

**Structure spaces for
rings of continuous functions
with applications to realcompactifications**

by

Lothar Redlin (Abington, Penn.) and
Saleem Watson (Long Beach, Calif.)

Abstract. Let X be a completely regular space and let $A(X)$ be a ring of continuous real-valued functions on X which is closed under local bounded inversion. We show that the structure space of $A(X)$ is homeomorphic to a quotient of the Stone–Čech compactification of X . We use this result to show that any realcompactification of X is homeomorphic to a subspace of the structure space of some ring of continuous functions $A(X)$.

1. Introduction. Let X be a completely regular space and let $A(X)$ be a collection of continuous real-valued functions on X which form a ring under pointwise operations. Two special cases are $C(X)$, the ring of all continuous functions on X , and $C^*(X)$, the ring of bounded continuous functions on X . We study the class of rings of continuous functions which are closed under *local bounded inversion* (as defined in Section 2). This class includes any ring that contains $C^*(X)$, and any uniformly closed subring of $C^*(X)$, as well as others, including $C_0^1(X)$, the ring of continuously differentiable functions on a locally compact subset X of \mathbb{R} which vanish at infinity (see [1]). Structure spaces for $C(X)$ and $C^*(X)$ have been studied extensively. (See for example [5], where it is shown, by different methods, that the structure space of each ring is isomorphic to βX , the Stone–Čech compactification of X .) We show that for any ring closed under local bounded inversion, the structure space is compact, and is homeomorphic with a quotient of the Stone–Čech compactification βX (Theorem 3.6). Our proofs use a map which assigns a z -filter to every noninvertible $f \in A(X)$; this map extends to one from ideals (maximal ideals) to z -filters (z -ultrafilters). For each $A(X)$ we identify a

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 54C40; Secondary 46E25.

Key words and phrases: ring of continuous functions, maximal ideal, ultrafilter, realcompactification.

subspace $v_A X$ of $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ which we call the A -compactification of X . We show that $v_A X$ is a realcompactification of X and that every realcompactification arises in this way (Theorem 4.6). Thus every realcompactification of X is a quotient of a subspace of βX . We identify a class of rings which is in natural one-to-one correspondence with the realcompactifications of X (Theorem 4.7). As an application of our results, we prove an extension of the Banach–Stone theorem: Let X and Y be compact and let $A(X)$ and $B(Y)$ be closed under local bounded inversion; if $A(X)$ and $B(Y)$ are isomorphic, then X and Y are homeomorphic. (See the remark following Theorem 4.5.)

Rings of continuous functions other than $C(X)$ and $C^*(X)$ are also studied in [1], [6], [7], and [8].

2. Ideals and z -filters. Let X be a completely regular space and let $A(X)$ be a ring of continuous real-valued functions on X . A *zero set* in X is a set of the form $Z(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$ for some $f \in C(X)$; the complement of a zero set is called a *cozero set*. We define $Z[A(X)] = \{Z(f) : f \in A(X)\}$; the collection $Z[C(X)]$ of all zero sets is denoted by $Z[X]$. We always assume that the rings $A(X)$ that we consider contain the constants and separate points and closed sets in X . We make this assumption because of the following easily proved fact: $Z[A(X)]$ is a base for the closed sets in X iff $A(X)$ separates the points and closed sets of X .

If $f \in A(X)$ and E is a cozero set in X , then f is *E -regular* if there exists $g \in A(X)$ such that $fg|_E \equiv 1$; that is, f is *locally invertible* on E . To each $f \in A(X)$ we attach a collection $\mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ of subsets of X defined by

$$\mathcal{Z}_A(f) = \{E \in Z[X] : f \text{ is } E^c\text{-regular}\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{Z}_A(fg) \subset \mathcal{Z}_A(f) \cap \mathcal{Z}_A(g)$. It can be shown, as in [9], Theorem 1, that $\mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ is a z -filter on X iff f is not invertible in $A(X)$. For $S \subset A(X)$ we write $\mathcal{Z}_A[S] = \bigcup_{f \in S} \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$. It was shown in [9] and [3] that if $A(X)$ is a uniformly closed subring that contains or is contained in $C^*(X)$, then for an ideal I in $A(X)$, $\mathcal{Z}_A[I]$ is a z -filter on X . The proofs there depend on the assumption that $A(X)$ is uniformly closed. In Theorem 2.1 below we show that this is in fact true for any subring of $C(X)$. The inverse of the map \mathcal{Z}_A , considered as a set map, is denoted by \mathcal{Z}_A^{-1} and defined by

$$\mathcal{Z}_A^{-1}[S] = \{f \in A(X) : \mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subset S\},$$

where S is a collection of zero sets in X . It follows immediately from the definition that $\mathcal{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{Z}_A[S]] \supset S$ and $\mathcal{Z}_A[\mathcal{Z}_A^{-1}[S]] \subset S$ for all $S \subset A(X)$ and $S \subset Z[X]$. For a z -filter \mathcal{F} on X we define

$$I_A[\mathcal{F}] = \{f \in A(X) : \lim_{\mathcal{F}} fh = 0 \text{ for all } h \in A(X)\},$$

where $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} f$ denotes the limit of the filter base $f(\mathcal{F})$. Clearly, $I_A[\mathcal{F}]$ is an ideal of $A(X)$.

THEOREM 2.1. (a) If I is an ideal in $A(X)$ then $\mathcal{Z}_A[I]$ is a z -filter on X .
 (b) If \mathcal{F} is a z -filter on X then $I_A[\mathcal{F}] \subset \mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$.

