

On compositions and products of almost continuous functions

by

Tomasz Natkaniec (Bydgoszcz)

Abstract. (MA) Each function f defined on the interval $I = [0, 1]$ into I which takes every value $y \in I$ in each non-degenerate subinterval J of I is a composition of two almost continuous functions. A real function defined on I is a product of two almost continuous functions iff it has a zero in each subinterval in which it changes sign.

I. Introduction. We shall consider the following classes of real functions. \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{Conn} and \mathcal{D} are the classes of almost continuous functions, connectivity functions and Darboux functions, respectively. Let A and B be intervals. $\mathcal{D}^*(A, B)$ denotes the class of all functions $f: A \rightarrow B$ which take on every value $y \in B$ in each non-degenerate subinterval of A , and $\mathcal{D}_0^*(A, B)$ ($\mathcal{D}^{**}(A, B)$) is the class of all $f \in \mathcal{D}^*(A, B)$ such that for every $y \in B$ and every non-degenerate subinterval $J \subset A$ the set $f^{-1}(y) \cap J$ is countable and non-empty (has cardinality continuum). For $A = B = \mathbf{R}$ (where \mathbf{R} denotes the real line) we shall write simply \mathcal{D}^* , \mathcal{D}_0^* and \mathcal{D}^{**} . Notice that we have the following inclusions:

$$\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{Conn} \subset \mathcal{D}, \quad \mathcal{D}_0^*, \mathcal{D}^{**} \subset \mathcal{D}^* \subset \mathcal{D},$$

and all these inclusions are proper.

II. It is obvious that \mathcal{D} is closed under composition, unlike \mathcal{A} [5]. Since $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}$, the class of all compositions of two almost continuous functions is included in \mathcal{D} . The foregoing also suggests the following question.

PROBLEM. Is each Darboux function a composition of (two) almost continuous functions? ([7], independently [10], and [2] for connectivity functions).

The general answer is unknown to the author. There are, however, some partial results.

J. Ceder [2] proved that under the Martin Axiom there exists a function f defined on $I = [0, 1]$ into I which is not a connectivity function but is a composition of two connectivity functions. The proof of Ceder's theorem yields the following result.

FACT 1. (MA) Every $f \in \mathcal{D}_0^*(I, I)$ is a composition of two almost continuous functions.

Moreover, the following theorem is proved in [10].

FACT 2. (MA) Every $f \in \mathcal{D}^{**}(I, I)$ is a composition of two almost continuous functions.

The purpose of the first part of the present paper is to obtain the analogous result for $\mathcal{D}^*(I, I)$.

Now we recall the definition and some information concerning almost continuity. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is *almost continuous* if for each open set $G \subset X \times Y$ such that $f \subset G$ there exists a continuous $g: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $g \subset G$. (We make no distinction between a function and its graph.) f is a *connectivity function* if the restriction of f to C is connected whenever C is connected [12].

A closed set $K \subset X \times Y$ is called a *blocking set* for a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ iff $K \cap f = \emptyset$ and $K \cap g \neq \emptyset$ for every continuous function $g: X \rightarrow Y$. Clearly f is almost continuous iff it has no blocking set. Every blocking set of a function defined on an interval J of \mathbf{R} into \mathbf{R} contains an irreducible blocking set whose x -projection is a non-degenerate subinterval of J [5]. Thus if a function f defined on an interval J into an interval K intersects each closed set in $J \times K$ whose x -projection contains a non-degenerate interval, then f is almost continuous.

If A is a planar set, we denote its x -projection by $\text{dom}(A)$.

In the proof of Lemma 1 we shall assume that the union of less than c (continuum) nowhere dense sets is a set of the first category. This is a consequence of Martin's Axiom or of the Continuum Hypothesis [11].

LEMMA 1. (MA) Let J be an open subinterval of $I = [0, 1]$. If $f \in \mathcal{D}^*(J, I)$ satisfies either

(*) for each $y \in I$, $f^{-1}(y)$ is of the first category,

or

(**) there exists $y \in I$ for which $f^{-1}(y)$ is of the second category at every $x \in J$, then f is the composition of two almost continuous functions: g in $\mathcal{D}^*(J, J)$ and h in $\mathcal{D}^*(J, I)$.

Proof. We shall employ some ideas from [2]. Let $\{K_\alpha: \alpha < c\}$ and $\{K'_\alpha: \alpha < c\}$ be well-ordered families of all closed subsets of $J \times J$ and $J \times I$ such that $\text{dom}(K_\alpha)$ and $\text{dom}(K'_\alpha)$ are non-degenerate intervals for each $\alpha < c$. For every ordinal $\alpha < c$ we shall choose (by induction) sequences of points $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in K_\alpha$, $(v_\alpha, w_\alpha) \in K'_\alpha$, sets A_α , B_α , C_α , $D_\alpha \subset J$ and functions g_α , h_α , k_α , t_α for which the following conditions will be satisfied:

- (1) If $L_\alpha = K_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (g_\beta \cup k_\beta) \neq \emptyset$ then $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in L_\alpha$ and $A_\alpha = B_\alpha = \emptyset = g_\alpha = h_\alpha$. If $L_\alpha = \emptyset$ then:
 - (a) $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in K_\alpha$, $x_\alpha \notin \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (A_\beta \cup C_\beta)$, $y_\alpha \notin \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (B_\beta \cup D_\beta)$.
 - (b) $A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (A_\beta \cup C_\beta) = \emptyset$, A_α is a countable dense subset of J , $x_\alpha \in A_\alpha$ and $A_\alpha \subset f^{-1}(f(x_\alpha))$.

- (c) B_α is a countable dense subset of J , $y_\alpha \in B_\alpha$ and $B_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (B_\beta \cup D_\beta) = \emptyset$.
- (d) Let $(A_{\alpha,n})_{n=0}^\infty$ be a partition of A_α into countably many disjoint sets each of which is dense in J , let $x_\alpha \in A_{\alpha,0}$ and let $(b_{\alpha,n})_{n=0}^\infty$ be the sequence of all elements of B_α with $b_{\alpha,0} = y_\alpha$. We define $g_\alpha: A_\alpha \rightarrow B_\alpha$ by $g_\alpha(x) = b_{\alpha,n}$ for $x \in A_{\alpha,n}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, and a function h_α on B_α by $h_\alpha(x) = f(x_\alpha)$ for each $x \in B_\alpha$.
- (2) If $M_\alpha = K'_\alpha \cap (\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (h_\beta \cup t_\beta) \cup h_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ then $(v_\alpha, w_\alpha) \in M_\alpha$ and $C_\alpha = D_\alpha = \emptyset = k_\alpha = t_\alpha$. If $M_\alpha = \emptyset$ then:
 - (e) $(v_\alpha, w_\alpha) \in K'_\alpha$, $v_\alpha \notin \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} B_\beta \cup \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} D_\beta$.
 - (f) C_α is a countable dense subset of J , $C_\alpha \subset f^{-1}(w_\alpha)$ and $C_\alpha \cap (\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta \cup \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} C_\beta) = \emptyset$.
 - (g) D_α is a countable dense subset of J , $D_\alpha \cap (\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} B_\beta \cup \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} D_\beta) = \emptyset$ and $v_\alpha \in D_\alpha$.
 - (h) Let $(C_{\alpha,n})_{n=0}^\infty$ be a decomposition of C_α into countably many disjoint sets each of which is dense in J and let $(d_{\alpha,n})_{n=0}^\infty$ be the sequence of all points of D_α with $d_{\alpha,0} = v_\alpha$. We define $k_\alpha: C_\alpha \rightarrow D_\alpha$ by $k_\alpha(x) = d_{\alpha,n}$ for $x \in C_{\alpha,n}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, and $t_\alpha: D_\alpha \rightarrow \{w_\alpha\}$ by $t_\alpha(x) = w_\alpha$ for $x \in D_\alpha$.

Such a choice is possible. Indeed, assume that $L_\alpha = \emptyset$. First notice that $K_\alpha \cap (J \times \{\lambda\})$ is nowhere dense in $J \times \{\lambda\}$ for each $\lambda \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (B_\beta \cup D_\beta)$. Indeed, otherwise there exists a non-empty subinterval $J_1 \subset J$ such that $J_1 \times \{\lambda\} \subset K_\alpha$. Let $\beta = \min\{\gamma: \lambda \in B_\gamma \cup D_\gamma\}$. If $\lambda \in B_\beta$ ($\lambda \in D_\beta$) then it follows from the density of $\text{dom}(g_\beta)$ ($\text{dom}(k_\beta)$) that $g_\beta \cap K_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ ($k_\beta \cap K_\alpha \neq \emptyset$) and consequently $L_\alpha \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction. Thus $\text{dom}(K_\alpha \cap (I \times \{\lambda\}))$ is nowhere dense in $\text{dom}(K_\alpha)$ and using MA we find that

$$E_\alpha = \text{dom}(K_\alpha) \setminus \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (\text{dom}(K_\alpha \cap (I \times (B_\beta \cup D_\beta))) \cup A_\beta \cup C_\beta)$$

is residual in $\text{dom}(K_\alpha)$.

