

## Composant-like decompositions of spaces

by

W. Dębski (Katowice) and E. D. Tymchatyn\* (Saskatoon)

**Abstract.** The body of this paper falls into two independent sections. The first deals with the existence of cross-sections in  $F_\sigma$ -decompositions. The second deals with the extensions of the results on accessibility in the plane.

**1. Introduction.** The composants of an indecomposable metric continuum  $X$  are pairwise disjoint, continuum connected, first category, dense  $F_\sigma$ -subsets of  $X$ . Mazurkiewicz [8] proved that each indecomposable metric continuum has  $c$  composants by showing that there exists a Cantor set which is a partial cross-section for the composants of  $X$ .

There are number of useful results concerning the position of composant in an indecomposable continuum embedded in the plane. Mazurkiewicz [9] and Krasinkiewicz [3]–[5] have proved that most composants of a planar indecomposable continuum  $X$  are not accessible.

The purpose of this paper is to extend the above results to decompositions of separable metric spaces with only mild additional conditions.

Let  $X$  be a separable metric space and  $R \subsetneq X \times X$  an equivalence relation on  $X$ . For  $x \in X$  let  $R(x)$  denote the  $R$ -equivalence class of  $x$ . For  $A \subset X$  let  $R(A) = \bigcup\{R(x) : x \in A\}$ .

By a *continuum* we mean a compact, connected, metric space. A continuum is *decomposable* if it is the union of two proper subcontinua, otherwise it is *indecomposable*. A set  $A$  is *continuum connected* if every pair of points of  $A$  lies in a subcontinuum of  $A$ .

**2. Cross-section for  $F_\sigma$ -decompositions.** In this section  $X$  will be a separable metric space and  $R = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i \subsetneq X \times X$  an equivalence relation such that each  $R_i$  is closed in  $X \times X$ .

2.1. EXAMPLE. Let  $X$  be a non-degenerate, indecomposable metric continuum. Let  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a countable basis of proper open sets of  $X$ .

---

\* This research was supported in part by NSERC grant No. A5616.

Let  $R_i = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \text{ and } y \text{ lie in a component of } X - U_i\}$  and  $R = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i$ . If  $x \in X$  then  $R(x)$  is the composant of  $x$ , i.e. the union of all proper subcontinua of  $X$  which contain  $x$  (see [6]). The sets  $R_i$  are closed in  $X \times X$ .

2.2. EXAMPLE. Let  $f : X \times (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow X$  be a flow on a space  $X$  and let  $R$  be the equivalence relation on  $X$  whose equivalence classes are the orbits of points of  $X$  under  $f$ . For each positive integer  $i$  let

$$R_i = \{(x, f(x, t)) : (x, t) \in X \times [-i, i]\}.$$

Then  $R_i$  is closed and  $R = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i$ .

The results of this section, which are valid for arbitrary separable metric spaces, were first obtained by Cook [1] and by Mazurkiewicz [8] for the case of indecomposable continua.

2.3. PROPOSITION. *If  $K$  is a compact subset of a metric separable space  $X$ , then  $R(K)$  is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset of  $X$ .*

Proof. Let  $L_i = \{y \in X : (x, y) \in R_i \text{ for some } x \in K\}$ . Let  $y \in \text{Cl}(L_i)$  and let  $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence in  $L_i$  converging to  $y$ . For each  $n$  let  $x_n \in K$  be such that  $(x_n, y_n) \in R_i$ . We may pass to a subsequence by compactness of  $K$ , and we may suppose that  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to  $x$  in  $K$ . Then  $(x, y) \in R_i$  since  $R_i$  is closed in  $X \times X$ . So,  $y \in L_i$  and  $L_i$  is closed. Clearly,  $R(K) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L_i$ .

2.4. COROLLARY. *If  $X$  is of second category and each  $R$ -equivalence class has empty interior in  $X$  then the set of  $R$ -equivalence classes is uncountable.*

2.5. COROLLARY. *Let each  $R$ -equivalence class be dense in  $X$ . If  $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$ , where each  $F_i$  is a compact subset of  $X$  such that  $R(F_i) \neq X$ , then  $R(K)$  is a first category  $F_{\sigma}$ -set in  $X$ .*

2.6. THEOREM. *Let  $X$  be of second category and let each  $R$ -equivalence class be dense in  $X$ . If  $K$  is a compact subset of  $X$  such that  $R(K) = X$  then there is a non-empty closed subset  $L$  of  $K$  such that  $\text{Cl}(R(x) \cap L) = L$  for each  $x \in X$ .*

Proof. Let  $L = \bigcup \{\text{Cl}(K \cap R(x)) : x \in X\}$ .

