

## On the insertion of Darboux functions

by

Aleksander Maliszewski (Bydgoszcz)

**Abstract.** The main goal of this paper is to characterize the family of all functions  $f$  which satisfy the following condition: whenever  $g$  is a Darboux function and  $f < g$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  there is a Darboux function  $h$  such that  $f < h < g$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**1. Preliminaries.** We use mostly standard terminology and notation. The letters  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the real line and the set of positive integers, respectively. We consider cardinals as ordinals not in one-to-one correspondence with smaller ordinals. The word *interval* means a nondegenerate bounded interval. The word *function* denotes a mapping from  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  unless otherwise explicitly stated.

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ . We use the symbols  $\text{int } A$ ,  $\text{cl } A$ ,  $\text{fr } A$ ,  $\chi_A$ , and  $|A|$  to denote the interior, the closure, the boundary, the characteristic function, and the cardinality of  $A$ , respectively. We write  $\mathfrak{c} = |\mathbb{R}|$  and  $\aleph_0 = |\mathbb{N}|$ . We say that  $A$  is *bilaterally  $\mathfrak{c}$ -dense-in-itself* if  $|A \cap J| = \mathfrak{c}$  for every interval  $J$  with  $A \cap J \neq \emptyset$ . The shortcut “ $A$  is nbcd” means “ $A$  is nonempty and bilaterally  $\mathfrak{c}$ -dense-in-itself.”

Let  $f$  be a function. For every  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $[f < y] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) < y\}$ . The symbols  $[f \leq y]$ ,  $[f > y]$ , etc., are defined analogously. For every set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  with  $|A| = \mathfrak{c}$  we define  $\mathfrak{c}\text{-inf}(f, A) = \inf\{y \in \mathbb{R} : |[f < y] \cap A| = \mathfrak{c}\}$ . If  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $x$  is a left  $\mathfrak{c}$ -limit point of  $A$  (i.e.,  $|A \cap (x - \delta, x)| = \mathfrak{c}$  for every  $\delta > 0$ ), then let

$$\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f \upharpoonright A, x^-) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \mathfrak{c}\text{-inf}(f, A \cap (x - \delta, x))$$

and  $\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\overline{\lim}(f \upharpoonright A, x^-) = -\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(-f \upharpoonright A, x^-)$ . Similarly we define  $\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f \upharpoonright A, x^+)$  and  $\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\overline{\lim}(f \upharpoonright A, x^+)$  if  $x$  is a right  $\mathfrak{c}$ -limit point of  $A$ . The symbols  $\mathcal{C}_f$  and  $\mathcal{D}_f$  denote the sets of points of continuity and of discontinuity of  $f$ , respectively.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 26A21, 54C30; Secondary 26A15, 54C08.

*Key words and phrases*: Darboux function, insertion of functions.

The following classes of functions are considered.

- $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  consists of all functions.
- $\mathbf{B}$  consists of all Borel measurable functions.
- $\mathbf{B}_\alpha$  denotes the Baire class  $\alpha$  ( $\alpha < \omega_1$ ). Thus  $\mathbf{B} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \mathbf{B}_\alpha$ .
- $\mathbf{D}$  consists of all *Darboux functions*, i.e.,  $f \in \mathbf{D}$  iff  $f[J]$  is connected for every interval  $J$ .
- $\mathbf{U}$  consists of all functions  $f$  with the following property: for all  $a < \bar{a}$  and each set  $A \subset (a, \bar{a})$  with  $|A| < \mathfrak{c}$  the set  $f[(a, \bar{a}) \setminus A]$  is dense in the interval  $[\min\{f(a), f(\bar{a})\}, \max\{f(a), f(\bar{a})\}]$ . Recall that  $\mathbf{U}$  is the uniform closure of  $\mathbf{D}$  [6, Theorem 4.3].
- $\mathbf{C}$  consists of all functions  $f$  with the following property: for every open interval  $P$  the set  $f^{-1}(P)$  is either empty or nbcd. Equivalently,  $f \in \mathbf{C}$  iff for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(|f - f(x)|, x^-) = \mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(|f - f(x)|, x^+) = 0$ .
- $\mathbf{C}_*$  consists of all functions  $f$  with the following property: for every  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  the set  $[f < y]$  is either empty or nbcd. Equivalently,  $f \in \mathbf{C}_*$  iff for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\max\{\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f, x^-), \mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f, x^+)\} \leq f(x)$ .
- $\mathbf{C}^*$  consists of all functions  $f$  with the following property: for every  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  the set  $[f > y]$  is either empty or nbcd. Equivalently,  $f \in \mathbf{C}^*$  iff for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\min\{\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\overline{\lim}(f, x^-), \mathfrak{c}\text{-}\overline{\lim}(f, x^+)\} \geq f(x)$ .

Recall that we have the following proper inclusions:

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{D} \subset \mathbf{U} \subset \mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{C}_* \cap \mathbf{C}^* \subset \mathbf{C}_*.$$

For the proof of the inequality  $\mathbf{D} \neq \mathbf{U}$  see, e.g., [6, p. 72]. The other relations are evident.

**2. Introduction.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be arbitrary functions. The notation “ $f < g$ ” means “ $f(x) < g(x)$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .” We write  $(f, g) \in \mathcal{P}$  (see [7]) if  $f < g$  and  $|[f < y < g] \cap (a, \bar{a})| = \mathfrak{c}$  whenever  $a < \bar{a}$  and  $y \in (\min\{f(a), f(\bar{a})\}, \max\{g(a), g(\bar{a})\})$ . If  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  are families of functions, then define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A}) &= \{f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}} : (\forall g \in \mathfrak{A})(f < g \Rightarrow (f, g) \in \mathcal{P})\}, \\ \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{B}) &= \{(f, g) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}} : (\exists h \in \mathfrak{B})(f < h < g)\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}} : (\forall g \in \mathfrak{A})(f < g \Rightarrow (f, g) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{B}))\}.$$

One can easily verify that if  $\mathfrak{A}_1 \subset \mathfrak{A}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_1 \supset \mathfrak{B}_2$ , then  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A}_1) \supset \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A}_2)$  and  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{B}_1) \supset \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A}_2, \mathfrak{B}_2)$ .

It is quite evident that the relation  $f < g$  does not imply  $(f, g) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{D})$ . (See also Lemma 3.6.) So we can ask two questions:

1. Which assumptions on  $f$  and  $g$  (in addition to  $f < g$ ) imply  $(f, g) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{D})$ ?

2. If  $f < g$  and  $(f, g) \notin \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{D})$ , how “regular” can the functions  $f$  and  $g$  be?

We now discuss briefly these questions.

