

- [7] K. Eda, *On a Boolean power of a torsionfree abelian group*, J. Algebra 82 (1983), 84–93.
- [8] — *On a Boolean power and a direct product of abelian groups*, Tsukuba J. Math. 6 (1982), 187–194.
- [9] — *Almost slender groups and Fuchs-44-groups*, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli 32 (1983), 131–135.
- [10] — *A generalized direct product of abelian groups*, J. Algebra 92 (1985), 33–43.
- [11] K. Eda and K. Hibino, *On Boolean powers of the group Z and (ω, ω) -weak distributivity*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 36 (1984), 619–628.
- [12] K. Eda and Y. Abe, *Compact cardinals and abelian groups*, Tsukuba J. Math. 11 (1987), 353–360.
- [13] K. Eda, T. Kiyosawa and H. Ohta, *N -compactness and its applications*, in *Topics in General Topology*, ed. by K. Morita – J. Nagata, North-Holland, Amsterdam–New York 1989.
- [14] K. Eda, *Cardinality restrictions of preradicals*, in *Abelian group theory, Proceedings of the Perth conference in 1987*, pp. 277–283, Contemp. Math. 87, A. M. S., 1989.
- [15] — *A characterization of \aleph_1 -free abelian groups and its application to the Chase radical*, Israel J. Math. 60 (1987), 22–30.
- [16] P. Eklof and A. Mekler, *Almost-free modules; Set-theoretic methods*, preprint.
- [17] T. H. Fay, E. P. Oxford and G. L. Walls, *Preradicals induced by homomorphisms, in Abelian group theory*, pp. 660–670, Springer LMN 1006, 1983.
- [18] L. Fuchs, *Infinite abelian groups*, Vol. 2, Academic Press, New York 1973.
- [19] — *Note on certain subgroups of products of infinite cyclic groups*, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli 14 (1970), 51–54.
- [20] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, New York–London 1960.
- [21] R. Goebel and B. Wald, *Wachstumstypen und schlanke Gruppen*, Symposia Mathematica 23 (1979), 201–239.
- [22] E. Hewitt, *Rings of real valued functions*, I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 64 (1948), 54–99.
- [23] M. Huber, *On reflexive modules and abelian groups*, J. Algebra 82 (1983), 469–487.
- [24] T. Jech, *Set theory*, Academic Press, New York 1978.
- [25] L. Lady, *Slender rings and modules*, Pacific J. Math. 49 (1973), 377–406.
- [26] A. Mader, *Groups and modules that are slender over their endomorphism rings*, in *Abelian groups and modules*, Proceedings of the Udine conference in 1984, 315–327, Springer-Verlag, Wien–New York 1984.
- [27] J. O’Neil, *On direct summands of R -modules of the form R^I* , Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli 35 (1986), 322–334.
- [28] J. Silver, *Some applications of model theory to set theory*, Ann. Math. Logic 3 (1971), 45–110.
- [29] R. Sikorski, *Boolean algebras*, Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg 1969.
- [30] R. M. Solovay and S. Tennenbaum, *Iterated Cohen extensions and Souslin’s problem*, Ann. Math. 94 (1971), 201–245.
- [31] B. Stenström, *Rings of quotients*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York 1975.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA
Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305
Japan

Received 10 March 1988;
in revised form 3 October 1988

On partitioner-representability of Boolean algebras

by

R. Frankiewicz (Wrocław) and P. Zbierski (Warszawa)

Abstract. It is proved that — consistently with the Martin Axiom — the power-set algebra $P(\omega_1)$ may not be partitioner-representable.

0. Baumgartner and Weese in [B–W] introduced the notion of partitioner-representability of Boolean algebras: if E is m. a. d. (a maximal, almost disjoint family of subsets of ω) then a set $A \subseteq \omega$ is called a *partitioner* of E if for each $e \in E$ either $e \subseteq {}^*A$ or $e \cap A = {}^* \emptyset$ (i.e. $e \setminus A$ or $e \cap A$, respectively is finite); the union, intersection and difference of partitioners is again a partitioner, and hence the family $\text{Pt}(E)$ of all the partitioners of E is a Boolean subfield of $P(\omega)$. A Boolean algebra is said to be *partitioner-representable* if for some m. a. d. E it is isomorphic to the factor algebra $\text{Pt}(E) \text{ mod } J$ where J is the ideal generated by fin (the finite sets) and E .

The finite sets and finite unions $e_1 \cup \dots \cup e_n$ (and their complements) are called *trivial partitioners*. Thus, $\text{Pt}(E) \text{ mod } J$ may be called the *algebra of non-trivial partitioners* of E .