Now we choose $x_\alpha \in E_\alpha$ as follows. We consider two cases. If f satisfies (*) then

$$F_\alpha = E_\alpha \setminus \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (f^{-1}(f(x_\beta)) \cup f^{-1}(w_\beta)) \neq \emptyset$$

and we can choose $x_\alpha \in F_\alpha$. If f satisfies (**) then there exists $y \in I$ for which $f^{-1}(y)$ is of the second category at each $x \in J$. Let $G = J \cap f^{-1}(y)$. This set is of the second category in $\text{dom}(K_\alpha)$ and therefore $G \cap E_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. We choose $x_\alpha \in G \cap E_\alpha$. Since $\text{card}(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (A_\beta \cup C_\beta)) < c$, using MA we conclude that $H_\alpha = G \setminus \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (A_\beta \cup C_\beta)$ is dense in J and we can choose a countable set $A_\alpha \subset H_\alpha$ dense in J with $x_\alpha \in A_\alpha$. Then $A_\alpha \subset f^{-1}(f(x_\alpha))$. Fix $y_\alpha \in J$ with $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in K_\alpha$. Since $x_\alpha \in E_\alpha$, $y_\alpha \notin \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (B_\beta \cup D_\beta)$. Since $\text{card}(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (B_\beta \cup D_\beta)) < c$, there exists a countable subset $B_\alpha \subset J \setminus \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} (B_\beta \cup D_\beta)$ dense in J which contains y_α . Then (x_α, y_α) and A_α, B_α satisfy (1).

Similarly we can prove that there exist (v_α, w_α) , C_α, D_α for which (2) holds. Assume that $M_\alpha = \emptyset$. As above we prove that $\text{dom}(K'_\alpha \cap (J \times \{\lambda\}))$ is nowhere dense in $\text{dom}(K'_\alpha)$ for each $\lambda \in \{f(x_\alpha), w_\beta: \beta < \alpha, \gamma \leq \alpha\}$. Thus

$$H_\alpha = \text{dom}(K'_\alpha) \setminus \left[\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \text{dom}(K'_\alpha \cap (I \times \{f(x_\beta)\})) \cup \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \text{dom}(K'_\alpha \cap (I \times \{w_\beta\})) \right]$$

is residual in $\text{dom}(K'_\alpha)$. Let $(v_\alpha, w_\alpha) \in K'_\alpha$ and $v_\alpha \in H_\alpha$. Then $w_\alpha \neq f(x_\beta)$ for $\beta \leq \alpha$ and $w_\alpha \neq w_\beta$ for $\beta < \alpha$. Choose a countable set $D_\alpha \subset J \setminus (\bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} B_\beta \cup \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} D_\beta)$ dense in J such that $v_\alpha \in D_\alpha$. Since $f \in \mathcal{D}^*(J, I)$, $f^{-1}(w_\alpha)$ is dense in J , and we choose a countable set $C_\alpha \subset f^{-1}(w_\alpha)$ dense in J . Since $f^{-1}(w_\alpha) \cap (\bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} A_\beta \cup \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} C_\beta) = \emptyset$, C_α is disjoint from each A_β , $\beta \leq \alpha$, and each C_β , $\beta < \alpha$. This finishes the choice of $C_\alpha, D_\alpha, (v_\alpha, w_\alpha)$ satisfying (2).

Now observe that for each $x \in J$ we have either $f(x) = w_\alpha$ for some $\alpha < c$ or $f(x) = f(x_\alpha)$ for some $\alpha < c$. In fact, for a fixed $x \in J$ there exists $\alpha < c$ such that $K'_\alpha \subset J \times \{f(x)\}$. Then either $f(x) = f(x_\beta)$ for some $\beta \leq \alpha$ or $f(x) = w_\beta$ for some $\beta \leq \alpha$. Let $y(z) = v_\alpha$ if $f(z) = w_\alpha$ and $y(z) = y_\alpha$ if $f(z) = f(x_\alpha)$. Now define

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} g_\alpha(x) & \text{for } x \in \text{dom}(g_\alpha), \\ k_\alpha(x) & \text{for } x \in \text{dom}(k_\alpha), \\ y(x) & \text{for } x \notin \bigcup_{\alpha < c} (\text{dom}(g_\alpha) \cup \text{dom}(k_\alpha)), \end{cases} \quad \alpha < c,$$

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} h_\alpha(x) & \text{for } x \in \text{dom}(h_\alpha), \\ t_\alpha(x) & \text{for } x \in \text{dom}(t_\alpha), \\ 0 & \text{for } x \notin \bigcup_{\alpha < c} (\text{dom}(h_\alpha) \cup \text{dom}(t_\alpha)). \end{cases} \quad \alpha < c,$$

Then $f = h \circ g$. Since g intersects each blocking set in $J \times J$, it is almost continuous. If J_1 is a non-degenerate closed subinterval of J and if $y \in J$, then $g \cap (J_1 \times \{y\}) \neq \emptyset$. Thus $g \in \mathcal{D}^*(J, J)$, and g is almost continuous. Similarly, h is almost continuous and $h \in \mathcal{D}^*(J, I)$. This finishes the proof.

LEMMA 2. Let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be almost continuous. If $g: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is continuous then $f+g$ is almost continuous [10], [4].

LEMMA 3. Assume that C is a closed nowhere dense subset of I , $\{0, 1\} \subset C$ and let $(I_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be the sequence of all components of the complement of C . If $f: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfies $f(x) = 0$ for each $x \in C$, $0 \in C^-(f|_{(I \setminus C)}, x)$ for $x \in C \setminus \{0\}$, $0 \in C^+(f|_{(I \setminus C)}, x)$ for $x \in C \setminus \{1\}$ and $f|_{I_n}$ is almost continuous for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then f is almost continuous.

The symbols $C^+(f, x)$ and $C^-(f, x)$ denote the unilateral cluster sets of f at x .

Proof. Let G be open in $I \times \mathbf{R}$ such that $f \subset G$. For each $x \in C$ we define open intervals U_x in I , V_x in \mathbf{R} such that $(x, 0) \in U_x \times V_x \subset \bar{U}_x \times \bar{V}_x \subset G$ and the end points of U_x (other than 0 and 1) do not belong to C . Since C is compact, there exists a finite covering U_{x_1}, \dots, U_{x_n} of C . Let $V = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{x_i}$. Now let U_0, \dots, U_n be the components of $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \bar{U}_{x_i}$ such that if $x \in U_i, y \in U_j$ for $i < j$, then $x < y$. Then $C \subset U_0 \cup \dots \cup U_n$ and $\bar{U}_i \cap \bar{U}_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Moreover, $0 \in U_0, 1 \in U_n$ and the other end points of the U_i ($0 \leq i \leq n$) do not belong to C . For each $i = 0, \dots, n$ we choose $[a_{2i}, a_{2i+1}] \subset U_i$ such that $C \cap U_i = C \cap [a_{2i}, a_{2i+1}]$ and $a_{2i}, a_{2i+1} \in C$. (It can happen that $a_{2i} = a_{2i+1}$.) Additionally, set $a_0 = 0$ and $a_{2n+1} = 1$. Then for each $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, (a_{2i+1}, a_{2i+2}) is a component of $I \setminus C$. Thus $f|_{(a_{2i+1}, a_{2i+2})}$ is almost continuous. Since $0 \in C^+(f, a_{2i+1}) \cap C^-(f, a_{2i+2})$, $f|_{[a_{2i+1}, a_{2i+2}]}$ is almost continuous ([4], Lemma 3.4). Then there exists a continuous function $g_i: [a_{2i+1}, a_{2i+2}] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $g_i \subset G$ and $g_i(a_{2i+1}) = g_i(a_{2i+2}) = 0$ ([4], Lemma 3.3). The function $g: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined as

$g = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} g_i \cup (I \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} (a_{2i}, a_{2i+1})) \times \{0\}$ is continuous and contained in G , which completes the proof.

LEMMA 4. Assume that J is a closed interval (or $J = \mathbf{R}$), C is a closed nowhere dense subset of I , $\{0, 1\} \subset C$ and $(I_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is the sequence of all components of $I \setminus C$. If $f: I \rightarrow J$ is such that $f|_{I_n}$ is almost continuous for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and if $C^+(f, c_n) = C^-(f, d_n) = J$ where $I_n = (c_n, d_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then f is almost continuous.

