

## On a theorem of Baumgartner and Weese

by

R. Frankiewicz and P. Zbierski (Warszawa)

**Abstract.** A model of ZFC+MA( $\sigma$ -linked) is constructed in which the continuum  $c$  is large and each Boolean algebra of cardinality  $\leq c$  is representable as the algebra of partitioners of some maximal antichain in  $P(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .

**0.** Let us consider the notion of partitioner-representability of Boolean algebras introduced by Baumgartner and Weese in [B-W]: if  $A$  is a m.a.d. (a maximal family of almost disjoint subsets of  $\omega$ ), then a set  $x \subseteq \omega$  is called a *partitioner* of  $A$  if for each  $a \in A$  either  $a \subseteq_* x$  or  $a \cap x =_* \emptyset$  (i.e. either  $a \setminus x$  or  $a \cap x$  is finite, respectively). Finite unions  $a_1 \cup \dots \cup a_n$  of elements of  $A$  as well as finite subsets of  $\omega$  are called *trivial partitioners*. The family  $F(A)$  of all the partitioners is a Boolean subfield of  $P(\omega)$ . We say that a given Boolean algebra  $B$  is *representable* on  $A$  if  $B$  is isomorphic to the factor algebra  $F(A)/T$ , where  $T$  denotes the ideal generated by trivial partitioners.

The fundamental theorem in [B-W] (see also [F-Z<sub>1</sub>]) says that, assuming CH (the continuum hypothesis), each Boolean algebra of cardinality  $\leq c$  is representable on some m.a.d.  $A$  in the sense described above.

In [F-Z<sub>2</sub>] it is proved that—consistently with MA (Martin's Axiom)—the power set algebra  $P(\omega_1)$  may not be representable. Hence, the assumption of CH in the theorem of Baumgartner and Weese cannot be replaced by MA.

On the other hand, a question arises (see Problem 7 in [B-W]) if the conclusion of the theorem is equivalent to CH. Here we answer this question negatively. Thus, we prove the following

**THEOREM.** *It is consistent with ZFC+MA( $\sigma$ -linked) that the cardinality  $c$  is arbitrarily large and each Boolean algebra of cardinality  $\leq c$  is representable on some m.a.d.*

In the proof we apply the technique of Laver [L] in a similar way to [B-F-Z].

**1.** Assume that the ground model  $V$  satisfies the generalized continuum hypothesis and choose a regular cardinal  $\kappa > \omega_1$ . Hence,  $\kappa$  satisfies  $\kappa^{<\kappa} = \kappa$ .

We define below a finite support iteration  $\mathbf{P}_\kappa = \sum_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathbf{P}_\alpha$  having c.c.c. (countable chain condition) so that, in the corresponding generic extension  $V[G]$ , the conclusion of the Theorem will hold true. At some stages  $\alpha < \kappa$  of the iteration we add generic subsets

$X_\alpha \subseteq \omega$  filling gaps in subalgebras of  $P(\omega)/\text{fin}$  generated by some previously added  $X_\beta$ ,  $\beta < \alpha$ . Consequently, in  $V[G]$  each algebra  $B$ , with  $\text{card } B \leq c$ , will be embeddable in  $P(\omega)/\text{fin}$  (comp. [B-F-Z]).

More exactly, for  $\Phi: \kappa \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ , let  $B(\Phi)$  be the subalgebra of  $P(\omega)/\text{fin}$  generated by  $\{X_\alpha: \Phi(\alpha) = 1\}$ . For each  $B$  with  $\text{card } B \leq c$ , we find a  $\Phi$  such that  $B$  is isomorphic to  $B(\Phi)$ . Simultaneously, at some other stages  $\beta$ , we add generic subsets  $a_\beta \subseteq \omega$  so that, for each  $\Phi$  as above, there is a m.a.d.  $A(\Phi)$  consisting of some of the  $a$ 's on which  $B$  is representable. In other words, for each  $B$  we choose some branch  $\Phi$  of the binary tree  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \{0, 1\}^\alpha$  and force along  $\Phi$  so that  $B = B(\Phi)$  and  $B$  is representable on  $A(\Phi)$ . Since  $\kappa^{<\kappa} = \kappa$  all this can be done in  $\kappa$  steps with the help of an ordinary (i.e. linear) iteration of length  $\kappa$ .

The definition of the iteration is inductive and uses a well known "booking" technique. At each step  $\alpha < \kappa$  we fix an enumeration  $e_\alpha = \{d(\alpha, \xi): \xi < \kappa\}$  of some objects in  $V$ , so that each object  $d(\alpha, \xi)$  occurs  $\kappa$  times in  $e_\alpha$ .