The fundamental theorem in [B–W] (see also [F–Z₁]) says that — under CH (the continuum hypothesis) — each algebra of cardinality $\leq c = 2^\omega$ is partitioner-representable. A question arises if the same is true if CH is replaced by MA (Martin Axiom). In this note we prove that this is not the case:

THEOREM. *There is a generic extension of the constructible universe in which MA holds and $c = \aleph$, for a given regular $\aleph > \omega_1$, and the algebra $P(\omega_1)$ is not partitioner-representable.*

Originally, we had $c = \omega_2$ in our model.

We are grateful to the referee who pointed out how to get the more general case.

In [F–Z₁] it is proved that partitioner-representability of $P(\omega_1)$ implies the existence of Q -sets. From the theorem it follows that the converse is not true.

The idea of proof is, roughly, as follows. Extend $V = L$ (the constructible sets) via a finite support, c.c.c.-iteration of length \aleph and assume, for contradiction, that $P(\omega_1)$ is representable in $V[G]$. Each (ω_1, ω_1) -chain $C = \langle \{x_\alpha\}; \{y_\beta\} \rangle$, gives then rise to a species of a Hausdorff gap $H = \langle \{a_\alpha\}; \{b_\beta\} \rangle$, which — at a given stage of iteration — cannot be filled. Now, there are two forcing notions \mathcal{Q}

and E , connected with H . We force with \mathcal{Q} , which adjoins an uncountable antichain to E . Thus, in $V[G]$, E does not have c.c.c. On the other hand, the chain C can be filled in $P(\omega_1)$, which in turn implies that E must have c.c.c., a contradiction. A similar idea was used by Kunen and others, see also [F].

1. Suppose that $P(\omega_1)$ is partitioner-representable. Thus, we have an isomorphism

$$f: P(\omega_1) \rightarrow \text{Pt}(E) \text{ mod } J.$$

Each value $f(x)$ is an equivalence class mod J . If $A \in f(x)$, we shall write shortly

$$A \text{ ptx} \quad (A \text{ is a partitioner of } E \text{ corresponding to } x).$$

If $A \text{ ptx}$, $B \text{ pty}$ and $x \subseteq y$, then $A \subseteq B \text{ mod } J$, i.e. $A \setminus B \in J$. Thus

$$A \setminus B =_* \bigcup F, \quad \text{for some finite } F \subseteq E.$$

Similarly, if $x \cap y = \emptyset$, then $A \cap B \in J$, and hence

$$A \cap B =_* \bigcup F, \quad \text{for some finite } F \subseteq E.$$

Let us denote

$$E(A) = \{e \in E : e \subseteq_* A\}.$$

LEMMA 1. Assume that $P(\omega_1)$ is partitioner-representable on a m.a.d. E . If $A \text{ ptx}$ and x is infinite, then $E(A)$ has cardinality c .

Proof. Let us divide $x = x_\emptyset$ into two infinite parts $x_\emptyset = x_{\langle 0 \rangle} \cup x_{\langle 1 \rangle}$ and repeat division up to obtaining a binary tree

$$\{x_s : s \in \bigcup_n \{0, 1\}^n\}$$

such that

$$\text{if } s \subseteq t, \quad \text{then } x_s \supseteq x_t,$$

and

$$\text{if } s(i) \neq t(i), \quad \text{then } x_{s|_{i+1}} \cap x_{t|_{i+1}} = \emptyset.$$

Let us choose partitioners A_s : $A_s \text{ ptx}_s$ and $A_\emptyset = A$. We have

$$s \subseteq t \text{ implies } A_t \subseteq A_s \text{ mod } J$$

and

$$s(i) \neq t(i) \text{ implies } A_{s|_{i+1}} \cap A_{t|_{i+1}} = \emptyset \text{ mod } J.$$

Modifying the sets A_s by trivial partitioners (inductively, along the levels $\{0, 1\}^n$), we may assume that

$$s \subseteq t \text{ implies } A_t \subseteq A_s$$

and

$$s(i) \neq t(i) \text{ implies } A_{s|_{i+1}} \cap A_{t|_{i+1}} = \emptyset.$$

For each branch $g \in \{0, 1\}^\omega$ we choose an infinite set B_g such that

$$B_g \subseteq_* A_{g|_n}, \quad \text{for each } n < \omega.$$

Since E is maximal, there is an $e_g \in E$ such that $e_g \cap B_g$ is infinite. It follows that $e_g \subseteq_* A_{g|_n}$, for each $n < \omega$, since $A_{g|_n}$ are partitioners. In particular, for each g we have $e_g \subseteq_* A$, $e_{g_1} \subseteq_* A_{g_1|_n}$, $e_{g_2} \subseteq_* A_{g_2|_n}$ and hence $e_{g_1} \cap e_{g_2} \subseteq_* A_{g_1|_n} \cap A_{g_2|_n} = \emptyset$, which proves that all the e_g 's are different, which finishes the proof.