PROOF. (a) Clearly, $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{Z}_A[I]$, because I contains no invertible elements. If $F \in \mathcal{Z}[X]$ and $F \supset E \in \mathcal{Z}_A[I]$, then $F \in \mathcal{Z}_A[I]$. Now let $E, F \in \mathcal{Z}_A[I]$, and choose $f, g \in I$ locally invertible on E^c and F^c respectively. Then there exist $h, k \in A(X)$ such that $fh|_{E^c} \equiv 1$ and $gk|_{F^c} \equiv 1$. Let $w = fh + gk - fhgk$. Then $w \in I$, and since $w|_{E^c \cup F^c} \equiv 1$, it follows that w is locally invertible on $E^c \cup F^c$. Thus $(E^c \cup F^c)^c = E \cap F \in \mathcal{Z}_A[I]$, and so $\mathcal{Z}_A[I]$ is a z -filter.

(b) For $f \in I_A[\mathcal{F}]$ we show that for every $E \in \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ there exists $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F \subset E$. If no such F exists, then $F \cap E^c \neq \emptyset$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $E \in \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ there exists $h \in A(X)$ such that $hf|_{E^c} \equiv 1$. But then 1 is a cluster point of $\{fh(F) : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$, contradicting the hypothesis that $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} fh = 0$. Thus $\mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subset \mathcal{F}$; that is, $f \in \mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$. ■

If \mathcal{F} is a z -filter or even a z -ultrafilter on X , then $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$ is not necessarily an ideal. For example, in the ring $P(\mathbb{R})$ of polynomials on \mathbb{R} , for any z -filter \mathcal{F} , the set $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$ consists of all polynomials other than the nonzero constants. We now introduce a class of subrings for which $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$ is an ideal for every z -filter \mathcal{F} . A subring $A(X)$ of $C(X)$ is *closed under local bounded inversion* if every element of $A(X)$ that is bounded away from 0 on a cozero set E is locally invertible on E ; that is, if $f(x) \geq c > 0$ for all $x \in E$, then f is E -regular in $A(X)$. Any subring of $C(X)$ that contains $C^*(X)$ is closed under local bounded inversion, and according to [3], Lemma 1.2(c), so also is any uniformly closed subring of $C^*(X)$. However, a subring of $C(X)$ that is closed under local bounded inversion need not be comparable to $C^*(X)$. (Consider, for example, the ring of all continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)$ exists.)

In the study of $C(X)$ the zero sets $Z(f)$ play a central role. The following result gives a relationship between the z -filter $\mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ and the zero set $Z(f)$.

PROPOSITION 2.2. If $A(X)$ is closed under local bounded inversion, then $Z(f) = \bigcap \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$.

PROOF. Suppose $y \notin Z(f)$ and without loss of generality assume that $f(y) > 0$. Choose a cozero set neighborhood G of y such that $f(x) \geq c > 0$ for all $x \in G$. By hypothesis f is locally invertible on G and so $G^c \in \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$. Thus $y \notin \bigcap \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$. This shows that $Z(f) \supset \bigcap \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$. The other inclusion is immediate. ■

THEOREM 2.3. Let $A(X)$ be closed under local bounded inversion. If \mathcal{F} is a z -filter on X then $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}] = I_A[\mathcal{F}]$; in particular, $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$ is an ideal in $A(X)$.

PROOF. We claim that if $f \in A(X)$ and $\mathcal{F} \supset \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$, then $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} fh = 0$ for all $h \in A(X)$. To show this, let f be a noninvertible element of $A(X)$.

We show that $\lim_{\mathcal{Z}_A(f)} f = 0$. Let $[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ be a neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{R} and let $E_\varepsilon = f^{-1}([-\varepsilon, \varepsilon])$. Set

$$F_1 = \{x \in X : f(x) > \varepsilon\} \quad \text{and} \quad F_2 = \{x \in X : f(x) < -\varepsilon\}.$$

Since $A(X)$ is closed under local bounded inversion, f is F_1 -regular and F_2 -regular, and hence $(F_1 \cup F_2)$ -regular ([9], Lemma 1(b)). But $F_1 \cup F_2 = (E_\varepsilon)^c$, so $E_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus $\lim_{\mathcal{Z}_A(f)} f = 0$ for all $f \in A(X)$. In particular, for all $h \in A(X)$, $\lim_{\mathcal{Z}_A(fh)} fh = 0$, so since $\mathcal{Z}_A(fh) \subset \mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subset \mathcal{F}$, we have $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} fh = 0$. This proves the claim.

Now, let \mathcal{F} be a z -filter and let $f \in \mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$; that is, $\mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subset \mathcal{F}$. Then by the claim $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} fh = 0$ for all $h \in A(X)$, so $f \in I_A[\mathcal{F}]$. Thus by Theorem 2.1(b), $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}] = I_A[\mathcal{F}]$. ■

If M is a maximal ideal in $A(X)$, then $\mathcal{Z}_A[M]$ is not necessarily a z -ultrafilter. For example, let M_0 be the maximal ideal in $C(\mathbb{R})$ consisting of those functions which vanish at 0. Then $\mathcal{Z}_C[M_0]$ is the z -filter of zero-set neighborhoods of 0, which is properly contained in the z -ultrafilter of all zero sets that contain 0. On the other hand, if $A(X)$ is closed under local bounded inversion, then $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}]$ is a maximal ideal whenever \mathcal{U} is a z -ultrafilter, as we show in the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $A(X)$ be closed under local bounded inversion. If \mathcal{U} is a z -ultrafilter on X , then $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}]$ is a maximal ideal in $A(X)$.*