1. In 1966 J. G. Ceder and M. L. Weiss proved the following theorem [8, Theorem 1]. (See also [7, Theorem 1].)

THEOREM 2.1.  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{D})$ .

They also showed that  $\mathbf{D} \cap \mathbf{B}_1 \subset \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{D} \cap \mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{D} \cap \mathbf{B}_2)$  [8, Theorem 4], and asked whether  $\mathbf{D} \cap \mathbf{B}_1 \subset \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{D} \cap \mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{D} \cap \mathbf{B}_1)$ . This question has been answered in the affirmative by A. M. Bruckner, J. G. Ceder, and T. L. Pearson [4, Theorem 1]. The latter authors also proved the next theorem, which contains the answer to the first question in case  $f, g \in \mathbf{D}$  [5, Theorem 1].

THEOREM 2.2. *Let  $f, g \in \mathbf{D}$ . Then  $(f, g) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{D})$  if and only if  $f < g$  and for all  $a < \bar{a}$  and  $y \in (\min\{f(a), f(\bar{a})\}, \max\{g(a), g(\bar{a})\})$  the set  $[f < y < g] \cap (a, \bar{a})$  is nonempty and bilaterally dense-in-itself.*

In 1968 J. G. Ceder and T. L. Pearson proved the following theorem [7, Theorem 5].

THEOREM 2.3. *Every continuous function belongs to  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{C})$ .*

By Theorem 2.1, it follows that each continuous function belongs to  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$ . In Section 4 we characterize the class  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{D})$  for  $\mathfrak{A} \in \{\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}_* \cap \mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{C}_*, \mathbf{C}^*, \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}\}$ .

2. In 1966 J. G. Ceder and M. L. Weiss constructed functions  $f, g \in \mathbf{D} \cap \mathbf{B}_2$  such that  $f < g$  and  $(f, g) \notin \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{D})$  [8, Example 1]. A. M. Bruckner, J. G. Ceder, and T. L. Pearson showed in 1973 that there exist  $f \in \mathbf{D} \cap \mathbf{B}_1$  and  $g \in \mathbf{D} \cap \mathbf{B}_2$  such that  $f < g$  and  $(f, g) \notin \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{D})$  [4, Example, p. 165]. They also claimed that if  $f \in \mathbf{D}$  and the set  $f[\mathcal{C}_f \cap J]$  is dense in  $f[J]$  for each interval  $J$ , then  $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D})$  [4, Theorem 2]. We will see that this assertion is false. In fact, this result does not hold even if we moreover assume that  $f$  is continuous except on a countable set and  $f$  satisfies Banach’s condition  $T_2$  (Example 5.4). So [4, Corollary, p. 166] is also incorrect.

3. **Auxiliary results.** The next lemma follows by [7, Lemma 4, p. 285]. (See also [12, Lemma I.3.2].)

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  be nbc $d$  and  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$|\{x \in A : \max\{\mathbf{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(|f - f(x)|, x^-), \mathbf{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(|f - f(x)|, x^+)\} > 0\}| < \mathbf{c}. \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 3.2. *Assume that  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is nbc $d$ , and  $f$  is a function such that for each  $x \in A$  we have  $\max\{\mathbf{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f \upharpoonright A, x^-), \mathbf{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f \upharpoonright A, x^+)\} < \infty$ . There is a function  $g : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$(2) \quad f(x) < g(x) \quad \text{for each } x \in A$$

and

(3) for each interval  $J$ , if  $A \cap J \neq \emptyset$ , then  $g[A \cap J] = (\mathfrak{c}\text{-inf}(f, A \cap J), \infty)$ .

**Proof.** Set  $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \max\{\mathfrak{c}\text{-lim}(f, x^-), \mathfrak{c}\text{-lim}(f, x^+)\} > f(x)\}$ . Then  $|B| < \mathfrak{c}$ . (See Lemma 3.1.) Arrange all intervals intersecting  $A$  in a transfinite sequence,  $\{J_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ . For each  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  put  $y_{\alpha,n} = \max\{\mathfrak{c}\text{-inf}(f, A \cap J_\alpha) + n^{-1}, -n\}$ , and define  $K_{\alpha,n} = [f < y_{\alpha,n}] \cap A \cap J_\alpha \setminus B$ . Then  $|K_{\alpha,n}| = \mathfrak{c}$  for each  $\alpha$  and  $n$ . Use [10, Lemma 5] to construct a family,  $\{Q_{\alpha,n} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , consisting of pairwise disjoint sets of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$ , such that each  $Q_{\alpha,n}$  is a subset of  $K_{\alpha,n}$ . For each  $\alpha$  and  $n$  let  $g_{\alpha,n} : Q_{\alpha,n} \rightarrow (y_{\alpha,n}, \infty)$  be a surjection. Define  $g(x) = g_{\alpha,n}(x)$  if  $x \in Q_{\alpha,n}$  for some  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $g(x) = \max\{\mathfrak{c}\text{-lim}(f \upharpoonright A, x^-), \mathfrak{c}\text{-lim}(f \upharpoonright A, x^+), f(x)\} + 1$  if  $x \in A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Q_{\alpha,n}$ .

Clearly (2) holds. To prove (3) fix an interval  $J$  with  $A \cap J \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $J = J_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ . Hence

$$g[A \cap J] \supset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_{\alpha,n}[Q_{\alpha,n}] = (\mathfrak{c}\text{-inf}(f, A \cap J), \infty).$$

On the other hand, by assumption, for each  $x \in A \cap J$  we have

$$g(x) > \max\{\mathfrak{c}\text{-lim}(f \upharpoonright A, x^-), \mathfrak{c}\text{-lim}(f \upharpoonright A, x^+)\} \geq \mathfrak{c}\text{-inf}(f, A \cap J). \blacksquare$$

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Let  $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ . There is a function  $g \in \mathbf{C}^*$  with  $g > f$ .*

**Proof.** Define  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \max\{\mathfrak{c}\text{-lim}(f, x^-), \mathfrak{c}\text{-lim}(f, x^+)\} < \infty\}$ . Then by Lemma 3.1, we have  $|\mathbb{R} \setminus A| < \mathfrak{c}$ . So we can use Lemma 3.2 to construct a function  $g : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that conditions (2) and (3) hold. Extend  $g$  to the whole real line setting  $g(x) = f(x) + 1$  for  $x \notin A$ . Clearly  $g > f$ . Moreover, by (3), for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\mathfrak{c}\text{-lim}(g, x^-) = \mathfrak{c}\text{-lim}(g, x^+) = \infty$ . Thus  $g \in \mathbf{C}^*$ .  $\blacksquare$

The proof of the next proposition is similar to that of [5, Theorem 2]. (See also [12, Corollary VI.1.4].)

