

References

- [B] K. Borsuk, *Theory of Shape*, Monografie Matematyczne, Tom 59, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa 1975.
- [C] M. M. Cohen, *A Course in Simple Homotopy Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics #10, Springer-Verlag 1973.
- [H] S. T. Hu, *Homotopy Theory*, Academic Press, New York 1959.
- [Hd] J. F. P. Hudson, *Piecewise Linear Topology*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York and Amsterdam 1969.
- [I-S] I. Ivanšić and R. Sher, *A complement theorem for continua in a manifold*, Topology Proceedings 4 (1979), 437-452.
- [M-S] S. Mardešić and J. Segal, *Shapes of compacta and ANR-systems*, Fund. Math. 72 (1971), pp. 41-59.
- [S] R. B. Sher, *A complement theorem for shape concordant compacta*, preprint.
- [R] T. B. Rushing, *Topological Embedding*, Academic Press #52, New York 1973.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA
University, AL 35486

Received 1 February 1984

L-space without any uncountable 0-dimensional subspace

by

K. Ciesielski (Warszawa)

Abstract. J. Roitman in [Ro] posed the following question: "Under CH is every left separated *L*-space of type ω_1 0-dimensional?". The paper contains a negative answer to this question. The construction gives also (under the assumption that there exists a cardinal κ s.t. $2^\kappa = \kappa^+$) an answer to two questions of Arkhangel'skiĭ [Ar; Problems 11 and 12, p. 81]: "Is every regular left separated space 0-dimensional?" and "Does every completely regular space have a dense 0-dimensional subspace?".

Terminology and notation. Our terminology related to topology and set theory follows [En1] and [Ku] respectively.

A topological space Z is called left (right) separated if there is a well-ordering $<$ of Z s.t. every initial segment of Z under $<$ is closed (open).

$$hL(X) = \sup\{|Z|: Z \subset X \text{ is right separated}\} + \omega.$$

By an *L*-space we will understand a regular space which is hereditarily Lindelöf and not hereditarily separable. Let us recall that a space X is hereditarily Lindelöf if and only if $hL(X) = \omega$.

Let $H(A, B)$ be the set of all finite functions from a set A into a set B and let \mathcal{B} be a standard countable basis for the unit interval $I = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ not containing the empty set. For $s \in H(A, \mathcal{B})$ the standard basic set in I^A given by s is denoted by $[s]$, i.e., $[s] = \bigcap \{I^{A \setminus \{a\}} \times (s(a))^{(a)} : a \in \text{dom } s\}$.

For a family \mathcal{F} of subsets of a set X let $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ denote the topology on X determined by \mathcal{F} as a subbasis.

Auxiliary lemmas. The first lemma is a generalization of the Hurewicz Theorem [En2; Thm. 1.8.20, p. 81], that the Hilbert cube I^ω is not the countable sum of 0-dimensional subspaces.

Let \mathcal{D} be the family of all sets of the form $F \times I^\omega \setminus A$ where $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ and F is a 0-dimensional subset of I^A .

LEMMA 1. *If $D_n \in \mathcal{D}$ for $n < \omega$ then $I^\omega \neq \bigcup \{D_n : n < \omega\}$.*

Proof. Let $D_n = F_n \times I^\omega \setminus A_n$ for $n < \omega$ where F_n is a 0-dimensional subset of I^{A_n} . We can choose a one-to-one sequence $\langle k_n : n < \omega \rangle$ s.t. $k_n \in A_n$.

Let $S_n \subset I^{A_n}$ be a partition between the faces $I^{A_n \setminus \{k_n\}} \times \{i\}^{(k_n)}$ ($i = 0, 1$) s.t. $S_n \cap F_n = \emptyset$ for $n < \omega$ and let $L_n = S_n \times I^\omega \setminus A_n$. Hence L_n is a partition between $W_n^i = I^\omega \setminus \{k_n\} \times \{i\}^{(k_n)}$ ($i = 0, 1$) s.t. $L_n \cap D_n = \emptyset$.

