

On the limiting probability distribution on a compact topological group

by

K. Urbanik (Wrocław)

I. Let G be a compact (not necessarily commutative) topological group. A regular completely additive measure μ defined on the class of all Borel subsets of G , with $\mu(G) = 1$, will be called a *probability distribution*. A sequence of probability distributions μ_1, μ_2, \dots is said to be *weakly convergent to a probability distribution* μ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_G f(x) \mu_n(dx) = \int_G f(x) \mu(dx)$$

for any complex-valued continuous function f defined on G .

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of independent G -valued random variables with the probability distributions μ_1, μ_2, \dots . Put

$$(1) \quad Y_n = X_1 \cdot X_2 \cdot \dots \cdot X_n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where the product is taken in the sense of group multiplication in G . Let us denote by ν_n the probability distribution of the random variable Y_n . It is well known that

$$\nu_n = \mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where the convolution $*$ is defined by the formula:

$$\nu * \lambda(E) = \int_G \nu(E \cdot x^{-1}) \lambda(dx).$$

The limiting distribution of the sequence of random variables Y_n is the weak limit of the sequence of the probability distributions $\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_n$.

We say that the sequence of probability distributions μ_1, μ_2, \dots is *normal* (by an analogy with normal numbers in the sense of Borel), if for every integer k exists a sequence of integers $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ such that

$$\mu_s = \mu_{n_j + s} \quad (s = 1, 2, \dots, k; j = 1, 2, \dots).$$

In particular, the sequence $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots$ is normal.

The aim of this paper is to find the class of all possible limiting distributions of (1) and the conditions of convergence when the sequence of probability distributions μ_1, μ_2, \dots is normal. This is an answer to a problem raised by A. Rényi (see [6]).

For the case of the additive group of real numbers modulo 1 and the finite commutative group the results are known (cf. [1], [5], and [8]). The results of this paper are connected to some extent with the work of Kawada and Ito [4], who discussed the convergence of probability distributions and stable distributions for the case of a compact separable group.

II. Let K_μ , where μ is a probability distribution on G , denote the class of all compact subsets E of G such that $\mu(E) = 1$. Put $A_\mu = \bigcap_{E \in K_\mu} E$. It is easy to see that A_μ is a compact subset of G . We shall prove that

$$(2) \quad \mu(A_\mu) = 1.$$

Let V be an arbitrary open set containing A_μ . Then, since

$$V \cup \bigcup_{E \in K_\mu} (G \setminus E) = G$$

and G is a compact set, there exists a finite covering of G :

$$V \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^n (G \setminus E_j) = G,$$

where $E_j \in K_\mu$. Consequently, $\mu(V) = \mu(G) = 1$. Then, according to the regularity of μ , the equality

$$\mu(A_\mu) = \inf_V \mu(V) = 1$$

is true. The formula (2) is thus proved. It is easy to see that the values $\mu(A)$ for $A \in \mathcal{A}_\mu$ determine the measure μ .

$[E]$ will denote the smallest closed subgroup of G containing E . We shall use the following notation:

$$AB = \{xy : x \in A, y \in B\}, \quad A^{-1} = \{x^{-1} : x \in A\}.$$

The following theorems will be proved:

THEOREM 1. *Let μ_1, μ_2, \dots be a normal sequence of probability distributions. If the sequence $\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_n$ weakly converges to ν , then ν is the Haar measure of the subgroup $A_\nu = [\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_{\mu_n}]$.*

THEOREM 2. *Let μ_1, μ_2, \dots be a normal sequence of probability distributions. The sequence $\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_n$ converges if and only if the equality*

$$(*) \quad [\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_{\mu_n}] = [\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty A_{\mu_1} A_{\mu_2} \dots A_{\mu_n} A_{\mu_n}^{-1} \dots A_{\mu_2}^{-1} A_{\mu_1}^{-1}]$$

holds.

In particular, for the case $\mu_n = \mu$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) we find that:

*The sequence $\mu, \mu * \mu, \mu * \mu * \mu, \dots$ converges if and only if the equality*

$$[A_\mu] = [A_\mu A_\mu^{-1}]$$

holds. The limiting distribution is the Haar measure of the subgroup $[A_\mu]$.

III. Before proving the Theorems we shall give some elementary properties of the characteristic function of the probability distribution.

