

- [4] S. Feferman, *Two notes on abstract model theory*, I, *Fund. Math.* 82 (1974), pp. 153–165.
- [5] J. Flum, *First-order logic and its extensions*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 499 (1976), pp. 248–310, Springer, Berlin.
- [6] — and M. Ziegler, *Topological Model Theory*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 769 (1980), Springer, Berlin.
- [7] H. Friedman, *One hundred and two problems in mathematical logic*, *J. Symb. Logic* 40 (1975), pp. 113–129.
- [8] P. Lipparini, *Some results about compact logics*, *Atti Accademia Lincei (Rome)*, *Rend. Cl. Sc. Fis. Mat. Nat.*, vol. 72 (1982) pp. 308–311.
- [9] J. Makowsky and D. Mundici, *Abstract Equivalence Relations*, in: *Model-Theoretic Logics*, Chapter 19. (K. J. Barwise, S. Feferman, Editors), *Perspectives in Math. Logic*, Springer, Berlin (1984), to appear.
- [10] — and S. Shelah, *The theorems of Beth and Craig in abstract model theory*, I, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 256 (1979), pp. 215–239.
- [11] — — *Positive results in abstract model theory*, *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* 25 (1983) pp. 263–299.
- [12] — — and J. Stavi, *Δ -logics and generalized quantifiers*, *Ann. Math. Logic* 10 (1976), pp. 155–192.
- [13] D. Mundici, *An algebraic result about soft model theoretical equivalence relations with an application to H. Friedman's fourth problem*, *J. Symb. Logic* 46 (1981), pp. 523–530.
- [14] — *Interpolation, Compactness and JEP in soft model theory*, *Archiv. Math. Logik* 22 (1982), pp. 61–67.
- [15] — *Compactness = JEP in any logic*, *Fund. Math.* 116 (1983), pp. 99–108.
- [16] — *Compactness, interpolation and Friedman's third problem*, *Ann. Math. Logic* 22 (1982), pp. 197–211.
- [17] — *Duality between logics and equivalence relations*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 270 (1982), pp. 111–129.
- [18] — *Variations on Friedman's third and fourth problem*, in: *Proceedings of the International Conference "Open Days in Model Theory and Set Theory"*, *Jadwisin-Warsaw, September 1981*; W. Guzicki, W. Marek A. Pelc, C. Rauszer (Editors), Leeds University Press, 1984, pp. 205–220.
- [19] — *A generalization of abstract model theory*, *Fund. Math.* 124 (1984), pp. 1–25.
- [20] M. Nadel, *An arbitrary equivalence relation as elementary equivalence in abstract logic*, *Zeit. Math. Logik* 26 (1980), pp. 103–109.
- [21] M. Rubin and S. Shelah, *On the elementary equivalence of automorphism groups of boolean algebras: downward Skolem-Löwenheim theorems and compactness of related quantifiers*, *J. Symb. Logic* 45 (1980), pp. 265–283.
- [22] S. Shelah, *Generalized quantifiers and compact logics*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 204 (1975), pp. 342–364.

National Research Council, GNSAGA-Group, and Math. Inst. "U. Dini" of the University of Florence
 Loc. Romola N. 76
 50060 Donnini (Florence)
 Italy

Received 7 June 1982;
 in revised form 1 June 1983

Countable subsets of Suslinian continua

by

Piotr Minc (Auburn, Ala.)

Abstract. An example of a Suslinian continuum Y with no countable set intersecting all non-degenerate subcontinua of Y is given.

All spaces are considered to be metric. A *continuum* is a connected and compact space. A continuum is *Suslinian* if it does not contain uncountably many mutually exclusive nondegenerate subcontinua.

In 1971 A. Lelek posed the following question: If Y is a Suslinian continuum, does there exist a countable set A in Y such that A intersects every nondegenerate subcontinuum of Y ? ([2], Problem 10, P 726). A partial positive answer was given by A. Lelek in the case where Y is hereditarily unicoherent ([3], Th. 2.2, p. 133). The aim of this note is to describe an example which gives a negative answer to this question.

The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor W. Kuperberg and Professor E. Tymchatyn for valuable remarks during the preparation of this note.

CONSTRUCTION OF THE EXAMPLE. Denote by I the unit interval $[0, 1]$. Let $h: I \rightarrow I$ be a mapping defined by the following formula:

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} 2t & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 2-2t & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

For an arbitrary finite collection A of subintervals of I , we will say that A has the property (*) provided that for every $J_1, J_2 \in A$ either $J_1 = J_2$ or $J_1 \subset \text{int}J_2$ or $J_2 \subset \text{int}J_1$ or $J_1 \cap J_2 = \emptyset$.

