open interval  $I_{j+p}$  with  $I_{j+p} \subset I_{j+p}$ ,  $p = 1, 2, \dots$ , there exist open intervals  $J_{j+p} \subset I_{j+p}$ ,  $p = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that

$$\{a_1\} \times \dots \times \{a_j\} \times J_{j+1} \times J_{j+2} \times \dots \subset F_j^c,$$

For, if the assertion is false, then there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_j$  and  $I_{j+1}, I_{j+2}, \dots$  such that for every  $J_{j+p} \subset I_{j+p}$ ,  $p = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$[\{a_1\} \times \dots \times \{a_j\} \times J_{j+1} \times J_{j+2} \times \dots] \cap F_j \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence  $x \in [\{a_1\} \times \dots \times \{a_j\} \times I_{j+1} \times I_{j+2} \times \dots] \cap R_\omega$  implies  $x \in \bar{F}_j = F_j$ , which means

$$[\{a_1\} \times \dots \times \{a_j\} \times I_{j+1} \times \dots] \cap R_\omega \subset F_j.$$

Since  $\dim[\{a_1\} \times \dots \times \{a_j\} \times I_{j+1} \times \dots] \cap R_{j+p} = p$ ,  $\dim[\{a_1\} \times \dots \times \{a_j\} \times I_{j+1} \times \dots] \cap R_\omega = \infty$ , which contradicts  $\dim F_j < \infty$ .

Now we take an irrational  $a_1$  with  $a_1 \in I_1$ . Then there exist, by the above notice, open intervals  $J_{1k} \subset I_k$ ,  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ , such that

$$\{a_1\} \times J_{12} \times J_{13} \times \dots \subset F_1^c.$$

Let  $a_2$  be an arbitrary irrational with  $a_2 \in J_{12}$ ; there exist open intervals  $J_{2k} \subset J_{1k}$ ,  $k = 3, 4, \dots$ , such that

$$\{a_1\} \times \{a_2\} \times J_{23} \times J_{24} \times \dots \subset F_2^c.$$

Let  $a_3$  be an arbitrary irrational with  $a_3 \in J_{23}$ ; then there exist open intervals  $J_{3k} \subset J_{2k}$ ,  $k = 4, 5, \dots$ , such that

$$\{a_1\} \times \{a_2\} \times \{a_3\} \times J_{34} \times J_{35} \times \dots \subset F_3^c.$$

By repeating such processes we have a sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  of irrational numbers satisfying

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots) \in R_\omega - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n,$$

which contradicts  $R_\omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ . Therefore  $R_\omega$  cannot be a countable sum of finite-dimensional closed sets.

**THEOREM 5.3.** *In order that  $R$  be a strong countable-dimensional space it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a sequence  $\mathcal{U}_1 > \mathcal{U}_2^* > \mathcal{U}_2 > \mathcal{U}_3^* > \dots$  <sup>(1)</sup> of open coverings  $\mathcal{U}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , of  $R$  such that*

- (1)  $\{S(p, \mathcal{U}_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is an nbd basis of each point  $p$  of  $R$ ,
- (2)  $\sup \{\text{order}_p \mathcal{U}_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\} < +\infty$  for each point  $p$  of  $R$ .

<sup>(1)</sup>  $\mathcal{U}^* = \{S(U, \mathcal{U}) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ .

Proof. Necessity. Let  $R = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k$  for closed sets  $F_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

with  $\dim F_k = n_k$ . In order to prove the necessity it is enough to show that for every open covering  $\mathfrak{S}$  there exists an open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\mathcal{U} < \mathfrak{S}$ ,  $\text{order}_p \mathcal{U} \leq m_k = n_1 + \dots + n_k + k$  for each point  $p$  of  $F_k$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_k$  be an open covering of  $F_k$  satisfying  $\mathcal{U}_k < \mathfrak{S}$ ,  $\text{order} \mathcal{U}_k \leq n_k + 1$ . Let

$$\mathcal{U}_k = \{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega\}, \quad U_\alpha \subset S_\alpha \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad \alpha \in \Omega.$$

Then for every point  $p \in U_\alpha$  we define  $\varepsilon(p) > 0$  such that

$$S_{\varepsilon(p)}(p) \subset S_\alpha, \quad [S_{\varepsilon(p)}(p)] \cap F_k \subset U_\alpha.$$

Letting

$$U'_\alpha = \bigcup \{S_{\varepsilon(p)/2}(p) \mid p \in U_\alpha\},$$

we obtain a collection  $\mathcal{U}'_k = \{U'_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega\} < \mathfrak{S}$  of open sets with  $\text{order} \mathcal{U}'_k \leq n_k + 1$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{B}_k = \{[\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} F_i]^c \cap U'_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega\}$$

is a collection of open sets covering  $F_k - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} F_i$  such that

$$\mathfrak{B}_k < \mathfrak{S},$$

$$\text{order}_p \mathfrak{B}_k \leq n_k + 1 \quad \text{for } p \in \bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} F_i,$$

$$\text{order}_p \mathfrak{B}_k = 0 \quad \text{for } p \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} F_i.$$

Therefore  $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{B}_k$  is the required open covering.

