

Functionals on uniformly closed rings of continuous functions

by

S. Mrówka (Warszawa)

In this paper we are concerned with the following problem: Suppose X is a completely regular space and let R be a linear ring of continuous real-valued functions defined on X which satisfies the following conditions:

1° All constant functions belong to R .

2° R is closed with respect to the uniform convergence (i. e. if $\{f_n\}$ uniformly converges to f and $f_n \in R$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), then $f \in R$).

Under what conditions imposed on X and R each non-trivial linear multiplicative functional φ ⁽¹⁾ defined on R is of the form

$$(*) \quad \varphi(f) = f(p_0)$$

where p_0 is a fixed point of X ?

We note some results related to this problem:

If R is the ring of all bounded continuous functions on X , then the answer to our problem is positive if and only if X is a compact space (Stone [4]).

If R is the ring of all continuous functions on X then the answer to the problem is positive if and only if X is a Q -space (Hewitt [1], [2]).

The main role in our considerations is played by the evaluation mapping of X into the Tihonov cube build up by means of all members f of R which satisfy the inequality $0 \leq f(p) \leq 1$ (i. e. denote by R^* the set of all members f of R which satisfy the above inequality and agree that the coordinates of points of the Tihonov cube I^m ($m = \overline{R}$) are enumerated by means of members of R^* . Then the evaluation mapping can be described as a mapping which carries a point $p \in X$ into the point $a \in I^m$ whose f th coordinate is equal to $f(p)$). We denote this evaluation mapping by F_R .

(1) A functional φ is said to be *non-trivial* provided that φ does not vanish identically.

If each member of a ring R is bounded, then the answer to our problem is rather uninteresting; it is quite similar to that of the above-mentioned Stone result; namely, it is positive if and only if $F_R(X)$ is compact. The more interesting case is the case where R contains possibly many unbounded functions, i. e. where R contains the inverse of each member of R whose each value is different from 0. The answer to our problem in this case is given in Theorem 2.

I. Some properties of the mapping F_R . In this section X denotes a fixed completely regular space; R denotes a fixed linear ring of real-valued continuous functions defined on X which satisfies the conditions 1° and 2°; $\overline{F_R(X)}$ denotes the closure of $F_R(X)$ with respect to the Tihonov cube I^m .

(i) If f is a bounded function in R , then there is a continuous real-valued function h defined on $\overline{F_R(X)}$, such that $f(p) = h(F_R(p))$ for each p in X .

Let $f^*(p) = \alpha f(p) + \beta$, where $\alpha \neq 0$ and β are real numbers chosen in such a way that $0 \leq f^*(p) \leq 1$ for each p in X . Then $f^* \in R^*$. Let

$$h(a) = \frac{1}{\alpha} (p_{f^*}(a) - \beta),$$

for a in $\overline{F_R(X)}$, where $p_{f^*}(a)$ denotes the f^* th coordinate of a . Then h is the required function.

(ii) If h is a continuous real-valued function defined on $\overline{F_R(X)}$, then the function f defined on X by the equality $f(p) = h(F_R(p))$ belongs to R .

Let $C = \{p_j\}_{j \in R^*}$ be the family of all coordinate functions of points in $\overline{F_R(X)}$. Since $\overline{F_R(X)}$ is compact and C distinguishes points of $\overline{F_R(X)}$, by the Stone-Weierstrass approximation theorem for each positive ε there exists a polynomial $W(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ of real variables t_1, \dots, t_k and members f_1, \dots, f_k of R^* such that

$$|h(a) - W(p_{f_1}(a), \dots, p_{f_k}(a))| < \varepsilon$$

for each a in $\overline{F_R(X)}$.

By the definition of F_R and f , we obtain

$$|f(p) - W(f_1(p), \dots, f_k(p))| < \varepsilon$$

for each p in X . Since $W(f_1, \dots, f_k) \in R$ and R is closed with respect to the uniform convergence, $f \in R$.

II. THEOREM 1. If R is a linear ring of bounded real-valued continuous functions on a completely regular space X satisfying the conditions 1° and 2°, then each non-trivial linear multiplicative functional φ defined on R is of the form (*) if and only if $F_R(X)$ is compact.