**PROPOSITION 3.4.** *For every function  $f$  the following are equivalent:*

- (i) there is a function  $g \in \mathbf{D}$  with  $g > f$ ;
- (ii) there is a function  $g \in \mathbf{U}$  with  $g > f$ ;
- (iii) there is a function  $g \in \mathbf{C}$  with  $g > f$ ;
- (iv) there is a function  $g \in \mathbf{C}_* \cap \mathbf{C}^*$  with  $g > f$ ;
- (v) there is a function  $g \in \mathbf{C}_*$  with  $g > f$ ;
- (vi) for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\max\{\mathfrak{c}\text{-lim}(f, x^-), \mathfrak{c}\text{-lim}(f, x^+)\} < \infty$ .

**Proof.** The implications (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v) are evident. To prove (v) $\Rightarrow$ (vi) recall that, by definition, for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\mathfrak{c}\text{-lim}(f, x^-), \mathfrak{c}\text{-lim}(f, x^+)\} &\leq \max\{\mathfrak{c}\text{-lim}(g, x^-), \mathfrak{c}\text{-lim}(g, x^+)\} \\ &\leq g(x) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

(vi) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Use Lemma 3.2 with  $A = \mathbb{R}$  to construct a function  $g$  satisfying (2) and (3). Clearly  $g \in \mathbf{D}$  and  $g > f$ . ■

We denote the class of functions which satisfy condition (i) of Proposition 3.4 by  $\mathbf{A}$ . Clearly  $\mathbf{C}_* \subset \mathbf{A}$ . The next lemma shows that  $\mathbf{A} \cap \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{C}_*) \subset \mathbf{C}_*$ .

LEMMA 3.5. *Let  $f \in \mathbf{A} \setminus \mathbf{C}_*$ . There is a function  $g \in \mathbf{D}$  such that  $g > f$  and  $(f, g) \notin \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}_*)$ .*

Proof. By assumption, there is a  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and an interval  $I$  such that  $0 < |B| < \mathfrak{c}$ , where  $B = [f < y] \cap I$ . Set  $A = \mathbb{R} \setminus B$ . Use Lemma 3.2 to construct a function  $g : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that (2) and (3) hold. Extend  $g$  to the whole real line setting  $g(x) = \max\{\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f, x^-), \mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f, x^+)\}$  for  $x \in B$ . One can easily verify that  $g > f$  and  $g \in \mathbf{D}$ . Let  $h$  be an arbitrary function with  $f < h < g$ . Then for each  $x \in B$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) < g(x) &= \max\{\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f, x^-), \mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f, x^+)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(h, x^-), \mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(h, x^+)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $h \notin \mathbf{C}_*$  and  $(f, g) \notin \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}_*)$ . ■

LEMMA 3.6. *Let  $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ . There is a function  $g > f$  with  $(f, g) \notin \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{D})$ . If moreover  $f \in \mathbf{A}$ , then we can choose  $g \in \mathbf{C}_*$ .*

Proof. If  $f$  is constant, then define  $g(x) = f(x) + |x| + \chi_{\{0\}}(x)$ . It is evident that  $g > f$  and  $g \in \mathbf{C}_*$ . If  $f < h < g$ , then

$$\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\overline{\lim}(h, 0^-) \leq \mathfrak{c}\text{-}\overline{\lim}(g, 0^-) = f(0) < h(0).$$

Thus  $h \notin \mathbf{D}$  and  $(f, g) \notin \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{D})$ .

If  $f$  is not constant, then let  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $[f < y] \neq \emptyset \neq [f \geq y]$ . If  $f \notin \mathbf{A}$ , then define  $g(x) = y$  if  $f(x) < y$ , and  $g(x) = f(x) + 1$  otherwise. It is clear that  $g > f$ . Let  $h$  be an arbitrary function with  $f < h < g$ . Observe that if  $f(x) < y$ , then  $h(x) < g(x) = y$ , and  $f(x) \geq y$  implies  $h(x) > f(x) \geq y$ . Hence  $[h = y] = \emptyset$ . Furthermore,  $[h < y] \neq \emptyset \neq [h > y]$ . Thus  $h \notin \mathbf{D}$  and  $(f, g) \notin \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{D})$ .

Finally, let  $f \in \mathbf{A}$ . If  $f \notin \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D})$ , then by definition, there exists a function  $g \in \mathbf{D} \subset \mathbf{C}_*$  such that  $g > f$  and  $(f, g) \notin \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{D})$ . Otherwise define  $g(x) = y$  if  $f(x) < y$ , and  $g(x) = \max\{\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f, x^-), \mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f, x^+), f(x)\} + 1$  if  $f(x) \geq y$ . Then clearly  $g > f$ , and the relation  $(f, g) \notin \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{D})$  can be proved as in the previous case. To complete the proof we will verify that  $g \in \mathbf{C}_*$ .

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\bar{y} > g(x)$ , and let  $J \ni x$  be an interval. If  $[f < y] \cap J \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$|[g < \bar{y}] \cap J| \geq |[f < y] \cap J| = \mathfrak{c}.$$

(Notice that  $\bar{y} > g(x) \geq y$ , and by Lemma 3.5,  $f \in \mathbf{C}_*$ .)

In the opposite case put  $B = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : \max\{\underline{\mathbf{c}}\text{-lim}(f, t^-), \underline{\mathbf{c}}\text{-lim}(f, t^+)\} > f(t)\}$ . By Lemma 3.1, we have  $|B| < \mathbf{c}$ . Observe that  $g(t) = f(t)+1$  whenever  $t \in J \setminus B$ , and

$$\mathbf{c}\text{-inf}(f, J) \leq \max\{\underline{\mathbf{c}}\text{-lim}(f, x^-), \underline{\mathbf{c}}\text{-lim}(f, x^+)\} \leq g(x) - 1 < \bar{y} - 1.$$

Thus  $|[f < \bar{y} - 1] \cap J| = \mathbf{c}$  and  $|[g < \bar{y}] \cap J| \geq |[f < \bar{y} - 1] \cap J \setminus B| = \mathbf{c}$ . ■