Now, we generalize the notion of a Hausdorff gap as follows. Let D be an almost disjoint family (not necessarily maximal) and let

$$H = \langle \{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \omega_1}; \{b_\beta\}_{\beta < \omega_1} \rangle$$

be a system of uncountable partitioners of D (i.e. the sets $D(a_\alpha)$, $D(b_\beta)$ are uncountable) satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $a_\alpha \cap b_\beta =_* \emptyset$, for all $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$ and $a_\alpha \cap b_\alpha = \emptyset$, for all $\alpha \leq \omega_1$;
- (2) $a_\alpha \subseteq_D a_\beta$ and $b_\alpha \subseteq_D b_\beta$, for all $\alpha \leq \beta$, where $a \subseteq_D b$ means that $D(a \setminus b)$ is a finite or countably infinite family.

We say that a set $S \subseteq \omega$ separates (or fills) the gap H if $a_\alpha \subseteq_* S$ and $b_\beta \cap S =_* \emptyset$, for all $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$.

More generally, we say that S D -separates H if $a_\alpha \subseteq_D S$ and $b_\beta \subseteq_D \omega \setminus S$, for all $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$.

There are two forcing notions, introduced by Kunen, associated with a gap H . The first one, denoted by E , consists of pairs $p = \langle s_p, t_p \rangle$, where s_p, t_p are finite functions from ω_1 into ω satisfying the property

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in \text{dm}(s_p)} a_\alpha \setminus s_p(\alpha) \cap \bigcup_{\beta \in \text{dm}(t_p)} b_\beta \setminus t_p(\beta) = \emptyset.$$

The ordering on E is defined thus

$$p \leq q \quad \text{iff} \quad s_p \supseteq s_q \text{ and } t_p \supseteq t_q.$$

LEMMA 2. If H can be filled, then E has c.c.c.

Proof. Suppose, on the contrary, that there is an uncountable antichain $\{p_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ in E . Using the Δ -system lemma, we may assume that $\max \text{dm}(s_{p_\xi}) < \min \text{dm}(s_{p_\eta})$, for $\xi < \eta$ and similarly — for $\text{dm}(t_p)$. If

$$k_\xi = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \text{dm}(s_{p_\xi})} a_\alpha \setminus s_{p_\xi}(\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad l_\xi = \bigcup_{\beta \in \text{dm}(t_{p_\xi})} b_\beta \setminus t_{p_\xi}(\beta)$$

then incompatibility means that the set

$$p_\xi * p_\eta = (k_\xi \cap l_\eta) \cup (l_\xi \cap k_\eta)$$

is nonempty, cf. [F-Z₂]. By assumption, there is a set S which separates H , and hence we can find an $m < \omega$ such that

$$k_\xi \setminus m \subseteq S \quad \text{and} \quad (S \setminus m) \cap l_\xi = \emptyset,$$

for $\xi \in X_0$, where $X_0 \subseteq \omega_1$ is uncountable. Let $\xi_0 = \min X_0$ and find an uncountable $X_1 \subseteq X_0$ such that $p_{\xi_0} * p_\eta$ is constant for $\eta \in X_1$. Again, if $\xi_1 = \min X_1$, then we can find an uncountable $X_2 \subseteq X_1$, such that $p_{\xi_1} * p_\eta$ is constant on X_2 etc. Thus, for each $n < \omega$ we have an uncountable X_n , such that if $\xi_n = \min X_n$, then the nonempty sets

$$p_{\xi_0} * p_{\xi_n}, \dots, p_{\xi_{n-1}} * p_{\xi_n}$$

are pairwise disjoint and lie below m , which is impossible and the proof is finished.

The other forcing, denoted by Q , consists of finite sets $q \subseteq \omega_1$ such that:

$$\text{for all } \alpha \neq \beta \in q, \quad a_\alpha \cap b_\beta \neq \emptyset \text{ or } a_\beta \cap b_\alpha \neq \emptyset.$$

Q is ordered by the reverse inclusion.