For $x \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ let $I(x) = \{f \in I^\omega : (\forall k < \omega)[(\forall n \in x)(k \neq k_n) \rightarrow f(k) = 0]\}$. The intersection $L_n \cap I(x)$ is a partition in $I(x)$ between $W_n^i \cap I(x)$ ($i = 0, 1$) for $n \in x$. Hence (see [En2; Thm. 1.8.1, p. 72]) $\bigcap \{L_n : n \in x\} \cap \{L_n \cap I(x) : n \in x\} \neq \emptyset$. So, the family $\{L_n : n < \omega\}$ of closed subsets of I^ω has the finite intersection property. The space I^ω being compact, $\bigcap \{L_n : n < \omega\} \neq \emptyset$. But

$$\bigcap \{L_n : n < \omega\} \subset I^\omega \setminus \bigcup \{D_n : n < \omega\},$$

As an easy corollary to the above lemma we obtain the following

LEMMA 2. Let $K = \prod_{n < \omega} J_n \subset I^\omega$ s.t. $J_n = \langle a_n, b_n \rangle$ where $0 \leq a_n < b_n \leq 1$ for $n < \omega$ and let $D_n \in \mathcal{D}$ for $n < \omega$. Then

$$K \setminus \bigcup \{D_n : n < \omega\} \neq \emptyset.$$

The next lemma is basic in our construction. We use the following notation:

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ \bigcup_{n < \omega} [e_n] : e_n \in H(\omega, \mathcal{B}) \text{ for } n < \omega \text{ and } \text{dom } e_n \cap \text{dom } e_k = \emptyset \text{ for } n < k < \omega \right\}.$$

LEMMA 3. Let $D_n \in \mathcal{D}$ and $E_n \in \mathcal{E}$ for $n < \omega$. Then

$$\bigcap \{E_n : n < \omega\} \setminus \bigcup \{D_n : n < \omega\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Let $E_n = \bigcup_{k < \omega} [e_k^n]$ where $\text{dom } e_l^n \cap \text{dom } e_k^n = \emptyset$ for $k < l < \omega$ and $n < \omega$.

Let $\langle k_n : n < \omega \rangle$ be a sequence s.t.

$$(*) \quad \text{dom } e_{k_n}^n \cap \text{dom } e_{k_m}^m = \emptyset \quad \text{for } n < m < \omega.$$

Then

$$L = \bigcap_{n < \omega} [e_{k_n}^n] \subset \bigcap_{n < \omega} \left(\bigcup_{k < \omega} [e_k^n] \right) = \bigcap_{n < \omega} E_n$$

and by (*) there is a set $K \subset L$ as in the assumption of lemma 2. Hence

$$\bigcap \{E_n : n < \omega\} \setminus \bigcup \{D_n : n < \omega\} \neq \emptyset.$$

LEMMA 4. Let Y be a topological space with basis \mathcal{B} , Z a 0-dimensional subspace of Y , s.t. $hL(Z) = \omega$ and \mathcal{B}_0 a countable family of open sets. Then there exists a $\mathcal{B}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}$ s.t. $|\mathcal{B}_1| \leq \omega$ and

$$(*) \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{B}_0 \forall z \in U \cap Z \exists V [z \in V \subset U \ \& \ V \cap Z \text{ is clopen in } (Z, \tau(\mathcal{B}_1))].$$

Proof. Let $U \in \mathcal{B}_0$ and $z \in U \cap Z$. Then by 0-dimensionality of Z there exist open sets $V_1(z, U)$ and $V_2(z, U)$ s.t.

$$V_1(z, U) \cap V_2(z, U) = \emptyset, \quad Z \subset V_1(z, U) \cup V_2(z, U) \quad z \in V_1(z, U) \subset U$$

and

$$Z \setminus U \subset V_2(z, U).$$

Moreover, by $hL(Z) = \omega$, we can choose $V_1(z, U)$ and $V_2(z, U)$ s.t. $V_1(z, U), V_2(z, U) \in \tau(\mathcal{B}(z, U))$, for some countable $\mathcal{B}(z, U) \subset \mathcal{B}$. Thus $V_1(z, U) \cap Z$ is clopen in $(Z, \tau(\mathcal{B}(z, U)))$.