PROOF. We first show that if \mathcal{U}_1 and \mathcal{U}_2 are z -ultrafilters on X , then the ideals $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}_1]$ and $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}_2]$ are either equal or not comparable. To this end, suppose that $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}_1]$ is properly contained in $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}_2]$, and choose $f \in \mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}_2]$ such that $f \notin \mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}_1]$. Then by Theorem 2.3, $\lim_{\mathcal{U}_2} fh = 0$ for all $h \in A(X)$, and $\lim_{\mathcal{U}_1} fk \neq 0$, or does not exist, for some $k \in A(X)$. In either case, there exists $c > 0$ and a zero set $E \in \mathcal{U}_1$ such that $f(x)k(x) > c$ for all $x \in E$. The set $G = \{x : f(x)k(x) > c\}$ is a cozero set containing E on which fk is bounded away from zero. Since $A(X)$ is closed under local bounded inversion there exists $g \in A(X)$ such that $fk g|_G \equiv 1$, and hence $fk g|_E \equiv 1$. Thus $1 - fk g|_E \equiv 0$, and so $\lim_{\mathcal{U}_1} (1 - fk g)h = 0$ for all $h \in A(X)$. But $\lim_{\mathcal{U}_2} (1 - fk g) = 1$, which means that $1 - fk g$ belongs to $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}_1]$ but not to $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}_2]$, contradicting the hypothesized containment.

Now, let $M = \mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}]$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{Z}_A[\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}]]$. Suppose $g \notin M$. We will show that the ideal generated by M and g is all of $A(X)$, which will prove that M is maximal. We claim that the collection $\mathcal{Z}_A(g) \cup \mathcal{F}$ does not have the finite intersection property. For if it did, then there would exist a z -ultrafilter \mathcal{U}' containing both $\mathcal{Z}_A(g)$ and \mathcal{F} . In this case $\mathcal{U}' \supset \mathcal{Z}_A[\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}]]$; hence $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}'] \supset \mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}]$, and so by the first paragraph $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}'] = M$, which is impossible since $g \notin M$. This proves the claim. So there exist zero sets $E \in \mathcal{Z}_A(g)$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $E \cap F = \emptyset$. By definition of \mathcal{F} we can

choose $f \in M$ such that $F \in \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$. Hence there exist $h, k \in A(X)$ such that $fh|_{F^c} \equiv 1$ and $gk|_{E^c} \equiv 1$. Now since $E^c \cup F^c = X$, we see that $fh + gk - fghk$ is identically 1 on all of X . Thus the ideal generated by M and g contains the function 1. ■

A z -filter \mathcal{F} is *fixed* if $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$, otherwise it is *free*. An ideal I is *fixed* if $\bigcap \mathcal{Z}_A[I] \neq \emptyset$, otherwise it is *free*. By Proposition 2.2, $\bigcap \mathcal{Z}_A[I] = \bigcap Z[I]$, so an ideal is fixed in this sense iff it is fixed in the usual sense ([5], page 54). Note that the fixed z -ultrafilters on X are precisely the z -ultrafilters \mathcal{U}_p , $p \in X$, where $\mathcal{U}_p = \{E \in Z[X] : p \in E\}$.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $A(X)$ be closed under local bounded inversion and let M be a maximal ideal in $A(X)$.*

(a) *If M is fixed, then there exists $p \in X$ such that*

$$M = \{f \in A(X) : f(p) = 0\}.$$

Moreover, \mathcal{U}_p is the unique z -ultrafilter containing $\mathcal{Z}_A[M]$.

(b) *If M is free, then there is a free z -ultrafilter \mathcal{U} such that*

$$M = \{f \in A(X) : \mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subset \mathcal{U}\}.$$

PROOF. (a) By Proposition 2.2 we have $Z(f) = \bigcap \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ for every $f \in A(X)$, and so $\bigcap \mathcal{Z}_A[M] = \bigcap \{Z(f) : f \in M\}$. Since M is fixed, this intersection is not empty. So there exists $p \in X$ such that $f(p) = 0$ for all $f \in M$. Since M is maximal, we have $M = \{f \in A(X) : f(p) = 0\}$.

Now let \mathcal{U} be any z -ultrafilter containing $\mathcal{Z}_A[M]$. We show that p belongs to every element of \mathcal{U} . For suppose that $p \notin E \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists $f \in A(X)$ such that $f(p) = 0$ and $f(E) \geq 1$ (and so $f \in M$). Let $F = \{x \in X : f(x) \geq 1/2\}$. Since $A(X)$ is closed under local bounded inversion, f is locally invertible on F^c , so $F \in \mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subset \mathcal{Z}_A[M] \subset \mathcal{U}$. But this is impossible because $E \cap F = \emptyset$. Thus $\mathcal{U} \supset \mathcal{U}_p$, and so $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_p$ is the unique z -ultrafilter containing $\mathcal{Z}_A[M]$.

(b) Since $\mathcal{Z}_A[M]$ is free, any z -ultrafilter $\mathcal{U} \supset \mathcal{Z}_A[M]$ is free. Clearly, $M = \mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}]$. ■

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let \mathcal{U} be a z -ultrafilter on X . Then $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}]$ is a fixed (free) maximal ideal iff \mathcal{U} is a fixed (free) z -ultrafilter.*

PROOF. Suppose $M = \mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}]$ is a fixed maximal ideal. Since $\mathcal{U} \supset \mathcal{Z}_A[M]$, \mathcal{U} is fixed by Theorem 2.5(a). Conversely, if \mathcal{U} is fixed, then $\bigcap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$. Since $\mathcal{Z}_A[\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}]] \subset \mathcal{U}$, we have $\bigcap \mathcal{Z}_A[\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}]] \neq \emptyset$, and hence $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}]$ is fixed. ■

3. The structure space. In this section, $A(X)$ denotes a ring of continuous functions that is closed under local bounded inversion.