Each function $g: \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega_1$, with $g(\alpha) \geq \alpha$, determines a subgap $H(g)$ of H , where

$$H(g) = \langle \{a_\alpha \cap a_{g(\alpha)}\}; \{b_\beta \cap b_{g(\beta)}\} \rangle.$$

Let $Q(g)$ and $E(g)$ denote the forcings Q and E , respectively associated with $H(g)$. Finally, let Q^* be the finite support product:

$$Q^* = \prod_g Q(g).$$

LEMMA 3. *If no set D -separates H , then Q^* has the c.c.c. and for each $g \in V$:*

$$Q^* \Vdash E(g) \text{ has an uncountable antichain.}$$

Proof. For the countable chain condition, it is sufficient to prove that each finite subproduct

$$Q(g_1) \times \dots \times Q(g_n)$$

has this property. Assume, for contradiction, that

$$q^\xi = \langle q_1^\xi, \dots, q_n^\xi \rangle, \quad \xi < \omega_1,$$

is an uncountable antichain. Applying the Δ -system Lemma n times we may assume that

$$\max q_i^\xi < \min q_i^\eta, \quad \text{for } \xi < \eta \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since q^ξ, q^η are incompatible,

$$q^\xi \perp q^\eta, \quad \text{for } \xi \neq \eta,$$

we have that $q_i^\xi \perp q_i^\eta$, for some i and hence there are $\alpha \in q_i^\xi$ and $\beta \in q_i^\eta$ such that

$$(a_\alpha \cap a_{g_i(\alpha)}) \cap (b_\beta \cap b_{g_i(\beta)}) = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad (a_\beta \cap a_{g_i(\beta)}) \cap (b_\alpha \cap b_{g_i(\alpha)}) = \emptyset.$$

Thus, if

$$S_\xi = \bigcap \{a_\alpha \cap a_{g_i(\alpha)}: \alpha \in q_i^\xi \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

and

$$T_\eta = \bigcap \{b_\beta \cap b_{g_i(\beta)}: \beta \in q_i^\eta \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, n\},$$

then $S_\xi \cap T_\eta = \emptyset$, for $\xi \neq \eta$, and $S_\xi \cap T_\xi = \emptyset$ as well, since $a_\alpha \cap b_\alpha = \emptyset$, for each $\alpha < \omega_1$.

For $\alpha \leq \min q_1^\xi, \dots, \min q_n^\xi$ we have $a_\alpha \subseteq_D S_\xi$, and hence, if $S = \bigcup \{S_\xi: \xi < \omega_1\}$, then $a_\alpha \subseteq_D S$, for each $\alpha < \omega_1$. Symmetrically, if $T = \bigcup \{T_\eta: \eta < \omega_1\}$, then $b_\beta \subseteq_D T$, for each $\beta < \omega_1$ and since $S \cap T \neq \emptyset$, we see that the set S D -separates H , a contradiction.

In particular, each $Q(g)$ has c.c.c. and consequently we may assume that for each $q \in Q(g)$ the set

$$\{\alpha < \omega_1: g \cup \{\alpha\} \in Q(g)\}$$

is uncountable. Let $G \subseteq Q^*$ be a generic filter. The projection $G(g)$ onto g th coordinate is then generic in $Q(g)$ and the sets

$$\{g: \exists \beta [\beta \geq \alpha \text{ and } \beta \in g]\}$$

are dense. It follows that $G(g)$ contains uncountably many singletons $\{\alpha\}$. For each such α define $p_\alpha = \langle s_\alpha, t_\alpha \rangle \in E(g)$ as follows:

$$\text{dm}(s_\alpha) = \text{dm}(t_\alpha) = \{\alpha\} \quad \text{and} \quad s_\alpha(\alpha) = t_\alpha(\alpha) = 0.$$

Now, p_α and p_β are incompatible in $E(g)$, since $\{\alpha\}$ and $\{\beta\}$ are compatible in $Q(g)$ as elements of $G(g)$. Thus $\{p_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is an uncountable antichain and the proof is complete.

2. In this section we show how to construct a particular gap H from a "partial" representation of $P(\omega_1)$. It is more convenient to deal with $P(\omega_1 \times \omega_1)$, rather than with $P(\omega_1)$.

For an uncountable set $X \subseteq \omega_1$, let $(X)_\alpha$ denote its initial segment of order type α . Suppose that also $\omega_1 \setminus X$ is uncountable and define

$$x_\alpha = \bigcup \{\{\xi\} \times \omega_1: \xi \in (X)_\alpha\}, \quad y_\beta = \bigcup \{\{\eta\} \times \omega_1: \eta \in (\omega_1 \setminus X)_\beta\}.$$

Let $B(X)$ be the subalgebra containing the sets x_α, y_β , for $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$ and $\{\xi\} \times \omega_1$, all $\xi < \omega_1$.