Let  $J: \kappa \times \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$  be a pairing function satisfying

$$\xi, \eta < J(\xi, \eta) \quad \text{for all } \xi, \eta < \kappa$$

and define  $\text{Nr}(d(\alpha, \xi)) = J(\alpha, \xi)$ . Thus, each object  $d$  (enumerated at any stage) has arbitrarily large number  $\text{Nr}(d) < \kappa$  and each ordinal  $\alpha < \kappa$  is the number  $\alpha = \text{Nr}(d)$  of some object  $d$  enumerated at some stage  $< \alpha$ .

Assume the following notation. For  $\varphi: \alpha \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  let  $B(\varphi)$  be the subalgebra generated by  $\{X_\beta: \varphi(\beta) = 1\}$ . Thus, if  $s$  is a finite zero-one sequence with  $\text{dm}(s) \subseteq \{\beta: \varphi(\beta) = 1\}$  and

$$X(s) = \bigcap_{s(\beta)=0} X_\beta \cap \bigcap_{s(\eta)=1} (\omega \setminus X_\eta),$$

then  $B(\varphi)$  consists of finite unions of sets of the form  $X(s)$ . A *gap* in  $B(\varphi)$  is a system of the form

$$L = \langle \{X(s): s \in S\}; \{X(t): t \in T\} \rangle$$

where  $X(s) \cap X(t) =_* \emptyset$  for each  $s \in S$  and  $t \in T$ . Moreover, we assume that the conditions  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in S$  and  $X(s) \subseteq_* X(s_1) \cup \dots \cup X(s_n)$  imply  $s \in S$ , and similarly for  $T$ .

Now, we can describe the inductive step. Assume that  $\mathbf{P}_\alpha$  is already defined. We enumerate all the pairs  $\langle X, \varphi \rangle, \langle Y, \varphi \rangle, \langle L, \varphi \rangle$  of  $\mathbf{P}_\alpha$ -names such that  $\mathbf{P}_\alpha$  forces the following properties:

- (1)  $X, Y \subseteq \omega$ ,  $X, Y \notin \text{fin}$  and  $\omega \setminus Y \notin \text{fin}$ .
- (2)  $X \in B(\varphi)$ ,  $Y \notin B(\varphi)$  and  $L$  is a gap in  $B(\varphi)$ .
- (3)  $\varphi \in D_\alpha$ , where  $D_\alpha$  consists of all  $\psi \in V^{\mathbf{P}^\alpha}$  with  $\text{dm}(\psi) \leq \alpha$  and such that if  $\{\gamma_\xi: \xi < \delta\}$  is an increasing enumeration of  $\{\gamma: \psi(\gamma) = 1\}$ , then for each  $\xi < \delta$  there is a gap  $L_\xi$  in  $B(\psi|_{\gamma_\xi+1})$  satisfying  $\gamma_{\xi+1} = \text{Nr}(L_\xi, \psi|_{\gamma_\xi+1})$ .

We extend the function  $\text{Nr}$  to the just enumerated objects as described above.

We also assume inductively that there are a.d. families  $A_\alpha(\varphi) \subseteq V^{\mathbf{P}^\alpha}$  having the property

$$A_\alpha(\varphi) = \bigcup_{\xi < \delta} A_{\gamma_\xi+1}(\varphi|_{\gamma_\xi+1})$$

where, as in (3) above,  $\gamma_\xi$  enumerates the set  $\{\gamma: \varphi(\gamma) = 1\}$  and such that each infinite  $X \in B(\varphi)$  is a partitioner of  $A_\alpha(\varphi)$  and  $X \notin [A_\alpha(\varphi)]$  (the ideal generated by  $A_\alpha(\varphi)$ ).

Now, the ordinal  $\alpha$  determines a pair  $\langle X, \varphi \rangle, \langle Y, \varphi \rangle$  or  $\langle L, \varphi \rangle$  enumerated at some stage  $\beta < \alpha$ . Accordingly, we distinguish three cases.

Case 1:  $\alpha = \text{Nr}(X, \varphi)$ . We add a new element  $a = a_\alpha(X, \varphi)$  under  $X$  and almost disjoint from  $A_\alpha(\varphi)$ . We may assume that we have a fixed ultrafilter  $F \subseteq B(\varphi)$  containing  $X$  in  $V^{\mathbf{P}^\alpha}$  (i.e.  $\mathbf{P}_\alpha$  forces all this). Let  $\mathbf{R}$  be the almost disjoint forcing over the family

$$H = \{\omega \setminus Z: Z \in F\} \cup A_\alpha(\varphi).$$

Thus, the conditions  $p$  of  $\mathbf{R}$  have the form  $p = \langle x_p, w_p \rangle$ , where  $x_p$  is a zero-one sequence of some length  $l(p)$  and  $w_p$  is a finite subset of  $H$ . The relation  $p \leq q$  holds if and only if  $x_q \subseteq x_p$ ,  $w_q \subseteq w_p$  and for each  $i$  with  $l(q) \leq i < l(p)$ , the condition  $i \in \bigcup w_q$  implies  $x_p(i) = 0$ .