Sufficiency. We let

$$F_k = \{p \mid \sup \{\text{order}_p \mathcal{U}_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\} \leq k\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then  $F_k$  is clearly a closed set. Since  $\mathcal{U}_i$ , restricted to  $F_k$ , is of order  $\leq k$ , it follows from [6], [7] that  $\dim F_k \leq k$ . In consequence  $R = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k$  is a strong countable-dimensional space.

**THEOREM 5.4.** *In order that a space  $R$  with a  $\sigma$ -star-finite basis be a strong countable-dimensional space it is necessary and sufficient that  $R$  be homeomorphic with a subset of  $N(\Omega) \times K_\omega$  for suitable  $\Omega$ , where  $K_\omega = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} K_k$ ,  $K_k = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots) \mid |a_i| \leq 1/i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k, a_i = 0 \text{ for } i > k\}$ .*

Proof. Since the sufficiency is obvious, we prove only the necessity.

Let  $R = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k$  for closed sets  $F_k$  with  $\dim F_k = n_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . For convenience we rewrite  $K_{m_k}$  for  $m_k = 2(n_1 + \dots + n_k + k) - 1$  with  $K_k$ ; then  $\dim K_k = m_k$ . If  $R$  has a  $\sigma$ -star-finite basis, then we obtain the open sets  $U_{ij}$  and closed sets  $F_{ij}$  in the proof of Theorem 4.3. Since  $F_{ij} \subset U_{ij}$ ,  $\{F_{ij}^c, U_{ij}\}$  is an open covering of  $R$ . We denote by  $\{\mathcal{U}_n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  the totality of such coverings. Furthermore, we define the following notation:

$$C(R) = \{f \mid f \text{ is a continuous mapping of } R \text{ into } K_{\omega} \text{ and maps } F_k \text{ into } K_k \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots\},$$

$$C_n(R) = \{f \mid f \in C(R), \text{ for every point } x \text{ of } I_{\omega} \text{ there exists an nbd } U(x) \text{ of } x \text{ such that } f^{-1}(U(x)) \in \mathcal{U}_n\},$$

where we denote by  $f^{-1}(U(x)) \in \mathcal{U}_n$  the fact that  $f^{-1}(U(x)) \subset U$  for some  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ .

Now let us show that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n(R) \neq \emptyset$ . To see this we prove first that  $C_n(R)$  is open in the functional space  $C(R)$ , which is a complete space with strong topology. The method of proof is analogous to that of the finite-dimensional case [2]. Assume that  $f \in C_n(R)$ . This means that every point  $x$  of  $I_{\omega}$  has an nbd  $U(x)$  with  $f^{-1}(U(x)) \in \mathcal{U}_n$ . Since  $I_{\omega}$  is compact, there exists a finite sub-collection of these nbds which covers  $I_{\omega}$ , i. e.  $I_{\omega} = \bigcup_{j=1}^s U(x_j)$ . We take a positive number  $\delta$  such that for every  $x \in I_{\omega}$  and for some  $x_j$ ,  $S_{\delta}(x) \subset U(x_j)$  holds. Now let  $g$  be any mapping satisfying  $\varrho'(f, g) < \delta/6$ , where we denote by  $\varrho'$  the metric of  $C(R)$ . Let  $x \in I_{\omega}$ ,  $g^{-1}(S_{\delta/6}(x)) = N$ . Then it is easy to see that  $f(N) \subset S_{\delta}(f(y)) \subset U(x_j)$  for some  $x_j$ . Hence  $N \subset f^{-1}(U(x_j)) \in \mathcal{U}_n$ , proving  $g \in C_n(R)$ .