$\mathfrak{A}(G)$ will denote the class of all continuous finitely dimensional unitary representations of the group G (see [7], chapter IV). $\mathfrak{A}_0(G)$ will denote the subset of $\mathfrak{A}(G)$ containing all the irreducible representations $U \neq 1$. The matrix-valued function

$$\varphi_\mu(U) = \int_G U(x) \mu(dx) \quad (U \in \mathfrak{A}(G))$$

is called the *characteristic function* of the probability distribution μ . If U is the unit representation: $U \equiv 1$, then $\varphi_\mu(U) = 1$. It is easy to prove that

$$\varphi_{\mu * \nu}(U) = \varphi_\mu(U) \cdot \varphi_\nu(U).$$

Let \mathcal{B} be the Banach space of all continuous complex-valued functions f in G with the norm $\|f\| = \max_{x \in G} |f(x)|$. The general form of linear functionals in \mathcal{B} satisfying following conditions:

$$L(f) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } f(x) \geq 0, \quad L(1) = 1,$$

is given by the formula

$$L(f) = L_\mu(f) = \int_G f(x) \mu(dx),$$

where μ is the uniquely determined probability distribution (see [3], p. 247, 248). The weak convergence of functionals L_{μ_n} is equivalent to the weak convergence of distributions μ_n .

\mathcal{D} will denote the set of all linear combinations of matrix elements of $U \in \mathfrak{A}_0(G)$ and $U \equiv 1$. According to the theorem of Peter-Weyl (see [7], § 21, 22) \mathcal{D} is a dense subset of \mathcal{B} . Hence the equality $\varphi_\mu(U) = \varphi_\nu(U)$ for $U \in \mathfrak{A}_0(G)$ implies $L_\mu(f) = L_\nu(f)$ for $f \in \mathcal{D}$, and, consequently, $\mu = \nu$. Thus the probability distribution is uniquely determined by the values of the characteristic function on $\mathfrak{A}_0(G)$. Obviously, if the sequence of distribu-

tions μ_1, μ_2, \dots weakly converges to the distribution μ , then the sequence of characteristic functions $\varphi_{\mu_1}(U), \varphi_{\mu_2}(U), \dots$ converges to $\varphi_{\mu}(U)$. Conversely, if $\varphi_{\mu_1}(U), \varphi_{\mu_2}(U), \dots$ converges to $\varphi_{\mu}(U)$ for $U \in \mathfrak{U}_0(G)$, then the sequence of linear functionals $L_{\mu_1}(f), L_{\mu_2}(f), \dots$ converges to $L_{\mu}(f)$ for $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and, according to the density of \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{B} , the sequence $L_{\mu_1}, L_{\mu_2}, \dots$ weakly converges to L_{μ} . We see that the weak convergence of the sequence of probability distributions is equivalent to the convergence of the sequence of characteristic functions for $U \in \mathfrak{U}_0(G)$.

IV. The norm of matrix $B = (b_{ij})$ will be defined by the following well known formula:

$$\|B\| = \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^n |b_{ij}|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

$\|\cdot\|$ is the submultiplicative norm. By e we shall denote the unit element of G .

LEMMA 1. *Let μ be a probability distribution and*

$$(3) \quad e \in A_{\mu}.$$

If $U \in \mathfrak{U}([A_{\mu}])$ and

$$(4) \quad \|\varphi_{\mu}(U)\| = 1,$$

then $U \notin \mathfrak{U}_0([A_{\mu}])$.

Proof. Let $U(x) = (u_{ij}(x))$. There is an integer k such that the equality

$$(5) \quad \|\varphi_{\mu}(U)\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \int_{A_{\mu}} u_{ik}(x) \mu(dx) \right|^2$$

is true. Since $U(x)$ is a unitary matrix, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |u_{ik}(x)|^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } x \in [A_{\mu}].$$

Hence, according to (4) and (5),

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\left| \int_{A_{\mu}} u_{ik}(x) \mu(dx) \right|^2 - \int_{A_{\mu}} |u_{ik}(x)|^2 \mu(dx) \right) = 0.$$

Since $u_{ik}(x)$ are continuous functions, then the last equality implies $u_{ik}(x) = \text{const}$ for $x \in A_{\mu}$. From condition (3) it follows that the equalities

$$u_{ik}(x) = u_{ik}(e) = \delta_{ik} \quad \text{for } x \in A_{\mu}, i=1, 2, \dots, n,$$

are satisfied. Then $\langle \delta_{1k}, \delta_{2k}, \dots, \delta_{nk} \rangle$ is the invariant vector under the transformations $U(x)$ for $x \in A_{\mu}$, and consequently for $x \in [A_{\mu}]$. The lemma is thus proved.