It is well known that we have

$$\mathbf{P}_\alpha \Vdash \text{“}\mathbf{R} \text{ is } \sigma\text{-linked”}.$$

By the inductive assumption the set  $\omega \setminus \bigcup w_p$  is infinite for each  $p$ . Hence, if  $G \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  is a generic filter, then the set

$$a_\alpha = \{i \in \omega: \exists p \in G [x_p(i) = 1]\}$$

is infinite, almost disjoint from  $A_\alpha(\varphi)$  and  $a_\alpha \subseteq_* Z$  for each  $Z \in F$ . Define

$$\mathbf{P}_{\alpha+1} = \mathbf{P}_\alpha * \mathbf{R}, \quad A_{\alpha+1}(\varphi) = A_\alpha(\varphi) \cup \{a_\alpha\}.$$

It follows easily that the inductive assumption is still valid for  $B(\varphi)$  and  $A_{\alpha+1}(\varphi)$ .

Case 2:  $\alpha = \text{Nr}(Y, \varphi)$ . Suppose that

$$\mathbf{P}_\alpha \Vdash \text{“}\forall x \in B(\varphi) [(Y \setminus x) \cap (x \setminus Y) \notin [A_\alpha(\varphi)]]\text{”},$$

i.e.  $Y$  is congruent mod  $[A_\alpha(\varphi)]$  to no element of  $B(\varphi)$ . Then the complement  $\omega \setminus Y$  has the same property and it follows that the set

$$J = \{x \in B(\varphi): \text{either } x \cap Y \in [A_\alpha(\varphi)] \text{ or } x \setminus Y \in [A_\alpha(\varphi)]\}$$

is a proper ideal in  $B(\varphi)$ . Let  $F$  be any ultrafilter in  $B(\varphi)$  extending the set  $-J = \{\omega \setminus x: x \in J\}$ . Thus, for each  $x \in F$  we have  $x \cap Y \notin [A_\alpha(\varphi)]$  and  $x \setminus Y \notin [A_\alpha(\varphi)]$ .

Hence, we may apply the almost disjoint forcing  $\mathbf{R}(Y)$  which adds a set  $a$  under each  $x \cap Y$  for  $x \in F$ , and  $\mathbf{R}(\omega \setminus Y)$  adding a set  $b$  under the family  $\{x \setminus Y: x \in F\}$  so that both  $a$  and  $b$  are almost disjoint from  $A_\alpha(\varphi)$ . Define

$$\mathbf{P}_{\alpha+1} = \mathbf{P}_\alpha * \mathbf{R}(Y) * \mathbf{R}(\omega \setminus Y), \quad A_{\alpha+1}(\varphi) = A_\alpha(\varphi) \cup \{a_\alpha\}, \text{ where } a_\alpha = a \cup b.$$

It follows that the inductive assumption holds for  $B(\varphi)$  and  $A_{\alpha+1}(\varphi)$ . Since  $Y$  intersects nontrivially the element  $a \cup b \in A_{\alpha+1}(\varphi)$  we infer that  $Y$  is now not a partitioner of  $A_{\alpha+1}(\varphi)$ .

Case 3:  $\alpha = \text{Nr}(L, \varphi)$ . Suppose that

$$L = \langle \{X(s) : s \in S\}; \{X(t) : t \in T\} \rangle.$$

We enlarge  $L$  by adjoining all the elements of  $A_\alpha(\varphi)$ . Thus, let

$$S_A = \{\xi < \alpha : \forall t \in T [a_\xi \cap X(t) =_* \emptyset]\}, \quad T_A = \{\eta < \alpha : \exists t \in T [a_\eta \subseteq_* X(t)]\}.$$

Define

$$L^* = \langle \{X(s)\}_{s \in S} \cup \{a_\xi\}_{\xi \in S_A}; \{X(t)\}_{t \in T} \cup \{a_\eta\}_{\eta \in T_A} \rangle.$$