Proof. Let G be open in $I \times J$ such that $f \subset G$. For each $x \in C$ we define open intervals $U_x \subset I, V_x \subset J$ such that $(x, f(x)) \in U_x \times V_x \subset \bar{U}_x \times \bar{V}_x \subset G$ and the end points of U_x (other than 0 and 1) do not belong to C . Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a subset of C such that $C \subset U_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{x_n}$. We can assume that if $\bar{U}_{x_i} \cap \bar{U}_{x_j} \neq \emptyset$ then $\bar{U}_{x_i} \subset \bar{U}_{x_j}$ or $\bar{U}_{x_j} \subset \bar{U}_{x_i}$ (we can partition some U_{x_i} if necessary). Now we choose a sequence U_0, \dots, U_k of sets from the covering $\{\bar{U}_{x_i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ such that $U_i = [c_i, d_i]$, $c_0 = 0, d_k = 1, d_i < c_j$ for $i < j$ and $[d_i, c_{i+1}]$ is contained in some component $I_n = (a_n, b_n)$ of $I \setminus C$. Then $a_n \in U_i$ and $b_n \in U_{i+1}$. For every i we choose $k(i) \leq n$ such that $U_i \subset U_{x_{k(i)}}$. Let $V_i = V_{x_{k(i)}}$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$. Since $V_i \subset C^+(f, a_n)$ and $V_{i+1} \subset C^-(f, b_n)$, there exist t_i, v_i such that $t_i \in U_i, t_i > a_n, v_i \in U_{i+1}, v_i < b_n, f(t_i) \in V_i$ and $f(v_i) \in V_{i+1}$. Let

$$G_i = (G \cap (I_n \times J)) \setminus (\{t_i\} \times (J \setminus V_i) \cup \{v_i\} \times (J \setminus V_{i+1})).$$

This is an open neighbourhood of $f|_{I_n}$ and it follows from the almost continuity of $f|_{I_n}$ that there exists a continuous function $g_i: I_n \rightarrow J$ contained in G_i . Then $g_i(t_i) \in V_i$ and $g_i(v_i) \in V_{i+1}$. We define $g: I \rightarrow J$ as follows:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{for } x \in \{0, 1\}, \\ g_i(x) & \text{for } x \in [t_i, v_i], i = 0, \dots, k-1, \\ \text{linear on each interval: } [0, t_0], [v_k, 1] \text{ and} \\ & [v_i, t_{i+1}], i = 1, \dots, k-1. \end{cases}$$

This function is continuous and contained in G , which finishes the proof.

THEOREM 1. (MA) Each $f \in \mathcal{D}^*(I, I)$ is a composition of two almost continuous functions.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}^*(I, I)$ and let $A = A_1 \cup A_2$, where A_1 is the union of all open intervals $J \subset I$ such that for each $y \in I$ the set $f^{-1}(y) \cap J$ is of the first category and A_2 is the union of all open intervals $J \subset I$ for which there exists $y \in I$ such that $f^{-1}(y) \cap J$ is of the second category at each $x \in J$. Observe that A is open and dense in I . Indeed, assume that a non-empty open interval $K \subset I$ is disjoint from A_1 . Then there exists $y \in I$ for which $K \cap f^{-1}(y)$ is of the second category. Let $Z = \{x \in K \cap f^{-1}(y): K \cap f^{-1}(y) \text{ is of the second category at } x\}$. This set is of the second category and therefore it is dense in some non-empty subinterval $J \subset K$ (see e.g. [8], pp. 51–52). It is obvious that $f^{-1}(y) \cap J$ is of the second category at each $x \in J$ and consequently, $K \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$. Thus $C = I \setminus A$ is closed and nowhere dense. Let $(I_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be the sequence of all components of A . Then each $f|_{I_n}$ satisfies either (*) or (**) of Lemma 1. It follows from that lemma that for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ there exist almost continuous functions $g_n \in \mathcal{D}^*(I_n, I_n)$ and $h_n \in \mathcal{D}^*(I_n, I)$ such that $f|_{I_n} = h_n \circ g_n$.

Now we define $g, h: I \rightarrow I$ as follows:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{for } x \in C, \\ g_n(x) & \text{for } x \in I_n, n = 1, 2, \dots, \end{cases}$$

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{for } x \in C, \\ h_n(x) & \text{for } x \in I_n, n = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Of course, $f = h \circ g$. By Lemma 3, $g_1 = g - \text{id}$ is almost continuous. The almost continuity of $g = g_1 + \text{id}$ and h follows from Lemmas 2 and 4, respectively.

Since every almost continuous function defined on I is a connectivity function [12], we obtain

COROLLARY. Every $f \in \mathcal{D}^*(I, I)$ is a composition of two connectivity functions.

III. It is well known that each real-valued function defined on an interval can be expressed as a sum of two almost continuous functions [6]. On the other hand, a general function may not be a product of Darboux functions [9] and therefore, it may not be a product of almost continuous functions. J. Ceder proved in [1], [3] that f is a product of two Darboux functions iff it has the following property:

(JC) f has a zero in each subinterval in which it changes sign.

In this section we shall prove that every function f with the property (JC) is a product of two almost continuous functions. From this theorem and the theorem of Ceder the following corollaries follow immediately:

- (1) f is a product of two almost continuous functions iff f has the property (JC) and (since every almost continuous function is a connectivity function)
- (2) f is a product of two connectivity functions iff it has the property (JC).

We begin with several lemmata.

LEMMA 5. If g and h are almost continuous functions on an open interval J then there exists a continuous function s such that $g+s$ and $h-s$ are almost continuous with cluster sets at the end points of J equal to $[-\infty, \infty]$.

Proof. Let $J = (a, b)$. We can choose a sequence (J_n) of pairwise disjoint, open subintervals of J such that:

- (i) $J_n = (a_n, b_n)$ and $c_n = (a_n + b_n)/2$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (ii) $J_{2n} \searrow a$ and $J_{2n+1} \nearrow b$,
- (iii) if $J_n \cap J_m \neq \emptyset$ then $n = m$.

Let s be the function defined by

$$s(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x \notin \bigcup_n J_n, \\ |g(x)| + n & \text{for } x = c_n, r(n) = 0, 1, \\ -|g(x)| - n & \text{for } x = c_n, r(n) = 2, 3, \\ |h(x)| + n & \text{for } x = c_n, r(n) = 4, 5, \\ -|h(x)| - n & \text{for } x = c_n, r(n) = 6, 7, \\ \text{linear on } [a_n, c_n], [c_n, b_n], n = 0, 1, \dots \end{cases}$$

where $r(n)$ is the remainder of the division of n by 8.

Then s is continuous, and $\sup C^+(g+s, a) = \sup C^-(g+s, b) = \infty$ and $\inf C^+(g+s, a) = \inf C^-(g+s, b) = -\infty$. Since the sum of an almost continuous function and a continuous function is almost continuous [4], [10], $g+s$ is almost continuous and its cluster sets at a and b are intervals, i.e. $C^+(g+s, a) = C^-(g+s, b) = [-\infty, \infty]$. Similarly we can verify that $h-s$ is almost continuous and $C^+(h-s, a) = C^-(h-s, b) = [-\infty, \infty]$.

LEMMA 6. Let f be a function defined on an open interval J . If $f > 0$ (or $f < 0$) on J , then there exist almost continuous functions g, h such that $f = g \cdot h$ on J , the cluster sets of g at the end points of J are $[0, \infty]$ if $f > 0$ and $[-\infty, 0]$ if $f < 0$, and the cluster sets of h at the end points of J are $[0, \infty]$.

Proof. Assume that $f > 0$ on J . Then $\ln(f)$ is the sum of two almost continuous functions g_1 and h_1 on J . By Lemma 5 we can take g_1 and h_1 to have cluster sets $[-\infty, \infty]$ at the end points of J . Then $f = \exp(g_1) \cdot \exp(h_1)$ and the functions $g = \exp(g_1)$ and $h = \exp(h_1)$, being the compositions of the almost continuous functions g_1 and h_1 with the continuous function \exp , are almost continuous [5]. Moreover, the cluster sets of g and h are $[0, \infty]$ at the end points of J .

When $f < 0$ carry out the above argument for $-f$.