LEMMA 2. *The formula*

$$A_{\mu * \nu} = A_{\mu} \cdot A_{\nu}$$

is true for every probability distributions μ and ν .

Proof. The formulas

$$\mu * \nu(A_{\mu} \cdot A_{\nu}) = \int_{A_{\nu}} \mu(A_{\mu} \cdot A_{\nu} x^{-1}) \nu(dx)$$

and

$$A_{\mu} \cdot A_{\nu} x^{-1} \supset A_{\mu} \quad \text{for } x \in A_{\nu}$$

imply the inequality

$$\mu * \nu(A_{\mu} \cdot A_{\nu}) \geq \int_{A_{\nu}} \mu(A_{\mu}) \nu(dx) = 1.$$

Thus $\mu * \nu(A_{\mu} \cdot A_{\nu}) = 1$. Since $A_{\mu} \cdot A_{\nu}$ is the compact subset of G , therefore we obtain the following inclusion:

$$(6) \quad A_{\mu * \nu} \subset A_{\mu} \cdot A_{\nu}.$$

The equality

$$1 = \mu * \nu(A_{\mu * \nu}) = \int_{A_{\nu}} \mu(A_{\mu * \nu} \cdot x^{-1}) \nu(dx)$$

implies

$$\mu(A_{\mu * \nu} \cdot x^{-1}) = 1 \quad \text{for } x \in A_{\nu} \setminus N,$$

where

$$(7) \quad \nu(N) = 0.$$

Since $A_{\mu * \nu} x^{-1}$ is the compact subset of G , therefore we obtain

$$A_{\mu * \nu} x^{-1} \supset A_{\mu} \quad \text{for } x \in A_{\nu} \setminus N.$$

This implies

$$(8) \quad A_{\mu * \nu} \supset A_{\mu} \cdot (A_{\nu} \setminus N).$$

From the formula (7) and the definition of the set A_{ν} it follows that $A_{\nu} = \overline{A_{\nu} \setminus N}$. Consequently, in view of (8), we obtain

$$A_{\mu * \nu} \supset A_{\mu} \cdot A_{\nu}.$$

Then it follows from (6) that $A_{\mu * \nu} = A_{\mu} \cdot A_{\nu}$. The lemma is thus proved.

LEMMA 3. *If the sequence of probability distributions ν_1, ν_2, \dots weakly converges to ν , then*

$$A_{\nu} \subset \left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\nu_n} \right].$$

*If $\nu_n = \mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_n$, where μ_1, μ_2, \dots is a normal sequence, then $\nu * \nu = \nu$ and*

$$A_{\nu} = \left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\mu_n} \right].$$

Proof. ν is a regular measure and $[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\nu_n}]$ is a compact subset of G . Then, for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a continuous function f satisfying the following conditions:

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x) &= 1 \quad \text{for } x \in [\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\nu_n}], \\ \nu([\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\nu_n}]) &\geq \int_G f(x) \nu(dx) - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A_{\nu_n} \subset [\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\nu_n}]$, we have $\int_G f(x) \nu_n(dx) = 1$, and consequently

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_G f(x) \nu_n(dx) = \int_G f(x) \nu(dx) = 1.$$

According to (9),

$$\nu([\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\nu_n}]) = 1.$$

Then $A_{\nu} \subset [\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\nu_n}]$. The first part of the lemma is thus proved.

Let $\nu_n = \mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_n$, where μ_1, μ_2, \dots is a normal sequence. Let $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ be a sequence of integers such that

$$\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_k = \mu_{n_j+1} * \mu_{n_j+2} * \dots * \mu_{n_j+k} \quad (j=1, 2, \dots).$$

Then the equalities

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{n_j+k} = \nu,$$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{n_j+k} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{n_j} * \mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_k = \nu * \mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_k$$

imply

$$(10) \quad \nu = \nu * \mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_k.$$

Hence

$$\nu = \nu * \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_k = \nu * \nu.$$