Next we shall show that  $C_n(R)$  is dense in  $C(R)$ . Let  $f$  be an arbitrary element of  $C(R)$  and  $\varepsilon$  a positive number. We shall construct  $g$  such that  $\varrho'(f, g) < \varepsilon$ ,  $g \in C_n(R)$ . Let

$$I_{\omega} = \bigcup_{j=1}^s S_{\varepsilon/4}(x_j), \quad \mathfrak{B} = \{f^{-1}(S_{\varepsilon/4}(x_j)) \mid j = 1, \dots, s\}.$$

Then we define a finite open covering  $\mathfrak{N}$  of  $R$  such that

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{N}^d < \mathfrak{B} \wedge \mathcal{U}_n \quad (12).$$

$$(12) \quad \mathfrak{N}^d = \{S(p, \mathfrak{N}) \mid p \in R\}.$$

By the proof of Theorem 5.3 we can select an open covering  $\mathfrak{B}$  so that

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{N} > \mathfrak{B} = \bigcup_{k=1}^r \mathfrak{B}_k,$$

$$(3) \quad \text{order}_p \mathfrak{B}_k \leq n_k + 1 \text{ for } p \in F_i, i \geq k,$$

$$(4) \quad \text{order}_p \mathfrak{B}_k = 0 \text{ for } p \in F_i, i < k.$$

We notice that we can assume without loss of generality, that  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  and hence we can assume that  $r$  is a finite number not greater than the number of elements of  $\mathfrak{N}$ . To see this, let  $\mathfrak{N} = \{N_j \mid j = 1, \dots, l\}$ , and assume that  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{l-1} \mathfrak{B}_k$  does not yet cover  $R$ . Then, since  $l \leq n_l + 1$ , putting

$\mathfrak{B}_l = \{[\bigcup_{i=1}^{l-1} F_i]^c \cap N_j \mid j = 1, \dots, l\}$ , we get a covering  $\mathfrak{B} = \bigcup_{k=1}^l \mathfrak{B}_k$  satisfying (2)-(4) for  $r = l$ . Now let  $\mathfrak{B}_k = \{W_{ki} \mid i = 1, \dots, t_k\}$ . Then we can select vertices  $x(W_{ki})$  in  $K_k - K_{k-1}$  such that

$$(5) \quad \varrho(x(W_{ki}), f(W_{ki})) < \varepsilon/4, \quad k = 1, \dots, r, \quad i = 1, \dots, t_k,$$

$$(6) \quad \text{any } 2n_1 + 2 \text{ of the vertices } x(W_{1i}) \text{ and any } 2n_2 + 2 \text{ of the vertices } x(W_{2i}) \text{ and } \dots \text{ and any } 2n_r + 2 \text{ of the vertices } x(W_{ri}) \text{ are linearly independent,}$$

because  $K_k$  can be regarded as the  $2(n_1 + \dots + n_k + k) - 1 = m_k$ -cube containing  $K_{k-1}$ .

Then we define a Kuratowski mapping  $g$  by

$$g(p) = \frac{\sum_{k,i} \varrho(p, W_{ki}^c) x(W_{ki})}{\sum_{k,i} \varrho(p, W_{ki}^c)};$$

in this formula we regard  $x(W_{ki})$  as a point-vector. Let  $p$  be an arbitrary point of  $R$  and assume  $p \in W_{ki}$ . Then from (1), (2), (5) we get  $\varrho(f(p), x(W_{ki})) < 3\varepsilon/4$  for every  $W_{ki}$  with  $p \in W_{ki}$ . Hence the centre of gravity  $g(p)$  of the  $x(W_{ki})$  satisfies

$$\varrho(f(p), g(p)) < 3\varepsilon/4, \quad \text{i. e.} \quad \varrho'(f, g) < \varepsilon.$$

Finally we shall prove  $g \in C_n(R)$ . Suppose that  $W_{k_1 i_1}, \dots, W_{k_s i_s}$  are all the members of  $\mathfrak{B}$  containing a given point  $p$  of  $R$ . Then we denote by  $L(p)$  the linear  $(s-1)$ -space spanned by  $x(W_{k_1 i_1}), \dots, x(W_{k_s i_s})$ . Since there is only a finite number of linear subspaces  $L(p)$ , there exists a number  $\delta > 0$  such that any two of those linear subspaces,  $L(p)$  and  $L(p')$ , either meet or else are at a distance  $\geq \delta$  from each other. If  $p, p' \in g^{-1}(S_{\delta/2}(y))$  for some  $y \in I_{\omega}$ , then  $\varrho(g(p), g(p')) < \varepsilon$ . Since  $g(p) \in L(p)$  and  $g(p') \in L(p')$  are clearly verified, we have  $L(p) \cap L(p') \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $L(p)$  be spanned by  $x(W_{k_1 i_1}), \dots, x(W_{k_s i_s})$  and  $L(p')$  by  $x(W_{h_1 j_1}), \dots, x(W_{h_t j_t})$ . Then