Choose a countable subcover  $\mathcal{V}$  of the open cover  $\{K - \text{Cl}(K \cap R(x)) : x \in X\}$  of  $K - L$ . The set  $K - \text{Cl}(K \cap R(x))$ ,  $x \in X$ , is  $\sigma$ -compact (being an open subset of the compact set  $K$ ) and misses  $R(x)$ . By Corollary 2.5,  $R(K - \text{Cl}(K \cap R(x)))$  is a first category  $F_{\sigma}$ -set in  $X$ . Hence,  $R(K - L)$ , being equal to  $\bigcup \{R(V) : V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ , is a first category  $F_{\sigma}$ -set in  $X$ . Observe that, since  $R(K) = X$ , the set  $L$  is non-empty.

It remains to prove the equality  $L = \text{Cl}(R(x) \cap L)$  for each  $x \in X$ . To do this, let  $U$  be an open subset of  $X$  having non-empty intersection with  $L$ . By the definition of  $L$ , the set  $U$  meets each of the sets  $K \cap R(x)$ . Hence,  $R(U \cap K) = X$  and, in consequence,  $R(U \cap L)$  is of second category, since  $R(K - L)$  is of first category. But  $U \cap L$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. By Corollary 2.5,  $R(U \cap L) = X$ . Hence,  $U \cap L \cap R(x) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $x \in X$ . So  $\text{Cl}(L \cap R(x)) = L$  for each  $x \in X$ .

2.7. COROLLARY. *Let  $X$  be of second category, let each  $R$ -equivalence class be dense in  $X$  and let  $R \neq X \times X$ . Then there do not exist  $\sigma$ -compact subsets of  $X$  which are full cross-sections for the family of  $R$ -equivalence classes.*

PROOF. Suppose  $K$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, i.e.  $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$ , where each  $F_i$  is compact, and  $K$  is a full cross-section for the family of  $R$ -equivalence classes. Then, by Corollary 2.5, there exists  $i$  such that  $R(F_i) = X$ . But  $K$  is a full cross-section, hence,  $K = F_i$ . So  $K$  is compact. This contradicts Theorem 2.6, since  $L \subset K$  and  $R(x) \cap L \neq \emptyset$  for all  $x$  implies  $L = K$ , but  $\text{Cl}(R(x) \cap K) \neq K$ , because  $R(x) \cap K$  is a single point.

2.8. LEMMA. *If the set of  $R$ -equivalence classes is uncountable then  $X$  contains a non-empty  $G_\delta$ -set  $X' = R(X')$  such that each open and non-empty set in  $X'$  meets uncountably many  $R$ -equivalence classes.*

PROOF. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U : U \text{ is an open set meeting only countably many } R\text{-equivalence classes}\}$  and let  $X' = X - R(\bigcup \mathcal{U})$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  has a countable subcollection covering  $\bigcup \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\bigcup \mathcal{U}$  meets only countably many  $R$ -equivalence classes. So if  $U$  is an open set meeting  $X'$  then  $U$  meets uncountably many  $R$ -equivalence classes and, in consequence,  $U \cap X'$  meets uncountably many  $R$ -equivalence classes. Clearly, since, by Proposition 2.3, each  $R$ -equivalence class is an  $F_\sigma$ -set,  $X'$  is an  $G_\delta$ -set.

2.9. THEOREM (cf. Kuratowski [7]). *Suppose  $X$  is a topologically complete, separable, metric space and the set of  $R$ -equivalence classes is uncountable. Then  $X$  contains a Cantor set  $L$  such that  $L \cap R(x)$  contains at most one point for each  $x \in X$ .*

PROOF. By Lemma 2.8, we may suppose that each non-empty open subset of  $X$  meets uncountably many  $R$ -equivalence classes.