LEMMA 7. Let f be a real function defined on an open interval $J = (a, b)$ having the property (JC) and let $A = [f = 0]$ be a discrete set (i.e. $A^d \subset \{a, b\}$). Then there exist almost continuous functions g, h such that $f = g \cdot h$ and:

- (7.1) if $a \notin \bar{A}$ and K is a component of $J \setminus \bar{A}$ with $a \in \bar{K}$, then $C^+(g, a) = C^+(h, a) = [0, \infty]$ if $f > 0$ on K and $C^+(g, a) = [-\infty, 0]$, $C^+(h, a) = [0, \infty]$ if $f < 0$ on K ,
- (7.2) if $a \in \bar{A}$ then there exists a sequence (c_n) in A such that $c_n \searrow a$, $C^+(g, c_{2n}) = C^+(h, c_{2n+1}) = [0, \infty]$ and $C^+(g, c_{2n+1}) = C^+(h, c_{2n}) = [-\infty, 0]$, or $C^+(g, c_{2n}) = C^+(h, c_{2n}) = [0, \infty]$ and $C^+(g, c_{2n+1}) = C^+(h, c_{2n+1}) = [-\infty, 0]$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (hence $C^+(g, a) = C^+(h, a) = [-\infty, \infty]$),
- (7.3) the analogous left-hand conditions hold for b .

Proof. There are four possible cases: $a, b \notin \bar{A}$; $a \notin \bar{A}$ and $b \in \bar{A}$; $a \in \bar{A}$ and $b \notin \bar{A}$; and $a, b \in \bar{A}$. We shall consider e.g. the case when $a \notin \bar{A}$ and $b \in \bar{A}$. The set A can be arranged in an increasing sequence (a_n) which converges to b . Put $a_0 = a$, $J_n = (a_{n-1}, a_n)$ and $f_n = f|_{J_n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists an increasing sequence (k_n) such that $k_1 > 1$ and either $f_{k_n} > 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $f_{k_n} < 0$ for each n . We put $c_n = a_{k_n}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By Lemma 6 for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist almost continuous functions v_n and w_n such that $f_{k_n} = v_n \cdot w_n$ and $C^+(v_n, c_n) = C^-(w_n, c_n) = (-1)^n \cdot [0, \infty]$ (i.e. $[-\infty, 0]$ if n is odd and $[0, \infty]$ if n is even) when $f_{k_n} > 0$ and $C^-(v_n, c_n) = C^-(w_n, c_n) = (-1)^n \cdot [-\infty, 0]$ when $f_{k_n} < 0$. Next we define $g_{k_n} = (\text{sgn } f_{k_n}) \cdot v_n$ and $h_{k_n} = w_n$. For $m \notin \{k_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ we choose almost continuous functions g_m, h_m which satisfy the condition of Lemma 6. Set

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} g_n(x) & \text{for } x \in J_n, n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in A; \end{cases} \quad h(x) = \begin{cases} h_n(x) & \text{for } x \in J_n, n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in A. \end{cases}$$

Then $f = g \cdot h$. Lemma 3 yields the almost continuity of g and h . Moreover, it is obvious that g and h have the properties (7.1)–(7.3).

For every interval K and each function f on K let $\alpha(f, K)$ denote the following statement.

$\alpha(f, K)$ there exist four points $y_{K,0}, y_{K,1}, y_{K,2}, y_{K,3} \in K$ such that $y_{K,0} < y_{K,1} < y_{K,2} < y_{K,3}$ and $C^+(f, y_{K,0}) = C^-(f, y_{K,2}) = [0, \infty]$, $C^+(f, y_{K,1}) = C^-(f, y_{K,3}) = [-\infty, 0]$.

Observe that in Lemma 7, if the set A is infinite, then $\alpha(g, J)$ and $\alpha(h, J)$ hold.

LEMMA 8. Assume that C is a non-empty, closed and nowhere dense subset of a closed interval $J = [a, b]$, (J_n) is the sequence of all components of $J \setminus C$ and f is a real function defined on J . Let \mathcal{K} denote the family of all components J_n for which the condition $\alpha(f, J_n)$ holds. Let f have the following properties:

- (8.1) $f|_{J_n}$ is almost continuous for each n with cluster sets at the end points of J_n equal to $[0, \infty]$ or $[-\infty, 0]$ or $[-\infty, \infty]$,
- (8.2) if x_0 is the right (left) end point of some J_m , then $f(x_0) \in C^+(f, x_0)$ ($f(x_0) \in C^-(f, x_0)$),
- (8.3) if $x_0 \in C$ is the right (left) end point of no component of $J \setminus C$, then there exists a sequence (J_{k_n}) of components of $J \setminus C$ such that $J_{k_n} \nearrow x_0$ ($J_{k_n} \searrow x_0$) and all J_{k_n} belong to \mathcal{K} .

Then f is almost continuous.

Proof. Let $G \subset J \times \mathbb{R}$ be an open set including f . For each $x \in C$ we choose two intervals U_x, V_x such that:

- (i) $(x, f(x)) \in U_x \times V_x \subset G$,
- (ii) the end points of U_x (other than those of J) do not belong to C ,
- (iii) if x_0 is the left (right) end point of some component K of $J \setminus C$ then $\sup(U_{x_0}) \in K$ ($\inf(U_{x_0}) \in K$),
- (iv) if x_0 is the left (right) end point of no component of $J \setminus C$, then there exists a component $K \in \mathcal{K}$ for which $\inf(K) \in U_{x_0}$, $\sup(U_{x_0}) \in K$ and $y_{K,1} \in U_{x_0}$ (respectively: $\sup(K) \in U_{x_0}$, $\inf(U_{x_0}) \in K$ and $y_{K,2} \in U_{x_0}$).

From the covering $\{U_x: x \in C\}$ of the compact set C we choose a finite subcovering $\{U_{x_0}, \dots, U_{x_n}\}$. Moreover, we can assume that:

- (v) $a \in U_{x_0}$ and $b \in U_{x_n}$,
- (vi) if $C \cap U_{x_i} \subset C \cap U_{x_j}$ then $i = j$,
- (vii) if $i < j$ then $\sup(U_{x_i}) < \sup(U_{x_j})$.

Now we choose a sequence of pairwise disjoint open intervals U_0, \dots, U_n such that

- (viii) $C \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^n U_i = C \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^n U_{x_i} = C$ and $U_i \subset U_{x_i}$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$,
- (ix) $\varphi(U_i, x_i)$ holds, i.e. there exist

$$c_i \in (\inf(U_i), \min(C \cap U_i)], \quad d_i \in [\max(C \cap U_i), \sup(U_i))$$

such that $C^+(f, d_i) \cap C^-(f, c_i) \supset [0, \infty]$ ($[-\infty, 0]$) if $f(x_i) > 0$ ($f(x_i) < 0$).

First we consider the sets U_{x_0} and U_{x_1} . There are two possible cases.

(a) x_0 is the left end point of a component J_{n_0} and x_1 is the right end point of a component J_{n_1} . Then by (vi) and (vii) it follows that $C \cap (x_0, x_1) = \emptyset$ and consequently $n_0 = n_1$. Set $z = \frac{1}{2}(x_0 + x_1)$.

(b) Either x_0 is the left end point of no component or x_1 is the right end point of no component. Then either the right end point of U_{x_0} or the left end point of U_{x_1} belongs to some component $K \in \mathcal{K}$. Let $z \in (y_{K,1}, y_{K,2})$.

Now we define the intervals $U_0 = U_{x_0} \cap (-\infty, z)$ and $W_1 = U_{x_1} \cap (z, \infty)$. Then $U_0 \subset U_{x_0}$, $W_1 \subset U_{x_1}$, $C \cap (U_0 \cup W_1) = C \cap (U_{x_0} \cap U_{x_1})$, $U_0 \cap W_1 = \emptyset$, $U_0 \cap U_{x_i} = \emptyset$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$ and moreover, $\varphi(U_0, x_0)$ and $\varphi(W_1, x_1)$ hold. Assume that we have defined intervals U_0, \dots, U_k ($k < n$) and W_{k+1} such that $U_i \subset U_{x_i}$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$, $W_{k+1} \subset U_{x_{k+1}}$, $C \cap (U_0 \cup \dots \cup U_k \cup W_{k+1}) = C \cap (U_{x_0} \cup \dots \cup U_{x_{k+1}})$, if $i < j$ then $\sup(U_i) \leq \inf(U_{j+1})$, $\sup(U_k) \leq \inf(W_{k+1})$, and $\varphi(U_i, x_i)$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$ and $\varphi(W_{k+1}, x_{k+1})$ hold.

If $k+1 = n$ then $U_n = W_{k+1}$. Otherwise we consider W_{k+1} and $U_{x_{k+2}}$. In the same way as in (a) and (b) we define U_{k+1} and W_{k+2} .

Let $V_i = V_{x_i}$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$. Then it follows from (ix) that $V_i \cap C^-(f, c_i) \neq \emptyset \neq V_i \cap C^+(f, d_i)$ and we can choose $s_i, t_i \in U_i$ such that $s_i < c_i$, $t_i > d_i$ and $f(s_i), f(t_i) \in V_i$. For each $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, $[t_i, s_{i+1}]$ is included in some component of $J \setminus C$ and consequently, $f_i = f|_{[t_i, s_{i+1}]}$ is almost continuous. Hence there exists a continuous function $g_i \in G \cap [t_i, s_{i+1}] \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $g_i(t_i) \in V_i$ and $g_i(s_{i+1}) \in V_{i+1}$. We define a function g in the following way: $g(x) = g_i(x)$ for $x \in [t_i, s_{i+1}]$, $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, and g is linear on each $[s_i, t_i]$, $i = 0, \dots, n$. Then g is continuous and contained in G . Thus f is almost continuous.

