

## On the convergence of nets of sets

by

S. Mrówka (Warszawa)

The topological convergence of net<sup>(1)</sup> of subsets of a topological space  $X$  may be defined in the same manner as the topological convergence of a sequence of sets: if  $\{A_n, n \in D\}$  is a net of subsets of  $X$ , then  $\text{Li}A_n$  ( $\text{Ls}A_n$ ) is defined as the set of all  $x \in X$  such that every neighbourhood of  $x$  intersects  $A_n$  for almost all (arbitrarily large)  $n$ <sup>(2)</sup>. A net  $\{A_n, n \in D\}$  is said to be topologically convergent (to a set  $A$ ) if  $\text{Ls}A_n = \text{Li}A_n (= A)$  and in this case the set  $A$  will be denoted by  $\text{Lim}A_n$ .

Hausdorff ([2], p. 145) has shown that if  $X$  is a compact metric space, then in the space  $2^X$  consisting of all closed non-empty subsets of  $X$  a metric may be defined such that the convergence of sequences of sets induced by this metric<sup>(3)</sup> coincides with topological convergence. This result has been generalized by Watson [7] who has shown that if  $X$  is a locally compact separable metric space then another metric may be defined in  $2^X$  which induces topological convergence. Watson has also shown that if  $X$  is not locally compact, then the space  $2^X$  considered as a  $L^*$ -space (see [4], p. 89 and p. 274) topological convergence is not a topological space.

The present paper is devoted to generalizations of the above results. It will be shown that:

---

(1) A net is a function defined on a directed set (a partially ordered set  $D$  is called directed if for every  $n_1, n_2 \in D$  an element  $n \in D$  may be found such that  $n_1 \prec n, n_2 \prec n$ , where  $\prec$  is the relation which partially orders the set  $D$ ). If a net defined on  $D$  assigns to an element  $n \in D$  an element  $x_n$ , then it will be denoted by  $\{x_n, n \in D\}$  (see [3], p. 65).

(2) We say that a statement  $T$  on elements of a directed set  $D$  is fulfilled for almost all  $n \in D$  if an element  $n_0 \in D$  may be found such that  $T$  is fulfilled for every  $n \succ n_0$ ;

arbitrarily large  $n \in D$  if the set of all  $n \in D$  for which  $T$  is fulfilled is cofinal with  $D$ .

(3) We say that a metric  $\rho$  (a topology  $\mathcal{T}$ ) for a set  $X$  induces a certain convergence of nets in  $X$  of some sort if each net in  $X$  of that sort is convergent with respect to this convergence if and only if it is convergent with respect to the metric  $\rho$  (the topology  $\mathcal{T}$ ).

1. If  $X$  is a Hausdorff bicomcompact space, then the Vietoris topology in  $2^X$  (the basis of the Vietoris topology in  $2^X$  consists of all sets of the form  $\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle$ , where  $U_i$  are arbitrary open sets in  $X$  and  $\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle = \bigcup_{A \in 2^X} (A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i; A \cap U_i \neq \emptyset; i = 1, \dots, n)$  (see [6] and [5], p. 153) induces the topological convergence of nets of sets and that this is not the case if  $X$  is locally bicomcompact without being bicomcompact.

2. If  $X$  is a locally bicomcompact space, then another topology may be defined in  $2^X$  which induces the topological convergence of nets of sets.

3. If  $X$  is not locally bicomcompact, then there exists no topology in  $2^X$  which induces the topological convergence of nets of sets.

**I. Some properties of topological limits.**

1.  $\text{Li } A_n \subset \text{Ls } A_n$ .

This property is obvious.

2. If a net  $\{A_n, n \in D\}$  topologically converges to  $A$  and  $E$  is a cofinal subset of  $D$ , then the net  $\{A_n, n \in E\}$  (4) is also topologically convergent and  $\text{Lim}_{n \in E} A_n = A$ .

Proof. If  $x \in A$  then every neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  intersects  $A_n$  for almost all  $n \in D$ ; thus  $U$  intersects  $A_n$  for almost all  $n \in E$  and  $x \in \text{Li } A_n$ , whence  $A \subset \text{Li } A_n$ . If  $x \notin A$  then there exists a neighbourhood  $U_0$  of  $x$  that is disjoint with  $A_n$  for almost all  $n \in D$ ; thus  $U_0$  is disjoint with  $A_n$  for almost all  $n \in E$  and  $x_0 \notin \text{Ls } A_n$ , whence  $\text{Ls } A_n \subset A$ . From  $A \subset \text{Li } A_n$  and  $\text{Ls } A_n \subset A$  it follows that the net  $\{A_n, n \in E\}$  is topologically convergent and  $\text{Lim}_{n \in E} A_n = A$ .