Let  $\varrho$  be a complete metric for  $X$ . We construct for each positive integer  $n$  a family  $\mathcal{A}_n = \{A(d_1, \dots, d_n) : d_i \in \{0, 1\}, i = 1, \dots, n\}$  of disjoint, regularly closed, non-empty subsets of  $X$  such that

- (1)  $A(d_1, \dots, d_n) \times A(d'_1, \dots, d'_n) \cap R_m = \emptyset$  if  $(d_1, \dots, d_n) \neq (d'_1, \dots, d'_n)$  and  $m \leq n$ ,
- (2)  $\text{diameter } A(d_1, \dots, d_n) < 2^{-n}$ ,

(3)  $A(d_1, \dots, d_n) \subset \text{Int}(A(d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}))$  for  $n > 1$ .

Choose  $x(0)$  and  $x(1)$  in different  $R$ -equivalence classes. Clearly  $(x(0), x(1))$  as well as  $(x(1), x(0))$  do not belong to  $R_1$ . Since  $R_1$  is closed, there exist disjoint regularly closed neighbourhoods  $A(0)$  of  $x(0)$  and  $A(1)$  of  $x(1)$  satisfying (1) and (2). Let  $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{A(0), A(1)\}$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{A}, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$  have been defined. Choose points  $x(d_1, \dots, d_{n+1})$  in different  $R$ -equivalence classes such that  $x(d_1, \dots, d_{n+1}) \in \text{Int}(A(d_1, \dots, d_n))$ . This is possible since each set  $\text{Int}(A(d_1, \dots, d_n))$  meets infinitely many  $R$ -equivalence classes. Clearly,  $(x(d_1, \dots, d_{n+1}), x(d'_1, \dots, d'_{n+1}))$  does not belong to  $R_m$  for any  $m$  if  $(d_1, \dots, d_{n+1}) \neq (d'_1, \dots, d'_{n+1})$ . Since  $R_m$  is closed there exist disjoint regularly closed neighbourhoods  $A(d_1, \dots, d_{n+1})$  of  $x(d_1, \dots, d_{n+1})$  satisfying (1)–(3). Let  $\mathcal{A}_{n+1} = \{A(d_1, \dots, d_{n+1}) : d_i \in \{0, 1\}, i = 1, \dots, n+1\}$ .

By induction, the family  $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is defined. Let  $L = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty (\bigcup \mathcal{A}_n)$ . Since  $X$  is complete,  $L$  is a Cantor set. Since  $R = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty R_i$ , the assumption that  $x$  and  $y$  are points of  $L$  lying in one  $R$ -equivalence class implies that  $x$  and  $y$  are in the same element of  $\mathcal{A}_n$  for large  $n$ . Hence,  $x = y$ .

2.10. COROLLARY. *Suppose  $X$  is a topologically complete, separable metric space such that the set of  $R$ -equivalence classes is uncountable. Then the set of  $R$ -equivalence classes has cardinality  $c$ .*

2.11. COROLLARY. *Suppose  $X$  is a topologically complete metric space and each  $R$ -equivalence class is a proper, dense set in  $X$ . Then the set of  $R$ -equivalence classes has cardinality  $c$ .*

**3. External  $R$ -equivalence classes in the plane.** Throughout this section  $X$  will be a subset of the 2-sphere  $S^2$  and  $R$  will be an equivalence relation on  $X$  such that each  $R$ -equivalence class is continuum connected. The results of this section were proved by Krasinkiewicz for the case of indecomposable continua in the plane.

We say  $R(x)$  is an *external*  $R$ -equivalence class if there exists a continuum  $L \subset S^2$  with  $L \cap R(x) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $L \not\subset \text{Cl}(X)$  and  $L \cap R(y) = \emptyset$  for some  $y \in X$ . If  $R(x)$  is not external then it is said to be *internal*.

The following lemma for separable spaces is well known and easy to prove (Whyburn [12], p. 43, Th. (1.5)).

3.1. LEMMA. *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an uncountable family of disjoint closed connected sets in a connected space  $Y$  such that each of them disconnects  $Y$ . Then there exists  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $A$  separates  $B$  from  $C$  in  $Y$ .*

The following lemma is based on one in [9].