DEFINITION. If D is an a.d. family, then a D -representation of an (ω_1, ω_1) -chain

$$k(X) = \langle \{x_\alpha\}; \{y_\beta\} \rangle$$

is a function $r: B(X) \rightarrow P(\omega)$ such that:

(1) For each $x \in B(X)$, $r(x)$ is a partitioner of D and for $x \neq \emptyset$ the set $D(r(x)) = \{e \in D: e \subseteq_* r(x)\}$ is uncountable.

(2) r is congruent with Boolean operations, in particular conditions $x \neq y$ and $x \cap y = \emptyset$ imply that the sets $D(r(x) \setminus r(y))$ and $D(r(x) \cap r(y))$ are finite respectively.

Let r be a given D -representation of $k(X)$. If $A_\alpha = r(x_\alpha)$, $B_\beta = r(y_\beta)$ then we have a corresponding system

$$K(X) = \langle \{A_\alpha\}; \{B_\beta\} \rangle$$

which need not be a D -gap, since the intersections $A_\alpha \cap B_\beta$ are, in general, infinite.

We say that a D -gap $H = \langle \{a_\alpha\}; \{b_\beta\} \rangle$ is *contained in* $K(X)$ if

$$A_\alpha \subseteq_D a_\alpha \subseteq_* A_\alpha, \quad a_\alpha \cap B_\beta =_* \emptyset$$

and

$$B_\beta \subseteq_D b_\beta \subseteq_* B_\beta, \quad b_\beta \cap A_\alpha =_* \emptyset$$

for all $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$; H is said to be *regular* if

$$a_\alpha \cap a_\gamma \subseteq_* a_\alpha \cap a_\beta \quad \text{and} \quad b_\alpha \cap b_\gamma \subseteq_* b_\alpha \cap b_\beta$$

for all $\alpha < \beta < \gamma < \omega_1$.

If there are enough Cohen reals and dominating functions, then we can always find a regular, unfilled D -gap H , contained in $K(X)$, for some X . Recall the dominating forcing D : the conditions are pairs $P = \langle s_p, F_p \rangle$, where s_p is a finite sequence of natural numbers and F_p is a finite set of functions $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$. The ordering on D is defined as follows: $p \leq q$ iff $s_p \supseteq s_q$ and $F_p \supseteq F_q$ and $s_p(i) > f(i)$, for each $i \in \text{dm}(s_p) \setminus \text{dm}(s_q)$ and $f \in F_q$.

If $G \subseteq D$ is a generic filter, then the function $g = \bigcup \{s_p: p \in G\}$ dominates each function f from the ground model: $g(i) > f(i)$, for all but finitely many i 's. Moreover, D always has the c.c.c.

LEMMA 4. Let $D \in V$ and $P_\gamma = \sum_{\alpha < \gamma} P_\alpha$, where $\text{cf}(\gamma) = \omega_1$, be a finite support iteration such that each P_α has the c.c.c. and for some cofinal in γ sequences $\langle \alpha_\xi: \xi < \omega_1 \rangle$, $\langle \beta_\xi: \xi < \omega_1 \rangle$ we have $P_{\alpha_\xi+1} = P_{\alpha_\xi} * C$ (the Cohen forcing), and $P_{\beta_\xi+1} = P_{\beta_\xi} * D$. Then, in $V[G]$, there is an X such that for an arbitrary D -representation r of $k(X)$ there is a regular, D -unfilled gap H contained in $K(X)$.

Proof. Let c_ξ be the Cohen real added at the stage $\alpha_\xi+1$. Define $X \subseteq \omega_1$ as the Cohen subset determined by the sequence $\langle c_\xi: \xi < \omega_1 \rangle$:

$$X = \{\omega \cdot \xi + i: c_\xi(i) = 1\}.$$

Thus, $X \in V[G]$ but, for no $\alpha < \gamma$, X is in the submodel $V[G_\alpha]$. Consequently, any D -gap

$$H = \langle \{a_\alpha\}; \{b_\beta\} \rangle$$

contained in $K(X)$ must be D -unfilled.

Indeed, suppose that a set T D -separates H and let $C_\xi = r(\{\xi\} + \omega_1)$. Then we have that $\xi \in X$ implies $C_\xi \subseteq_D a_\alpha$ for some α and

$$\xi \notin X \text{ implies } C_\xi \subseteq_D b_\beta, \text{ for some } \beta.$$

It follows that

$$X = \{\xi < \omega_1: C_\xi \subseteq_D T\},$$

and hence $X \in V[G_\alpha]$, for some $\alpha < \gamma$, which is impossible.