3. If  $F$  is closed and  $A_n \subset F$  for all  $n \in D$ , then  $\text{Ls } A_n \subset F$ .

In fact, if  $x \in \text{Ls } A_n$ , then every neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  intersects some  $A_n$ ; thus  $U$  contains points of  $F$  and  $x \in F$ .

4.  $\text{Ls } A_n = \bigcap_{m \in D} \bigcup_{n \geq m} \overline{A_n}$ .

Proof. If  $x \in \text{Ls } A_n$ , then for each  $m \in D$  and each neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  there is an  $n \geq m$  such that  $U$  intersects  $A_n$ , whence  $U$  intersects  $\bigcup_{n \geq m} \overline{A_n}$ ; thus  $x \in \bigcup_{n \geq m} \overline{A_n}$  for each  $m$  and it follows that  $x \in \bigcap_{m \in D} \bigcup_{n \geq m} \overline{A_n}$ . If

$x \notin \text{Ls } A_n$ , then there is a neighbourhood  $U_0$  of  $x$  and  $m_0 \in D$  such that  $U_0$  intersects no  $A_n$  with  $n \geq m_0$ , whence  $x \notin \bigcup_{n \geq m_0} \overline{A_n}$ .

5. If  $A_n \subset A_{n'}$  for  $n, n' \in D; n \leq n'$ , then the net  $\{A_n, n \in D\}$  is topologically convergent and  $\text{Lim}_{n \in D} A_n = \bigcap_{n \in D} \overline{A_n}$ .

Proof. Clearly,  $\bigcap_{n \in D} \overline{A_n} \subset \text{Li } A_n$ . On the other hand, from formula 4 follows  $\text{Ls } A_n \bigcup_{m \in D} \overline{A_m}$ .

6.  $\text{Ls } (A_n \cup B_n) = \text{Ls } A_n \cup \text{Ls } B_n$ .

Proof. If  $x \in \text{Ls } (A_n \cup B_n)$  and  $x \notin \text{Ls } A_n$ , then there are a neighbourhood  $U_0$  of  $x$  and an index  $n_0$  such that  $U_0 \cap A_n = \emptyset$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . If  $U$  is an arbitrary neighbourhood of  $x$ , then  $U_0 \cap U$  intersects  $A_n \cup B_n$  for arbitrarily large  $n$ . But  $U_0 \cap A_n = \emptyset$  for  $n \geq n_0$  implies that  $U$  intersects  $B_n$  for arbitrarily large  $n$ ; thus  $x \in \text{Ls } B_n$  and  $\text{Ls } (A_n \cup B_n) \subset \text{Ls } A_n \cup \text{Ls } B_n$ . If  $x \notin \text{Ls } (A_n \cup B_n)$ , then there is a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$  which is disjoint with  $A_n \cup B_n$  for almost all  $n$  and it follows that  $x \notin \text{Ls } A_n$

and  $x \notin \text{Ls } B_n$ , whence  $\text{Ls } (A_n \cup B_n) \supset \text{Ls } A_n \cup \text{Ls } B_n$ .

7.  $\text{Li } (A_n \cup B_n) \subset \text{Li } A_n \cup \text{Li } B_n$ .

Proof.  $A_n \subset A_n \cup B_n$  implies  $\text{Li } A_n \subset \text{Li } (A_n \cup B_n)$ .

8. If nets  $\{A_n, n \in D\}$  and  $\{B_n, n \in D\}$  are topologically convergent, then the net  $\{A_n \cup B_n, n \in D\}$  is topologically convergent and

$$\text{Lim}_{n \in D} (A_n \cup B_n) = \text{Lim}_{n \in D} A_n \cup \text{Lim}_{n \in D} B_n$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of formulae 6 and 7.

**II. lbc-topology in  $2^X$ .** In this section  $X$  is supposed to be locally bicomcompact, and  $2^X$  consists of all closed non-empty subsets of  $X$ . The basis of the lbc-topology in  $2^X$  of all sets of the form  $[U_1, \dots, U_n; V_1, \dots, V_k]$  where  $U_i$  and  $V_j$  are arbitrary open sets in  $X$  with a bicomcompact closure and

$$[U_1, \dots, U_n; V_1, \dots, V_k] = \bigcup_{A \in 2^X} (A \cap U_i \neq \emptyset; A \cap \overline{V_j} = \emptyset; i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, k),$$

A basis  $\mathfrak{B}$  of  $X$  is called bicomcompact if for every  $U \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\overline{U}$  is bicomcompact.