From Lemma 2 it follows that $A_{\nu} = A_{\nu} \cdot A_{\nu}$. Hence A_{ν} is a compact semi-group. Thus in view of the theorem of Iwasawa (see [2]) A_{ν} is a subgroup of G . Equality (10) implies $\nu = \nu * \mu_n$ for every n . Consequently

$$A_{\nu} = A_{\nu} \cdot A_{\mu_n} \quad (n=1, 2, \dots).$$

Since A_{ν} is a subgroup of G , we have

$$A_{\nu} \supset A_{\mu_n} \quad (n=1, 2, \dots)$$

and, consequently,

$$A_{\nu} \supset [\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\mu_n}].$$

The last inclusion and

$$A_{\nu} \subset [\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_n}] \subset [\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\mu_n}]$$

imply

$$A_{\nu} = [\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\mu_n}].$$

The lemma is thus proved.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let ν be a limiting distribution of a sequence $\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_n$. From Lemma 3 it follows that $\nu * \nu = \nu$ and

$$A_{\nu} = [\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\mu_n}].$$

Hence

$$\varphi_{\nu}(U) \cdot \varphi_{\nu}(U) = \varphi_{\nu}(U) \quad \text{for } U \in \mathfrak{X}(A_{\nu}),$$

and consequently

$$(11) \quad \|\varphi_{\nu}(U)\| \leq \|\varphi_{\nu}(U)\|^2 \quad \text{for } U \in \mathfrak{X}(A_{\nu}).$$

Let $U \in \mathfrak{X}_0(A_{\nu})$. Then, in view of Lemma 1, $\|\varphi_{\nu}(U)\| < 1$. Hence, according to (11),

$$(12) \quad \varphi_{\nu}(U) = 0 \quad \text{for } U \in \mathfrak{X}_0(A_{\nu}).$$

Let λ be the Haar measure of the group A_{ν} , with $\lambda(A_{\nu}) = 1$. It is well known that the equality

$$\varphi_{\lambda}(U) = \int_{A_{\nu}} U(x) \lambda(dx) = 0 \quad \text{for } U \in \mathfrak{X}_0(A_{\nu})$$

holds (see [7]). From (12) it follows that

$$\varphi_{\nu}(U) = \varphi_{\lambda}(U) \quad \text{for } U \in \mathfrak{X}_0(A_{\nu}),$$

and consequently $\nu = \lambda$. Theorem 1 is thus proved.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let

$$y_n \in A_{\mu_1} \cdot A_{\mu_2} \cdot \dots \cdot A_{\mu_n} \quad (n=1, 2, \dots).$$

Then following formula

$$A_{\mu_1} A_{\mu_2} \cdot \dots \cdot A_{\mu_n} y_n^{-1} \subset A_{\mu_1} A_{\mu_2} \cdot \dots \cdot A_{\mu_n} A_{\mu_n}^{-1} \cdot \dots \cdot A_{\mu_2}^{-1} A_{\mu_1}^{-1} \subset [A_{\mu_1} A_{\mu_2} \cdot \dots \cdot A_{\mu_n} y_n^{-1}] \quad (n=1, 2, \dots)$$

is satisfied. Hence

$$[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\mu_1} A_{\mu_2} \cdot \dots \cdot A_{\mu_n} y_n^{-1}] = [\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\mu_1} A_{\mu_2} \cdot \dots \cdot A_{\mu_n} A_{\mu_n}^{-1} \cdot \dots \cdot A_{\mu_2}^{-1} A_{\mu_1}^{-1}].$$

Put

$$\lambda_n(E) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y_n^{-1} \in E, \\ 0 & \text{if } y_n^{-1} \notin E, \end{cases}$$

$$\pi_n = \mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_n * \lambda_n \quad (n=1, 2, \dots).$$

Then

$$A_{\pi_n} = A_{\mu_1} A_{\mu_2} \dots A_{\mu_n} y_n^{-1},$$

and consequently

$$(13) \quad \left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\pi_n} \right] = \left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\mu_1} A_{\mu_2} \dots A_{\mu_n} A_{\mu_n}^{-1} \dots A_{\mu_2}^{-1} A_{\mu_1}^{-1} \right].$$

Moreover,

$$(14) \quad \varphi_{\pi_n}(U) = \varphi_{\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_n}(U) U(y_n^{-1}) \quad (n=1, 2, \dots).$$