**LEMMA 3.7.** *Let  $I'$  be a closed interval and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that a function  $f \in \mathbf{A}$  is such that the sets  $B = [f < y] \cap I'$  and  $B' = \mathbb{R} \setminus B$  are nbcd. There exists a function  $g \in \mathbf{C}_* \cap \mathbf{C}^*$  such that  $g > f$  and  $(f, g) \notin \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{D})$ . If moreover  $\max\{\underline{\mathbf{c}}\text{-lim}(f \upharpoonright B', x^-), \underline{\mathbf{c}}\text{-lim}(f \upharpoonright B', x^+)\} < \infty$  for each  $x \in B'$  (resp.  $\mathbf{c}\text{-inf}(f, B' \cap J) = y$  for every interval  $J \subset I'$  with  $B \cap J \neq \emptyset \neq B' \cap J$ ), then we can choose  $g \in \mathbf{C}$  (resp.  $g \in \mathbf{D}$ ).*

**Proof.** Put  $A = \{x \in B' : \max\{\underline{\mathbf{c}}\text{-lim}(f \upharpoonright B', x^-), \underline{\mathbf{c}}\text{-lim}(f \upharpoonright B', x^+)\} < \infty\}$ . Then by Lemma 3.1, we have  $|B' \setminus A| < \mathbf{c}$ . So we can use Lemma 3.2 to construct a function  $g : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that (2) and (3) hold. Extend  $g$  to the whole real line setting  $g(x) = \max\{\underline{\mathbf{c}}\text{-lim}(f, x^-), \underline{\mathbf{c}}\text{-lim}(f, x^+), f(x)\} + 1$  for  $x \in B' \setminus A$  and  $g(x) = y$  for  $x \in B$ . Then clearly  $g > f$ .

Let  $f < h < g$ . Observe that  $x \in B$  implies  $h(x) < g(x) = y$ . On the other hand, if  $x \in B' \cap I'$ , then  $h(x) > f(x) \geq y$ . Hence  $[h = y] \cap I' = \emptyset$ . Since  $B \neq \emptyset \neq B' \cap I'$ , we obtain  $h \notin \mathbf{D}$ . Thus  $(f, g) \notin \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{D})$ .

Fix an  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . We consider three cases.

First let  $x \in B$ . Then  $\underline{\mathbf{c}}\text{-lim}(|g - g(x)|, x^-) = \underline{\mathbf{c}}\text{-lim}(|g - g(x)| \upharpoonright B, x^-) = 0$ . Similarly  $\underline{\mathbf{c}}\text{-lim}(|g - g(x)|, x^+) = 0$ .

If  $x \in A$ , then by (3),  $\underline{\mathbf{c}}\text{-lim}(|g - g(x)|, x^-) = \underline{\mathbf{c}}\text{-lim}(|g - g(x)|, x^+) = 0$ .

Finally, let  $x \in B' \setminus A$ . Then  $\underline{\mathbf{c}}\text{-lim}(g, x^-) = \underline{\mathbf{c}}\text{-lim}(g, x^+) = \infty > g(x)$ . (Recall that  $B'$  is nbcd, so  $A \cap J \neq \emptyset$ .) On the other hand,

- if  $x$  is a left  $\mathbf{c}$ -limit point of  $B$ , then  $\underline{\mathbf{c}}\text{-lim}(g, x^-) \leq y \leq f(x) < g(x)$ ;
- otherwise  $\underline{\mathbf{c}}\text{-lim}(|g - g(x)|, x^-) = 0$ . (We have used (3) and the fact that  $f \in \mathbf{A}$ .)

Similarly we can show that  $\underline{\mathbf{c}}\text{-lim}(g, x^+) \leq g(x)$ .

Consequently,  $g \in \mathbf{C}_* \cap \mathbf{C}^*$ . Moreover, the first additional assumption implies  $A = B'$ , whence  $g \in \mathbf{C}$ .

Now suppose that the second additional assumption holds. Then the first additional assumption holds as well, so  $A = B'$ . Let  $J$  be an interval. If  $A \cap J = \emptyset$ , then  $g[J] = \{y\}$ . If  $B \cap J = \emptyset$ , then by (3), the set  $g[J] = g[A \cap J]$  is an interval. Finally,  $B \cap J \neq \emptyset \neq A \cap J$  yields  $\mathbf{c}\text{-inf}(f, A \cap J) \leq y$ . Hence and by (3),  $g[J]$  is an interval with end points  $\mathbf{c}\text{-inf}(f, A \cap J)$  and  $\infty$ . Thus  $g \in \mathbf{D}$ . ■

LEMMA 3.8. Let  $f$  be an arbitrary function and  $g \in \mathbf{C}^*$ . Assume that  $a < \bar{a}$  and  $y \in (\min\{f(a), f(\bar{a})\}, \max\{g(a), g(\bar{a})\})$  are such that the set  $A' = [f \geq y] \cap (a, \bar{a})$  is not nbc $d$ . Then  $|[f < y < g] \cap (a, \bar{a})| = \mathfrak{c}$ .

PROOF. Choose a closed interval  $J$  such that  $\text{int } J \subset (a, \bar{a})$ ,  $[g > y] \cap J \neq \emptyset$ , and  $|[f \geq y] \cap J| < \mathfrak{c}$ . (If  $A' = \emptyset$ , then we can set  $J = [a, \bar{a}]$ .) Using the fact that  $g \in \mathbf{C}^*$  we obtain

$$|[f < y < g] \cap (a, \bar{a})| \geq |[f < y < g] \cap J| = |[g > y] \cap J| = \mathfrak{c}. \blacksquare$$

**4. Main theorems.** The next theorem follows directly from Lemma 3.6. (Notice that if  $f \notin \mathbf{A}$ , then by Proposition 3.4,  $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}_*, \mathbf{D})$  vacuously.)

THEOREM 4.1. (a)  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}) = \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbf{D}) = \emptyset$ .

(b)  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{C}_*) = \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}_*, \mathbf{D}) = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \mathbf{A}$ .  $\blacksquare$

THEOREM 4.2. For every function  $f \in \mathbf{A}$  the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}_* \cap \mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{D})$ ;
- (ii) for every open interval  $I$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , if the set  $[f \geq y] \cap I$  is nbc $d$ , then  $\text{cl } I \subset [f \geq y]$ ;
- (iii)  $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{C}^*)$ ;
- (iv)  $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{C}_* \cap \mathbf{C}^*)$ ;
- (v)  $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{D})$ .