**THEOREM 1.** If  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a bicomcompact basis, then the family of all  $[U_1, \dots, U_n; V_1, \dots, V_k]$  where  $U_i, V_j \in \mathfrak{B}$  is a basis for the lbc-topology in  $2^X$ .

Proof. Let  $A \in [U_1, \dots, U_n; V_1, \dots, V_k]$  where  $U_i$  and  $V_j$  are arbitrary open sets with a bicomcompact closure. It must be shown that there

(4) Obviously a cofinal subset of a directed set is also a directed set; thus  $\{A_n, n \in E\}$  is, in fact, a net.

exist  $U_1^*, \dots, U_n^*, V_1^*, \dots, V_s^* \in \mathfrak{B}$  such that  $A \in [U_1^*, \dots, U_n^*; V_1^*, \dots, V_s^*] \subset [U_1, \dots, U_n; V_1, \dots, V_k]$ . Let us take  $U_i^* \in \mathfrak{B}$  such that  $U_i^* \subset U_i$  and  $A \cap U_i^* \neq \emptyset$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Since  $A \cap \bar{V}_j = \emptyset$ , for every  $x \in \bar{V}_j$  there exists  $V_j^* \in \mathfrak{B}$  such that  $x \in V_j^*$  and  $\bar{V}_j$  is disjoint with  $A$ . Since  $\bar{V}_j \subset \bigcup_{x \in \bar{V}_j} V_j^*$  and  $\bar{V}_j$  is bicomcompact, there exists a finite system  $V_j^{*1}, \dots, V_j^{*r_j}$  such that  $\bar{V}_j \subset V_j^{*1} \cup \dots \cup V_j^{*r_j}$ . Obviously

$$A \in [U_1^*, \dots, U_n^*; V_1^{*1}, \dots, V_1^{*r_1}, V_2^{*1}, \dots, V_2^{*r_2}, \dots, V_k^{*1}, \dots, V_k^{*r_k}] \subset [U_1, \dots, U_n; V_1, \dots, V_k].$$

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $X$  is a bicomcompact space, then the Vietoris topology in  $2^X$  coincides with the lbc-topology.*

*Proof.* Let  $A \in \langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle$ . The set  $C = X \setminus (U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n)$  is bicomcompact and disjoint with  $A$ , whence there exists a finite system  $V_1, \dots, V_k$  of open sets that have closures disjoint with  $A$  such that  $C \subset V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k$ . Obviously  $A \in [U_1, \dots, U_n; V_1, \dots, V_k] \subset \langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle$ .

Conversely, let  $A \in [U_1, \dots, U_n; V_1, \dots, V_k]$ . Setting  $U_i^* = U_i \setminus (\bar{V}_1 \cup \dots \cup \bar{V}_k)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) and  $U_{n+1}^* = X \setminus (\bar{V}_1 \cup \dots \cup \bar{V}_k)$  we have  $A \in \langle U_1^*, \dots, U_n^*, U_{n+1}^* \rangle \subset [U_1, \dots, U_n; V_1, \dots, V_k]$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *The space  $2^X$  with a lbc-topology is locally bicomcompact.*