For any collection A with the property (*) let us adopt the following notation. $L(A)$ is the set of all left ends of intervals from A , and $R(A)$ is the set of all right ends of intervals from A . For a point $p \in L(A) \cup R(A)$ let $d(p)$ denote the length of the interval from A having p as an endpoint.

Set $r(A) = \frac{1}{2} \min\{|a-b|: a \neq b, a, b \in L(A) \cup R(A)\}$.

For $n = 1, 2, \dots$ let us define a mapping $g_n[A]: I \rightarrow I$ by the following formula:



$$g_n[A](t) = \begin{cases} p+d(p)h^n \left(\frac{p-t}{r(A)} \right) & \text{for } p-r(A) \leq t \leq p \text{ and } p \in L(A), \\ 2t+2r(A)-p & \text{for } p-2r(A) \leq t \leq p-r(A) \text{ and } p \in L(A), \\ p-d(p)h^n \left(\frac{t-p}{r(A)} \right) & \text{for } p \leq t \leq p+r(A) \text{ and } p \in R(A), \\ 2t-2r-p & \text{for } p+r(A) \leq t \leq p+2r(A) \text{ and } p \in R(A), \\ t & \text{for the remaining } t. \end{cases}$$

Set $g_0[A] = id_I$.

Observe that $g_n[A]$ is a continuous mapping such that the preimage of any point from I is finite.

1. PROPOSITION. $g_n[A](p) = p$ for $p \in L(A) \cup R(A)$ and $g_n[A](J) = J$ for $J \in A$.

2. PROPOSITION. If $J \in A$, J is not contained in any other element of A and $J = [a, b]$, then $g_n[A]([0, b]) = [0, b]$ and $g_n[A]([a, 1]) = [a, 1]$.

If $J_1 = [a_1, b_1]$ and $J_2 = [a_2, b_2]$ are elements of A such that J_2 is the minimal element of A containing J_1 in its interior, then

$$g_n[A]([a_2, b_1]) = [a_2, b_1] \quad \text{and} \quad g_n[A]([a_1, b_2]) = [a_1, b_2].$$

Let B_0, B_1, \dots be a sequence of finite collections of mutually exclusive sub-intervals of I . Let $A_n = \bigcup_{k=0}^n B_k$. Let us assume that for every $n = 0, 1, \dots$ the collection A_n has the property (*). For each pair $n > m$ of positive integers let us define a mapping $g_{n,m}: I \rightarrow I$ by a formula

$$g_{n,m} = g_m[A_m]g_{m+1}[A_{m+1}] \dots g_{n-1}[A_{n-1}].$$

Set $g_{n,n} = id_I$.

We will construct by induction a sequence B_0, B_1, \dots such that

0) $B_0 = \{I\}$,

1) n the collection $\{g_{n,m}(J) \mid J \in A_n\}$ has the property (*) for every $m \leq n$,

2) n $\text{diam} g_{n,0}(J) \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$ for $J \in B_n$,

3) n $\text{diam}(S) < \frac{1}{2}r(A_{n-1})$ and $\text{diam} g_{n,0}(S) \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$ for every component S of $I - \bigcup_{J \in B_n} J$,

4) n $\text{diam}(J) \leq \frac{1}{2}r(A_{n-1})$ for $J \in B_n$ and

5) n for $J_1 \in B_n$ and $J_2 \in A_{n-1}$ either

$$g_{n,0}(J_1) \subset g_{n,0}(J_2) \quad \text{or} \quad g_{n,0}(J_1) \cap g_{n,0}(J_2) = \emptyset.$$

Let us suppose that sets B_0, \dots, B_{n-1} satisfying these conditions are constructed. It suffices to construct B_n . By $1)_{n-1}$ there exist functions $g_{n,m}$ for $m < n$. Consider the set $Z = g_{n,0}^{-1}(g_{n,0}(L(A_{n-1}) \cup R(A_{n-1})))$. Note that Z is a finite set such that $g_{n,0}$ is a local homeomorphism on $I-Z$. Take a finite set $P \subset I-Z$ such that $\text{diam} g_{n,0}(S) < \frac{1}{n+1}$ and $\text{diam}(S) < \frac{1}{2}r(A_{n-1})$ for every component S of $I-P$. Now, in order to obtain a collection B_n it suffices to take a collection of sufficiently small intervals about points from P . (Condition $1)_n$ is easy to fulfil because $g_{n,m}$ is a local homeomorphism on $I-Z$).

Let us denote by T the inverse limit $\varprojlim \{I_n, g_{n,m}\}$, where $I_n = I$. Let g_n be the projection of T onto I_n . For every $J \in B_k$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots$) the sequence $\{J_n = J, g_{n,m}, n \geq m \geq k\}$ is an inverse system, denote its limit by $T(J)$.