3.2. LEMMA. *Let  $K \subset S^2$  be a continuum and let  $U$  and  $V$  be disjoint open discs meeting  $K$  such that  $U \cap K$  is contained in no component of*

$K - V$ . Then there exists a continuum in  $K - U$  which disconnects  $S^2 - U$  and meets  $V$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K - V = P \cup Q$ , where  $P$  and  $Q$  are disjoint closed sets which both meet  $U$ . Let  $F = P \cap \text{Bd}(U)$  and  $G = Q \cap \text{Bd}(U)$ . Since  $K$  is a continuum, both sets  $F$  and  $G$  are non-empty and there exists a component  $C$  of  $K - (F \cup G)$  such that  $F \cap \text{Cl}(C) \neq \emptyset \neq G \cap \text{Cl}(C)$ . Since  $C \not\subset U$ , we have  $C \subset K - \text{Cl}(U)$ . Let  $p \in F \cap \text{Cl}(C)$  and  $q \in G \cap \text{Cl}(C)$  and let  $L$  be a continuum in  $\text{Cl}(C)$  irreducible from  $p$  to  $q$ . Since  $p$  and  $q$  lie in different components of  $K - V$ , the continuum  $L$  meets  $V$ . By a theorem of Janiszewski [6, §61, Th. 2] the continuum  $L$  disconnects  $S^2 - U$ .

**3.3. LEMMA.** *Let  $X \subset S^2$  and let  $R \subsetneq X \times X$  be an equivalence relation on  $X$  such that each  $R$ -equivalence class is continuum connected. For all but countably many  $R$ -equivalence classes  $R(x)$  and for all open disjoint discs  $U$  and  $V$  which meet  $X$ , if  $U \cap R(x)$  is contained in no continuum component of  $R(x) - V$  then there exists a continuum  $K \subset R(x) - U$  such that  $K$  separates two points of  $V \cap X$  in  $S^2 - U$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the family  $\{U_1, U_2, \dots\}$  of all open discs the centres of which lie in a certain countable dense subset of  $S^2$  and whose diameters are rational.

Let  $U_n$  and  $U_m$  be disjoint discs meeting  $X$ . We shall show first that for all but countably many  $R$ -equivalence classes  $R(x)$ , if  $K \subset R(x) - U_n$  is a continuum disconnecting  $S^2 - U_n$  and meeting  $U_m$  then  $K$  separates two points of  $U_m \cap X$  in  $S^2 - U_n$ .

Suppose to the contrary that there exists an uncountable family  $\mathcal{K}$  of subcontinua of  $S^2 - U_n$ , disconnecting  $S^2 - U_n$  and meeting the set  $U_m \cap X$  but separating no two points of this set in  $S^2 - U_n$  and such that any two elements of  $\mathcal{K}$  are contained in different  $R$ -equivalence classes. By Lemma 3.1, there exist  $L, M, N \in \mathcal{K}$  such that  $L$  separates  $M$  from  $N$  in  $S^2 - U_n$ . Since  $M$  and  $N$  meet  $U_m \cap X$ ,  $L$  separates two points of  $U_m \cap X$  in  $S^2 - U_n$ , which is a contradiction.

So, for all but countably many  $R$ -equivalence classes  $R(x)$  and for any two disjoint discs  $U_n$  and  $U_m$  meeting  $X$ , if  $K \subset R(x) - U_n$  is a continuum disconnecting  $S^2 - U_n$  and meeting  $U_m \cap X$  then  $K$  separates two points of  $U_m \cap X$  in  $S^2 - U_n$ .

Now, let  $R(x)$  be an  $R$ -equivalence class as above. Let  $U$  and  $V$  be disjoint open discs meeting  $X$  such that  $U \cap X$  is contained in no continuum component of  $R(x) - V$ . Let  $a, b \in U \cap X$  be points lying in different continuum components of  $R(x) - V$ . Let  $n$  be a positive integer such that  $a, b \in U_n \subset U$ . Such an  $n$  exists since the diameters of  $U_n$  run over all positive rationals and their centres run over a dense subset of  $S^2$ . Let  $K \subset R(x)$  be a continuum joining  $a$  and  $b$ . Then  $a$  and  $b$  lie in different

components of  $K - V$ . By Lemma 3.2, there exists a continuum  $L \subset K - U_n$  which disconnects  $S^2 - U_n$  and meets  $V$ . Let  $m$  be a positive integer such that  $U_m \subset V$  and  $U_m \cap L \neq \emptyset$ . Hence, by the choice of  $R(x)$ ,  $L$  separates two points of  $U_m \cap X$  in  $S^2 - U_n$ . So, the continuum  $L$  separates two points of  $V \cap X$  in  $S^2 - U_n$ . Hence the compact set  $L - U$  separates two points of  $V \cap X$  in  $S^2 - U$ . Then a component of  $L - U$  separates two points of  $V \cap X$  in  $S^2 - U$ , since  $S^2$  is locally connected and unicoherent (see [6]).