Let $\mathfrak{U}(X)$ denote the collection of all z -ultrafilters on X , and let $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ denote the collection of maximal ideals in $A(X)$. The map

$$\mathcal{Z}_A^- : \mathfrak{U}(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(A)$$

is onto. This is because if M is a maximal ideal then the z -filter $\mathcal{Z}_A[M]$ is contained in a z -ultrafilter \mathcal{U} . Then $\mathcal{Z}_A^-[\mathcal{U}] \supset M$, and since M is maximal, $\mathcal{Z}_A^-[\mathcal{U}] = M$. We endow $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ with the hull-kernel topology. A base for the closed sets in this topology is given by the family of sets of the form

$$N_f = \{M \in \mathfrak{M}(A) : f \in M\}, \quad f \in A(X).$$

We denote by τ the Stone topology on $\mathfrak{U}(X)$; thus $(\mathfrak{U}(X), \tau)$ is βX , the Stone–Čech compactification of X . A base for the closed sets in τ is given by the family of sets of the form $S_E = \{\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}(X) : E \in \mathcal{U}\}$, $E \in Z[X]$, ([5], p. 87). We write p, q, \dots for the points of βX , but when we wish to emphasize that these are z -ultrafilters, we write $\mathcal{U}_p, \mathcal{U}_q, \dots$; if $p \in X$ then \mathcal{U}_p denotes the fixed z -ultrafilter defined before Theorem 2.5. We also endow $\mathfrak{U}(X)$ with a topology τ_A depending on $A(X)$. A base for the closed sets in this topology is given by the family of sets of the form

$$Z_f = \{\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}(X) : \mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subset \mathcal{U}\}, \quad f \in A(X).$$

By Proposition 2.2, $Z(f) = \bigcap \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$. Thus if $Z(f) \in \mathcal{U}$, then $\mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subset \mathcal{U}$, and so $S_{Z(f)} \subset Z_f$.

We now show that the collection $\{Z_f : f \in A(X)\}$ does indeed form a base for the closed sets in a topology on $\mathfrak{U}(X)$. First, note that X is naturally embedded in both $\mathfrak{U}(X)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(A)$: a point $p \in X$ is identified with the fixed z -ultrafilter \mathcal{U}_p in $\mathfrak{U}(X)$, and with the maximal ideal $M = \{f \in A(X) : f(p) = 0\}$ in $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ (Theorem 2.5(a)).

THEOREM 3.1. (a) τ_A is a topology on $\mathfrak{U}(X)$.

(b) The closure in $(\mathfrak{U}(X), \tau_A)$ of a zero set $E \in Z[X]$ is given by $\bigcap \{Z_f : f \in I_E\}$, where $I_E = \{f \in A(X) : f(E) = 0\}$.

PROOF. (a) First note that if f is invertible, then $Z_f = \emptyset$, so \emptyset is in the base. We now show that for $f, g \in A(X)$ we have $Z_f \cup Z_g = Z_{fg}$. Observe that if \mathcal{U} is a z -ultrafilter with $\mathcal{Z}_A(fg) \subset \mathcal{U}$, then $\mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subset \mathcal{U}$ or $\mathcal{Z}_A(g) \subset \mathcal{U}$; for if $\mathcal{Z}_A(fg) \subset \mathcal{U}$, then $fg \in \mathcal{Z}_A^-[\mathcal{U}]$, which is a maximal ideal, and hence prime. Thus either $f \in \mathcal{Z}_A^-[\mathcal{U}]$ or $g \in \mathcal{Z}_A^-[\mathcal{U}]$, and so either $\mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subset \mathcal{U}$ or $\mathcal{Z}_A(g) \subset \mathcal{U}$. Now if $\mathcal{U} \in Z_{fg}$ then $\mathcal{Z}_A(fg) \subset \mathcal{U}$, and hence $\mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subset \mathcal{U}$ or $\mathcal{Z}_A(g) \subset \mathcal{U}$; that is, $\mathcal{U} \in Z_f \cup Z_g$. Conversely, suppose $\mathcal{U} \in Z_f \cup Z_g$. Then either $\mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subset \mathcal{U}$ or $\mathcal{Z}_A(g) \subset \mathcal{U}$; since $\mathcal{Z}_A(fg) \subset \mathcal{Z}_A(f) \cap \mathcal{Z}_A(g)$, we have $\mathcal{Z}_A(fg) \subset \mathcal{U}$. Thus $\mathcal{U} \in Z_{fg}$.

(b) We show that $Z_f \supset E$ iff $f \in I_E$. The result follows from this since the collection $\{Z_f\}$ is a base for the closed sets in $\mathfrak{U}(X)$. So suppose $Z_f \supset E$. Then for every $p \in E$, we have $\mathcal{U}_p \in Z_f$, and so $\mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subset \mathcal{U}_p$ whenever $p \in E$.

Thus $f \in \mathcal{Z}_A^-[U_p]$, $p \in E$. By Theorem 2.5(a), this means that $f(p) = 0$ for all $p \in E$, and so $f \in I_E$. Conversely, if $f \in I_E$ then $f(p) = 0$ for all $p \in E$, and by Theorem 2.5(a), $f \in \mathcal{Z}_A^-[U_p]$ for all $p \in E$. Thus $\mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subset U_p$, $p \in E$, and so $E = \{U_p : p \in E\}$ is contained in $\{U : \mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subset U\} = Z_f$. ■

THEOREM 3.2. X is a dense subspace of $(\mathfrak{U}(X), \tau_A)$.

