

## A note on a paper of J. A. Guthrie and M. Henry

by

Angel Gutiérrez and Salvador Romaguera (Valencia)

**Abstract.** In a recent paper, J. A. Guthrie and M. Henry assert that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of continuous functions from a space  $X$  into  $[0, 1]$  whose cozero sets form a hereditarily closure-preserving family, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is relatively complete. Here we show that this result is not correct in general, but it is true when every point in the space is a  $G_\delta$  set.

In this paper we suppose that regular spaces are  $T_1$ , and  $R$  will denote the set of real numbers with the usual topology.

In [1], Burke, Engelking and Lutzer prove the following generalization of the Nagata–Smirnov’s theorem:

**THEOREM A.** *A regular space is metrizable if, and only if, it has a  $\sigma$ -hereditarily closure-preserving ( $\sigma$ -HCP) base.*

In [2], Guthrie and Henry deduce Theorem A from these results:

**THEOREM B.** *A topological space is pseudometrizable if, and only if, it has the weak topology induced by a  $\sigma$ -relatively complete collection.*

**THEOREM C.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of continuous functions from a space  $X$  into  $[0, 1]$ . If the cozero sets of the functions in  $\mathcal{F}$  form a HCP collection, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is relatively complete.*

The example given by Burke, Engelking and Lutzer in [1], Example 8, proves that Theorem C is not correct because if for every  $H_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}$  we define

$$f_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } x \in H_\alpha, \\ 0 & \text{when } x \in X - H_\alpha \end{cases}$$

then the family  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1\}$  verifies the hypothesis of Theorem C but, obviously, it is not relatively complete.

However, we have the following result:

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_i, i \in I\}$  be a family of continuous functions from a space  $X$  into  $[0, 1]$ , whose cozero sets form a HCP collection. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is relatively complete if each point in  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$  set.*

Proof. Let  $J \subset I$ . Then  $\sup\{f_j, j \in J\}$  is continuous (see the first part of [2] Theorem 6).

Let  $f = \inf\{f_j, j \in J\}$  and let  $U_j$  be the cozero set of  $f_j$ . Clearly,  $f(x)$  is defined for each  $x \in X$ . If  $f(x) = 0$ , it is easily verified that  $f$  is continuous at  $x$ . If  $f(x) \neq 0$  then  $x \in \bigcap \{U_j, j \in J\}$ . We can put  $\{x\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$  where every  $V_n$  is open. Well-order  $J$  and let

$$H_j = \begin{cases} U_j \cap V_j & \text{when } j < \omega_0 \\ U_j & \text{when } j \geq \omega_0 \end{cases}$$

By using the technique of [1], Lemma 4, we prove that  $\bigcap [H_j, j \in J] = \{x\}$  is open. Consequently,  $f$  is continuous at the isolated point  $x$ . Then  $f$  is continuous in  $X$  and the proof is complete.

Now, Theorem A is an immediate consequence of Theorem B and Proposition 1.

The authors are indebted to Dr. M. López-Pellicer for his many valuable suggestions.

#### References

- [1] D. Burke, R. Engelking and D. Lutzer, *Hereditarily closure-preserving collections and metrization*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1975), 483-488.
- [2] J. A. Guthrie and M. Henry, *Metrization, paracompactness and real-valued functions*, II, Fund. Math. 104 (1979), 13-20.

DPTO. DE DIDÁCTICA DE LA MAT.  
 E.U. de Profesorado de E.G.B.  
 Alcalde Reig, 8  
 Valencia — 46006  
 Spain

DPTO. DE MATEMÁTICAS II  
 E.T.S.I. de Caminos, C.P.  
 Universidad Politécnica  
 Camino de Vera  
 Valencia — 460022  
 Spain

Received 23 May 1983;  
 in revised form 20 June 1983