LEMMA 9. Let C be a subset of a closed interval J which includes no non-empty dense-in-itself subset. Let f be a function on J with the property (JC) for which $C = \{f = 0\}$. Then there exist almost continuous functions g, h such that $f = g \cdot h$ and

- (9.1) if a is an end point of J then the cluster sets of g and h are $[0, \infty]$ or $[-\infty, 0]$ if $a \notin C \cap \text{int}(J)$, and $[-\infty, \infty]$ if $a \in C \cap \text{int}(J)$.

Proof. Let A_0 be the union of all open subintervals K of J such that $K \cap C$ is discrete and infinite and let A_1 be the union of all open subintervals K of $J \setminus \bar{A}_0$ such that $K \cap C$ is finite (or empty). Then $A = A_0 \cup A_1$ is open and since C includes no non-empty dense-in-itself subset, A is dense in J . Consequently, $D = J \setminus A$ is closed, nowhere dense and included in \bar{C} . Let (J_n) be the sequence of all components of A_0 or A_1 . Then:

- (i) if $x_0 \in D$ is the left end point of some J_n and the right end point of some J_m then either $x_0 \in \overline{J_n \cap C}$ or $x_0 \in \overline{J_m \cap C}$ (hence either $J_n \subset A_0$ or $J_m \subset A_0$),
- (ii) if $x_0 \in D \setminus \{b\}$ is the left end point of no component of $J \setminus D = A$ then there exists a sequence (J_{k_n}) such that $J_{k_n} \subset A_0$ and $J_{k_n} \searrow x_0$,
- (iii) the analogous right-hand condition holds for each $x_0 \in D \setminus \{a\}$.

The condition (i) follows immediately from the fact that every isolated point of C belongs either to A_0 or to A_1 . To verify (ii) let $x_0 \in D \setminus \{b\}$ be the left end point of no

component of $J \setminus D$. Suppose that $(x_0, x_0 + \delta) \cap A_0 = \emptyset$ for some $\delta > 0$. Then $E = (x_0, x_0 + \delta) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and, by (i), E has no isolated point. Consequently, E is dense-in-itself, a contradiction with the properties of C .

For every n let $f_n = f|J_n$ and let g_n, h_n be almost continuous functions for which $f_n = g_n \cdot h_n$ and the conditions (7.1)–(7.3) hold. Set

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{for } x \in D, \\ g_n(x) & \text{for } x \in J_n, n \in \mathbb{N}; \end{cases} \quad h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in D, \\ h_n(x) & \text{for } x \in J_n, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Observe that every component K of A_0 satisfies the conditions $\alpha(g, K)$ and $\alpha(h, K)$. Thus g and h satisfy all assumptions of Lemma 8 and therefore they are almost continuous. Moreover, it is easy to see that g and h satisfy (9.1).

Remark. If C satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 9 then it is nowhere dense and if C is infinite then A_0 is non-empty and consequently, the conditions $\alpha(g, J)$ and $\alpha(h, J)$ hold.

LEMMA 10. Let f be a function defined on a closed interval J having the property (JC). Suppose that $C = [f = 0]$ is nowhere dense, the maximal dense-in-itself subset D of C is non-empty, and moreover:

- (10.1) if K is a component of $J \setminus \bar{D}$ then $f|K$ is almost continuous,
 (10.2) if (a, b) is a component of $\text{int}[f > 0]$ ($\text{int}[f < 0]$) then $C^+(f, a) = C^-(f, b) = [0, \infty]$ ($= [-\infty, 0]$),
 (10.3) if $a \in \bar{C}$ is the left (right) end point of no component of $J \setminus \bar{C}$ then there exists a sequence (K_n) of components of $J \setminus \bar{C}$ such that $K_n \searrow a$ ($K_n \nearrow a$) and $\text{sgn}(f|K_n) = (-1)^n$.

Then f is almost continuous.

Proof. Let G be an open neighbourhood of f . For every x from \bar{D} we choose open intervals U_x, V_x such that:

- (i) $(x, f(x)) \in U_x \times V_x \subset G$,
 (ii) the end points of U_x (other than those of J) do not belong to \bar{C} ,
 (iii) if x_0 is the left (right) end point of some component K of $J \setminus \bar{D}$, then $\text{sup}(U_{x_0}) \in K$ ($\text{inf}(U_{x_0}) \in K$),
 (iv) if $x_0 \in \bar{D}$ is the left (right) end point of some component K of $J \setminus \bar{C}$ then $\text{sup}(U_{x_0}) \in K$ ($\text{inf}(U_{x_0}) \in K$),
 (v) if $x_0 \in \bar{D}$ is the left (right) end point of no component of $J \setminus \bar{C}$ then there exists a component K of $J \setminus \bar{C}$ such that $\text{sup}(U_{x_0}) \in K$ ($\text{inf}(U_{x_0}) \in K$) and $f|K > 0$ if $f(x_0) > 0$, $f|K < 0$ if $f(x_0) < 0$.

A choice for $x \in \bar{D}$ of U_x satisfying (v) is possible by (10.3). From the covering $\{U_x : x \in \bar{D}\}$ of the compact set \bar{D} we choose a finite subcovering $\{U_{x_0}, \dots, U_{x_n}\}$. Additionally, we assume that:

- (vi) the left end point of J belongs to U_{x_0} , and the right one to U_{x_n} ,
 (vii) if $\bar{D} \cap U_{x_i} \subset \bar{D} \cap U_{x_j}$ then $i = j$,
 (viii) if $i < j$ then $\text{sup}(U_{x_i}) < \text{sup}(U_{x_j})$.

Now we define (inductively) a sequence of open intervals $U_0, V_0, U_1, V_1, \dots, U_m, V_m$ ($n \leq m \leq 3n$) such that:

- (ix) $\bar{D} \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^m U_i$ and U_0, \dots, U_m are pairwise disjoint,
 (x) $V_i \cap C^+(f, d_i) \neq \emptyset \neq V_i \cap C^-(f, c_i)$ for $i = 0, \dots, m$, where $c_i = \min(U_i \cap \bar{C})$ and $d_i = \max(U_i \cap \bar{C})$,
 (xi) $U_i \times V_i \subset G$ for $i = 0, \dots, m$.

First we consider U_{x_0} and U_{x_1} . There are two possible cases.

- (a) If $f(x_0) = 0$ (or $f(x_1) = 0$) then we put $U_0 = U_{x_0} \cap (-\infty, z)$, $V_0 = V_{x_0}$, $W_1 = U_{x_1} \cap (z, \infty)$, where $z = \inf(U_{x_1})$ (or $z = \sup(U_{x_0})$).
 (b) Now let $f(x_0) \cdot f(x_1) \neq 0$. Let $d = \max(U_{x_0} \cap \bar{D})$ and $c = \min(U_{x_1} \cap \bar{D})$. Then $d \neq c$. We shall consider the following subcases.

(b.0) $c > d$. Then (by (vii) and (viii)) $(d, c) \cap D$ is empty and we have the following possibilities.

(b.0.0) $(d, c) \cap C$ is infinite. Then, by (10.3), there exist $z_0, z_1 \in (c, d) \cap \bar{C}$ such that $z_0 \leq z_1$ and $f(z_i) > 0$ ($f(z_i) < 0$) if $f(x_i) > 0$ ($f(x_i) < 0$) for $i = 0, 1$. Set $U_0 = U_{x_0} \cap (-\infty, z_0)$, $V_0 = V_{x_0}$, $W_1 = U_{x_1} \cap (z_1, \infty)$.

(b.0.1) $(d, c) \cap C$ is finite. Let K_c and K_d be the components of $(d, c) \setminus C$ which are contiguous to c and d , respectively.

(b.0.1.0) If $\text{sgn}(f|K_d) = \text{sgn}(f(x_0))$ and $\text{sgn}(f|K_c) = \text{sgn}(f(x_1))$ then we put $U_0 = U_{x_0} \cap (-\infty, z_0)$, $V_0 = V_{x_0}$ and $W_1 = U_{x_1} \cap (z_1, \infty)$, where $z_0 \in K_d$, $z_1 \in K_c$ and $z_0 \leq z_1$.

