

Notice that Lemma (i') implies immediately the following

COROLLARY I. For arbitrary functions $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in \mathfrak{F}$ there exist a continuum A_0 and mappings $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_n$ of A_0 onto I such that

$$f_1\psi_1 = f_2\psi_2 = \dots = f_n\psi_n.$$

It suffices to put: A_0 = the component of the set A (defined by containing p_0 and p_1), and

$$\psi_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_i \quad \text{for } (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in Z_0.$$

Analogously Theorem III implies the following

COROLLARY II. For arbitrary functions $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in \mathfrak{F}_k$ there exist a continuum $A_0 \subset E_{nk}$ and mappings $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_n$ of A_0 onto Q_k such

- 1) $S_{k-1} \subset A_0$;
- 2) $\psi_i(x) = x$ for $x \in S_{k-1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$;
- 3) S_{k-1} is homologous to zero in A_0 ;
- 4) $f_1\psi_1 = f_2\psi_2 = \dots = f_n\psi_n$.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY POLSKIEJ AKADEMII NAUK
MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Reçu par la Rédaction le 15. 3. 1954

Addendum to "An extension of Sperner's Lemma, with applications to closed-set coverings and fixed points"

(Fundamenta Mathematicae 40 (1953), p. 3-12)

by

F. BAGEMIHL (Princeton, N. J.)

In Theorem 2, Theorem 3, and Corollary 3, it is sufficient to assume merely that $m \neq 2$, for then, in the proof of Theorem 2, the asserted properties of the numbers a_h^0 imply that, in the natural orientation of the m -plex, S_2, S_3, \dots, S_m all become π -simplexes, so that $\pi \neq \nu + 1$, and hence Theorem 1 applies. (Corollary 3 is true, of course, for every m , as is easily seen, for $m > 1$, by making use of the fact that the m -plex is connected but its frontier is not.)