Now, we apply Kunen's forcing filling the gap  $L^*$ :

$$\mathbf{P}_{\alpha+1} = \mathbf{P}_\alpha * \mathbf{E}(L^*).$$

The conditions  $p \in \mathbf{E}(L^*)$  have the form  $p = \langle u_p, x_p, w_p \rangle$ , where  $u_p$  and  $w_p$  are finite subsets of  $S \cup S_A$  and  $T \cup T_A$ , respectively, and  $x_p$  is a finite zero-one sequence of some length  $l(p)$ , so that  $U \cap W \subseteq l(p)$  for each  $U \in \bar{u}_p$  and  $W \in \bar{w}_p$ , where

$$\bar{u}_p = \{X(s) : s \in S\} \cup \{a_\xi : \xi \in S_A\}, \quad \bar{w}_p = \{X(t) : t \in T\} \cup \{a_\eta : \eta \in T_A\}.$$

The ordering on  $\mathbf{E}$  is defined thus:

$$p \leq q \quad \text{iff} \quad u_q \subseteq u_p, w_q \subseteq w_p, x_q \subseteq x_p$$

and for each  $i$  with  $l(q) \leq i < l(p)$  the following implications hold:

$$\text{if } i \in \bigcup \bar{u}_q, \text{ then } x_p(i) = 1, \quad \text{if } i \in \bigcup \bar{w}_q, \text{ then } x_p(i) = 0.$$

If  $G \subseteq \mathbf{E}(L^*)$  is a generic filter, then it follows immediately that the set

$$X_\alpha = \{i \in \omega : \exists p \in G [x_p(i) = 1]\}$$

is a partitioner of  $A_\alpha(\varphi)$  and fills the gap  $L$ :

$$X(s) \subseteq_* X_\alpha \quad \text{for each } s \in S, \quad X_\alpha \cap X(t) =_* \emptyset \quad \text{for each } t \in T.$$

Let  $\varphi^\alpha$  be an extension of  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi^\alpha(\xi) = 0$  for  $\text{dm}(\varphi) \leq \xi < \alpha$  and  $\varphi^\alpha(\alpha) = 1$ . Hence  $\varphi^\alpha \in D_{\alpha+1}$  and each element of  $B(\varphi^\alpha)$  is a partitioner of  $A_{\alpha+1}(\varphi^\alpha) = A_\alpha(\varphi)$  (we add no elements to  $A_\alpha(\varphi)$  in this case).

We have to show yet that no infinite element  $Z \in B(\varphi^\alpha)$  is covered by a finite union of elements of  $A_{\alpha+1}(\varphi^\alpha)$ . By the inductive assumption this is true for  $Z \in B(\varphi)$ . Suppose that  $Z \in B(\varphi^\alpha)$  has the form

$$Z = X(\sigma) \cap X_\alpha, \quad \text{where } X(\sigma) \in B(\varphi),$$

and that  $Z \subseteq_* a_{\xi_1} \cup \dots \cup a_{\xi_n}$  for some  $a_{\xi_1}, \dots, a_{\xi_n} \in A_{\alpha+1}(\varphi^\alpha)$ . Since  $A_{\alpha+1}(\varphi^\alpha) = A_\alpha(\varphi)$  and  $Z$  is a partitioner we have  $Z =_* a_{\xi_1} \cup \dots \cup a_{\xi_n}$  and hence  $Z$  is in the ground model  $V^{(\mathbf{P}^\alpha)}$ . Note that  $\mathbf{E}(L^*)$  is equivalent to  $\mathbf{E}_0 \times \mathbf{E}_1$  where  $\mathbf{E}_0, \mathbf{E}_1$  are gap-filling forcings for  $L^*$  restricted to  $X(\sigma)$  and  $\omega \setminus X(\sigma)$ , respectively, in the obvious sense. Since  $\mathbf{E}_0$  produces  $X_\alpha \cap X(\sigma) = Z$  we see that  $\mathbf{E}_0$  must have an atomic element  $\langle u, x, w \rangle$  and hence (in this case of  $Z$ )

$$X_\alpha \cap X(\sigma) =_* X(\sigma) \cap \bigcup_{s \in U} \bar{u} =_* \bigcup_{s \in U} X(\sigma) \cap X(s).$$

It follows that  $Z = X_\alpha \cap X(\sigma)$  is in  $B(\varphi)$  and hence (by the inductive assumption) must be finite. The case of  $Z = X(t) \cap (\omega \setminus X_\alpha)$  is similar.

For limit ordinals  $\alpha \leq \kappa$  we define  $\mathbf{P}_\alpha$  as the direct limit of  $\{\mathbf{P}_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ . We also assume  $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha+1} = \mathbf{P}_\alpha$  in all cases not mentioned above. This completes the definition of the iteration.

In the next section we prove that  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_\kappa$  has c.c.c. (in general, gap-filling forcings  $\mathbf{E}$  do not have c.c.c.). Assuming this for the moment let us look how  $\mathbf{P}$  works.