PROOF. The implications (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (v) and (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follow from Theorem 2.1, and (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) and (v) $\Rightarrow$ (i) are evident.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume that (ii) fails. There exist an open interval  $I$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $[f \geq y] \cap I$  is nbc $d$  and  $[f < y] \cap \text{cl } I \neq \emptyset$ . By Lemma 3.5, if  $f \notin \mathbf{C}_*$ , then  $f \notin \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}_* \cap \mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{D})$ . So suppose  $f \in \mathbf{C}_*$ . Then  $[f < y] \cap I$  is nbc $d$ . Consequently, there is a closed interval  $I' \subset I$  such that  $\text{fr } I' \subset [f \geq y]$  and  $[f < y] \cap I' \neq \emptyset$ . By Lemma 3.7, we obtain  $f \notin \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}_* \cap \mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{D})$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Take a  $g \in \mathbf{C}^*$  with  $g > f$ ,  $a < \bar{a}$ , and  $y \in (\min\{f(a), f(\bar{a})\}, \max\{g(a), g(\bar{a})\})$ . Put  $A' = [f \geq y] \cap (a, \bar{a})$ . We have  $[f < y] \cap [a, \bar{a}] \neq \emptyset$ , so by (ii), the set  $A'$  is not nbc $d$ . Thus by Lemma 3.8, we get  $|[f < y < g] \cap (a, \bar{a})| = \mathfrak{c}$ . Consequently,  $(f, g) \in \mathcal{P}$ .  $\blacksquare$

THEOREM 4.3. For every function  $f \in \mathbf{A}$  the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$ ;
- (ii) for every open interval  $I$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , if the set  $A' = [f \geq y] \cap I$  is nbc $d$ , then either  $\text{cl } I \subset [f \geq y]$  or  $\max\{\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f \upharpoonright A', x^-), \mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f \upharpoonright A', x^+)\} = \infty$  for some  $x \in A'$ ;
- (iii)  $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{C})$ .

PROOF. The implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follows from Theorem 2.1.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume that (ii) fails. There exist an open interval  $I$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the set  $A' = [f \geq y] \cap I$  is nbc $d$ ,  $[f < y] \cap \text{cl } I \neq \emptyset$ , and

$\max\{\mathbf{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f, x^-), \mathbf{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f, x^+)\} < \infty$  for each  $x \in A'$ . By Lemma 3.5, if  $f \notin \mathbf{C}_*$ , then  $f \notin \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$ . So suppose  $f \in \mathbf{C}_*$ . Then  $[f < y] \cap I$  is nbcd. Consequently, there is a closed interval  $I' \subset I$  such that  $\text{fr } I' \subset [f \geq y]$  and  $[f < y] \cap I' \neq \emptyset$ . By Lemma 3.7, we obtain  $f \notin \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Take a  $g \in \mathbf{C}$  with  $g > f$ ,  $a < \bar{a}$ , and  $y \in (\min\{f(a), f(\bar{a})\}, \max\{g(a), g(\bar{a})\})$ . If  $A' = [f \geq y] \cap (a, \bar{a})$  is not nbcd, then  $|[f < y < g] \cap (a, \bar{a})| = \mathbf{c}$ . (We use Lemma 3.8.) In the opposite case notice that  $[f < y] \cap [a, \bar{a}] \neq \emptyset$ . So by (ii), there exists an  $x \in A'$  such that  $\max\{\mathbf{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f \upharpoonright A', x^-), \mathbf{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f \upharpoonright A', x^+)\} = \infty$ . Let  $\bar{y} > g(x)$ . Choose a closed interval  $J \subset (a, \bar{a})$  such that  $x \in J$  and  $|[y \leq f < \bar{y}] \cap J| < \mathbf{c}$ . Since  $y \leq f(x) < g(x) < \bar{y}$  and  $g \in \mathbf{C}$ , we have

$$|[f < y < g] \cap (a, \bar{a})| \geq |[f < y < g] \cap J| \geq |[y < g < \bar{y}] \cap J \setminus [y \leq f < \bar{y}]| = \mathbf{c}.$$

Consequently,  $(f, g) \in \mathcal{P}$ . ■

**THEOREM 4.4.** *For every function  $f \in \mathbf{A}$  the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D})$ ;
- (ii) for every open interval  $I$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , if the set  $A' = [f \geq y] \cap I$  is nbcd, then either  $\text{cl } I \subset [f \geq y]$  or there is an interval  $J \subset I$  such that  $A' \cap J \neq \emptyset$ ,  $|J \setminus A'| = \mathbf{c}$ , and  $\mathbf{c}\text{-}\inf(f, A' \cap J) > y$ ;
- (iii)  $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{U})$ ;
- (iv)  $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{D})$ ;
- (v)  $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{D})$ .

**Proof.** The implications (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (v) and (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follow from Theorem 2.1, and (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) and (v) $\Rightarrow$ (i) are evident.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume that (ii) fails. There are an open interval  $I$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the set  $A' = [f \geq y] \cap I$  is nbcd,  $[f < y] \cap \text{cl } I \neq \emptyset$ , and for each interval  $J \subset I$  if  $A' \cap J \neq \emptyset$  and  $|J \setminus A'| = \mathbf{c}$ , then  $\mathbf{c}\text{-}\inf(f, A' \cap J) = y$ . By Lemma 3.5, if  $f \notin \mathbf{C}_*$ , then  $f \notin \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D})$ . So suppose  $f \in \mathbf{C}_*$ . Then  $[f < y] \cap I$  is nbcd. Consequently, there is a closed interval  $I' \subset I$  such that  $\text{fr } I' \subset [f \geq y]$  and  $[f < y] \cap I' \neq \emptyset$ . By Lemma 3.7, we obtain  $f \notin \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D})$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Take a  $g \in \mathbf{U}$  with  $g > f$ ,  $a < \bar{a}$ , and  $y \in (\min\{f(a), f(\bar{a})\}, \max\{g(a), g(\bar{a})\})$ . If the set  $A' = [f \geq y] \cap (a, \bar{a})$  is not nbcd, then  $|[f < y < g] \cap (a, \bar{a})| = \mathbf{c}$ . (We use Lemma 3.8.) In the opposite case notice that  $[f < y] \cap [a, \bar{a}] \neq \emptyset$ . By (ii), there is an interval  $J \subset (a, \bar{a})$  such that  $A' \cap J \neq \emptyset$ ,  $|J \setminus A'| = \mathbf{c}$ , and  $\bar{y} = \mathbf{c}\text{-}\inf(f, A' \cap J) > y$ . If  $J \subset [g > y]$ , then

$$|[f < y < g] \cap (a, \bar{a})| \geq |[f < y] \cap J| = |J \setminus A'| = \mathbf{c}.$$

In the opposite case observe that  $[g > \bar{y}] \cap J \supset [f \geq \bar{y}] \cap J \neq \emptyset$ . So, since  $g \in \mathbf{U}$ , we obtain  $|[y < g < \bar{y}] \cap J| = \mathbf{c}$ . Thus

$$|[f < y < g] \cap (a, \bar{a})| \geq |[f < y < g] \cap J| \geq |[y < g < \bar{y}] \cap J \setminus [y \leq f < \bar{y}]| = \mathbf{c}.$$



(We have used the fact that  $|[y \leq f < \bar{y}] \cap J| < \mathfrak{c}$ .) Consequently,  $(f, g) \in \mathcal{P}$ . ■

REMARK 4.1. By Theorem 4.1 and (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \mathbf{A} &= \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}_*, \mathbf{D}) = \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}_* \cap \mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{D}) \setminus \mathbf{A} \\ &= \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}) \setminus \mathbf{A} = \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{D}) \setminus \mathbf{A} = \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}) \setminus \mathbf{A}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemmas 3.5 and 3.3, and Proposition 3.4, we obtain

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{D}) &= \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}_* \cap \mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{D}) \cap \mathbf{A} \subset \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}) \cap \mathbf{A} \subset \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{D}) \cap \mathbf{A} \\ &= \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}) \cap \mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{C}_*. \end{aligned}$$

We will show later that the above inclusions are proper. (See Examples 5.1–5.3.)