PROOF. First we verify that the map $\iota : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}(X)$ taking p to U_p is a continuous embedding. (We do not distinguish between the points of X and $\iota(X)$; that is, we consider X to be a subset of $\mathfrak{U}(X)$.) Let $f \in A(X)$; then Z_f is a basic closed set in $\mathfrak{U}(X)$. We claim that $Z_f \cap X = Z(f)$, the zero set of f in X . To show this, let $p \in X$. If $f(p) = 0$, then $\mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subset U_p$ and so $p \in Z_f$. Conversely, suppose $f(p) \neq 0$; we may assume without loss of generality that $f(p) = 1$. Let $E = \{x \in X : f(x) \leq 1/2\}$. Since $A(X)$ is closed under local bounded inversion, $E \in \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$, but since $p \notin E$, $E \notin U_p$. Thus $\mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ is not contained in U_p , and hence $p = U_p \notin Z_f$. This verifies the claim, which in turn shows that the restrictions to X of the basic closed sets $\{Z_f : f \in A(X)\}$ in $\mathfrak{U}(X)$ are precisely the basic closed sets $\{Z(f) : f \in A(X)\}$ in X . Thus ι is a homeomorphism onto its image.

That X is dense in $(\mathfrak{U}(X), \tau_A)$ follows from Theorem 3.1(b), since the closure of X is $\bigcap \{Z_f : f \equiv 0\} = \mathfrak{U}(X)$. ■

THEOREM 3.3. $\tau_A \subset \tau$; hence $(\mathfrak{U}(X), \tau_A)$ is compact.

PROOF. We show that every basic closed set Z_f in the topology τ_A is also closed in τ . Let $f \in A(X)$. Then $Z_f = \{U \in \mathfrak{U}(X) : \mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subset U\} = \bigcap \{S_E : E \in \mathcal{Z}_A(f)\}$, where $S_E = \{U \in \mathfrak{U}(X) : E \in U\}$ is a basic closed set in τ . ■

THEOREM 3.4. The following are equivalent:

- (a) $\tau_A = \tau$.
- (b) $A(X)$ separates zero sets in X .
- (c) τ_A is Hausdorff.

PROOF. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Suppose $\tau_A = \tau$ and let $E, F \in Z[X]$, with $E \cap F = \emptyset$. Then the closure of F in τ_A is the same as its closure $\text{cl}_\tau F$ in τ . By Theorem 3.1(b) this means that

$$(3.1) \quad \bigcap \{Z_f : f \in I_F\} = \{U : F \in U\}.$$

Since E and F are disjoint, E does not belong to any U for which $F \in U$. Suppose that for every $f \in I_F$, E meets every member of $\mathcal{Z}_A(f)$. Then E meets every element of the z -filter $\mathcal{Z}_A[I_F]$ (this is a z -filter since I_F is an ideal). Thus there is a z -ultrafilter \mathcal{U}_1 containing $\mathcal{Z}_A[I_F] \cup \{E\}$. This z -ultrafilter would belong to Z_f for every $f \in I_F$, and so $\mathcal{U}_1 \in \bigcap \{Z_f : f \in I_F\}$, the intersection on the left in (3.1). But as noted above, the fact that $E \in \mathcal{U}_1$

implies that $F \notin \mathcal{U}_1$, so \mathcal{U}_1 does not belong to the set on the right in (3.1), a contradiction. Thus there must exist $f \in I_F$ and $G \in \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ such that $E \cap G = \emptyset$. Then there exists $g \in A(X)$ such that $fg = 1$ on $G^c \supset E$. But $f \in I_F$, so $fg = 0$ on F ; thus the function $fg \in A(X)$ separates the zero sets E and F .

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Suppose $A(X)$ separates zero sets, and let $E \in Z[X]$. We show that the closure of E in τ is equal to its closure in τ_A , namely $\bigcap\{Z_f : f \in I_E\}$ (Theorem 3.1(b)). This would mean that $\tau \subset \tau_A$, and so the desired result would follow from Theorem 3.3. If $\mathcal{U} \in \text{cl}_\tau E$, then $E \in \mathcal{U}$. So for every $f \in I_E$, $\mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subset \mathcal{U}$, and hence $\mathcal{U} \in Z_f$. This shows that $\text{cl}_\tau E \subset \bigcap\{Z_f : f \in I_E\}$. For the other containment, let $\mathcal{U}' \in \bigcap\{Z_f : f \in I_E\} = \{\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}(X) : \mathcal{Z}_A[I_E] \subset \mathcal{U}\}$. If $F \in \mathcal{U}'$, then F meets every element of $\mathcal{Z}_A[I_E]$. We claim that F meets E . For suppose that $E \cap F = \emptyset$. Then there exists $h \in A(X)$ such that $h(E) = 0$ and $h(F) = 1$. Clearly, $h \in I_E$. The set $G = \{x \in X : h(x) \leq 1/2\}$ is a zero set that contains E ; moreover, $G \in \mathcal{Z}_A(h)$ since h is bounded away from zero on G^c . But $F \cap G = \emptyset$, and this contradicts the fact that F meets every element of $\mathcal{Z}_A[I_E]$. Thus $E \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Since F is an arbitrary element of the z -ultrafilter \mathcal{U}' , this proves that $E \in \mathcal{U}'$. Thus $\mathcal{U}' \in \text{cl}_\tau E$.