Let  $G \subseteq \mathbf{P}_\kappa$  be a generic filter. It is clear that  $V[G] \models "c = \kappa"$  and  $V[G] \models "c < \kappa"$  for each  $\alpha < \kappa$ . Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra in  $V[G]$ , with  $\text{card } B = \kappa$ . There are elements  $b_\alpha \in B$  for  $\alpha < \kappa$  such that  $B = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} B_\alpha$ , where

$$B_0 = \{0, 1\},$$

$$B_{\alpha+1} = [B_\alpha, b_\alpha] \quad (\text{the subalgebra generated by } B_\alpha \text{ and } b_\alpha),$$

$$B_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} B_\beta \quad \text{for limit } \alpha < \kappa.$$

Assume inductively that we have an embedding  $f: B_\alpha \rightarrow P(\omega)/\text{fin}$  such that  $f(b_\xi) = X_{\gamma_\xi}/\text{fin}$  for each  $\xi < \alpha$ . Hence,  $f[B_\alpha] = B(\varphi_\alpha)/\text{fin}$ , where  $\varphi_\alpha$  is defined on  $\text{sup}\{\gamma_\xi : \xi < \alpha\}$ ,  $\varphi_\alpha(\gamma_\xi) = 1$  for each  $\xi < \alpha$  and  $\varphi_\alpha$  is zero otherwise. Define

$$b(s) = \left( \prod_{s(\xi)=0} b_\xi \right) \cdot \left( \prod_{s(\eta)=1} -b_\eta \right)$$

where  $s$  is a finite zero-one function on  $\alpha$ .

The next generator  $b_\alpha$  determines a gap

$$K = \langle \{b(s) : b(s) \leq b_\alpha\}; \{b(t) : b(t) \cdot b_\alpha = 0\} \rangle$$

in the algebra  $B_\alpha$ . Let  $L$  be the image of  $K$  under  $f$ . Thus  $L$  is a gap in  $B(\varphi_\alpha)$  and

$$L = \langle \{X(s_f)\}_S; \{X(t_f)\}_T \rangle,$$

where  $s_f$  is defined on  $\{\gamma_\xi : \xi \in \text{dm}(s)\}$  by the equality  $s_f(\gamma_\xi) = s(\xi)$  and  $t_f$  is defined similarly.

Let  $\beta < \kappa$  be so large that  $\varphi_\alpha$  and  $L$  are in  $V^{(\mathbf{P}^\beta)}$ . Since the continuum at stage  $\beta$  is smaller than  $\kappa$ , we can find a number  $\gamma_\alpha > \beta$  such that  $\gamma_\alpha = \text{Nr}(L, \varphi_\alpha)$  and

$$\text{Nr}(X, \varphi_\alpha), \text{Nr}(Y, \varphi_\alpha) < \gamma_\alpha, \quad \text{for all infinite } X \in B(\varphi_\alpha) \text{ and } Y \in V^{(\mathbf{P}^\beta)} \setminus B(\varphi_\alpha).$$

We define  $f(b_\alpha) = X_{\gamma_\alpha}$  and  $\varphi_{\alpha+1} = \varphi_\alpha^\alpha$ . This extends  $f$  to an embedding from  $B_{\alpha+1}$  onto  $B(\varphi_{\alpha+1})/\text{fin}$ . Indeed, by the well known theorem on extension of homomorphisms, we have to show that the following equivalences hold:

$$b(s) \leq b_\alpha \equiv X(s_f) \subseteq_* X_{\gamma_\alpha}, \quad b(t) \cdot b_\alpha = \mathbf{0} \equiv X(t_f) \cap X_{\gamma_\alpha} =_* \emptyset.$$

The implications from left to right are obvious, since  $X_{\gamma_\alpha}$  fills the gap  $L$ . The converse implications follow immediately from the following

LEMMA 1. Let  $p = \langle u, x, w \rangle \in \mathbf{E}(L)$  and let  $X_\gamma$  stand for a generic subset added by  $\mathbf{E}$ . Then we have

$$\text{if } p \Vdash "X(\sigma) \subseteq_* X_\gamma", \text{ then } X(\sigma) \subseteq_* \bigcup_{s \in u} X(s),$$

$$\text{if } p \Vdash "X(\tau) \cap X_\gamma =_* \emptyset", \text{ then } X(\tau) \subseteq_* \bigcup_{t \in w} X(t).$$

Similarly,  $p \Vdash "a_\xi \subseteq_* X_\gamma"$  implies  $a_\xi \subseteq_* X(s)$  for some  $s \in u$  or  $\xi \in u$ , and also  $p \Vdash "a_\eta \cap X_\gamma =_* \emptyset"$  implies  $a_\eta \subseteq_* X(t)$  for some  $t \in w$  or  $\eta \in w$ .