*Proof.* Let  $X_1 = X \cup \{a\}$  be the Aleksandroff one-point bicomcompactification of the space  $X$  (see [1] and [3], p. 150). The space  $\mathfrak{X}_1 = 2^{X_1}$  with the Vietoris topology is bicomcompact (see [5], p. 161). Let  $\mathfrak{X}'$  be the set of all  $A \in \mathfrak{X}_1$  such that  $a \in A$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_2 = \mathfrak{X}' \setminus \{a\}$ . The set  $\mathfrak{X}'$  is closed in  $\mathfrak{X}_1$ , whence it is bicomcompact and it follows that  $\mathfrak{X}_2$  is locally bicomcompact. We shall show that  $2^X$  (with a lbc-topology) is homeomorphic to  $\mathfrak{X}_2$ . Let  $h$  be the mapping that assigns to a set  $A \in 2^X$  the set  $A \cup \{a\}$ . Obviously,  $h$  is a one-to-one mapping and  $h(2^X) = \mathfrak{X}_2$  (if  $A$  is a closed subset of  $X$ , then  $A \cup \{a\}$  is a closed subset of  $X_1$ ). Let  $\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle$  be an arbitrary neighbourhood of  $A \cup \{a\}$  in  $\mathfrak{X}_2$  and let  $U_{i_r}, \dots, U_{i_s}$  denote all the sets among  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  that do not contain  $a$ . For every  $i_r$  ( $r = 1, \dots, s$ ) there exists an open set  $U_r^*$  of  $X$  with a bicomcompact closure in  $X$  such that  $A \cap U_r^* \neq \emptyset$  and  $U_r^* \subset U_{i_r}$ . Let  $C = X_1 \setminus (U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n)$ . We have  $a \notin C$ , whence  $C \subset X$ . Since  $C$  is bicomcompact and  $A \cap C = \emptyset$ , there exists a finite system  $V_1, \dots, V_k$  of open sets of  $X$  having bicomcompact closures in  $X$  such that  $C \subset V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k$  and  $A \cap \bar{V}_j = \emptyset$  ( $j = 1, \dots, k$ ). It follows that  $U = [U_1^*, \dots, U_s^*; V_1, \dots, V_k]$  is a neighbourhood of  $A$  in  $2^X$  and  $h(U) \subset \langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle$ . Conversely, if  $U = [U_1, \dots, U_n; V_1, \dots, V_k]$  is an arbitrary neighbourhood of  $A$  in  $2^X$ , then setting  $U_i^* = U_i \setminus (\bar{V}_1 \cup \dots \cup \bar{V}_k)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) and  $U_{n+1}^* = X_1 \setminus (\bar{V}_1 \cup \dots \cup \bar{V}_k)$  and  $U^* = \langle U_1^*, \dots, U_n^*, U_{n+1}^* \rangle$  we see that  $U^*$  is a neighbourhood of  $A \cup \{a\}$  in  $\mathfrak{X}_2$  and  $h^{-1}(U^*) \subset U$ .



**THEOREM 4.** *lbc-topology in  $2^X$  induces the topological convergence of nets of sets.*

*Proof.* Let us agree to write  $A_n \rightarrow A$  if a net  $\{A_n, n \in D\}$  is convergent to  $A$  in the sense of lbc-topology.

Let  $\{A_n, n \in D\}$  be a net of closed non-empty subsets of  $X$  and let  $A_n \rightarrow A$ . If  $x \notin A$  then there exists a neighbourhood  $V_1$  of  $x$  with a bicomcompact closure and disjoint with  $A$ . Let  $U_1$  be an arbitrary neighbourhood with a bicomcompact closure which intersects  $A$ . Since  $A \in [U_1; V_1]$ ,  $A_n \in [U_1; V_1]$  for almost all  $n \in D$  and  $A_n \cap \bar{V}_1 = \emptyset$  for almost all  $n \in D$  and  $x \in \text{Ls } A_n$ . Hence  $\text{Ls } A_n \subset A$ . Let  $x \in A$  and let  $U$  be an arbitrary neighbourhood of  $x$ . There exists a neighbourhood  $U_1$  of  $x$  with a bicomcompact closure that is contained in  $U$ . Since  $A \in [U_1; \ ]$ ,  $A_n \in [U_1; \ ]$  for almost all  $n \in D$  and  $A_n \cap U_1 \neq \emptyset$ , whence  $A_n \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for almost all  $n \in D$  and  $x \in \text{Li } A_n$ . Thus  $A \subset \text{Li } A_n$ . From  $\text{Ls } A_n \subset A$  and  $A \subset \text{Li } A_n$  it follows that  $\text{Lim } A_n = A$ .

Let  $\text{Ls } A_n \subset A \subset \text{Li } A_n$  and let  $[U_1, \dots, U_s; V_1, \dots, V_k]$  be an arbitrary neighbourhood of  $A$  in  $2^X$ . Suppose  $A_n \cap U_i = \emptyset$  for some  $i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, s$ ) and for arbitrarily large  $n \in D$  and let  $E = \bigcap_{n \in D} (A_n \cap U_i = \emptyset)$ . The set  $E$  is cofinal with  $D$ , whence, by the property 2,  $\text{Lim } A_n = A$ . But  $A_n \subset X \setminus U_i$  for all  $n \in E$  and the set  $X \setminus U_i$  is closed, whence it follows, by the property 3, that  $A \subset X \setminus U_i$ , and this contradicts  $A \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $A_n \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, s$ ) and for almost all  $n \in D$ . Suppose  $A \cap \bar{V}_j \neq \emptyset$  for some  $j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, k$ ) and for arbitrarily large  $n \in D$ . Let  $E_1 = \bigcap_{n \in D} (A_n \cap \bar{V}_j \neq \emptyset)$ . The set  $E_1$  is cofinal with  $D$ , whence  $\text{Lim } A_n = A$ . For every  $n \in E_1$ , there exists a point  $x_n$  that belongs to  $A_n \cap \bar{V}_j$  and for  $\bar{V}_j$  is bicomcompact; there exists a point  $x_0$  that is a cluster point (see [3], p. 71) of the net  $\{x_n, n \in E_1\}$ . Obviously every neighbourhood of  $x_0$  intersects  $A_n$  for arbitrarily large  $n \in E_1$ , whence  $x_0 \in \text{Ls } A_n = \text{Lim } A_n = A$ . On the other hand,  $x_0 \in \bar{V}_j$ , which contradicts  $A \cap \bar{V}_j = \emptyset$ . Thus  $A_n \cap \bar{V}_j = \emptyset$  for all  $j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, k$ ) and for almost all  $n \in D$ . Finally,  $A_n \in [U_1, \dots, U_s; V_1, \dots, V_k]$  for almost all  $n \in D$  and  $A_n \rightarrow A$ .