Proof. Suppose that $F_R(X)$ is compact and let φ be any non-trivial linear multiplicative functional defined on R . Denote by R_1 the ring

of all continuous real-valued functions defined on $F_R(X)$. Let h be any member of R_1 and let us set $\varphi_1(h) = \varphi(f)$, where f is a function in R satisfying the equality $f(p) = h(F_R(p))$ (by (ii), $f \in R$). Then φ_1 is a non-trivial linear multiplicative functional on R_1 , whence, by the Stone theorem, there is a point $a_0 \in F_R(X)$ such that $\varphi_1(h) = h(a_0)$ for each h in R_1 . Let p_0 be any point of X with $F_R(p_0) = a_0$. If f is any member of R , then there is an $h \in R_1$ such that $f(p) = h(F_R(p))$ for each p in X . We have

$$\varphi(f) = \varphi_1(h) = h(a_0) = h(F_R(p_0)) = f(p_0),$$

whence φ is of the form (*).

Conversely, suppose that $F_R(X)$ is not compact. Then $\overline{F_R(X)} \neq F_R(X)$; let a_0 be any point of $\overline{F_R(X)} \setminus F_R(X)$. Let us set $\varphi(f) = h(a_0)$ for any f in R , where h is a continuous function on $\overline{F_R(X)}$ such that $f(p) = h(F_R(p))$ for each p in X . Then φ is a non-trivial linear multiplicative functional on R . If p_1 is any point of X , then there is a continuous function h on $\overline{F_R(X)}$ with $h(a_0) = 0$ and $h(a_1) = 1$, where $a_1 = F_R(p_1)$. By (ii), there is a member f in R such that $f(p) = h(F_R(p))$ for each p in X . We have $\varphi(f) = 0$ and $f(p_1) = 1$ and it follows that φ is not of the form (*).

III. In the sequel the following definition is needed: a subset P of a topological space S is said to be Q -closed (in S) provided that for each point $p \in S \setminus P$ there is a G_δ -set which contains p and is disjoint from P (2).

If S is a completely regular space, then we have the following:

(iii) A set $P \subset S$ is Q -closed in S if and only if for each p in $S \setminus P$ there is a continuous real-valued function f on S such that $f(p) = 0$ and $f(q) \neq 0$ for each q in P .

The simple proof of (iii) can be left to the reader.

We are interested in the case where a space is Q -closed in a certain compactification of itself.

(iv) If $b'S$ and $b''S$ are compactifications of a completely regular space S , $b'S \leq b''S$ (i. e. there is a continuous mapping F of $b''S$ onto $b'S$ such that $F(p) = p$ for each p in S) and S is Q -closed in $b'S$, then S is Q -closed in $b''S$.

Indeed, if $p \in b''S \setminus S$, then $q = F(p) \in b'S \setminus S$ (3), whence there is a G_δ -set $G \subset b'S$ which contains q and is disjoint from S . Then $F^{-1}(G)$ is a G_δ -set in $b''S$ which contains p and is disjoint from S .

(2) This definition was introduced in [3].

(3) This can be proved in the following way: suppose $q = F(p) \in S$. Then p and q are distinct points of $b''S$, whence there exists a neighbourhood U of p with $q \notin \overline{S \cap U}$ (the bar indicates the closure with respect to S). Since S is dense in $b''S$, $p \in \overline{S \cap U}$ (the bar indicates the closure with respect to $b''S$). On the other hand, $F(S \cap U) = S \cap U$, and since F is continuous, $q = F(p) \in \overline{F(S \cap U)} = \overline{S \cap U}$ (the bar indicates the closure with respect to S) and this leads to a contradiction.

The extreme case is explained by the following:

(v) A space S is Q -closed in βS if and only if S is a Q -space.

This statement is given in [3].

(vi) A space S is Q -closed in each of its compactifications if and only if S is a Lindelöf space (i. e. each open covering of S contains a countable subcovering).

Suppose that S is a Lindelöf space. Let bS be a compactification of S and let $p_0 \in bS \setminus S$. For each p in S there is a neighbourhood U_p of p such that $p_0 \notin \bar{U}_p$. Since $\{U_p\}_{p \in S}$ is an open covering of S , there is a countable covering U_{p_1}, U_{p_2}, \dots of S . Then $G = \bigcap_n (bS \setminus \bar{U}_{p_n})$ is a G_δ -set in bS which contains p_0 and is disjoint from S .

Conversely, suppose that S is not a Lindelöf space. Then there is a family $U = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of open subsets of βS which covers S and such that no countable subfamily of U covers S . Let $H = \beta S \setminus \bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ and let bS be the compactification of S which is obtained from βS by the identification of all points of H to a single point; denote this point by p_0 . Suppose that there is a G_δ -set $G \subset bS$ which contains p_0 and is disjoint from S . Let $F = bS \setminus G$. Then $S \subset F \subset \bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$. But F , being an F_σ -set in a compact space, can be covered by a countable infinity of sets U_α . This leads to a contradiction, whence S is not Q -closed in bS .