Proof. We may assume  $p \Vdash "X(\sigma) \setminus k \subseteq X_\gamma"$  for some  $k$ . It follows that

$$X(\sigma) \setminus \max\{k, l(p)\} \subseteq \bigcup \bar{u}.$$

If  $u = \{s_1, \dots, s_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}$ , then we obtain

$$X(\sigma) \subseteq_* X(s_1) \cup \dots \cup X(s_n) = \bigcup_{s \in u} X(s).$$

Indeed,  $Z = X(\sigma) \setminus (X(s_1) \cup \dots \cup X(s_n))$  is in  $B(\varphi_\alpha)$  and hence  $X(s) \subseteq_* Z$  for some  $s$ . Since  $X(s) \subseteq_* a_{\xi_1} \cup \dots \cup a_{\xi_m}$ ,  $X(s)$  must be finite and consequently  $Z$  is finite. The remaining cases are proved in a similar way.

It is now clear that the algebra  $B$  is isomorphic to  $B(\Phi)/\text{fin} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} B(\varphi_\alpha)/\text{fin}$ . Let

$$A(\Phi) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} A_{\gamma_\alpha}(\varphi_\alpha).$$

By construction, each non-zero element  $b$  of  $B(\Phi)$  is a nontrivial partitioner of  $A(\Phi)$  (in fact there are  $\kappa$  many elements of  $A(\Phi)$  under  $b$ ), and each  $Y \notin B(\Phi)$  is either a nonpartitioner or it is congruent to an element  $x$  of  $B(\Phi) \text{ mod } A(\Phi)$ , i.e.

$$(Y \setminus x) \cup (x \setminus Y) =_* (a_{\xi_1} \cup \dots \cup a_{\xi_n}) \setminus (a_{\eta_1} \cup \dots \cup a_{\eta_m})$$

for some  $a_{\xi_1}, \dots, a_{\xi_n}, a_{\eta_1}, \dots, a_{\eta_m} \in A(\Phi)$ . Note that such an  $x$  is uniquely determined. It follows that  $A(\Phi)$  is an m.a.d. and the mapping  $Y \rightarrow x$  is a homomorphism from the field of all the partitioners onto  $B(\Phi)$ , with kernel consisting of all trivial partitioners. Thus,  $B(\Phi)$  and hence also  $B$  is representable on  $A(\Phi)$ .

For the representability of algebras  $B$  with  $\text{card } B < \kappa$  see the end of the next section.

2. The almost disjoint forcing  $\mathbf{R}$  is a particular case of  $\mathbf{E}$  (in which  $u(p) = \emptyset$  for all  $p$ ). Hence, all stages of the iteration can be treated uniformly, with an obvious modification in the case of  $\alpha = \text{Nr}(Y, \varphi)$ .

Let  $Q_\alpha \subseteq \mathbf{P}_\alpha$  consist of all  $p \in \mathbf{P}_\alpha$  for which we have the following:

(1) For each  $\gamma \in \text{supp}(p)$  there are  $u_\gamma(p), x_\gamma(p), w_\gamma(p)$  such that

$$p \Vdash "p(\gamma) = \langle u_\gamma(p), x_\gamma(p), w_\gamma(p) \rangle"$$

and  $\text{dm}(s) \subseteq \text{supp}(p)$ ,  $\xi \in \text{supp}(p)$  for each  $\xi, s \in u_\gamma(p) \cup w_\gamma(p)$ .

(2) For each  $\gamma \in \text{supp}(p)$ , the number  $l(x_\gamma(p))$  is constant. We write  $l(p)$  for this value.

LEMMA 2. For each  $p \in \mathbf{P}_\alpha$  and  $m \in \omega$ , there is a  $q \in Q_\alpha$  such that  $q \leq p$  and  $l(q) \geq m$ .

Proof. By induction on  $\alpha$ . The only essential case is  $\alpha = \beta + 1$  and  $\beta \in \text{supp}(p)$ . We find an  $r \in \mathbf{P}_\beta$ ,  $r \leq p \upharpoonright \beta$ , such that

$$r \Vdash "p(\beta) = \langle u_\beta(p), x_\beta(p), w_\beta(p) \rangle"$$

for some  $u_\beta(p), x_\beta(p), w_\beta(p)$ . Extending  $r$  if necessary, we may assume that  $\text{supp}(r)$  contains each  $\xi$ , where  $\xi \in u_\beta(p) \cup w_\beta(p)$  or  $\xi \in \text{dm}(s)$  for some  $s \in u_\beta(p) \cup w_\beta(p)$ . Now, by the inductive hypothesis, we may assume that  $r \in Q_\beta$  and  $l(r) \geq m$ . If  $s, \xi \in u_\beta(p) \cup w_\beta(p)$ , then  $r$  determines  $X(s)$  and  $a_\beta$  up to  $l(r)$ . Hence, if  $l(r) > l(p)$ , then there is an extension  $\bar{x}_\beta \supseteq x_\beta(p)$  such that  $l(\bar{x}_\beta) = l(r)$  and

$$r \Vdash " \langle u_\beta(p), \bar{x}_\beta, w_\beta(p) \rangle \leq p(\beta) "$$