**3.4. THEOREM.** *Let  $A, B, C$  and  $D$  be continua in  $S^2$  such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  and  $C \cap D = \emptyset$ . If  $A \cap C$  is contained in a component of  $S^2 - (B \cap D)$  then  $B \cap D$  is contained in a component of  $S^2 - (A \cup C)$ .*

*Proof.* Since the sphere is locally arcwise connected we may assume that  $A \cap C$  is connected. Since neither  $A$  nor  $C$  separates two points of  $B \cap D$  neither does  $A \cup C$  by the first theorem of Janiszewski [6], p. 507, Th. 7.

**3.5. LEMMA.** *Let  $X \subset S^2$  and  $R \subseteq X \times X$  be an equivalence relation on  $X$  such that each  $R$ -equivalence class is continuum connected. Let  $P$  be a non-empty open set in  $X$  such that each  $R$ -equivalence class is of first category and dense in  $P$ , and such that for uncountably many  $R$ -equivalence classes  $R(x)$  and for each open non-empty subset  $U$  of  $P$  each continuum component of  $R(x) - U$  has empty interior with respect to  $R(x) \cap P$ . Then the union  $E$  of external  $R$ -equivalence classes of  $X$  is of first category in  $P$ . Moreover,  $E$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set if  $P$  is of second category.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{U_1, U_2, \dots\}$  be a basis of open discs for the topology on  $S^2$ .

If  $i$  and  $k$  are positive integers such that  $U_i \cap P \neq \emptyset$ ,  $U_i \cap X \subset P$ ,  $\text{Cl}(U_k) \cap \text{Cl}(X) = \emptyset$  and  $U_i \cap U_k = \emptyset$ , then define  $L_{i,k}$  to be the union of sets  $L \cap X$ , where  $L$  runs over all those subcontinua of  $S^2 - U_i$  such that  $L \cap \text{Cl}(U_k) \neq \emptyset$  and  $R(L \cap X) \neq X$ . If  $i$  and  $k$  do not satisfy the above-mentioned conditions, define  $L_{i,k}$  to be the empty set.

Clearly,  $L_{i,k} \subset E$  for all  $i$  and  $k$ . Now, let  $R(x)$  be an external  $R$ -equivalence class of  $X$  and let  $L$  be a continuum in  $S^2$  such that  $L \cap R(x) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $L \not\subset \text{Cl}(X)$  and  $R(L \cap X) \neq X$ . Let  $K$  be a continuum in  $R(x)$  such that  $x \in K$  and  $K \cap L \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $L \not\subset \text{Cl}(X)$ , there exists  $y \in L - \text{Cl}(X)$ . Since  $P \not\subset R(L \cap X)$ , there exists  $z \in P - R(L \cap X)$ . Clearly,  $z \notin K$ . Since  $L$  and  $K$  are closed, there exist positive integers  $i$  and  $k$  such that  $z \in U_i$ ,  $y \in U_k$ ,  $U_i \cap X \subset P$ ,  $U_i \cap (K \cup L) = \emptyset$ ,  $\text{Cl}(U_k) \cap \text{Cl}(X) = \emptyset$  and  $U_i \cap U_k = \emptyset$ . Then  $x \in (K \cup L) \cap X \subset L_{i,k}$ . So,  $E = \bigcup_{i,k=1}^{\infty} L_{i,k}$ .

To prove that  $E$  is of first category in  $P$ , it suffices to prove that  $L_{i,k}$  is nowhere dense in  $P$ . Assume  $L_{i,k} \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $U$  be an open disc such that  $\text{Cl}(U) \subset U_i$  and  $U \cap P \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $V$  be an open disc such that  $V \cap P \neq \emptyset$ ,  $V \cap X \subset P$ ,  $V \cap U = \emptyset$  and  $V \cap U_k = \emptyset$ .

We shall show that  $V \cap X$  is not contained in  $\text{Cl}(L_{i,k})$ .