The gap H will be defined inductively. Assume that we have already sets a_ξ, b_ξ , for $\xi < \alpha$ and define a_α, b_α as follows. The family

$$D_\xi = \{e \in D: \exists \eta[\xi < \eta < \alpha \text{ and } e \subseteq_* a_\xi \setminus a_\eta]\}$$

is at most countable, since $a_\xi \subseteq_D a_\eta$. Using a dominating function, we can find a partitioner S_ξ of D such that

$$a_\xi \setminus a_\eta \subseteq_* S_\xi, \quad \text{for each } \xi < \eta < \alpha$$

and

$$e \cap S_\xi =_* \emptyset, \quad \text{for each } e \in D \setminus D_\xi$$

in a usual way: the family

$$R_\xi = \{a_\xi \cap (a_\eta \setminus a_{\eta+1}): \xi \leq \eta < \alpha\}$$

is disjoint and countable. Changing its elements, if necessary, on a finite set, we may assume that $\bigcup R_\xi = \omega$. Choose a 1-1 onto function $j: \omega \rightarrow \omega \times \omega$ such that the images of the elements of R_ξ are the vertical axes $\{n\} \times \omega$. Then, the sets $j[e]$ for $e \in D \setminus D_\xi$ are finite on each axis, and hence the functions

$$f_\xi(n) = \max \{j[e] \cap (\{n\} \times \omega)\}$$

are well defined. All this takes place in some submodel $V[G_\beta]$ and therefore we have a function g dominating each f_ξ . Now, if

$$F = \langle \langle n, i \rangle: i \leq g(n) \rangle$$

then $S_\xi = \omega \setminus j^{-1}[F]$ is as required.

In a similar way we find an S such that

$$S_\xi \subseteq_* S, \quad \text{for each } \xi < \alpha$$

and

$$e \cap S =_* \emptyset, \quad \text{for each } e \in D \setminus \bigcup_{\xi < \alpha} D_\xi.$$

Finally, let

$$D_\alpha = \{e \in D: \exists \eta < \alpha [e \subseteq_* A_\alpha \cap B_\eta]\}.$$

There is a partitioner T such that

$$A_\alpha \cap B_\eta \subseteq_* T, \quad \text{for each } \eta < \alpha$$

and

$$e \cap T =_* \emptyset, \quad \text{for each } e \in D \setminus D_\alpha.$$

It is easy to see that $a_\alpha = A_\alpha \setminus (S \cup T)$ is as required. The set b_α is defined symmetrically and the proof is complete.

3. Let us assume that $P(\omega_1)$ is partitioner-representable on some m.a.d. E .

If $\langle \{x_\alpha\}; \{y_\beta\} \rangle$ is an (ω_1, ω_1) -chain in $P(\omega_1)$, i.e. the sequences $\{x_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$, $\{y_\beta: \beta < \omega_1\}$ are strictly increasing and $x_\alpha \cap y_\beta = \emptyset$, for all $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$, then we can choose a corresponding system of partitioners

$$K = \langle \{A_\alpha\}; \{B_\beta\} \rangle$$

and since there are no gaps in $P(\omega_1)$, there is a partitioner S (e.g. S corresponds to the union of the x_α 's), such that

$$A_\alpha \setminus S = \ast \cup U_\alpha, \quad \text{for some finite } U_\alpha \subseteq E$$

and

$$B_\beta \cap S = \ast \cup W_\beta, \quad \text{for some finite } W_\beta \subseteq E.$$

LEMMA 5. *In the notation as above, there are finite sets $U, W \subseteq E$ and, for each $\alpha < \omega_1$, finite sets $u_\alpha, w_\alpha \subseteq \omega_1$, with $\alpha = \min u_\alpha = \min w_\alpha$, and such that*

$$\bigcap_{\xi \in u_\alpha} A_\xi \subseteq \ast S \cup U, \quad \text{for each } \alpha < \omega_1$$

and

$$\bigcap_{\eta \in w_\beta} B_\eta \cap S \subseteq \ast \cup W, \quad \text{for each } \beta < \omega_1$$

Proof. Each intersection

$$p(\alpha) = \bigcap \{U_\beta: \alpha \leq \beta < \omega_1\}$$

reduces to a finite one

$$p(\alpha) = U_\alpha \cap U_{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{\alpha_n}$$

and we take $u_\alpha = \{\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. On the other hand, since the function $p(\alpha)$ is non-decreasing and its values are finite sets, there can be only finitely many jumps, and hence for some $\alpha_n < \omega_1$ we have

$$p(\alpha) \subseteq p(\alpha_n), \quad \text{for each } \alpha < \omega_1.$$

Define $U = p(\alpha_n)$. Now, since the elements of E are almost disjoint, we have

$$\bigcap_{\xi \in u_\alpha} A_\xi \setminus S = \ast \bigcap_{\xi \in u_\alpha} W U_\xi = \ast \bigcup_{\xi \in u_\alpha} U_\xi = \ast \cup p(\alpha) \subseteq \ast W p(\alpha_n) = \ast \cup U$$

which proves the first part of the lemma.