Thus, if  $q \upharpoonright \beta = r$  and  $q(\beta) = \langle u_\beta(p), \bar{x}_\beta, w_\beta(p) \rangle$ , then  $q \leq p$ ,  $q \in Q_\alpha$  and  $l(q) = l(r) \geq m$ , which finishes the proof.

The next lemma shows that  $Q_\alpha$  has c.c.c.

LEMMA 3. If  $p, q \in Q_\alpha$  are such that

$$x_\gamma(p) = x_\gamma(q) = x \quad \text{for each } \gamma \in \text{supp}(p) \cap \text{supp}(q),$$

then  $p, q$  are compatible. In fact, there is an  $r \leq p, q$  such that  $r \in Q_\alpha$  and  $l(r) = l(p) = l(q)$ .

Proof. By induction on  $\alpha$ . The only essential case is  $\alpha = \beta + 1$  and  $\beta \in \text{supp}(p) \cap \text{supp}(q)$ . We know that

$$p \upharpoonright \beta \Vdash p(\beta) = \langle u_\beta(p), x_\beta(p), w_\beta(p) \rangle, \quad q \upharpoonright \beta \Vdash q(\beta) = \langle u_\beta(q), x_\beta(q), w_\beta(q) \rangle$$

and  $x_\beta(p) = x_\beta(q) = x$ . By the inductive hypothesis there is an  $r_\beta \leq p \upharpoonright \beta, q \upharpoonright \beta$  such that  $r_\beta \in Q_\beta$  and  $l(r_\beta) = l(p) = l(q) = l$ . It follows that

$$r_\beta \Vdash "U \cap W =_* \emptyset"$$

for each  $U \in \bar{u}_\beta(p) \cup \bar{u}_\beta(q)$ ,  $W \in \bar{w}_\beta(p) \cup \bar{w}_\beta(q)$ . We have to prove that, for some  $\bar{r} \leq r_\beta$ ,  $\bar{r} \in Q_\beta$  and  $l(\bar{r}) = l$ ,  $\bar{r} \Vdash "U \cap W \subseteq l"$ , for then  $r \upharpoonright \beta = \bar{r}$  and

$$r(\beta) = \langle u_\beta(p) \cup u_\beta(q), x, w_\beta(p) \cup w_\beta(q) \rangle$$

define  $r$ , as required.

We prove this by induction on  $\beta$ . Let e.g.  $U = X(s)$  and  $W = a_\eta$ . If  $\max \text{dm}(s) < \eta$ , then

$$r_\beta \upharpoonright \eta + 1 \Vdash "X(s) \cap a_\eta =_* \emptyset",$$

and hence  $r_\beta \upharpoonright \eta \Vdash "X(s) \subseteq_* \{X(\tau) : \tau \in w_\eta(r_\beta)\}"$ . Define  $\bar{r}_\beta \upharpoonright \eta = r_\beta \upharpoonright \eta$ ,  $\bar{r}_\beta(\eta) = \langle x_\eta(r_\beta), w_\eta(r_\beta) \cup \{s\} \rangle$  and  $\bar{r}_\beta(\xi) = r_\beta(\xi)$  for  $\eta < \xi < \beta$ . Thus,  $\bar{r}_\beta \in Q_\beta$ ,  $\bar{r}_\beta \leq r_\beta$ ,  $l(\bar{r}_\beta) = l$  and  $\bar{r}_\beta \Vdash "X(s) \cap a_\eta \subseteq l"$ . If  $\max \text{dm}(s) > \eta$ , then for some  $\gamma \in \text{dm}(s)$

$$r_\beta \upharpoonright \gamma + 1 \Vdash "a_\eta \cap X_\gamma =_* \emptyset" \quad (\text{or } a_\eta \subseteq_* X_\gamma)$$

and hence

$$r_\beta | \gamma \Vdash "a_\eta \cap u =_* \emptyset" \quad \text{for each } u \in u_\gamma(r_\beta).$$