(b.0.1.1) If $\text{sgn}(f|K_d) \neq \text{sgn}(f(x_0))$ then let $z_0 \in D \cap U_d$ (notice that $z_0 < d$). By (10.3) we can choose $t_0, t_1 \in U_{x_0} \cap U_{x_0} \cap U_d$ such that $t_0 < t_1$, $(t_0, t_1) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and t_0, t_1 belong to components K_0, K_1 of $J \setminus \bar{C}$ for which $\text{sgn}(f|K_0) = \text{sgn}(f(x_0))$ and $\text{sgn}(f|K_1) = \text{sgn}(f(x_1))$. In this case we define $U_0 = U_{x_0} \cap (-\infty, t_0)$, $V_0 = V_{x_0}$, $U_1 = (t_0, t_1)$, $V_1 = V_{x_0}$, $U_2 = (t_1, z)$, where $z \in K_d$, $V_2 = V_d$ and $W_1 = U_{x_1} \cap (z, \infty)$.

(b.0.1.2) The case $\text{sgn}(f|K_c) \neq \text{sgn}(f(x_1))$ is similar to (b.0.1.1).

(b.1) $c < d$. Then $(c, d) \cap D \neq \emptyset$. Let $z_0 \in D \cap (c, d)$. By (10.3) we can choose $t_0, t_1 \in U_{x_0} \cap (c, d)$ such that $t_0 < t_1$, $(t_0, t_1) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and t_0, t_1 belong to components K_0, K_1 of $J \setminus \bar{C}$ for which $f|K_i > 0$ ($f|K_i < 0$) if $f(x_i) > 0$ ($f(x_i) < 0$), $i = 0, 1$. In this case we define $U_0 = U_{x_0} \cap (-\infty, t_0)$, $V_0 = V_{x_0}$, $U_1 = (t_0, t_1)$, $V_1 = V_{x_0}$ and $W_1 = U_{x_1} \cap (t_1, \infty)$.

Assume that we have defined intervals $U_0, V_0, U_1, V_1, \dots, U_{t(k)}, V_{t(k)}$ and W_{k+1} such that

$$D \cap (U_0 \cup \dots \cup U_{t(k)} \cup W_{k+1}) = D \cap (U_{x_0} \cup \dots \cup U_{x_{k+1}}),$$

$$U_i \times V_i \subset G \quad \text{for } i \leq t(k), \quad W_{k+1} \subset U_{k+1},$$

$$\text{sup}(U_i) \leq \text{inf}(U_{i+1}) \quad \text{for } i \leq t(k), \quad \text{sup}(U_{t(k)}) \leq \text{inf}(W_{k+1}),$$

and (x) holds for $U_0, U_1, \dots, U_{t(k)}, W_{k+1}$.

If $k+1 = n$ then we put $m = t(k)+1$, $U_m = W_n$ and $V_m = V_{x_n}$. Otherwise we consider W_{k+1} and U_{k+2} , and in the same way as in (a), (b), we define $U_{t(k)+1}, V_{t(k)+1}, \dots, U_{t(k+1)}, V_{t(k+1)}$ (where $0 \leq t(k+1) - t(k) \leq 3$), and W_{k+2} .

In this way we obtain a sequence of intervals which satisfy (ix)–(xi). By (x) we can choose $s_i, v_i \in U_i$ such that $s_i < c_i, v_i > d_i$ and $f(s_i), f(v_i) \in V_i$. For each $i < m$, $[v_i, s_{i+1}]$ is included in some component of $J \setminus \bar{D}$ and consequently, by (10.1), $f_i = f|_{[v_i, s_{i+1}]}$ is almost continuous. Thus there exists a continuous function $g_i \in G \cap [v_i, s_{i+1}] \times \mathbf{R}$ such that $g_i(v_i) \in V_i$ and $g_i(s_{i+1}) \in V_{i+1}$. Let g be defined by $g(x) = g_i(x)$ for $x \in [v_i, s_{i+1}]$, $i = 0, \dots, m-1$, and linear on each $[s_i, v_i]$ for $i = 0, \dots, m$. Then g is continuous and included in G . Hence f is almost continuous.

Remark. Under the assumptions of Lemma 10 the condition $\alpha(f, J)$ holds.

LEMMA 11. Let f be a function on $J = [a, b]$ with the property (JC). Suppose that $C = [f = 0]$ is nowhere dense, the maximal dense-in-itself subset D of C is non-empty and either

$$(11.1) \quad \bar{D} \subset \overline{\{x \in J \setminus \bar{C} : f(x) > 0\}},$$

or

$$(11.2) \quad \bar{D} \subset \overline{\{x \in J \setminus \bar{C} : f(x) < 0\}}.$$

Then there exist almost continuous functions g, h on J such that $f = g \cdot h$ and moreover, g and h satisfy (10.2) and (10.3). (Hence the conditions $\alpha(g, J)$ and $\alpha(h, J)$ hold.)

Proof. Let (J_n) be the sequence of all components of $J \setminus \bar{C}$. We choose (by induction) a sequence (\mathcal{X}_n) of finite families of components of $J \setminus \bar{C}$ such that:

- (i) if (11.1) holds then $\mathcal{X}_m \subset \{J_n : f|_{J_n} > 0, n \in \mathbf{N}\} \setminus \bigcup_{i < m} \mathcal{X}_i$ for each $m \in \mathbf{N}$,
- (ii) if (11.2) holds and (11.1) does not hold then $\mathcal{X}_m \subset \{J_n : f|_{J_n} < 0, n \in \mathbf{N}\} \setminus \bigcup_{i < m} \mathcal{X}_i$ for each $m \in \mathbf{N}$,
- (iii) $\bigcup \mathcal{X}_m \subset \{x \in J : \varrho(x, \bar{D}) < 1/m\}$ and $\varrho(x, \bigcup \mathcal{X}_m) < 2/m$ for each $x \in \bar{D}$ and $m \in \mathbf{N}$, where $\varrho(x, A)$ denotes the distance from x to A ,
- (iv) if (11.1) holds, x_0 is an end point of some component K of $J \setminus \bar{D}$, $x_0 \in \overline{\{x \in K \setminus \bar{C} : f(x) > 0\}}$ and $K_1 \subset K$ for some $K_1 \in \mathcal{X}_{m-1}$ then there exists $K_2 \in \mathcal{X}_m$ such that $K_2 \subset K$ and $\varrho(x_0, K_2) < \varrho(x_0, K_1)$,
- (v) the similar condition holds if we assume (11.2).

Let $\mathcal{L}_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_{2i}$ and $\mathcal{L}_1 = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_{2i+1}$. Observe that

- (vi) for each $x_0 \in \bar{D}$ there exists a sequence (J_{k_n}) which converges to x_0 such that $J_{k_n} \in \mathcal{L}_0$ if n is even and $J_{k_n} \in \mathcal{L}_1$ if n is odd. Hence $x_0 \in \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{L}_0} \cap \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{L}_1}$.

Moreover,

- (vii) if (J_{k_n}) is a sequence of intervals from $\mathcal{L}_0 \cup \mathcal{L}_1$ and (J_{k_n}) converges to x_0 , then, by (iii), $x_0 \in \bar{D}$,
- (viii) if K is a component of $J \setminus \bar{D}$, a is an end point of K and $a \in \overline{K \cap \bigcup (\mathcal{L}_0 \cup \mathcal{L}_1)}$, then, by (iv), $a \in \overline{K \cap \bigcup \mathcal{L}_i}$, $i = 0, 1$.

For each $J_n \in \mathcal{L}_0 \cup \mathcal{L}_1$ let $f_n = f|_{J_n}$. By Lemma 6 there exist almost continuous functions g_n, h_n such that $|f_n| = g_n \cdot h_n$ on J_n and all cluster sets of g_n and h_n at the end points of J_n are $[0, \infty]$. Moreover, if a_n, b_n are the end points of J_n then $g_n(a_n) = |f(a_n)|$, $g_n(b_n) = |f(b_n)|$, $h_n(a_n) = h_n(b_n) = 1$.