Let $Z(U) \in [Z]^{<\omega}$ be s.t. $U \cap Z \subset \bigcup \{V_1(z, U) : z \in Z(U)\}$ and let $\mathcal{B}(U) = \bigcup \{\mathcal{B}(z, U) : z \in Z(U)\}$. Then

$$\forall z \in U \cap Z \exists V [z \in V \subset U \ \& \ V \cap Z \text{ is clopen in } (Z, \tau(\mathcal{B}(U)))].$$

Hence $\mathcal{B}_1 = \bigcup \{\mathcal{B}(U) : U \in \mathcal{B}_0\}$ satisfies (*).

The example. The basic idea of our construction is taken from Hurewicz's example (under CH) of an uncountable space $X \subset I^\omega$ without an uncountable 0-dimensional subspace (see [En2; Example 1.8.21, p. 82]) and from the construction of an HFC-set from CH (see [Ro]).

THEOREM. Let us assume CH. Then there exists a left separated space $X \subset I^{\omega_1}$ of power ω_1 s.t. $hL(X) = \omega$ and without any uncountable 0-dimensional subspace.

Proof. Let \mathcal{D} be a family of all sets of the form $G \times I^{\omega_1 \setminus \alpha} \subset I^{\omega_1}$ where $\omega \leq \alpha < \omega_1$ and G is a 0-dimensional G_δ -set in I^ω . Then $|\mathcal{D}| = 2^\omega = \omega_1$. So, let $\langle D_\zeta : \omega \leq \zeta < \omega_1 \rangle$ be an enumeration of \mathcal{D} s.t. if $D_\zeta = G \times I^{\omega_1 \setminus \alpha}$ where G is 0-dimensional in I^ω then $\alpha \leq \zeta$. Moreover, let \mathcal{E} be the family of all sets of the form $\bigcup \{[e_n] : n < \omega\}$ where $e_n \in H(\omega_1, \mathcal{B})$ for $n < \omega$ and $\text{dom } e_n \cap \text{dom } e_k = \emptyset$ for $n < k < \omega$. Then $|\mathcal{E}| = 2^\omega = \omega_1$. So, let $\langle E_\zeta : \omega \leq \zeta < \omega_1 \rangle$ be an enumeration of \mathcal{E} s.t. if $E_\zeta = \bigcup \{[e_n] : n < \omega\}$ where $\text{dom } e_n \cap \text{dom } e_k = \emptyset$ for $n < k < \omega$ then $\text{dom } e_n \subset \zeta$ for $n < \omega$.

We define $X = \{f_\zeta : \omega \leq \zeta < \omega_1\} \subset I^{\omega_1}$ s.t.

$$(*) \quad f_\zeta(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \zeta = \alpha, \\ 0 & \text{for } \zeta < \alpha \end{cases}$$

and we choose $f_\zeta \upharpoonright \zeta$ s.t.

$$(**) \quad f_\zeta \upharpoonright \zeta \in p_\zeta(\bigcap \{E_\xi : \omega \leq \xi < \zeta\} \setminus \bigcup \{D_\xi : \omega \leq \xi < \zeta\})$$

where $p_\zeta : I^{\omega_1} \rightarrow I^\zeta$ is a projection. The point as in (**) can be chosen by Lemma 3 and the fact that I^ζ and I^ω are homeomorphic.

The properties of the space X.

(1) X is left separated.

Proof. Let $1 \in W \in \mathcal{B}$, $0 \notin W$ and $\varepsilon_\eta = \{\langle \eta, W \rangle\} \in H(\omega_1, \mathcal{B})$. Then $U_\zeta = \bigcup \{[\varepsilon_\eta] : \xi \leq \eta < \omega_1\}$ is open and $U_\zeta \cap X = \{f_\eta : \xi \leq \eta < \omega_1\}$.

(2) $hL(X) = \omega$.