By the inductive hypothesis (applied for each  $u \in u_\gamma(r_\beta)$ ), there is an  $r_\gamma \leq r_\beta | \gamma$ ,  $r_\gamma \in Q_\gamma$  and  $l(r_\gamma) = l$ , such that

$$r_\gamma \Vdash "a_\eta \cap u \subseteq l" \quad \text{for each } u \in u_\gamma(r_\beta).$$

Hence, we may define  $\bar{r}_\beta | \gamma = r_\gamma$ ,  $\bar{r}_\beta(\xi) = r_\beta(\xi)$  for  $\gamma < \xi < \beta$  and  $\bar{r}_\beta(\gamma) = \langle u_\gamma(r_\beta), x_\gamma(r_\beta), w_\gamma(r_\beta) \cup \{\eta\} \rangle$ .

Consider yet the case  $U = X(s)$  and  $W = X(t)$ . If  $\sigma = s \cup t$  is not a function, then obviously  $r_\beta \Vdash "X(s) \cap X(t) = \emptyset"$ . Otherwise, let  $\gamma = \max \text{dm}(\sigma)$ . We have  $X(s) \cap X(t) = X(\sigma) = X(\sigma | \gamma) \cap \pm X_\gamma$  and hence

$$r_\beta | \gamma + 1 \Vdash "X(\sigma | \gamma) \cap X_\gamma =_* \emptyset" \quad (\text{or } X(\sigma | \gamma) \subseteq_* X_\gamma).$$

Thus,  $r_\beta | \gamma \Vdash "X(\sigma | \gamma) \cap u =_* \emptyset"$  for each  $u \in u_\gamma(r_\beta)$  and, by the inductive hypothesis, there is an  $r_\gamma \leq r_\beta | \gamma$ ,  $r_\gamma \in Q_\gamma$  and  $l(r_\gamma) = l$ , such that

$$r_\gamma \Vdash "X(\sigma | \gamma) \cap u \subseteq l" \quad \text{for each } u \in u_\gamma(r_\beta)$$

and hence  $\bar{r}_\beta | \gamma = r_\gamma$ ,  $\bar{r}_\beta(\gamma) = \langle u_\gamma(r_\beta), x_\gamma, w_\gamma(r_\beta) \cup \{\sigma | \gamma\} \rangle$  and  $\bar{r}_\beta(\xi) = r_\beta(\xi)$  for  $\gamma < \xi < \beta$  is as required. All the remaining cases are proved in a similar way.

Now, beginning with  $r_\beta$ , we apply the above to each pair  $U, W$  to obtain a decreasing sequence of corresponding  $\bar{r}_\beta$ 's. The last term  $\bar{r}$  has the required properties, which finishes the proof.

Now, it is easy to see that  $\mathbf{P}_\kappa$  has c.c.c. Indeed, suppose that  $\{q_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$  is an uncountable antichain. By Lemma 2 we may assume that  $\{q_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\} \subseteq Q_\kappa$ . We apply the  $\Delta$ -system lemma to  $\{\text{supp}(q_\alpha): \alpha < \omega_1\}$  and find a pair  $q_\alpha, q_\beta$  satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 3.

To obtain MA( $\sigma$ -linked) we force as described above at—say—all even stages, while at odd stages  $\alpha$  we force with  $\sigma$ -linked forcings of cardinality  $< \kappa$ . The proof that this combined iteration has c.c.c. is very similar to that above. For details see [B-F-Z]. In particular,  $P(c)$  holds in our model and hence each algebra of cardinality  $< c$  is representable (see [B-W], Theorem 2.5).

#### References

- [B-W] J. E. Baumgartner and M. Weese, *Partition algebras for almost-disjoint families*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 274 (1982), 619–630.  
 [B-F-Z] J. E. Baumgartner, R. Frankiewicz and P. Zbierski, *Embedding of Boolean algebras in  $P(\omega)/\text{fin}$* , Fund. Math. 136 (1990), 187–192.  
 [F-Z<sub>1</sub>] R. Frankiewicz and P. Zbierski, *Partitioner-representable algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 103 (1988), 926–928.

- [F-Z<sub>2</sub>] —, —, *On partitioner-representability of Boolean algebras*, Fund. Math. 135 (1990), 25–35.  
 [L] R. Laver, *Linear orders in  $(\omega)^\omega$  under eventual dominance*, in: Logic Colloquium 1978, Stud. Logic Foundations Math. 97, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1979, 299–302.

Ryszard Frankiewicz  
 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
 POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES  
 00-950 Warszawa, Poland

Paweł Zbierski  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW  
 00-913 Warszawa, Poland

Received 10 April 1990;  
 in revised form 1 March 1991