Let $(T_n)_n$ be the sequence of all components of $J \setminus (\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{L}_0} \cup \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{L}_1} \cup \bar{D})$. By the definition of D every T_n satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 9 and consequently, there exist almost continuous functions s_n, t_n such that $f|_{T_n} = s_n \cdot t_n$ and s_n, t_n satisfy (10.2) and (10.3). Set

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{for } x \in (\bar{D} \cup \{a, b\}) \setminus \bigcup \{a_n, b_n : (a_n, b_n) \in \mathcal{L}_0 \cup \mathcal{L}_1\}, \\ \operatorname{sgn}(f(x)) \cdot (-1)^i g_n(x) & \text{for } x \in J_n, J_n \in \mathcal{L}_i, i = 0, 1, \\ s_n(x) & \text{for } x \in T_n, n \in \mathbf{N}, \end{cases}$$

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in (\bar{D} \cup \{a, b\}) \setminus \bigcup \{a_n, b_n : (a_n, b_n) \in \mathcal{L}_0 \cup \mathcal{L}_1\}, \\ (-1)^i h_n(x) & \text{for } x \in J_n, J_n \in \mathcal{L}_i, i = 0, 1, \\ t_n(x) & \text{for } x \in T_n, n \in \mathbf{N}. \end{cases}$$

Then $f = g \cdot h$ and g, h satisfy (10.2). Moreover, it is obvious that (10.3) holds if $a \notin \bar{D}$, or if $a \in \bar{D}$ and a is an end point of some component K of $J \setminus (\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{L}_0} \cup \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{L}_1} \cup \bar{D})$. If $a \in \bar{D}$, a is an end point of some component K of $J \setminus \bar{D}$ and $a \in \overline{K \cap \bigcup (\mathcal{L}_0 \cup \mathcal{L}_1)}$, then (10.3) follows from (viii). Finally, if $a \in \bar{D}$ and $a_n \nearrow a$ ($a_n \searrow a$) for some sequence (a_n) in \bar{D} , then (10.3) follows from (vi).

Now we shall verify (10.1), e.g. for g . Let $K = (a, b)$ be some component of $J \setminus \bar{D}$. There are four possible cases: $a, b \notin M = \overline{K \cap \bigcup (\mathcal{L}_0 \cup \mathcal{L}_1)}$; $a \in M$ and $b \notin M$; $a \notin M$ and $b \in M$; and $a, b \in M$. Consider e.g. the case $a \notin M$ and $b \in M$. Then there exists a sequence $a_n \in C$ such that $a_0 = a$, $a_n \nearrow b$ and $(a_{n-1}, a_n) \in \mathcal{L}_0 \cup \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \{T_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Consequently, each $g|_{[a_{n-1}, a_n]}$ is almost continuous and therefore, $g|_K$ is almost continuous (see [4], Lemma 3.5). Since g and h satisfy all assumptions of Lemma 10, they are almost continuous. Moreover, the conditions $\alpha(g, J)$ and $\alpha(h, J)$ hold.

LEMMA 12. Assume that C is a nowhere dense subset of the interval J and the maximal dense-in-itself subset D of C is non-empty. If f is a real function on J with the property (JC) and $C = [f = 0]$, then f is the product of two almost continuous functions g and h which satisfy the conditions $\alpha(g, J)$ and $\alpha(h, J)$.

Proof. Let A be the union of all open subintervals K of J such that $K \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and $x \in \overline{\{z \in K \setminus \bar{C} : f(z) > 0\}}$ for each $x \in K \cap \bar{D}$, and let B be the union of all open subintervals K of $J \setminus \bar{A}$ such that $K \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and $x \in \overline{\{z \in K \setminus \bar{C} : f(z) < 0\}}$ for each $x \in K \cap \bar{D}$. List all components of A, B and $J \setminus (\bar{A} \cup \bar{B} \cup \bar{D})$ in a sequence $(J_n)_n$. Then:

- (i) $E = J \setminus \bigcup J_n$ is a closed nowhere dense subset of \bar{D} ,
- (ii) if J_n is a component of $J \setminus (\bar{A} \cup \bar{B} \cup \bar{D})$, then $J_n \cap C$ has no non-empty dense-in-itself subset,
- (iii) a point $x_0 \in E \setminus \{a\}$ is either the right end point of some component J_n , or there exists a sequence (J_{k_n}) such that $J_{k_n} \nearrow x_0$ and $J_{k_n} \subset A \cup B$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$,
- (iv) the similar left-hand condition holds for points x_0 in $E \setminus \{b\}$.

The condition (i) follows from the obvious fact that the end points of each J_n belong to \bar{D} , and (ii) follows from the definition of D . To verify (iii), let $(x_0 - \delta, x_0) \cap A = \emptyset$ for some $x_0 \in E \setminus \{a\}$ and $\delta > 0$. Then either $(x_0 - \delta, x_0) \cap D$ is empty and x_0 is the right end

point of some J_n , or there exists an interval $K \subset (x_0 - \delta, x_0)$ such that $K \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and $f(x) < 0$ for each $x \in K \setminus \bar{C}$. Then $K \subset B$ and consequently, $(x_0 - \delta, x_0)$ meets some component of B .

Let $f_n = f|J_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $J_n \subset A \cup B$ let g_n, h_n be almost continuous functions such that $f_n = g_n \cdot h_n$ and $\alpha(g_n, J_n), \alpha(h_n, J_n)$ hold. (Such functions exist by Lemma 11.) For $J_n \subset J \setminus (\bar{A} \cup \bar{B} \cup \bar{D})$ it follows by (ii) and Lemma 9 that f_n is the product of two almost continuous functions g_n, h_n which satisfy (9.1).

Now define

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{for } x \in E, \\ g_n(x) & \text{for } x \in J_n, n \in \mathbb{N}; \end{cases} \quad h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in E, \\ h_n(x) & \text{for } x \in J_n, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that $f = g \cdot h$. Since $\alpha(g, J_n)$ and $\alpha(h, J_n)$ hold for every component J_n of $A \cup B$, Lemma 8 shows that g and h are almost continuous. Since $A \cup B$ is dense in J , $\alpha(g, J)$ and $\alpha(h, J)$ hold too.

LEMMA 13. Let f be a function on an interval J for which the set $[f = 0]$ is of the first category and dense in J . Then f is a product of two almost continuous functions in $\mathcal{D}^*(J, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Let $(K_\alpha)_{\alpha < c}$ be the sequence of all closed subsets of $J \times \mathbb{R}$ whose x -projection is a non-degenerate interval such that every set stands in this sequence exactly twice. We choose by induction a sequence $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in K_\alpha$ such that:

- (i) if $x_\alpha = x_\beta$ for some $\alpha, \beta < c$, then $y_\alpha = y_\beta = 0$ and $f(x_\alpha) = 0$,
- (ii) $y_\alpha = 0$ iff $f(x_\alpha) = 0$.

Assume that we have chosen (x_β, y_β) for $\beta < \alpha$. Let $E_\alpha = \text{dom}(K_\alpha) \setminus [f = 0]$. This set is residual in $\text{dom}(K_\alpha)$ and therefore $\text{card}(E_\alpha \cap L) = c$ for each subinterval L of $\text{dom}(K_\alpha)$. Let $F_\alpha = E_\alpha \setminus \{x_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}$. This set is dense in $\text{dom}(K_\alpha)$. We have two possibilities:

(a) If $(x, 0) \notin K_\alpha$ for some $x \in F_\alpha$, then we put $x_\alpha = x$ and $y_\alpha = y$ such that $(x, y) \in K_\alpha$.

(b) If $(x, 0) \in K_\alpha$ for each $x \in F_\alpha$, then (because K_α is closed) $\text{dom}(K_\alpha) \times \{0\} \subset K_\alpha$. We choose $x \in \text{dom}(K_\alpha) \cap [f = 0]$ and put $x_\alpha = x, y_\alpha = 0$.

It is obvious that (x_α, y_α) satisfies (i) and (ii). Now, if $x = x_\alpha$ for some $\alpha < c$ and $K_\alpha \neq K_\beta$ for each $\beta < \alpha$, then define $g(x) = y_\alpha$ and $h(x) = f(x)/g(x)$ if $y_\alpha \neq 0$, and $h(x) = 1$ if $y_\alpha = 0$. If $x = x_\alpha$ for some $\alpha < c$ and $K_\alpha = K_\beta$ for some $\beta < \alpha$, then $h(x) = y_\alpha$ and $g(x) = f(x)/h(x)$ if $y_\alpha \neq 0$, and $g(x) = 1$ if $y_\alpha = 0$. If $x \neq x_\alpha$ for each $\alpha < c$, then $g(x) = f(x), h(x) = 1$.

It is easy to observe that $f = g \cdot h$ and $g, h \in \mathcal{D}^*(J, \mathbb{R})$. Since g and h meet each blocking set in $J \times \mathbb{R}$, they are almost continuous.

In the next lemmata we shall assume that a union of less than c nowhere dense sets is a set of the first category (e.g. under MA).