3. LEMMA. If E is a subcontinuum of T such that $T(J) \cap E \neq \emptyset \neq E - T(J)$ for a certain $J \in B_k$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) then $T(J) \subset E$.

Proof. There exists an integer $m > k$ such that $g_n(E) - J \neq \emptyset$ for $n \geq m$. Therefore there is an $a \in g_{n+1}(E)$ such that $g_{n+1,n}(a) \notin J$. Since $g_{n+1}(E) \cap J \neq \emptyset$, it follows that $g_n(E) = g_{n+1,n}g_{n+1}(E)$ contains J for every $n \geq m$. Hence $T(J) \subset E$.

4. LEMMA. Let E be a subcontinuum of $T(J_1)$ (for $J_1 \in B_{k_1}$) such that, for every k and every $J \in B_k$, if $E \subset T(J)$ then $J_1 \subset J$. Let $J_0 \in B_{k_0}$ be such that $J_0 \subset J_1$ and such that, for every k and every $J \in B_k$, if $J_0 \subset J$ then either $J_0 = J$ or $J_1 \subset J$. Then if $J_0 \subset \text{int} g_n(E)$ for a certain $n \geq k_0$, then $T(J_0) \subset E$.

Proof. By 2 it follows that $J_0 \subset \text{int} g_{n+1}(E)$. Thus $J_0 \subset \text{int} g_m(E)$ for every $m \geq n$. Hence $T(J_0) \subset E$.

5. LEMMA. If E is a nondegenerate subcontinuum of T then there are k and $J_0 \in B_k$ such that

$$T(J_0) \subset E \quad \text{and} \quad E - T(J_0) \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Observe that $g_0(E)$ is nondegenerate; therefore there is an n such that $\text{diam} g_0(E) > \frac{4}{n+1}$.

Let $J_1 \in B_{k_1}$ be such that $E \subset T(J_1)$ and for every k and every $J \in B_k$, if $E \subset T(J)$ then $J_1 \subset J$. By condition $2)_{k_1}$ we have $k_1 < n$.

By condition $3)_n$ there is a $J_2 \in B_n$ such that $J_2 \cap g_n(E) \neq \emptyset$. Let J_3 be an element of A_n , distinct from J_1 containing J_2 and such that no other element of A_n but J_1 contains J_3 .

If $g_m(E) \cap J_3 \neq \emptyset$ for every $m \geq n$ then the lemma follows by 3. So we may assume that there is an $m > n$ such that $g_m(E) \cap J_3 = \emptyset$. We may also assume that $g_{m-1}(E) \cap J_3 \neq \emptyset$. Let $J_3 = [a, b]$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $g_m(E) \subset [0, a]$.

We have

$$g_m(E) \cap [a-r(A_{m-1}), a] \neq \emptyset.$$

By conditions 2)_m, 3)_m and 4)_m, there exists a $J_0 \in A_m$ such that $J_0 \subset \text{int} g_m(E) \cap [a - 4r(A_{m-1}), a]$. Observe that by the choice of $r(A_{m-1})$ no element of A_{m-1} but J_1 contains J_0 . Now the lemma follows by 4.

Let X_n be a space resulting from $I \times \{0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$ by the following identification: a pair (x, i) is identified with a pair (y, j) provided that there exists a $k \leq n$ such that $x = y \in L(B_k) \cup R(B_k)$ and $E(i2^{k-n-1}) = E(j2^{k-n-1})$, where $E(a)$ denotes the integral part of the real number a .

Points of X_n will be denoted in the same way as points of $I \times \{0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$.

Let us denote by p_n the projection of X_n onto I .

For every $n = 1, 2, \dots$, let $f_{n,n-1}: X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$ be defined by the formula

$$f_{n,n-1}(x, i) = \begin{cases} (g_{n,n-1}(x), 2^{n-k}E(i2^{k-n-1}) + j) \text{ for} \\ p - \frac{j+1}{2^{n-k}} r(A_{n-1}) \leq x \leq p - \frac{j}{2^{n-k}} r(A_{n-1}), \\ j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{n-k} - 1, k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \text{ and } p \in L(B_k), \\ (g_{n,n-1}(x), 2^{n-k}E(i2^{k-n-1}) + j) \text{ for} \\ p + \frac{j}{2^{n-k}} r(A_{n-1}) \leq x \leq p + \frac{j+1}{2^{n-k}} r(A_{n-1}), \\ j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{n-k} - 1, k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \text{ and } p \in R(B_k), \\ (g_{n,n-1}(x), E(\frac{i}{2})) \text{ for the remaining } x. \end{cases}$$

Observe that $f_{n,n-1}$ is a continuous function and the preimages of points are finite.