From Theorems 2 and 4 follows

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $X$  is a bicomcompact space, then the Vietoris topology in  $2^X$  induces the topological convergence of nets of sets.*

**THEOREM 6.** *If  $X$  is a locally bicomcompact space without being bicomcompact, then the Vietoris topology in  $2^X$  does not induce the topological convergence of nets of sets.*

*Proof.* Let  $X_1 = X \cup \{a\}$  be the one-point bicomcompactification of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is not bicomcompact, the point  $a$  is not isolated in  $X_1$ , whence there

exists a net  $\{x_n, n \in D\}$  of points of  $X$  that converges to  $a$ . Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point of  $X$  and let  $A_n = \{x_0, x_n\}$ . The net  $\{A_n, n \in D\}$  is topologically convergent to  $\{x_0\}$ , but it does not converge to  $\{x_0\}$  in the sense of the Vietoris topology.

**III. Application to the theory of continua.** A completely regular space is said to be a *continuum* if it is bicomact and connected.

**THEOREM 7.** *The set of all continua of a bicomact space  $X$  is closed in  $2^X$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be a closed non-connected subset of  $X$  and let  $A = H_1 \cup H_2$ , where  $H_1, H_2$  are closed and  $H_1 \cap H_2 = 0$ . Then there are open sets  $U_1, U_2 \subset X$  with  $H_1 \subset U_1, H_2 \subset U_2; U_1 \cap U_2 = 0$ . Clearly  $\langle U_1, U_2 \rangle$  is a neighbourhood of  $A$  and for each  $B \in \langle U_1, U_2 \rangle$ ,  $B$  is non-connected.

An immediate consequence of the preceding theorem and theorem 6 is the following:

**THEOREM 8.** *If  $\{A_n, n \in D\}$  is a topologically convergent net of continua of a bicomact space, then  $\text{Lim}_{n \in D} A_n$  is a continuum.*

**THEOREM 9.** *If  $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a monotone family of continua (i. e.,  $A_i \subset A_{i'}$  or  $A_i \supset A_{i'}$  for each  $i, i' \in I$ ), then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$  is a continuum.*

**Proof.** Let us agree that  $i \leq i'$  in  $I$  if and only if  $A_i \supset A_{i'}$ . Then the set  $I$  is directed. Let  $i_0$  be an arbitrary element of  $I$  and let  $I_0 = \{i \in I \mid i \geq i_0\}$ . By formula 5,  $\text{Lim}_{i \in I} A_i = \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = \bigcap_{i \in I_0} A_i$ . On the other hand,  $A_i \subset A_{i_0}$  for each  $i \in I_0$ , and  $A_{i_0}$  is bicomact, whence, by theorem 7,  $\text{Lim}_{i \in I_0} A_i$  is a continuum.

**IV. Topological convergence of nets of sets of a non-locally bicomact space.** In this section we shall prove the following theorem:

**THEOREM 10.** *If  $X$  is a non-locally bicomact space, then there exists no topology in  $2^X$  which induces the topological convergence of nets of sets ( $X$  is supposed to be regular).*

By the product  $P D_i$  of directed sets  $D_i$  we understand the set of all functions  $f$  defined on  $I$  such that  $f(i) \in D_i$  for every  $i \in I$  partly ordered by the relation " $f \leq g$  in  $P D_i$  if and only if  $f(i) \leq g(i)$  in  $D_i$  for each  $i \in I$ " (we write " $n \leq n'$  in  $D$ " for elements  $n, n'$  belonging to the directed set  $D$  if and only if  $n = n'$  or the element  $n$  precedes the element  $n'$  in the sense of the relation which partly orders the set  $D$ ). The product of two directed sets  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  will be denoted by  $D_1 \times D_2$ .