LEMMA 14. (MA) Let f be a function on an interval J for which $[f = 0]$ is of the second category at each point of J . Then f is a product of two almost continuous functions in $\mathcal{D}^*(J, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Let $(K_\alpha)_{\alpha < c}$ be the sequence of closed subsets of $J \times \mathbb{R}$ defined in the proof of Lemma 13. We choose (inductively) a sequence $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)_{\alpha < c}$ such that $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in K_\alpha$ and $x_\alpha \in [f = 0]$ for $\alpha < c$. Assume that (x_β, y_β) are chosen for $\beta < \alpha$, where $\alpha < c$. By Martin's Axiom, $E_\alpha = \text{dom}(K_\alpha) \cap [f = 0] \setminus \{x_\beta: \beta < \alpha\} \neq \emptyset$ and we choose $x_\alpha \in E_\alpha$ and y_α with $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in K_\alpha$. Now assume first that $x = x_\alpha$ for some $\alpha < c$. If $K_\alpha \neq K_\beta$ for each $\beta < \alpha$, then define $g(x) = y_\alpha$ and $h(x) = 0$. If $K_\alpha = K_\beta$ for some $\beta < \alpha$, then $g(x) = 0$ and $h(x) = y_\alpha$. If $x \neq x_\alpha$ for each $\alpha < c$, then $g(x) = f(x)$ and $h(x) = 1$. Then $f = g \cdot h, g, h \in \mathcal{D}^*(J, \mathbb{R})$ and g, h are almost continuous.

LEMMA 15. (MA) Let f be a function on an interval J with $[f = 0]$ dense in J . Then f is the product of two almost continuous functions g, h in $\mathcal{D}^*(J, \mathbb{R})$. (Hence $\alpha(g, J)$ and $\alpha(h, J)$ hold.)

Proof. Let $A \subset J$ ($B \subset J$) be the set of all points at which $[f = 0]$ is of the first (second) category. Let $(J_n)_n$ be the sequence of all intervals $K \subset J$ such that K is a component of A ($= \text{int}(A)$) or $\text{int}(B)$. Then $C = J \setminus \bigcup_n J_n$ is closed and nowhere dense. Let $f_n = f|J_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each n such that $J_n \subset A$ ($J_n \subset B$) let g_n, h_n be almost continuous functions in $\mathcal{D}^*(J_n, \mathbb{R})$ and $f_n = g_n \cdot h_n$. Define

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{for } x \in C, \\ g_n(x) & \text{for } x \in J_n, n \in \mathbb{N}; \end{cases} \quad h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in C, \\ h_n(x) & \text{for } x \in J_n, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that $f = g \cdot h, g, h \in \mathcal{D}^*(J, \mathbb{R})$ and $\alpha(g, J_n), \alpha(h, J_n)$ hold for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 8, g and h are almost continuous.

THEOREM 2. (MA) A real function defined on the interval I is a product of two almost continuous functions iff it has a zero in each subinterval in which it changes sign.

Proof. Let f be a function on I with the property (JC). Let $C = [f = 0]$. Let A_0 be the union of all subintervals of I in which C is dense, let A_1 be the union of all subintervals J of I for which $J \cap C$ is nowhere dense and includes a non-empty dense-in-itself subset, and let A_2 be the union of all subintervals K of I for which $K \cap \bar{C}$ is infinite and includes no non-empty dense-in-itself subset. List all components of A_0, A_1, A_2 and $I \setminus (\bigcup_{i < 3} \bar{A}_i \cup \bar{C})$ in a sequence $(J_n)_n$. Then:

- (i) $D = I \setminus \bigcup_n J_n$ is a closed nowhere dense subset of \bar{C} ,
- (ii) f has a constant sign on every $J_n \subset I \setminus (\bigcup_{i < 3} \bar{A}_i \cup \bar{C})$,
- (iii) each $x_0 \in D \setminus \{1\}$ is either the left end point of some J_n or there exists a sequence (J_{k_n}) such that $J_{k_n} \ni x_0$ and $J_{k_n} \subset A_0 \cup A_1 \cup A_2$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (iv) the analogous right-hand condition holds for each x_0 in $D \setminus \{0\}$.

The first two conditions are obvious. We shall verify (iii). Fix x_0 in $D \setminus \{1\}$. Assume that $(x_0, x_0 + \delta) \cap (A_0 \cup A_1 \cup A_2) = \emptyset$ for some $\delta > 0$. Since $(x_0, x_0 + \delta) \cap A_0 = \emptyset, E = (x_0, x_0 + \delta) \cap C$ is nowhere dense. Since $(x_0, x_0 + \delta) \cap A_1 = \emptyset, E$ contains no non-empty dense-in-itself subset. Since $(x_0, x_0 + \delta) \cap A_2 = \emptyset, E$ is finite. Therefore x_0 is the left end point of some component of $I \setminus (\bigcup_{i < 3} \bar{A}_i \cup \bar{C})$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $f_n = f|J_n$. By Lemma 15 (respectively: Lemmata 12 and 9), if $J_n \subset A_0$ (respectively A_1 and A_2) then f_n is the product of two almost continuous

functions g_n, h_n which satisfy the conditions $\alpha(g_n, J_n)$ and $\alpha(h_n, J_n)$.

If J_n is a component of $\Lambda(\bigcup_{i < 3} \bar{A}_i \cup \bar{C})$ then by Lemma 6 there exist almost continuous functions g_n, h_n such that $f_n = g_n \cdot h_n$, the cluster sets of h_n at the end points of J_n are $[0, \infty]$ and the cluster sets of g_n at the end points of J_n are $\text{sgn}(f_n) \cdot [0, \infty]$. Set

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{for } x \in D, \\ g_n(x) & \text{for } x \in J_n, n \in \mathbb{N}; \end{cases} \quad h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in D, \\ h_n(x) & \text{for } x \in J_n, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that $f = g \cdot h$. Since g and h satisfy all assumptions of Lemma 8, they are almost continuous.

This completes the proof that every function with the property (JC) is a product of two almost continuous functions. The opposite implication follows immediately from the fact that a product of two Darboux functions has the property (JC).

Remark. Theorems 1 and 2 remain true for real functions defined on the whole real line.

References

- [1] J. Ceder, *On factoring a function into a product of Darboux functions*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 31 (1982), 16–22.
- [2] —, *On compositions with connected functions*, Real Anal. Exchange 11 (1985–86), 380–390.
- [3] —, *A necessary and sufficient condition for a Baire α function to be a product of two Darboux Baire α functions*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 36 (1987), 78–84.
- [4] J. Jastrzębski, J. M. Jędrzejewski and T. Natkaniec, *On some subclasses of Darboux functions*, Fund. Math. 138 (1991), 165–173.
- [5] K. R. Kellum, *Almost continuous functions on I^n* , ibid. 79 (1973), 213–215.
- [6] —, *Sums and limits of almost continuous functions*, Colloq. Math. 31 (1974), 125–128.
- [7] —, *Iterates of almost continuous functions and Sarkovskii's Theorem*, Real Anal. Exchange 14 (1988–89), 420–423.
- [8] K. Kuratowski, *Topologie*, Vol. I, PWN, Warszawa 1958.
- [9] S. Marcus, *Sur la représentation d'une fonction arbitraire par des fonctions jouissant de la propriété de Darboux*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 35 (1966), 484–494.
- [10] T. Natkaniec, *Two remarks on almost continuous functions*, Probl. Mat. 10 (1988), 71–78.
- [11] M. E. Rudin, *Martin's Axiom*, in: Handbook of Mathematical Logic, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1977, 419–502.
- [12] J. Stallings, *Fixed point theorems for connectivity maps*, Fund. Math. 47 (1959), 249–263.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
PEDAGOGICAL COLLEGE
Chodkiewicza 30, 85-064 Bydgoszcz, Poland

Received 4 June 1990

Władysław Orlicz

COLLECTED PAPERS

Parts I and II, Liv+viii+1688 pp., cloth bound

Professor Władysław Orlicz was among the founders of modern functional analysis. The two volumes of Collected Papers include all his mathematical publications written in the years 1926–1985.

The papers are preceded by a biographical article, a survey of Władysław Orlicz's scientific work, a complete list of his publications and a list of doctoral dissertations written under his supervision.

The topics considered in the papers include orthogonal expansions, summability methods, metric locally convex spaces, Saks spaces, unconditional convergence, Orlicz spaces and modular spaces, theory of measure and integral, functions of bounded generalized variation and others.

Tadeusz Ważewski

SELECTED PAPERS

xviii+572 pp., cloth bound

Professor Tadeusz Ważewski (1896–1972) was an eminent scholar working in various branches of mathematics. To mention but a few of his results, let us recall the famous retract theorem in the qualitative theory of differential equations, fundamental theorems on differential inequalities and partial differential equations of the first order, the theory of orientor equations (differential inclusions). His results and methods are successfully applied in many areas of mathematics; the literature connected with Ważewski's papers is quite extensive.

Tadeusz Ważewski was also an outstanding teacher. He built up an active research group often referred to as the Kraków school of differential equations.

The present selection contains papers which seem to be the most important from among over 120 papers written by Ważewski during the half-century of his scientific activity.

To be ordered through your bookseller or directly from
Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences
P.O. Box 137, 00-950 Warszawa, Poland, telex 816112 PANIM PL