THEOREM 4.5. *If  $\mathfrak{A} \in \{\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}_* \cap \mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{C}^*\}$ , then*

$$\mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{D}) = \mathbf{D} \cap \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{D}).$$

PROOF. By (4) and Proposition 3.4, we obtain  $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathbf{A}$ . Let  $f \in \mathfrak{A} \setminus \mathbf{D}$ . There is an open interval  $I$  such that  $[f > y] \cap \text{cl} I \neq \emptyset \neq [f < y] \cap \text{cl} I$  and  $[f = y] \cap I = \emptyset$ . Put  $A' = [f > y] \cap I = [f \geq y] \cap I$ .

- If  $f \in \mathbf{C}^*$ , then  $A'$  is nbcd. Thus  $f \notin \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{D}) = \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}_* \cap \mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{D}) \cap \mathbf{A}$ . (See Theorem 4.2.)

- If  $f \in \mathbf{C}$ , then moreover  $\max\{\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\lim(f|A', x^-), \mathfrak{c}\text{-}\lim(f|A', x^+)\} \leq f(x) < \infty$  for each  $x \in A'$ . Thus  $f \notin \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$ . (See Theorem 4.3.)

It follows that  $\mathbf{C}^* \cap \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{D}) \subset \mathbf{D}$  and  $\mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{D}) \subset \mathbf{U}$ .

Now let  $f \in \mathbf{U} \setminus \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{D})$ . By Theorem 4.2, there are an open interval  $I$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the set  $A' = [f \geq y] \cap I$  is nbcd and  $[f < y] \cap \text{cl} I \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $f \in \mathbf{U}$ , for each interval  $J \subset I$  if  $A' \cap J \neq \emptyset$  and  $|[f < y] \cap J| = \mathfrak{c}$ , then  $\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\inf(f, A' \cap J) = y$ . Thus  $f \notin \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D})$ . (See Theorem 4.4.) By (1), (4), and the first part of the proof, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{D}) &\subset \mathbf{U} \cap \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{D}) \subset \mathbf{U} \cap \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}) \subset \mathbf{U} \cap \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{D}) \\ &\subset \mathbf{C}^* \cap \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{D}) \subset \mathbf{D} \cap \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{D}) \subset \mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{D}). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

The next theorem is a generalization of Theorem 2.3.

THEOREM 4.6. *Let  $f \in \mathbf{C}_*$  be such that for each  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  the set  $[f < y]$  is ambiguous, i.e., it is both an  $F_\sigma$  and a  $G_\delta$  set. Then  $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{C}^*)$ . In particular, every upper semicontinuous function belongs to  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{C}^*)$ .*

PROOF. Take an open interval  $I$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the sets  $A' = [f \geq y] \cap I$  and  $[f < y] \cap \text{cl} I$  are nonempty. Since  $f \in \mathbf{C}_*$ , the set  $B = [f < y] \cap I$  is nbcd. Observe that  $A'$  and  $B$  are disjoint nonempty ambiguous sets, and  $A' \cup B = I$ . So by [16, Lemma 7],  $A'$  is not nbcd. By Theorem 4.2,  $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{C}^*)$ . ■

**5. Examples**

EXAMPLE 5.1.  $\mathbf{C}_* \cap \mathbf{C}^* \cap \mathbf{B}_2 \cap \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}) \setminus \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}_* \cap \mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{D}) \neq \emptyset$ .

*Construction.* Use the Baire Category Theorem to construct a family,  $\{F_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , consisting of pairwise disjoint nonempty nowhere dense perfect sets, such that for each interval  $I$  there is an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $F_n \subset I$ . Define  $f(x) = n$  if  $x \in F_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $f(x) = 0$  otherwise. Then clearly  $f \in \mathbf{B}_2$ . Moreover, for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f, x^-) = \mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f, x^+) = 0 < f(x) < \infty = \mathfrak{c}\text{-}\overline{\lim}(f, x^-) = \mathfrak{c}\text{-}\overline{\lim}(f, x^+).$$

Thus  $f \in \mathbf{C}_* \cap \mathbf{C}^*$ .

Take an open interval  $I$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the set  $A' = [f \geq y] \cap I$  is nbcd and  $[f < y] \cap I \neq \emptyset$ . There is an  $n \geq y$  with  $F_n \subset I$ . Choose an  $x \in F_n$  which is not a left limit point of  $F_n$ . Notice that  $y > 0$ , so for each  $\bar{y} > y$  and each sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$  we have  $[y \leq f < \bar{y}] \cap (x - \delta, x) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f \upharpoonright A', x^-) = \infty$ . By Theorem 4.3, we obtain  $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$ .

Finally, observe that  $[f \geq 1] \cap (0, 1)$  is nbcd, and  $[f < 1] \cap [0, 1] \neq \emptyset$ . So by Theorem 4.2,  $f \notin \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}_* \cap \mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{D})$ . ■

EXAMPLE 5.2.  $\mathbf{C} \cap \mathbf{B}_2 \cap \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}) \setminus \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}) \neq \emptyset$ .

*Construction.* Let  $F \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-\pi/4, \pi/4\}$  be an  $F_\sigma$  set such that  $|F \cap I| = |I \setminus F| = \mathfrak{c}$  for each interval  $I$ . (Cf. Example 5.1.) Define  $f(x) = |\arctan x| \cdot \chi_F(x)$ . Clearly  $f \in \mathbf{C} \cap \mathbf{B}_2$ . Using Theorem 4.4, one can easily show that  $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D})$ . Moreover,  $[f \geq 1] \cap (0, 1)$  is nbcd, and  $[f < 1] \cap [0, 1] \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $f$  is bounded, Theorem 4.3 yields  $f \notin \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$ . ■

EXAMPLE 5.3.  $\mathbf{C} \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{C}^* \cap \mathbf{B}) \setminus \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}) \neq \emptyset$ .