The sets W and w_β are defined in a similar way.

Consider now a regular D -gap

$$H = \langle \{a_\alpha\}; \{b_\beta\} \rangle$$

contained in K . Then, since

$$a_\alpha \cap b_\beta = \ast \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad b_\beta \cap a_\alpha = \ast \emptyset$$

for all $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$, we obtain

$$\bigcap_{\xi \in u_\alpha} a_\xi \cap \bigcup U = \ast \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcap_{\eta \in w_\beta} b_\eta \cap \bigcup W = \ast \emptyset$$

for all $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$.

Thus, since U and W are disjoint, we infer that the partitioner $T = S \cup U \setminus \cup W$ separates the subgap

$$\langle \{ \bigcap_{\xi \in u_\alpha} a_\xi \}; \{ \bigcap_{\eta \in w_\beta} b_\eta \} \rangle.$$

The regularity property implies

$$\bigcap_{\xi \in u_\alpha} a_\xi = a_\alpha \cap a_{f_1(\alpha)}, \quad \text{where } f_1(\alpha) = \max u_\alpha$$

and

$$\bigcap_{\eta \in w_\beta} b_\eta = b_\beta \cap b_{f_2(\beta)}, \quad \text{where } f_2(\beta) = \max w_\beta.$$

If $f = \max \{f_1, f_2\}$, then $a_\alpha \cap a_{f(\alpha)}$ and $b_\beta \cap b_{f(\beta)}$ are even smaller and hence we have the following.

COROLLARY. *If $P(\omega_1)$ is partitioner-representable and K, H are as above, then there is an $f: \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega_1$, with $f(\alpha) \geq \alpha$, such that the subgap*

$$H(f) = \langle \{a_\alpha \cap a_{f(\alpha)}\}; \{b_\beta \cap b_{f(\beta)}\} \rangle$$

can be filled.

4. Now, using the results of the preceding section, we can finish the proof of our theorem.

In the ground model V (the constructible universe), we fix a regular cardinal $\kappa > \omega_1$ and write $\text{HC}(\kappa) =$ the sets hereditarily of cardinality $< \kappa$. Then, we have

$$\text{HC}(\kappa) = \bigcup \{\text{HC}_\alpha: \alpha < \kappa\}$$

where $\text{HC}_\alpha = \{x \in \text{HC}(\kappa): \text{rank } x < \alpha\}$.

We shall make use of the following version of Diamond: there is a sequence

$$\{T_\alpha: \alpha < \kappa \text{ and } \text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega_1\}$$

such that for each $F \subseteq \text{HC}(\kappa)$, the set

$$\{\alpha < \kappa: F \cap \text{HC}_\alpha = T_\alpha\}$$

is stationary.

For each $\alpha < \kappa$, with $\text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega_1$ we choose a cofinal in α sequence

$$\Gamma_\alpha = \{h_\alpha(\xi): \xi < \omega_1\} \in V$$

such that $\text{cf}(h_\alpha(\xi)) = \omega$, for all $\xi < \omega_1$.

Let us define a finite support iteration $\mathbf{P} = \sum_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathbf{P}_\alpha$, of length κ (forcing notions and forcing names for small sets are encoded as elements or subsets of $\text{HC}(\kappa)$), as follows.

Direct limit are taken at all limit stages and

(1) if $\text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega$, then we take

$$P_{\alpha+1} = P_\alpha * C \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\alpha+2} = P_{\alpha+1} * D;$$

(2) if $\text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega_1$ and T_α is a P_α -name for a p.o. set and

$$P_\alpha \Vdash T_\alpha \text{ has c.c.c.}$$

then we take $P_{\alpha+1} = P_\alpha * T_\alpha$;

(3) if $\text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega_1$ and T_α is a P_α -name such that for some D , $P_\alpha \Vdash T_\alpha$ is a D -representation of $k(X_\alpha)$, where X_α is a Cohen set produced by Cohen reals added at stages $h_\alpha(\xi)+1$, then there is a P_α -name Q_α for

$$Q^* = \prod \{Q(g) : g \in V\}$$

where Q is associated with some D -unfilled gap H contained in $K(X_\alpha)$. We take $P_{\alpha+1} = P_\alpha * Q_\alpha$.

In all the remaining cases let $P_{\alpha+1} = P_\alpha$.

Thus, by Lemma 3, each P_α and P have the c.c.c.