(a) \Leftrightarrow (c). Since τ is Hausdorff ([5], p. 87), it remains to show (c) \Rightarrow (a). If τ_A is Hausdorff, then the identity map $(\mathfrak{U}(X), \tau) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{U}(X), \tau_A)$ is a continuous function (Theorem 3.3) from a compact space to a Hausdorff space, and hence is a homeomorphism ([10], p. 123). ■

The map $\mathcal{Z}_A^- : \mathfrak{U}(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(A)$ is not necessarily one-to-one, but, as we have seen, it is onto. Thus we may define an equivalence relation \sim_A on $\mathfrak{U}(X)$ by

$$\mathcal{U}_1 \sim_A \mathcal{U}_2 \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{Z}_A^-[\mathcal{U}_1] = \mathcal{Z}_A^-[\mathcal{U}_2].$$

Note that if $p \in X$, then \mathcal{U}_p is the only element in its equivalence class modulo \sim_A . Thus \sim_A does not identify points of X (understood to be embedded in $\mathfrak{U}(X)$) as described above Theorem 3.1).

We now prove a result which explains the choice of the topology τ_A on $\mathfrak{U}(X)$.

THEOREM 3.5. $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ is homeomorphic to $(\mathfrak{U}(X), \tau_A) / \sim_A$.

Proof. In this proof we denote \mathcal{Z}_A^- by Φ . We show that $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ has the quotient topology induced by Φ ; that is, a set F is closed in $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ iff $\Phi^{-1}(F)$ is closed in $(\mathfrak{U}(X), \tau_A)$. We first observe that the inverse image under Φ of a basic closed set in $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ is a basic closed set in $(\mathfrak{U}(X), \tau_A)$. This follows from the following equation: $\Phi^{-1}(N_f) = \{\mathcal{U} : f \in \mathcal{Z}_A^-[\mathcal{U}]\} = \{\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subset \mathcal{U}\} = Z_f$. Since any closed set $F \subset \mathfrak{M}(A)$ is an intersection of basic closed sets, it follows that $\Phi^{-1}(F)$ is closed.

Conversely, if $\Phi^{-1}(F)$ is closed in $(\mathfrak{U}(X), \tau_A)$, then $\Phi^{-1}(F) = \bigcap \{Z_f : f \in \Lambda\}$ for some index set Λ . We claim that

$$\Phi\left(\bigcap \{Z_f : f \in \Lambda\}\right) = \bigcap \{\Phi(Z_f) : f \in \Lambda\}.$$

The result will then follow, since we would have $F = \Phi(\bigcap \{Z_f : f \in \Lambda\}) = \bigcap \{\Phi(Z_f) : f \in \Lambda\} = \bigcap \{N_f : f \in \Lambda\}$, whence F is closed. So to prove the claim, let $M \in \Phi(\bigcap \{Z_f : f \in \Lambda\})$; then there exists $\mathcal{U} \in \bigcap \{Z_f : f \in \Lambda\}$ such that $M = \Phi(\mathcal{U})$. Now $\mathcal{U} \in Z_f$ for all $f \in \Lambda$, so $M \in \Phi(Z_f)$ for all $f \in \Lambda$, and hence $M \in \bigcap \{\Phi(Z_f) : f \in \Lambda\}$. For the other containment, suppose $M \in \bigcap \{\Phi(Z_f) : f \in \Lambda\}$. Then $M \in \Phi(Z_f)$ for all $f \in \Lambda$, so for each $f \in \Lambda$ there exists a z -ultrafilter \mathcal{U}_f such that $M = \Phi(\mathcal{U}_f)$. This means that $f \in M$ for all $f \in \Lambda$, so $\mathcal{Z}_A[M] \supset \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ for all $f \in \Lambda$. Thus if \mathcal{U} is any z -ultrafilter containing $\mathcal{Z}_A[M]$ then $\mathcal{U} \in Z_f$ for all $f \in \Lambda$, and hence $\mathcal{U} \in \bigcap \{Z_f : f \in \Lambda\}$. So $\Phi(\mathcal{U}) = M \in \Phi(\bigcap \{Z_f : f \in \Lambda\})$. ■

THEOREM 3.6. $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ is homeomorphic to a quotient of the Stone-Čech compactification βX ; precisely, $\mathfrak{M}(A) \simeq (\mathfrak{U}(X), \tau)/\sim_A$.

Proof. We first show that $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ is a compact Hausdorff space. By [5], p. 111, it suffices to show that given $M_1, M_2 \in \mathfrak{M}(A)$, there exist $h_1, h_2 \in A(X)$, $h_1 \notin M_1$ and $h_2 \notin M_2$, such that $h_1 h_2 \in \bigcap \mathfrak{M}(A)$. We claim that there exist $E \in \mathcal{Z}_A[M_1]$ and $F \in \mathcal{Z}_A[M_2]$ such that $E \cap F = \emptyset$. For otherwise there would exist a z -filter containing $\mathcal{Z}_A[M_1] \cup \mathcal{Z}_A[M_2]$, and so $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$ would be a proper ideal containing $M_1 \cup M_2$, which is impossible. So choose $f \in M_1$ and $g \in M_2$ such that $E \in \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ and $F \in \mathcal{Z}_A(g)$; this means there exist $f_1, g_1 \in A(X)$ such that $ff_1|_{E^c} \equiv 1$ and $gg_1|_{F^c} \equiv 1$. Since $ff_1 \in M_1$ and $gg_1 \in M_2$, we have $1 - ff_1 \notin M_1$ and $1 - gg_1 \notin M_2$. But $(1 - ff_1)(1 - gg_1) = 0$ for all $x \in X$, and so $h_1 = 1 - ff_1$ and $h_2 = 1 - gg_1$ are the desired functions.