*Construction.* Let  $B$  be a *Bernstein set* (i.e., a totally imperfect set whose complement is also totally imperfect) and  $f = \chi_B$ . It is clear that  $f \in \mathbf{C}$ . Notice that  $[f \geq 1] \cap (0, 1)$  is nbcd, and  $[f < 1] \cap [0, 1] \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $f \leq 1$ , Theorem 4.4 shows that  $f \notin \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D})$ .

Take a  $g \in \mathbf{C}^* \cap \mathbf{B}$  with  $g > f$ . First observe that  $[g \leq 1]$  is at most countable. Indeed, otherwise there is a nonempty perfect set  $K \subset [g \leq 1]$ . Then  $K \cap B \neq \emptyset$  and  $g(x) \leq 1 = f(x)$  for each  $x \in K \cap B$ , an impossibility.

Let  $a < \bar{a}$  and  $y \in (\min\{f(a), f(\bar{a})\}, \max\{g(a), g(\bar{a})\})$ . Clearly  $y > 0$ . If  $y \leq 1$ , then  $|[f < y < g] \cap (a, \bar{a})| \geq |[f = 0] \cap (a, \bar{a}) \setminus [g \leq 1]| = \mathfrak{c}$ , and in the opposite case  $|[f < y < g] \cap (a, \bar{a})| = |[g > y] \cap (a, \bar{a})| = \mathfrak{c}$ . Consequently,  $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{C}^* \cap \mathbf{B})$ . ■

The above example suggests the following problem.

PROBLEM 5.1. Let  $\mathfrak{A} \in \{\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}_* \cap \mathbf{C}^*, \mathbf{C}_*, \mathbf{C}^*, \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}\}$ . Characterize the classes  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A} \cap \mathbf{B})$  and  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A} \cap \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{D})$ .

In the next example we will need several new notions. Let  $h \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ . We say that  $h$  is a *strong Świątkowski function* [11] if whenever  $a < \bar{a}$  and  $y$  is a number between  $h(a)$  and  $h(\bar{a})$ , there is an  $x \in \mathcal{C}_h \cap (a, \bar{a})$  with  $h(x) = y$ . (Clearly strong Świątkowski functions are both Darboux and quasi-continuous in the sense of Kempisty [9].) We say that  $h$  satisfies *Banach's condition  $T_2$*  (see [2]) if the set  $\{y \in \mathbb{R} : |[h = y]| > \aleph_0\}$  has Lebesgue measure zero. We say that  $h$  is a *honorary Baire class two function* [1] if  $|[h \neq \bar{h}]| \leq \aleph_0$  for some  $\bar{h} \in \mathbf{B}_1$ . Finally,  $h$  is *almost continuous* in the sense of Stallings [15] if every open set  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  containing the graph of  $h$  contains the graph of some continuous function as well. Recall that almost continuous functions have the Darboux property, and that the converse is not true [15]. Moreover, in Baire class one these two notions coincide [3].

T. Natkaniec showed in 1992 that there are almost continuous functions  $f$  and  $g$  such that  $f < g$  and  $(f, g) \notin \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{D})$  [14, Example 1.8.1]. (See also [13].) Example 5.4 generalizes this result as well as many results mentioned in Section 2.

EXAMPLE 5.4. Let  $C$  be the Cantor ternary set. There are bounded functions  $f$  and  $g$  satisfying the following conditions:

- $f$  is nonpositive,  $\mathcal{D}_f$  is a countable subset of  $C$  (so  $f \in \mathbf{B}_1$ ),  $f$  is strong Świątkowski, and it satisfies Banach's condition  $T_2$ ;
- $g$  is nonnegative,  $\mathcal{D}_g = C$ ,  $g$  is a honorary Baire class two function, it is almost continuous, strong Świątkowski, and satisfies Banach's condition  $T_2$ ;
- $f < g$  and  $(f, g) \notin \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{D})$ .

*Construction.* Let  $\mathcal{J} = \{I_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\mathcal{J} = \{J_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be families of components of  $[0, 1] \setminus C$  such that

$$(5) \quad \left(\text{cl} \bigcup \mathcal{J}\right) \cap \left(\text{cl} \bigcup \mathcal{J}\right) = C.$$

Let  $\mathcal{J}_0 = \emptyset$ . We will construct a sequence,  $\{\mathcal{J}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , such that for each  $n$  the following conditions hold:

- (a)  $\mathcal{J}_{n-1} \subset \mathcal{J}_n \subset \mathcal{J}$ ;
- (b)  $\text{cl} \bigcup \mathcal{J}_n = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{J}_n} \text{cl} I$ ;
- (c) if  $I \in \mathcal{J}_{n-1}$  and  $x \in \text{fr} I$ , then  $x \in \text{cl}(\bigcup \mathcal{J}_n \setminus I)$ ;
- (d)  $I_n \in \mathcal{J}_n$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and suppose that we have already defined families  $\mathcal{J}_0, \dots, \mathcal{J}_{n-1}$  so that the above conditions hold. Define

$$B = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{J}_{n-1} \cup \{I_n\}} \left( (\text{fr} I) \setminus \text{cl} \left( \bigcup \mathcal{J}_{n-1} \setminus I \right) \right).$$

Clearly  $|B| \leq \aleph_0$ . Let  $B = \{x_p : p < r\}$ , where  $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . For each  $p < r$  use (5) to choose a monotone sequence of intervals,  $\{\tilde{I}_{p,m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{J}$ ,

converging to  $x_p$  and such that  $\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{I}_{p,m} \subset (x_p - p^{-1}, x_p + p^{-1})$ . Finally, define  $\mathcal{J}_n = \mathcal{J}_{n-1} \cup \{I_n\} \cup \bigcup_{p < r} \{\tilde{I}_{p,m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . One can easily verify that conditions (a)–(d) are satisfied.

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $I \in \mathcal{J}_n$  let  $f_{n,I} : \text{cl } I \rightarrow [-2^{1-n}, -2^{-n}]$  be a continuous surjection such that  $f_{n,I}[\text{fr } I] = \{-2^{-n}\}$  and  $|f_{n,I}^{-1}(y)| \leq 2$  for each  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Similarly, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $g_k : \text{cl } J_k \rightarrow [k^{-1}, 1]$  be a continuous surjection such that  $g_k[\text{fr } J_k] = \{1\}$  and  $|g_k^{-1}(y)| \leq 2$  for each  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define functions  $f$  and  $g$  as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} f_{n,I}(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{cl } I, I \in \mathcal{J}_n, n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} g_k(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{cl } J_k, k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{J}} \text{cl } I, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $f < g$  and  $f \leq 0 \leq g$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_f = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{J}} \text{fr } I \subset C$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_g = C$ , and both  $f$  and  $g$  are strong Świątkowski. Moreover,  $\{y \in \mathbb{R} : |[f = y]| > \aleph_0\} = \{0\}$  and  $\{y \in \mathbb{R} : |[g = y]| > \aleph_0\} = \{0, 1\}$ . Thus both  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy Banach's condition  $T_2$ .