It is known that a convergence of nets of elements of a set  $\mathfrak{X}$  induced by some topology in  $\mathfrak{X}$  satisfies the following condition:

(W) *Let  $D$  be a directed set and suppose that for every  $n \in D$  a directed set  $E_n$  is given, and let  $E = \prod_{n \in D} E_n$ . Suppose that to every pair  $\langle n, m \rangle$ , where  $n \in D, m \in E_n$ , an element  $x_{nm} \in \mathfrak{X}$  is assigned. If, for every  $n \in D$ , the net  $\{x_{nm}, m \in E_n\}$  is convergent to  $x_n$  and the net  $\{x_n, n \in D\}$  is convergent to  $x$ , then the net  $\{y_{\langle n, f \rangle}, \langle n, f \rangle \in D \times E\}$  where  $y_{\langle n, f \rangle} = x_{n, f(n)}$  is convergent to  $x$  (see [3], p. 69).*

To prove Theorem 10 we shall show that the topological convergence of closed subsets of  $X$  does not satisfy the condition (W).

**LEMMA 1.** *For every two directed sets  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  there exist a directed set  $D$  and functions  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  such that  $\varphi_i$  maps  $D$  onto  $D_i$  and  $\varphi_i(n) \leq \varphi_i(n')$  in  $D_i$  for every  $n \leq n'$  in  $D$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).*

**Proof.** Let  $D = D_1 \times D_2$  and  $\varphi_i(n) = n_i$  for  $n = \langle n_1, n_2 \rangle \in D$  and  $i = 1, 2$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $\{y_k, k \in D_1\}$  is a net of points of a topological space  $X$  which has no cluster point and  $\varphi$  is a function which maps a directed set  $D$  onto  $D_1$  in such a way that  $\varphi(n) \leq \varphi(n')$  in  $D_1$  for every  $n \leq n'$  in  $D$ , then the net  $\{x_n, n \in D\}$  where  $x_n = y_{\varphi(n)}$  also has no cluster point.*

**Proof.** Let  $x$  be an arbitrary point of  $X$ . Since  $x$  is not a cluster point of  $\{y_k, k \in D_1\}$ , there exist a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  and an element  $k_0 \in D_1$  such that  $y_k \in X \setminus U$  for every  $k \geq k_0$  in  $D_1$ . If  $n_0$  is an element of  $D$  such that  $\varphi(n_0) = k_0$ , then clearly  $x_n \in X \setminus U$  for every  $n \geq n_0$  in  $D$ ; thus  $x$  is not a cluster point of  $\{x_n, n \in D\}$ .

Now we shall show that if  $X$  is a regular non-locally bicomact space, then the topological convergence of nets of closed subsets of  $X$  does not satisfy the condition (W).

Let  $x_0$  be a point of  $X$  which has no neighbourhood with a bicomact closure and let  $D_1$  be a basis of neighbourhoods of  $x_0$ . Let us agree that  $U \leq U'$  in  $D_1$  if  $U \supset U'$ . Then  $D_1$  is a directed set. Let  $x_1$  be an arbitrary point of  $X$  which is different from  $x_0$  and let  $\{y_k, k \in D_2\}$  be a net of elements of  $X$  which has no cluster point. By Lemma 1 there exist a directed set  $D$  and functions  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  such that  $\varphi_i$  maps  $D$  onto  $D_i$  and  $\varphi_i(n) \leq \varphi_i(n')$  in  $D_i$  for every  $n \leq n'$  in  $D$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Let us set  $U_n = \varphi_1(n)$  and  $x_n = y_{\varphi_2(n)}$  for every  $n \in D$ . Then  $U_n \supset U_{n'}$  for  $n \leq n'$  in  $D$ . By Lemma 2, the net  $\{x_n, n \in D\}$  has no cluster point. Since  $\bar{U}_n$  is not bicomact, there exists a net  $\{x_m^{(n)}, m \in E_n\}$  of elements of  $\bar{U}_n$  which has no cluster point. Let us set  $A_{nm} = \{x_1\} \cup \{x_n\} \cup \{x_m^{(n)}\}$  for  $n \in D, m \in E_n$ . The net  $\{A_{nm}, m \in E_n\}$  is topologically convergent to  $A_n = \{x_1\} \cup \{x_n\}$  for every  $n \in D$  (since the net  $\{x_m^{(n)}, m \in E_n\}$  has no cluster point) and