By Theorem 3.5, $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ is homeomorphic to $(\mathfrak{U}(X), \tau_A)/\sim_A$, and so the latter space is also compact Hausdorff. Now the identity map $\iota : (\mathfrak{U}(X), \tau) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{U}(X), \tau_A)$ is continuous by Theorem 3.3, and hence so is the induced map $\Psi : (\mathfrak{U}(X), \tau)/\sim_A \rightarrow (\mathfrak{U}(X), \tau_A)/\sim_A$. Thus Ψ is a homeomorphism, since it is a continuous bijection from a compact space to a Hausdorff space ([10], p. 123). ■

4. The realcompactifications of a completely regular space. A *realcompactification* of a completely regular space X is a realcompact space in which X is densely embedded. (In particular, every compactification of X is a realcompactification.) In this section we show that every realcompactification of X is homeomorphic to a subspace of $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ for some ring of continuous functions $A(X)$.

If M is a maximal ideal in $A(X)$ then the field $A(X)/M$ contains a canonical copy of \mathbb{R} : the image of the constant functions under the quotient

map. If $A(X)/M$ consists only of this copy of \mathbb{R} then M is a *real maximal ideal*. We say that X is *A-compact* if every real maximal ideal in $A(X)$ is fixed. (Thus a compact space is C^* -compact and a realcompact space is C -compact.) A collection \mathcal{C} of closed sets in X is called *A-stable* if every $f \in A(X)$ is bounded on some member of \mathcal{C} .

Throughout this section, $A(X)$ is a ring of continuous functions that is closed under local bounded inversion.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let \mathcal{U} be a z -ultrafilter on X . Then $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}]$ is a real maximal ideal iff \mathcal{U} is A -stable.*

PROOF. If $M = \mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}]$ is real, then for every $f \in A(X)$ there exists a constant function $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f - r \in M$. Thus by Theorem 2.3, $\lim_{\mathcal{U}}(f - r)h = 0$ for all $h \in A(X)$, and so, in particular, using $h \equiv 1$, we get $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} f = r$. Hence $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} f$ is a (finite) real number for every $f \in A(X)$, and so every f is bounded on some member of \mathcal{U} ; that is, \mathcal{U} is A -stable.

Conversely, if \mathcal{U} is A -stable then $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} f$ is a real number for every $f \in A(X)$. So it follows from Theorem 2.3 that $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}] = \{f \in A(X) : \lim_{\mathcal{U}} f = 0\}$. Thus the map

$$\psi : A(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f \mapsto \lim_{\mathcal{U}} f,$$

is a well-defined ring homomorphism with kernel $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}]$, which is therefore real. ■

THEOREM 4.2. *The space X is A -compact iff every A -stable z -ultrafilter on X converges.*

PROOF. Suppose X is A -compact. If \mathcal{U} is an A -stable z -ultrafilter then the ideal $M = \mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}]$ is real by Theorem 4.1, and since X is A -compact, M is fixed. Thus by Corollary 2.6, \mathcal{U} is fixed and hence converges. Conversely, suppose X is not A -compact. Then there exists a real maximal ideal M that is not fixed. Let \mathcal{U} be a z -ultrafilter such that $M = \mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}]$. By Theorem 4.1, \mathcal{U} is A -stable, and by Corollary 2.6, \mathcal{U} is not fixed. Thus \mathcal{U} is an A -stable z -ultrafilter that does not converge in X . ■

COROLLARY 4.3. *If $B(X) \subset A(X)$ and X is B -compact, then X is A -compact.*

PROOF. Let \mathcal{U} be an A -stable z -ultrafilter on X . Then \mathcal{U} is also B -stable, so \mathcal{U} converges, since X is B -compact. Thus X is A -compact. ■

Since all the rings that we consider are contained in $C(X)$, it follows that an A -compact space is realcompact.

Let $\mathfrak{M}_r(A)$ denote the subspace of $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ consisting of the real maximal ideals in $A(X)$, and let $\mathfrak{U}_s(X)$ denote the subspace of $(\mathfrak{U}(X), \tau_A)$ consisting

of the A -stable z -ultrafilters on X . We define

$$v_A X = (\mathfrak{U}_s(X), \tau_A) / \sim_A.$$

This space will serve as the A -compactification of X . If $f \in A(X)$ and if \mathcal{U}_p is an A -stable z -ultrafilter, then we define

$$f^A(p) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}_p} f.$$

Then f^A is a continuous extension of f to $\mathfrak{U}_s(X)$. If \mathcal{U}_p and \mathcal{U}_q are A -stable, then $\mathcal{U}_p \sim_A \mathcal{U}_q$ if and only if $f^A(p) = f^A(q)$ for all $f \in A(X)$ (by Theorem 4.1 and an argument as in [3], Lemma 2.1). Thus f^A composed with the quotient map modulo \sim_A is a continuous extension of f to $v_A X$, which we shall also call f^A . Let $A(v_A X) = \{f^A : f \in A(X)\}$. Clearly, $A(v_A X) \simeq A(X)$.

THEOREM 4.4. *$v_A X$ is homeomorphic to $\mathfrak{M}_r(A)$ by a homeomorphism that keeps X pointwise fixed.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, $\mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}$ maps $\mathfrak{U}_s(X)$ onto $\mathfrak{M}_r(A)$. The result now follows from Theorem 3.5. ■

THEOREM 4.5. *If $A(X)$ and $B(Y)$ are isomorphic, then $v_A X$ and $v_B Y$ are homeomorphic.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.4 that the topological spaces $v_A X$ and $v_B Y$ are determined entirely by the algebraic structures of $A(X)$ and $B(Y)$. ■

In Theorem 4.5, if we assume in addition that X is A -compact and Y is B -compact, then we can conclude that X is homeomorphic to Y , because in this case $v_A X \simeq X$ and $v_B Y \simeq Y$.