Define  $\bar{g}(x) = g(x)$  if  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus C$ , and  $g(x) = 1$  if  $x \in C$ . Then  $\bar{g} \in \mathbf{B}_1$  and  $|[g \neq \bar{g}]| = \aleph_0$ . So  $g$  is a honorary Baire class two function.

Let  $f < h < g$ . Then both  $[h < 0]$  and  $[h > 0]$  are nonempty, and  $[h = 0] = \emptyset$ . Thus  $h \notin \mathbf{D}$  and  $(f, g) \notin \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{D})$ .

Finally, we prove that  $g$  is almost continuous. Let  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be an open set which contains the graph of  $g$ . Let  $S$  denote the set of all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for every  $t \in (-\infty, x) \setminus C$  there is a continuous function  $h : (-\infty, t] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $h(t) = g(t)$  whose graph is contained in  $V$ . Evidently  $(-\infty, 0] \subset S$ . We verify that  $s = \sup S = \infty$ . By way of contradiction suppose  $s \in [0, \infty)$ . Choose a  $\tau > 0$  such that

$$(s - \tau, s + \tau) \times (g(s) - \tau, g(s) + \tau) \subset V.$$

We now show  $s + \tau \in S$ , contradicting the definition of  $s$ .

Let  $t \in (-\infty, s + \tau) \setminus C$ . Without loss we may assume that  $t \geq s$ . Let  $\bar{s} \in C$  be such that  $C \cap (\bar{s}, t] = \emptyset$ . There is a  $t_1 \in (s - \tau, s) \setminus C$  such that  $|g(t_1) - g(s)| < \tau$ . Construct a continuous function  $h_1 : (-\infty, t_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $h_1(t_1) = g(t_1)$  whose graph is contained in  $V$ . We consider two cases.

CASE 1. First suppose that  $\bar{s} \leq s$ . Observe that  $g \upharpoonright [a, \bar{a}]$  is continuous whenever  $C \cap (a, \bar{a}) = \emptyset$ . Define  $h(x) = h_1(x)$  if  $x \leq t_1$  and  $h(x) = g(x)$  if  $x \in [s, t]$ , and extend  $h$  linearly in the interval  $[t_1, s]$ . Then  $h : (-\infty, t] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h$  is continuous,  $h(t) = g(t)$ , and the graph of  $h$  is contained in  $V$ .

CASE 2. In the opposite case let  $\bar{\tau} \in (0, \bar{s} - s)$  be such that

$$(\bar{s} - \bar{\tau}, \bar{s} + \bar{\tau}) \times (g(\bar{s}) - \bar{\tau}, g(\bar{s}) + \bar{\tau}) \subset V.$$

Let  $k > 1/\bar{\tau}$  be such that  $J_k \subset (\bar{s} - \bar{\tau}, \bar{s})$ . There are  $t_2, t_3 \in J_k$  such that  $t_2 < t_3$ ,  $|g(t_2) - g(s)| < \tau$ , and  $|g(t_3) - g(\bar{s})| < \bar{\tau}$ . Define  $h(x) = h_1(x)$  if  $x \leq t_1$  and  $h(x) = g(x)$  if  $x \in [t_2, t_3] \cup [\bar{s}, t]$ , and extend  $h$  linearly in the intervals  $[t_1, t_2]$  and  $[t_3, \bar{s}]$ . Then  $h : (-\infty, t] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h$  is continuous,  $h(t) = g(t)$ , and the graph of  $h$  is contained in  $V$ .

We have proved that  $s + \tau \in S$ , an impossibility. Thus  $s = \infty$ .

Let  $h : (-\infty, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function whose graph is contained in  $V$  such that  $h(2) = g(2)$ . Extend  $h$  to the whole real line setting  $h(x) = g(x)$  for  $x > 2$ . The extended function is continuous and its graph is contained in  $V$ . Thus  $g$  is almost continuous. ■

### References

- [1] F. Bagemihl and G. Piranian, *Boundary functions defined in a disk*, Michigan Math. J. 8 (1961), 201–207.
- [2] S. Banach, *Sur une classe des fonctions continues*, Fund. Math. 8 (1926), 166–172.
- [3] J. B. Brown, *Almost continuous Darboux functions and Reed's pointwise convergence criteria*, Fund. Math. 86 (1974), 1–7.
- [4] A. M. Bruckner, J. G. Ceder, and T. L. Pearson, *On the insertion of Darboux, Baire-one functions*, ibid. 80 (1973), 157–167.
- [5] —, *On Darboux functions*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 19 (1974), 977–988.
- [6] A. M. Bruckner, J. G. Ceder, and M. L. Weiss, *Uniform limits of Darboux functions*, Colloq. Math. 15 (1966), 65–77.
- [7] J. G. Ceder and T. L. Pearson, *Insertion of open functions*, Duke Math. J. 35 (1968), 277–288.
- [8] J. G. Ceder and M. L. Weiss, *Some in-between theorems for Darboux functions*, Michigan Math. J. 13 (1966), 225–233.
- [9] S. Kempisty, *Sur les fonctions quasicontinues*, Fund. Math. 19 (1932), 184–197.
- [10] A. Maliszewski, *Sums of bounded Darboux functions*, Real Anal. Exchange 20 (1994–95), 673–680.
- [11] —, *On the limits of strong Świątkowski functions*, Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. Łódź. Mat. 27 (1995), 87–93.
- [12] —, *Darboux property and quasi-continuity. A uniform approach*, WSP, Słupsk, 1996.
- [13] T. Natkaniec, *Almost continuity*, Real Anal. Exchange 17 (1991–92), 462–520.
- [14] —, *Almost continuity*, WSP, Bydgoszcz, 1992.
- [15] J. Stallings, *Fixed point theorem for connectivity maps*, Fund. Math. 47 (1959), 249–263.
- [16] Z. Zahorski, *Sur la première dérivée*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1950), 1–54.

Department of Mathematics  
 Pedagogical University  
 Pl. Weyssenhoffa 11  
 85-072 Bydgoszcz, Poland  
 E-mail: AMal@wsp.bydgoszcz.pl

Received 23 April 1996