the net  $\{A_n, n \in D\}$  is topologically convergent to  $A = \{x_1\}$  (since the net  $\{x_n, n \in D\}$  has no cluster point). Let  $E = \bigcup_{n \in D} E_n$  and let us consider the net  $\{A_{\langle n, f \rangle}, \langle n, f \rangle \in D \times E\}$ , where  $A_{\langle n, f \rangle} = A_{n, f(n)}$ . If  $U$  is an arbitrary neighbourhood of  $x_0$  and  $\bar{U}_{n_0} \subset U$  and  $f_0$  is an arbitrary element of  $E$ , then  $A_{\langle n, f \rangle} \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for every  $\langle n, f \rangle \geq \langle n_0, f_0 \rangle$  in  $D \times E$ , whence  $x_0$  belongs to  $\text{Li}_{\langle n, f \rangle \in D \times E} A_{\langle n, f \rangle}$  and the net  $\{A_{\langle n, f \rangle}, \langle n, f \rangle \in D \times E\}$  is not topologically convergent to  $A$ .

Remark. It is interesting to compare Theorem 8 with a result of Watson, who states that if  $X$  is a separable metric non-locally bicompact space, then the space  $2^X$  considered as a  $L^*$ -space with the topological convergence of sequences of sets is not a topological space. Let us consider the following examples:

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $X$  be the unit (closed) interval  $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$  with a discrete topology. Then  $2^X$  consists of all non-empty subsets of  $X$ . By Theorem 5, the lbc-topology in  $2^X$  induces the topological convergence of nets of sets (a set with a discrete topology is clearly a locally bicompact space), whence, in particular, the lbc-topology induces the topological convergence of sequences of sets. On the other hand, the space  $2^X$  considered as a  $L^*$ -space is not a topological space. In fact, the topological upper and lower limits of sequences of sets coincide respectively with the upper and lower limits in the sense of the set-theory (i. e.,  $\text{Li}A_n$

$= \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n$ ,  $\text{Ls}A_n = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n$ ). Let  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  be a subset of  $2^X$  consisting of all closed non-empty subsets of  $X$  (with the ordinary topology) and let  $A$  be a  $G_\delta$ -set which is not an  $F_\sigma$ -set. There exists a sequence  $G_1 \supset G_2 \supset \dots \supset G_n \supset \dots$  such that  $A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$  and for every  $n$  there exists a se-

quence  $A_{n1} \subset A_{n2} \subset \dots \subset A_{nm} \subset \dots$  of sets of  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  such that  $G_n = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{nm}$ .

We have  $G_n = \text{Lim}_m A_{nm}$  and  $A = \text{Lim}_n G_n$ , i. e.,  $A \in [[\mathfrak{X}_0]_L]_L$  ( $[[\mathfrak{X}]_L]$  denotes the limit-closure of a set  $\mathfrak{X} \subset 2^X$ ). But  $A \notin [[\mathfrak{X}_0]_L]$ . In fact,  $A \in [[\mathfrak{X}_0]_L]$  implies the existence of a sequence  $(B_n)$  of sets of  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  such that  $A = \text{Lim}_n B_n$ ,

i. e.,  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} B_n$ . But the set  $C_k = \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} B_n$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  and it follows that  $A$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set. Thus the space  $2^X$  considered as a  $L^*$ -space is not a topological space but there exists a topology in  $2^X$  which induces the topological convergence of arbitrary nets of sets, in particular there exists a topology in  $2^X$  which induces the topological convergence of sequence of sets.

EXAMPLE 2. Let  $X_1$  be a set of the power of the continuum and  $a$  a point which does not belong to  $X_1$ . Let us introduce a topology in the set  $X = X_1 \cup \{a\}$  taking as a basis of neighbourhoods the family consisting of all the one-point sets  $\{x\}$  where  $x \in X_1$  and all the sets of the form  $X \setminus S$  where  $S$  is an arbitrary enumerable subset of  $X_1$ . Then, as may easily be verified, the equalities  $\text{Ls}_n A_n = \text{Ls}A_n$  and  $\text{Li}_n A_n = \text{Li}A_n$  hold for every infinite sequence  $(A_n)$  of closed subsets of  $X$  ( $\text{Ls}A_n$  and  $\text{Li}A_n$  denote, respectively, the upper and the lower limits of the sequence

$(A_n)$  in the sense of the set-theory, i. e.,  $\text{Ls}A_n = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n$ ,  $\text{Li}A_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n$ )

and it follows that there exists a topology in  $2^X$  which induces the topological convergence of sequences of sets. (In fact, if  $Y$  is an arbitrary set considered as a discrete topological space, then  $\text{Ls}_n A_n = \text{Ls}A_n$ ,  $\text{Li}_n A_n = \text{Li}A_n$  for every sequence of subsets of  $Y$ . But a discrete space is locally bicompact and it follows that the convergence of sequences of sets induced by the lbc-topology in  $2^X$  coincides with convergence in the sense of the set-theory.) But  $X$  is not locally bicompact and it follows by Theorem 10 that there exists no topology in  $2^X$  which induces the topological convergence of arbitrary nets of sets. One may also show (in the same way as in Example 1) that the space  $2^X$  considered as a  $L^*$ -space is not a topological space.