Let  $R(x)$  be an  $R$ -equivalence class guaranteed by Lemma 3.3 and let  $K \subset R(x) - U$  be a continuum which separates two points of  $V \cap P$  in  $S^2 - U$ . Let  $x_1$  be a point of  $V \cap P$  which  $K$  separates in  $S^2 - U$  from  $U_k$  and let  $W$  be an open disc such that  $x_1 \in W \subset V - K$ . By Lemma 3.3, there exist  $y \in X - R(x)$  and a continuum  $L \subset R(y) - U$  which separates two points of  $W \cap P$  in  $S^2 - U$ . Let  $x_2$  be a point of  $W \cap P$  which  $L$  separates in  $S^2 - U$  from  $U_k$  and let  $G$  be an open disc such that  $x_2 \in G \subset W - L$ . Then there exists a continuum  $M \subset R(x) - U$  which separates two points of  $G \cap P$  in  $S^2 - U$ ; clearly,  $L$  separates  $M$  from  $K$  in  $S^2 - U$ . Let  $x_3$  be a point of  $G \cap P$  which  $M$  separates in  $S^2 - U$  from  $U_k$  and let  $C$  be a component of  $(S^2 - U) - M$  to which  $x_3$  belongs. It suffices to prove that  $C \cap L_{i,k} = \emptyset$ .

Suppose  $I$  is a continuum in  $S^2 - U$  joining  $\text{Cl}(U_k)$  and  $C \cap X$  such that  $R(I \cap X) \neq X$ . Clearly  $I$  meets  $K$ ,  $L$  and  $M$ . Let  $z \in X - R(I \cap X)$ . Then  $R(z) \neq R(x)$ . Let  $J$  be a continuum contained in  $R(x)$  and containing both continua  $K$  and  $M$ . Hence,  $J \cap I$  has points in two components of  $S^2 - (L \cup \text{Cl}(U))$ . By Theorem 3.4,  $L \cap \text{Cl}(U)$  has points in two components of  $S^2 - (I \cup J)$ . Since  $R(z)$  is dense in  $P$  it has points in two components of  $S^2 - (I \cup J)$  also. But  $R(z)$  is connected and disjoint from  $I \cup J$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $L_{i,k}$  is nowhere dense in  $P$  and  $E$  is of first category in  $P$ .

Now, assume that  $P$  is of second category. To prove  $E$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set it suffices to prove  $L_{i,k}$  is closed. Let  $x \in \text{Cl}(L_{i,k})$ . Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $L_{i,k}$  which converges to  $x \in X$ . For each  $n$  let  $L_n \subset S^2 - U_i$  be a continuum such that  $x_n \in L_n$ ,  $L_n \cap \text{Cl}(U_k) \neq \emptyset$  and  $R(L_n \cap X) \neq X$ . The sequence  $L_n$  has a convergent subsequence with respect to the Hausdorff metric. We may suppose  $L_n$  is such a subsequence. It converges to a continuum  $L \subset S^2 - U_i$ . Then  $x \in L$  and  $L \cap \text{Cl}(U_k) \neq \emptyset$ .

It remains to prove that  $L$  misses some  $R$ -equivalence class. Let  $y \in U_i$  such that  $R(y)$  is an internal equivalence class and  $R(y)$  satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 3.3. Let  $\{U_{n_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be the basic neighbourhoods of  $y$  such that each  $U_{n_j} \subset U_i$ . Just suppose  $L$  meets  $R(y)$ .

For each  $R(z) \neq R(y)$  and for each positive integer  $j$  let  $A(z, j)$  be the union of the continua in  $R(z) - U_{n_j}$  which meet  $L$ . Let  $Q_j = \bigcup_{z \in X - R(y)} A(z, j)$ . Then  $X - R(y) = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty Q_j$ .

Since  $X - R(y)$  is of second category in  $X$ , there exists an integer  $m$  and a basic neighbourhood  $U_r \subset \text{Cl}(U_r) \subset S^2 - \text{Cl}(U_{n_m})$  such that  $Q_m$  is dense in  $U_r \cap X \neq \emptyset$  and  $U_r \cap X \subset P$ .