Proof. Let us assume that $hL(X) > \omega$. Then there exists a sequence $\langle \varepsilon_\xi : \xi < \omega_1 \rangle$ of elements of $H(\omega_1, \mathcal{B})$ s.t.

$$(o) \quad X \cap [\varepsilon_\xi] \setminus \bigcup_{\eta < \xi} [\varepsilon_\eta] \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for } \xi < \omega_1.$$

By the Δ -lemma [Ku; Thm. 1.6, Ch. II], we can assume that $\text{dom } \varepsilon_\eta \cap \text{dom } \varepsilon_\xi = \emptyset$ for $\eta < \xi < \omega_1$. Hence $E = \bigcup \{[\varepsilon_n] : n < \omega\} \in \mathcal{E}$. Let $E = E_\zeta$. Then, by (**),

$$\{f_\xi : \zeta \leq \xi < \omega_1\} \subset E_\zeta = \bigcup \{[\varepsilon_n] : n < \omega\}.$$

So, there exists a $\xi < \omega_1$ s.t.

$$X \cap [\varepsilon_\xi] \setminus \bigcup_{\eta < \xi} [\varepsilon_\eta] = \emptyset,$$

contradicting (o).

(3) If $Z \subset X$ is 0-dimensional then $|Z| \leq \omega$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}_\lambda = \{[\varepsilon] \subset I^{\omega_1} : \varepsilon \in H(\lambda, \mathcal{B})\}$ for $\lambda < \omega_1$.

By Lemma 4 we can define an increasing sequence $\langle \lambda_n \in \omega_1 : n < \omega \rangle$ s.t. $\lambda_0 = \omega$ and, for $n < \omega$,

$$\forall U \in \mathcal{B}_{\lambda_n} \forall z \in U \cap Z \exists V [z \in V \subset U \ \& \ V \cap Z \text{ is closed in } (Z, \tau(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda_{n+1}}))].$$

Hence, if $\lambda = \bigcup \{\lambda_n : n < \omega\} < \omega_1$ then Z is 0-dimensional in topology $\tau(\mathcal{B}_\lambda)$, i.e., $p_\lambda(Z)$ is 0-dimensional in I^λ . So (compare [En2; Thm. 1.2.14, p. 15]) there exists a 0-dimensional G_δ -set D in topology $\tau(\mathcal{B}_\lambda)$ s.t. $Z \subset D$. But $D \in \mathcal{E}$, i.e., $D = D_\zeta$ for some $\zeta < \omega_1$. Hence, by (**),

$$Z \subset D_\zeta \cap X \subset \{f_\zeta : \omega \leq \zeta < \xi\}.$$

By the fact that every completely regular space of power less than continuum is 0-dimensional (see [Ro]) we obtain

COROLLARY 1. *The continuum hypothesis is equivalent to the statement: "there exists an L-space without any uncountable 0-dimensional subspace"*

COROLLARY 2. *Let us assume CH. Then there exists a completely regular left separated space of type ω_1 without any 0-dimensional subspace of power ω_1 . In particular, such space does not contain any dense 0-dimensional subspace.*

Remark. If we assume that there exists a cardinal $\kappa > \omega$ s.t. $2^\kappa = \kappa^+$ then, using our construction, we can define a left separated space $X \subset I^{\kappa^+}$ of type κ^+ s.t. $hL(X) \leq \kappa$ and without 0-dimensional subspaces of power κ^+ . In particular, X does not contain dense 0-dimensional subspaces.

Our construction for $\kappa > \omega$ differs from that for $\kappa = \omega$ only in the proof of Lemma 1: for $\kappa > \omega$ the proof is based on slightly different methods (see [Mi; Corollary A, p. 282]).

References

- [Ar] A. V. Arkhangel'skiĭ, *The structure and the classification of topological spaces and the cardinal invariants*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 33 (1978), pp. 29–83 (Russian).
 [En1] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Warszawa 1977.
 [En2] — *Dimension theory*, Warszawa 1978.
 [Ku] K. Kunen, *Set theory*, Amsterdam 1980.
 [Mi] A. W. Miller, *The Baire category theorem and cardinals of countable cofinality*, J. Symb. Logic 47 (2) (1982).
 [Ro] J. Roitman, *Basic S and L*, an article for "Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology".

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
 WARSAW UNIVERSITY
 PKIN
 00-901 Warszawa

Received 4 May 1984