The following problem arises:

PROBLEM. Suppose that  $2^X$  considered as a  $L^*$ -space is a topological space. Does the sequential topology in  $2^X$  induce the topological convergence of arbitrary nets of sets? (It is true if  $X$  is separable metric. In fact, in this case, if  $2^X$  considered as a  $L^*$ -space is a topological space, then  $X$  is locally bicompact, whence the lbc-topology in  $2^X$  induces the topological convergence of nets of sets. But  $X$  being separable metric implies that  $2^X$  with a lbc-topology is first countable, and it follows that the lbc-topology coincides with the sequential topology, whence the sequential topology in  $2^X$  induces the topological convergence of arbitrary nets of sets.)

## References

- [1] P. S. Aleksandroff, *Über die Metrisation der im Kleinen kompakten topologischen Räume*, Math. Ann. 92 (1924), p. 294-301.
- [2] F. Hausdorff, *Mengenlehre*, Berlin-Leipzig 1927.
- [3] J. L. Kelley, *General Topology*, New York 1955.
- [4] C. Kuratowski, *Topologie I*, Warszawa-Wrocław 1948.



[5] E. Michael, *Topologies on Spaces of Subsets*, TAMS 71 (1) (1951), p. 152-182.

[6] L. Vietoris, *Bereiche zweiter Ordnung*, Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik 33 (1923), p. 49-62.

[7] P. D. Watson, *On the Limits of Sequences of Sets*, Quart. Jour. Math. (2) 4 (1953), p. 1-3.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY POLSKIEJ AKADEMII NAUK  
MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Reçu par la Rédaction le 12. 4. 1957

## On the spaces of ideals of semirings

by

A. Białynicki-Birula (Warszawa)

1. L. Gillman (see [2]) has proved that if a structural set  $\mathcal{J}$  of ideals of a ring is a Hausdorff space under Stone topology, then every prime ideal which contains the intersection of ideals in  $\mathcal{J}$  is contained in at most one ideal of  $\mathcal{J}$ . It is easy to generalize this theorem to the case when  $R$  is a semiring (theorem 3.9). The principal result of this paper is the proof of a converse theorem for semirings  $R$  which are  $c$ -regular<sup>(1)</sup> (this class contains in particular distributive lattices, commutative rings and biregular rings) and for sets consisting exclusively of prime ideals of  $R$ . Moreover we give a few theorems on some topologies of families of sets having the finite character as well as some applications of those theorems to problems concerning spaces of ideals.

2. Let  $B$  be the set formed only of integers 0 and 1. Let  $B^1$  be the set  $B$  with the following definition of topology: open subsets of  $B$  are  $\emptyset$ <sup>(2)</sup>,  $\{0\}$  and  $\{0, 1\}$ . Let  $B^2$  be the set  $B$  with the Hausdorff topology.

We shall consider an arbitrary but fixed non-empty set  $R$  and a set  $\mathcal{J}$  of subsets of  $R$ . It is known that we can treat  $\mathcal{J}$  as a subset of  $\prod_{a \in R} B_a$  where  $B_a = B$  for every  $a \in R$  (we assign the characteristic function  $\chi_i \in \prod_{a \in R} B_a$  to each  $i \in \mathcal{J}$ ). Let  $\mathcal{J}^*$  denote the subset of  $\prod_{a \in R} B_a$  such that  $x \in \mathcal{J}^* \iff \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} (x = \chi_i)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{J}^1$  and  $\mathcal{J}^2$  denote respectively the set  $\mathcal{J}^*$  with the following definitions of topology:

1. a subset  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{J}^*$  is open if and only if there exists an open subset  $\mathcal{I}_1$  of  $\prod_{a \in R} B_a^1$  (where  $B_a^1 = B^1$  for every  $a \in R$ ) such that  $\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{J}^* = \mathcal{I}$ ;
2. a subset  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{J}^*$  is open if and only if there exists an open subset  $\mathcal{I}_1$  of  $\prod_{a \in R} B_a^2$  (where  $B_a^2 = B^2$  for every  $a \in R$ ) such that  $\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{J}^* = \mathcal{I}$ .

<sup>(1)</sup> This notion will be defined later.

<sup>(2)</sup>  $\emptyset$  denotes here the empty set.