By Lemma 3.3 there is a continuum  $B \subset R(y) - U_{n_m}$  such that  $B$  separates two points of  $U_r \cap X$  in  $S^2 - U_{n_m}$ . Since  $Q_m$  is dense  $U_r \cap X$ ,  $B$  separates two points  $a$  and  $b$  of  $Q_m$  in  $S^2 - U_{n_m}$ . By the definition of  $A(a, m)$  there is a continuum  $K_a$  in  $R(a) - U_{n_m}$  from  $a$  to  $L$  and there is a

continuum  $K_b$  in  $R(b) - U_{n_m}$  from  $b$  to  $L$ . Since  $K_a$  and  $K_b$  miss  $B$ , it follows that  $B$  separates two points of  $L$  in  $S^2 - U_{n_m}$ . Since the sequence  $\{L_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to  $L$ , there is a positive integer  $p$  such that  $B$  separates two points of  $L_p$  in  $S^2 - U_{n_m}$ . This is a contradiction since  $L_p$  misses every internal  $R$ -equivalence class of  $X$ . Thus,  $L$  misses each internal  $R$ -equivalence class,  $x \in L_{i,k}$  and  $L_{i,k}$  is closed.

**3.6. THEOREM.** *Let  $X \subset S^2$  and let  $R \subsetneq X \times X$  be an equivalence relation on  $X$  such that each  $R$ -equivalence class  $R(x)$  is a continuum connected first category set in  $X$ , and for each open non-empty set  $U$  in  $X$  each continuum component of  $R(x) - U$  has empty interior in  $R(x)$ . Then the union  $E$  of external  $R$ -equivalence classes of  $X$  is a first category set in  $X$ . Moreover,  $E$  is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -set in  $X$  if  $X$  is of second category.*

**Proof.** We can restrict our considerations to the case in which the set of  $R$ -equivalence classes is uncountable since otherwise the theorem is obvious. Let  $P$  be  $X$  in Lemma 3.5. It suffices to prove that each  $R(x)$  is dense in  $X$ . But if  $U \subset X$  is open and non-empty then each continuum component of  $R(x) - U$  has empty interior in  $R(x)$ . Hence,  $R(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ .

A point  $y$  of a set  $Y$  is said to be a *terminal point* if for each pair of continua  $K$  and  $L$  in  $Y$  with  $y \in K \cap L$  we have either  $K \subset L$  or  $L \subset K$ .

**3.7. LEMMA.** *Let  $U$  and  $V$  be disjoint open non-empty sets in the continuum connected space  $Y$  such that for each continuum  $K$  in  $Y$ ,  $U - K \neq \emptyset$  and  $V - K \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose  $y \in Y - (U \cup V)$  is a terminal point of  $Y$ . Then  $U$  is contained in no continuum component of  $Y - V$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $a \in U$  and let  $A$  be a continuum joining  $a$  and  $y$ . Let  $b \in V - A$  and let  $B$  be a continuum joining  $b$  and  $y$ . Then  $A \subset B$  since  $b \in B - A$  and  $y$  is a terminal point in  $Y$ . Let  $c \in U - B$ . Now, let  $C$  be any continuum joining  $a$  and  $c$ . Then  $A \cup C$  is a continuum joining  $c$  and  $y$ . So  $B \subset A \cup C$ . Since  $b \in B - A \subset C$ ,  $C$  meets the set  $V$ . Hence,  $a$  and  $c$  are two points of  $U$  which lie in different continuum components of  $Y - V$ .

A continuum  $K$  is said to be a *triod* if  $K - L$  has at least three components for some subcontinuum  $L$  of  $K$ . It is a well-known theorem of Moore (cf. [10]) that the 2-sphere does not contain an uncountable collection of pairwise disjoint triods.

**3.8. THEOREM.** *Let  $X \subset S^2$  be such that each non-empty open subset of  $X$  is of second category. Let  $R \subsetneq X \times X$  be an equivalence relation on  $X$  such that each  $R$ -equivalence class is continuum connected, of first category and dense in  $X$ . Then the union of external  $R$ -equivalence classes of  $X$  is a first category  $F_{\sigma}$ -set in  $X$ .*

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.6 it suffices to prove that for each open, non-empty set  $U$  in  $X$  and each  $R$ -equivalence class  $R(x)$  each continuum component of  $R(x) - U$  has empty interior in  $R(x)$ .