An immediate consequence of (vi) is the following:

(vii) A locally compact space is Q -closed in its minimal one-point compactification if and only if it is a Lindelöf space.

IV. In this section we shall consider the case of rings containing unbounded functions. We assume the following condition:

3° If $f \in R$ and $f(p) \neq 0$ for each p in X , then $1/f \in R$.

We shall prove some elementary properties of such rings (in (viii)-(xii) R is a fixed linear ring of continuous functions on a fixed space X satisfying the conditions 1° - 3°).

(viii) If $f \in R$, then $|f| \in R$.

At first, suppose that f is a bounded function. Then one can assume without loss of generality that $|f(p)| < \frac{1}{2}$ for each p in X . We have $|f| = \sqrt{1 - (1 - f^2)}$, whence $|f|$ can be written as the sum of a uniformly convergent series of polynomials with respect to f . Thus, by 2° , $|f| \in R$.

Now, suppose that f is an arbitrary function in R . Let

$$f_1 = \frac{f}{1 + f^2}.$$

Then f_1 is a bounded function, and, by 3° , $f_1 \in R$. Consequently $|f_1| \in R$ and $|f| = |f_1| \cdot (1 + f^2) \in R$.

(ix) If $f, g \in R$, then $\max\{f, g\} \in R$ and $\min\{f, g\} \in R$.

This follows from (viii) and the formulas:

$$\max\{f, g\} = \frac{f + g + |f - g|}{2}; \quad \min\{f, g\} = \frac{f + g - |f - g|}{2}.$$

(x) Each member f of R can be written as the difference of two non-negative members of R .

In fact, $f = f^+ - f^-$, where $f^+ = \max\{f, 0\}$, $f^- = -\min\{f, 0\}$.

(xi) Each member f of R can be written in the form $f = 1/f_1 - 1/f_2$, where f_1 and f_2 are bounded positive functions in R .

Indeed, let

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{f^+ + 1}; \quad f_2 = \frac{1}{f^- + 1},$$

where f^+ and f^- have the same meaning as in the proof of (x).

(xii) For each f in R there is a continuous function h defined on $F_R(X)$ such that $f(p) = h(F_R(p))$ for each p in X .

In virtue of (x), one can assume that f is a non-negative function. Then, by 3° , $g = 1/(f+1) \in R^*$ (see the definition of the mapping F_R given at the beginning of this paper). Let

$$h(a) = \frac{1 - p_g(a)}{p_g(a)},$$

where $p_g(a)$ denotes the g th coordinate of a point $a \in F_R(X)$. Then h is the required function (this function is well-defined on $F_R(X)$, since the g th coordinate of the point $a \in F_R(X)$ lies in the interval $0 < t \leq 1$).

THEOREM 2. If R is a linear ring of continuous real-valued functions defined on a topological space X which satisfies the conditions 1° - 3° , then each non-trivial linear multiplicative functional φ defined on R is of the form $(*)$ if and only if $F_R(X)$ is Q -closed in $\overline{F_R(X)}$.

Proof. Suppose that $F_R(X)$ is Q -closed in $\overline{F_R(X)}$ and let φ be a non-trivial linear multiplicative functional defined on X . Denote by R_1 the ring of all continuous functions defined on $\overline{F_R(X)}$. In virtue of (i) and (ii) a one-to-one correspondence can be established between bounded members of R and all members of R_1 ; corresponding functions f, h ($f \in R$, $h \in R_1$) satisfy the equality $f(p) = h(F_R(p))$ for each p in X . Let us set $\varphi_1(h) = \varphi(f)$. Then φ_1 is a non-trivial linear multiplicative functional defined on R_1 . Since $\overline{F_R(X)}$ is compact, there is a point $a_0 \in \overline{F_R(X)}$ such that $\varphi_1(h) = h(a_0)$ for each h in R_1 . We shall show that $a_0 \in F_R(X)$.

Indeed, if $a_0 \in \overline{F_R(X)} \setminus F_R(X)$, then, by (iii), there is a continuous function h defined on $\overline{F_R(X)}$ such that $h(a_0) = 0$ and $h(a) \neq 0$ for each a in $F_R(X)$. Let f be the function in R which corresponds to h . Then $f(p) \neq 0$ for each p in X , whence, by 3° , $1/f \in R$, and it follows that $\varphi(f) \neq 0$. On the other hand, $\varphi(f) = \varphi_1(h) = h(a_0) = 0$ and this leads to a contradiction.