Remark. If X is compact, then by Theorem 4.2, X is A -compact for any $A(X)$. In this case $v_A X \simeq X$. Thus for compact X and Y , it follows from Theorem 4.5 that if $A(X)$ and $B(Y)$ are isomorphic, then X and Y are homeomorphic.

THEOREM 4.6. (a) *$v_A X$ is an A -compactification of X (and hence a realcompactification of X).*

(b) *If αX is a realcompactification of X , then there exists a ring $A(X)$ of continuous functions such that $\alpha X \simeq v_A X$ by a homeomorphism that keeps X pointwise fixed.*

Proof. (a) Let M be a real maximal ideal in $A(X)$. Then every z -ultrafilter \mathcal{U}_p containing $\mathcal{Z}_A[M]$ is A -stable, and so $M = \mathcal{Z}_A^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{U}_p] = \{f \in A(X) : \lim_{\mathcal{U}_p} f = 0\}$, as in the proof Theorem 4.1. Thus $M = \{f \in A(X) : f^A(p) = 0\}$, so the ideal M is fixed (considered as an ideal in $A(v_A X)$). Thus $v_A X$ is A -compact; since X is dense in $v_A X$ (Theorem 3.2), $v_A X$ is

an A -compactification of X . Since $A(X) \subset C(X)$, v_AX is also C -compact by Corollary 4.3; that is, it is realcompact.

(b) We first observe that if Y is realcompact, then $A(X) \simeq C(Y)$ implies $v_AX \simeq Y$ (Theorem 4.5). Now let $A(X) = \{f \in C(X) : f \text{ has a continuous extension } f^\alpha \text{ to } \alpha X\}$. Then $A(X) \simeq C(\alpha X)$. Since αX is realcompact, every real maximal ideal in $C(\alpha X)$ is fixed. But $C(\alpha X) \simeq A(X) \simeq A(v_AX)$, and so $v_AX \simeq \alpha X$. ■

It follows immediately from Theorem 4.6(b) that every realcompactification of X is a quotient of a subspace of βX .

Two different rings of continuous functions $A(X)$ and $B(X)$ may give equivalent realcompactifications v_AX and v_BX (that is, they are homeomorphic by a homeomorphism that leaves X pointwise fixed). For example, if $H(\mathbb{N})$ denotes the ring of all sequences on \mathbb{N} which are coefficients in the Taylor series of an analytic function on the disc, then \mathbb{N} is H -compact and C -compact [2]. This situation cannot occur for two different “ C -rings”, defined as follows: A ring of continuous functions $A(X)$ is a C -ring if there exists a completely regular space Y such that $A(X)$ is ring isomorphic to $C(Y)$. (For example, $C^*(X)$ is a C -ring, since $C^*(X)$ is isomorphic to $C(\beta X)$.)

We now show that every realcompactification of X is equivalent to v_AX for some C -ring $A(X)$.

THEOREM 4.7. *Let X be a completely regular space. There is a one-to-one correspondence between realcompactifications of X and C -rings on X .*

Proof. We first observe that $A(X)$ is a C -ring iff $A(X) \simeq C(v_AX)$. This is because if $A(X) \simeq C(Y)$ then $v_AX \simeq v_C Y$ (Theorem 4.5). Thus $C(v_AX) \simeq C(v_C Y) \simeq C(Y)$, and so $A(X) \simeq C(v_AX)$.

Now, suppose αX is a realcompactification of X , and let $A(X) = \{f|_X : f \in C(\alpha X)\}$. Then $A(X) \simeq C(\alpha X)$ since X is dense in αX . Thus $A(X)$ is a C -ring, so $A(X) \simeq C(v_AX)$, and hence $\alpha X \simeq v_AX$ (because αX and v_AX are realcompact). Conversely, if $A(X)$ is a C -ring then $A(X) \simeq C(Y)$, where Y can be chosen to be realcompact. Then $v_AX \simeq Y$. This proves that the correspondence between C -rings $A(X)$ and the realcompactifications v_AX is one-to-one. ■

References

- [1] W. Adamski, *Two ultrafilter properties for vector lattices of real-valued functions*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 45 (1994), 225–267.
- [2] R. M. Brooks, *A ring of analytic functions*, Studia Math. 24 (1964), 191–210.
- [3] H. L. Byun, L. Redlin and S. Watson, *Local invertibility in subrings of $C^*(X)$* , Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 46 (1992), 449–458.

- [4] H. L. Byun and S. Watson, *Prime and maximal ideals in subrings of $C(X)$* , *Topology Appl.* 40 (1991), 45–62.
- [5] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Springer, New York, 1978.
- [6] M. Henriksen, J. R. Isbell and D. G. Johnson, *Residue class fields of lattice-ordered algebras*, *Fund. Math.* 50 (1961), 107–117.
- [7] M. Henriksen and D. G. Johnson, *On the structure of a class of archimedean lattice-ordered algebras*, *ibid.* 50 (1961), 73–94.
- [8] D. Plank, *On a class of subalgebras of $C(X)$ with applications to βX* , *ibid.* 64 (1969), 41–54.
- [9] L. Redlin and S. Watson, *Maximal ideals in subalgebras of $C(X)$* , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 100 (1987), 763–766.
- [10] S. Willard, *General Topology*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1970.

Department of Mathematics
The Pennsylvania State University
Abington, Pennsylvania 19001
U.S.A.
E-mail: lhr5@psu.edu

Department of Mathematics
California State University
Long Beach, California 90840
U.S.A.
E-mail: saleem@csulb.edu

*Received 7 March 1996;
in revised form 20 August 1996*