Just suppose there exist disjoint discs  $U$  and  $V$  each of which meets  $X$  and there exists an  $R$ -equivalence class  $R(x)$  such that  $R(x) \cap V$  is contained in a continuum component of  $R(x) - U$ . If  $y \in X - R(x)$  then no continuum  $K \subset R(y) - U$  separates some two points of  $X \cap V$  in  $S^2 - U$ ; otherwise, since  $R(x)$  is dense in  $X$ , the continuum  $K$  would separate some two points of  $R(x) \cap V$  in  $S^2 - U$  and such two points would lie in different continuum components of  $R(x) - U$ . Hence, by Lemma 3.3, for all but countably many  $R$ -equivalence classes  $R(y)$ ,  $V \cap R(y)$  is contained in a continuum component of  $R(y) - U$ .

Let  $X' = \{y \in X - U : R(y) \cap V \text{ is contained in the continuum component of } y \text{ in } R(y) - U\}$ . Let  $R' = R|_{X' \times X'}$ . Then each  $R'$ -equivalence class is continuum connected, dense in  $V \cap X'$  and of first category in  $V \cap X'$ . Also  $V \cap X'$  is of second category, since  $V - X'$  is contained in the sum of countably many  $R$ -equivalence classes. Each  $R'$ -equivalence class is external since it meets the boundary of the disc  $U$  which is disjoint from  $X'$ .

We shall show that in uncountably many  $R'$ -equivalence classes there exist terminal points outside of  $V$ . If  $a \in R'(y) \cap \text{Bd}(U)$  is not a terminal point then there exist continua  $K$  and  $L$  contained in  $R'(y)$  such that  $K \not\subset L$ ,  $L \not\subset K$  and  $a \in K \cap L$ . Let  $M$  be the interval joining the point  $a$  and the middle point in the radius of  $U$  beginning at the point  $a$ . The union  $K \cup L \cup M$  is a triod. Such triods for different  $R'$ -equivalence classes are disjoint. Since on the plane each family of disjoint triods is countable, for all but countably many  $R'$ -equivalence classes, and in consequence for uncountably many  $R'$ -equivalence classes  $R'(x)$ , there exists a terminal point of  $R'(x)$  in  $\text{Bd}(U)$ .

Observe that if  $K$  is a continuum in an  $R'$ -equivalence class  $R'(y)$  then the interior of  $K$  in  $R'(y)$  is disjoint from  $V$ ; otherwise, since  $R'(y)$  is dense in  $V \cap X'$ ,  $K$  and hence  $R'(y)$  would have interior in  $V \cap X'$ , which would contradict the fact that each  $R'$ -equivalence class is dense in  $V \cap X'$ .

Taking  $X'$  in place of  $X$ ,  $V \cap X'$  in place of  $P$  and  $R'$  in place of  $R$  we see, using Lemma 3.7, that the assumptions of Lemma 3.5 are satisfied. Hence, the sum of  $R'$ -equivalence classes, i.e. the set  $X'$ , is of first category, which is a contradiction.

## References

- [1] H. Cook, *Subsets of indecomposable continua*, Colloq. Math. 13 (1964), 37–43.
- [2] W. Dębski and E. D. Tymchatyn, *External equivalence in decompositions of spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 100 (1987), 781–784.

- [3] J. Krasinkiewicz, *On the composants of indecomposable plane continua*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 20 (1972), 935–940.
- [4] —, *Concerning the accessibility of composants of indecomposable plane continua*, *ibid.* 21 (1973), 621–628.
- [5] —, *On internal composants of indecomposable plane continua*, Fund. Math. 84 (1974), 255–263.
- [6] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. 2, Academic Press, New York 1969.
- [7] —, *Applications of the Baire-category method to the problem of independent sets*, Fund. Math. 81 (1973), 65–72.
- [8] S. Mazurkiewicz, *Sur les continus indécomposables*, *ibid.* 10 (1927), 305–310.
- [9] —, *Sur les points accessibles des continus indécomposables*, *ibid.* 14 (1929), 107–115.
- [10] R. L. Moore, *Concerning triodic continua in the plane*, *ibid.* 13 (1929), 261–263.
- [11] J. T. Rogers, Jr., *Borel transversals and ergodic measures on indecomposable continua*, to appear.
- [12] G. T. Whyburn, *Analytic Topology*, AMS Colloq. Publ. 28, Providence 1942.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
SILESIAN UNIVERSITY  
BANKOWA 14  
40-007 KATOWICE, POLAND

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN  
SASKATOON, SASKATCHEWAN  
CANADA S7N 0W0

*Received 11 February 1991*