Now, let p_0 be any point in X with $F_R(p_0) = a_0$. Using (i) and the definition of the functional φ_1 , one can easily show that $\varphi(f) = f(p_0)$ for each bounded function f in R . Using (xi) we infer that the above equality holds true for each function f in R . Thus the first part of our theorem is proved.

Conversely, suppose that $F_R(X)$ is not Q -closed in $\overline{F_R(X)}$. Then, by (iii), there is a point $a_0 \in \overline{F_R(X)} \setminus F_R(X)$ such that for each continuous function h defined on $\overline{F_R(X)}$ which is strictly positive on $F_R(X)$ we have $h(a_0) > 0$. Let f be any member of R . By (xii), there is a continuous function h defined on $F_R(X)$ such that $f(p) = h(F_R(p))$ for each p in X . We shall show that h admits a continuous extension over $F_R(X) \cup \{a_0\}$. In fact, let

$$f = \frac{1}{f_1} - \frac{1}{f_2},$$

where f_1, f_2 are bounded positive functions in R . By (i), there are continuous functions h_1, h_2 defined on whole $\overline{F_R(X)}$ such that $f_i(p) = h_i(F_R(p))$ for each p in X ($i = 1, 2$). Since h_i ($i = 1, 2$) are strictly positive on $F_R(X)$, $h_i(a_0) > 0$ ($i = 1, 2$) and it follows that the function $\frac{1}{h_1} - \frac{1}{h_2}$ is continuous on $F_R(X) \cup \{a_0\}$ and clearly it is an extension of h .

Let us set $\varphi(f) = h^*(a_0)$, where h^* is the continuous extension of h over $F_R(X) \cup \{a_0\}$. Then φ is a non-trivial linear multiplicative functional defined on R . Using (iii), it is easy to show that φ is not of the form (*).

V. Consequences of theorem 2. THEOREM 3. *If X is a Lindelöf space and R is any linear ring of continuous functions defined on X satisfying the conditions 1° - 3° , then each non-trivial linear multiplicative functional φ defined on R is of the form (*).*

Conversely, if X is not a Lindelöf space, then there is a linear ring R of continuous functions on X satisfying the conditions 1° - 3° and a non-trivial linear multiplicative functional φ defined on R which is not of the form ().*

Proof. If X is a Lindelöf space, then each continuous image of X is a Lindelöf space and a Lindelöf space is Q -closed in each of its compactifications (clearly $\overline{F_R(X)}$ is a compactification on $F_R(X)$).

Conversely, if X is not a Lindelöf space, then there is a compactification bX of X such that X is not Q -closed in bX . Let R be the least ring satisfying the conditions 1° - 3° that contains all functions on X which admit a continuous extension over bX . Then F_R is a homeomorphism and F_R can be extended to a continuous mapping of bX onto $\overline{F_R(X)}$. It follows that $F_R(X)$ is not Q -closed in $\overline{F_R(X)}$.

THEOREM 4. *If R_1, R_2 are linear rings of continuous functions on X satisfying the conditions 1° - 3° , R_1 distinguishes points and closed sets (*) and $R_1 \subset R_2$, then if each non-trivial linear multiplicative functional φ on R_1 is of the form (*), the same holds true for the ring R_2 .*

Proof. The mappings F_{R_1} and F_{R_2} are homeomorphisms, whence $\overline{F_{R_1}(X)}$ and $\overline{F_{R_2}(X)}$ can be regarded as compactifications of X . One can easily verify that $\overline{F_{R_1}(X)} \leq \overline{F_{R_2}(X)}$, whence the statement of the theorem follows directly from Theorem 2 and (iv).

References

- [1] E. Hewitt, *Rings of real-valued continuous function I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 64 (1948), p. 45-99.
- [2] — *Linear functionals on spaces of continuous functions*, Fund. Math. 37 (1950), p. 161-189.
- [3] S. Mrówka, *Some properties of Q -spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Cl. III, 10 (1957), p. 947-950.
- [4] M. H. Stone, *Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to the general topology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 41 (1937), p. 375-481.

(*) I. e. for each closed set $A \subset X$ and each point $p \in X \setminus A$ there is a f in R with $f(p) \notin \overline{f(A)}$.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY POLSKIEJ AKADEMII NAUK
MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Reçu par la Rédaction le 4. 11. 1957