The necessity of the condition (*). Let a sequence $\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_n$ weakly converge to ν . From Theorem 1 it follows that ν is the Haar measure of the subgroup

$$(15) \quad A_\nu = \left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\mu_n} \right].$$

Moreover,

$$\varphi_{\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_n}(U) \rightarrow \varphi_\nu(U) = 0 \quad \text{for } U \in \mathfrak{U}_0(A_\nu).$$

Then formula (14) implies

$$\|\varphi_{\pi_n}(U)\| \leq \|\varphi_{\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_n}(U)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } U \in \mathfrak{U}_0(A_\nu).$$

Hence

$$\varphi_{\pi_n}(U) \rightarrow 0 = \varphi_\nu(U) \quad \text{for } U \in \mathfrak{U}_0(A_\nu),$$

and consequently the sequence π_n weakly converges to ν . Then in view of Lemma 3 it follows that

$$(16) \quad A_\nu \subset \left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\pi_n} \right].$$

The formulas (13), (15) and (16) imply the condition (*).

The sufficiency of the condition (*). Suppose that the condition (*) is satisfied. Then, according to (13),

$$(17) \quad \left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\pi_n} \right] = \left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\mu_n} \right].$$

Let $U \in \mathfrak{U}(\left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\pi_n} \right])$. If $\|\varphi_{\pi_n}(U)\| = 1$ for every n , then, according to Lemma 1, $U \in \mathfrak{U}_0(A_{\pi_n})$ for every n . Since $[A_{\pi_{n+1}}] \supset [A_{\pi_n}]$, we have $U \in \mathfrak{U}_0(\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^n A_{\pi_j} \right])$ for every n , and consequently $U \in \mathfrak{U}_0(\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_{\pi_j} \right])$. Hence,

according to (17), $U \in \mathfrak{U}_0(\left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\mu_n} \right])$. Therefore, if $U \in \mathfrak{U}_0(\left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\mu_n} \right])$, then there is such an integer k that $\|\varphi_{\mu_k}(U)\| < 1$ and by (14)

$$(18) \quad \|\varphi_{\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_k}(U)\| < 1.$$

Let n_1, n_2, \dots be a sequence of integers such that

$$\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_k = \mu_{n_1+1} * \mu_{n_1+2} * \dots * \mu_{n_1+k} \quad (j=1, 2, \dots),$$

$$n_j + k < n_{j+1} \quad (j=1, 2, \dots).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\|\varphi_{\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_n}(U)\| \leq \|\varphi_{\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_k}(U)\|^{n_j+k} \quad \text{for } n_j + k \leq n.$$

Then, according to (18), $\varphi_{\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_n}(U) \rightarrow 0$ for $U \in \mathfrak{U}_0(\left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\mu_n} \right])$.

The convergence of the sequence of characteristic functions

$$\varphi_{\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_n}(U) \quad \text{for } U \in \mathfrak{U}_0(\left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\mu_n} \right])$$

is equivalent to the weak convergence of the sequence of probability distributions $\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_n$. Theorem 2 is thus proved.

Note added in proof: The results of this paper were obtained, by a different method, at the same time by B. M. Kloss (see Доклады Акад. Наук. СССР 109, No 3 (1956), p. 453-455).

References

[1] A. Dvoretzky and I. Wolfowitz, *Sums of random integers reduced modulo m*, Duke Math. J. 18 (1951), p. 501-507.
 [2] B. Gelbaum, G. K. Kalish and J. M. H. Olmsted, *On the embedding of topological semigroups and integral domains*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1951), p. 807-821.
 [3] P. R. Halmos, *Measure theory*, New York 1950.
 [4] K. Ito and Y. Kawada, *On the probability distribution on a compact groups*, Proc. Phys.-Math. Soc. of Japan 22 (1940), p. 977-998.
 [5] P. Lévy, *L'addition des variables aléatoires définies sur une circonférence*, Bull. Soc. Math. de France 67 (1939), p. 1-41.
 [6] A. Prékopa, A. Rényi and K. Urbanik, *О предельном распределении для сумм независимых случайных величин на компактных коммутативных топологических группах*, to appear in Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungaricae.
 [7] A. Weil, *L'intégration dans les groupes topologiques et ses applications*, Paris 1940.
 [8] Н. Н. Воробьев, *Сложение независимых случайных величин на конечных абелевых группах*, Мат. Сбор. 34(1) (1954), p. 89-126.