$x(W_{k_1 i_1}), \dots, x(W_{k_s i_s}), x(W_{h_1 j_1}), \dots, x(W_{h_r j_r})$  are linearly dependent. On the other hand, by (3), (4) at most  $n_k + 1$  of the  $x(W_{k_1 i_1}), \dots, x(W_{k_s i_s})$  spanning  $L(p)$  are vertices corresponding to members of  $\mathfrak{B}_k$ . This combined with (6) implies that at least one of the  $x(W_{k_1 i_1}), \dots, x(W_{k_s i_s})$  is also one of the  $x(W_{h_1 j_1}), \dots, x(W_{h_r j_r})$ . Hence  $p$  and  $p'$  are contained in a common member of  $\mathfrak{B}$ . It follows from (1), (2) that  $g^{-1}(S_{\sigma(p)}(y)) \in \mathcal{U}_n$ , meaning  $g \in C_n(\mathcal{R})$ . Thus we have concluded that  $C_n(\mathcal{R})$  is open and dense in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{R})$ . In consequence, by Baire's theorem,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n(\mathcal{R})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{R})$ , and especially

$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n(\mathcal{R}) \neq \emptyset$ . Since, for any element  $f$  of  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n(\mathcal{R})$  and for the same mapping  $c(p)$  with the one in the proof of theorem 4.3,  $\varphi(p) = \{c(p), f(p)\}$  is clearly a homeomorphic mapping of  $\mathcal{R}$  onto a subset of  $N(\Omega) \times K_\omega$ , the assertion is established.

**COROLLARY 5.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a separable space. Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is strongly countable-dimensional if and only if  $\mathcal{R}$  is homeomorphic to a subset of  $K_\omega$ .*

#### References

- [1] S. Eilenberg et E. Otto, *Quelques propriétés caractéristiques de la dimension*, Fund. Math. 31 (1938), p. 149-153.
- [2] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension Theory*, Princeton 1941.
- [3] M. Katětov, *On the dimension of non-separable spaces I*, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal 2 (77) (1952), p. 333-368.
- [4] K. Morita, *Normal families and dimension theory for metric spaces*, Math. Annalen 128 (1954), p. 350-362.
- [5] — *A condition for the metrizability of topological spaces and for  $n$ -dimensionality*, Sciences Reports of the Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, Sec. A, 5 (1955), p. 33-36.
- [6] J. Nagata, *Note on dimension theory*, Proc. Japan Acad. 32 (1956), p. 568-573.
- [7] — *Note on dimension theory for metric spaces*, Fund. Math. 45 (1957), p. 143-181.
- [8] A. H. Stone, *Paracompactness and product spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 54 (1948), p. 977-982.

OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY

Reçu par la Rédaction le 26. 6. 1958

## Concerning dense metric subspaces of certain non-metric spaces

by

J. N. Younglove (Austin, Tex.)

In this paper it is shown that if  $\Sigma$  is a space satisfying R. L. Moore's Axioms 0 and 1, [1], then  $\Sigma$  contains a complete metric subspace  $\Sigma'$  such that the set of all points of  $\Sigma'$  forms a dense subset of the set of all points of  $\Sigma$ . A sufficient condition is given for a point set  $M$  in order that it be the set of all points of some such  $\Sigma'$ . The terminology used in the paper is largely that of R. L. Moore.

**AXIOM 0.** Every region is a point set.

**AXIOM 1.** There exists a sequence  $G_1, G_2, G_3, \dots$  such that

- (1) for each positive integer  $n$ ,  $G_n$  is a collection of regions covering the set of all points,
- (2) for each positive integer  $n$ ,  $G_{n+1}$  is a subcollection of  $G_n$ ,
- (3) if  $R$  is a region and  $A$  is a point of  $R$  and  $B$  is a point of  $R$ , there is a positive integer  $n$  such that if  $g$  is a region of  $G_n$  containing  $A$ , then  $\bar{g}$  is a subset of  $R$  and, unless  $B$  is  $A$ ,  $\bar{g}$  does not contain  $B$ ,
- (4) if  $M_1, M_2, M_3, \dots$  is a sequence of closed point sets and for each positive integer  $n$  there is a region  $g_n$  of  $G_n$  such that  $M_n$  is a subset of  $\bar{g}$  and for each positive integer  $n$ ,  $M_{n+1}$  is a subset of  $M_n$ , then there is a point common to all the sets of this sequence.

It has been shown that every space satisfying Axiom 0 and the following Axiom C is metric [2]:

**AXIOM C.** There exists a sequence  $G_1, G_2, G_3, \dots$  satisfying conditions (1), (2) and (4) of Axiom 1 together with the following condition

- (3) if  $A$  is a point of a region  $R$  and  $B$  is a point of  $R$ , there is a positive integer  $n$  such that if  $w$  is a region of  $G_n$  containing  $A$ , and  $y$  is a region of  $G_n$  intersecting  $w$ , then  $w + y$  is a subset of  $R$  and, unless  $B$  is  $A$ ,  $w + y$  does not contain  $B$ .

**PROPERTY Q.** A point set  $M$  is said to have Property Q provided it is true that if  $G$  is a collection of domains covering  $S$ , the set of all