Let $G \subseteq P$ be a generic filter. Thus, in $V[G]$ the cardinality of the continuum is \aleph and Martin Axiom holds, by clause 2.

Let us suppose that $P(\omega_1)$ is partitioner-representable on a m.a.d. E and derive a contradiction. First, let us fix partitioners C_ξ corresponding to sets $\{\xi\} \times \omega_1$, for $\xi < \omega_1$. By Lemma 1 each family

$$E(C_\xi) = \{e \in E : e \subseteq {}^* C_\xi\}$$

has a subfamily E_ξ , with $\text{card} E_\xi = \omega_1$.

If $D = \bigcup \{E_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$, then both $\{C_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ and D belong to a submodel $V[G_\alpha] \subseteq V[G]$ and w.l.o.g. we may assume that $V[G_\alpha] = V$.

For each $\gamma < \aleph$, with $\text{cf}(\gamma) = \omega_1$, we have a cofinal Cohen set $X_\gamma \in V[G_\gamma]$ and the corresponding chain $k(X_\gamma) = \langle \{x_\alpha(\gamma)\} : \{y_\beta(\gamma)\} \rangle$. Let B and B_γ denote subalgebras of $P(\omega_1 \times \omega_1)$ generated by $\{k(X_\gamma) : \gamma < \aleph\}$ (i.e. by $x_\alpha(\gamma)$, $y_\beta(\gamma)$ for $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$ and $\gamma < \aleph$), and $\{k(X_\alpha) : \alpha \leq \gamma\}$, respectively. Thus, $B_\gamma = B \cap V[G_\gamma]$. Note that $\text{card} B_\gamma = \omega_1$, for $\gamma < \omega_2$. Define a function $r : B \rightarrow P(\omega)$ so that $r(x)$ is a partitioner of E corresponding to x and $r(\{\xi\} \times \omega_1) = C_\xi$, for $\xi < \omega_1$, and choose a nice name $r \in \text{HC}(\aleph)$ for r . If $r_\gamma = r \cap V[G_\gamma]$, then standard reasonings show that the set

$$N_1 = \{\gamma < \aleph : \text{cf}(\gamma) = \omega_1 \text{ and } r_\gamma \in V[G_\gamma]\}$$

is normal, i.e. it is unbounded in \aleph and closed under limits of cofinality ω_1 .

Similarly, if $r|\gamma$ is the part of r in $V^{(P_\gamma)}$, then the set

$$N_2 = \{\gamma < \aleph : \text{cf}(\gamma) = \omega_1 \text{ and } r|\gamma = r \cap \text{HC}_\gamma\}$$

is normal, and hence so is $N = N_1 \cap N_2$.

By Diamond, N intersects the set $\{\gamma < \aleph : \text{cf}(\gamma) = \gamma \text{ and } r \cap \text{HC}(\aleph) = T_\gamma\}$,

and hence there are arbitrarily large $\gamma < \aleph$, with $\text{cf}(\gamma) = \omega_1$, for which T_γ is a P_γ -name for $r_\gamma \in V[G_\gamma]$ which is a D -representation of $k(X_\gamma)$ in $V[G_\gamma]$. By Lemma 4, there is a D -unfilled, regular gap H contained in $K(X_\gamma)$ and we have forced with Q_H^* , associated with such an H , at this stage of iteration. By Lemma 3 and since P has the c.c.c., each $E_H(g)$ has an uncountable antichain in $V[G]$.

On the other hand, Corollary of Section 3 shows that there is a function $f \in V[G]$ and a set S which separates $H(f)$ in $V[G]$. Since P has the c.c.c., there is a $g \in V$, with $g(\alpha) \geq f(\alpha)$, for each $\alpha < \omega_1$. Now, $H(g)$ is even smaller, and hence can be separated as well. By Lemma 2, $E_H(g)$ has the c.c.c., a contradiction.

References

- [B-W] J. Baumgartner and M. Weese, *Partition algebras for almost disjoint sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 274 (1982), 619-630.
- [F] R. Frankiewicz, *Some remarks on embeddings of Boolean algebras and topological spaces*, II, Fund. Math. 126 (1985), 63-67.
- [F-Z₁] R. Frankiewicz and P. Zbierski, *On partitioner-representable algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 103 (1988), 173-180.
- [F-Z₂] — — *Strongly discrete subsets in ω^** , Fund. Math. 129 (1988), 173-180.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
Śniadeckich 8
00-950 Warszawa, Poland
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
WARSAW UNIVERSITY
PKiN
00-901 Warszawa, Poland

Received 19 April 1988;
in revised form 5 January 1989