For $n > m$ let us define $f_{n,m}: X_n \rightarrow X_m$ by the formula

$$f_{n,m} = f_{m+1,m} f_{m+2,m+1} \dots f_{n,n-1}.$$

Set $X = \varprojlim \{X_n, f_{n,m}\}$, and denote by f_n the projection of X onto X_n .

6. PROPOSITION. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_m & \xleftarrow{f_{n,m}} & X_n \\ \downarrow p_m & & \downarrow p_n \\ I & \xleftarrow{g_{n,m}} & I \end{array} \text{ is commutative.}$$

It follows that mappings p_n induce a mapping $p: X \rightarrow T$ such that $p_n f_n = g_n p$.

For every $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $k \leq n$, $J \in B_k$, and $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{k-1} - 1$, let $C_n^j(J)$ denote the component of $p_n^{-1}(J)$ which contains $J \times \{j2^{n-k+1}\}$.

Observe that if $k \leq m \leq n$, then $f_{n,m}(C_n^j(J)) = C_m^j(J)$.

Let $C_j(J)$ be the inverse limit of the sequence $C_n^j(J), C_n^{j+1}(J), \dots$, where $J \in B_k$ and $j = 0, \dots, 2^{k-1} - 1$.

7. PROPOSITION. The diameters of $C_j(J)$'s tend to zero when k tends to infinity and $J \in B_k$.

The proof of the following proposition is the same as that of 3.

8. PROPOSITION. If E is a subcontinuum of X such that $E \cap C_j(J) \neq \emptyset \neq E - C_j(J)$ for a certain $J \in B_k$ and a certain $j = 0, \dots, 2^{k-1} - 1$ then $C_j(J) \subset E$.

9. PROPOSITION. The set $p^{-1}(t)$ is zero-dimensional for every $t \in T$.

$$p^{-1}(T(J)) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} C_j(J) \text{ for } j \in B_k.$$

By Theorem 6 § 47, III from [1], the definition of the property (*) and conditions 1)_n and 5)_n of the construction of the sequences B_0, B_1, \dots , in the interior of $g_0(T(J))$, where $J \in B_k$, there exists a point $t(J)$ which does not belong to any $g_0(T(J'))$ for $J' \in B_{k'}$ and $k' > k$.

Set $(g_0 p)^{-1}(t(J)) \cap C_j(J) = K_j(J)$. Observe that this set is homeomorphic to the Cantor set.

Let Z be the ternary Cantor set on the unit interval I . For every $k = 1, 2, \dots$, let $Z_k(0), Z_k(1), \dots, Z_k(2^{k-1} - 1)$ be the natural partition of Z into a collection of open-closed sets such that

$$Z_k(i) = Z_{k+1}(2i) \cup Z_{k+1}(2i+1),$$

Note that $Z = Z_1(0)$.

Consider the Cartesian product $X \times Z$. Let R be an equivalence relation on this set such that the class of abstraction of a point (x, z) is a one-point set unless $(x, z) \in K_j(J) \times Z_k(i)$ for certain $k = 1, 2, \dots, J \in B_k$ and $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{k-1} - 1$. On each set $K_j(J) \times Z_k(i)$ the relation R induces an upper semi-continuous decomposition such that the quotient space is homeomorphic to the Cantor set, and is such that the classes of abstraction are at most two point sets and for every $z_1, z_2 \in Z_k(i)$ there exist $x_1, x_2 \in K_j(J)$ such that $(x_1, z_1) R (x_2, z_2)$. Such a relation may be obtained by a homeomorphism identifying $K_j(J) \times Z_k(i)$ with $Z \times Z$ and the relation $(z_1, z_2) \sim (z_2, z_1)$ on $Z \times Z$.

Observe that the relation R induces an upper semi-continuous decomposition of $X \times Z$ (see 7). Let $Y = X \times Z / R$ and let $s: X \times Z \rightarrow Y$ be the quotient map. Note that Y is a continuum.

10. LEMMA. For every countable set $W \subset Y$ there exists a nondegenerate subcontinuum of Y which misses W .

Proof. The set $s^{-1}(W)$ is countable. Thus there exists a $z \in Z$ such that $X \times \{z\} \cap s^{-1}(W) = \emptyset$. Hence the nondegenerate continuum $s(X \times \{z\})$ does not intersect W .

Observe that the function $u: Y \rightarrow T$ defined by the formula $u(s(x, z)) = p(z)$ is a continuous function.

11. PROPOSITION. The set $u^{-1}(t)$ is zero-dimensional for every $t \in T$.

12. LEMMA. For every nondegenerate subcontinuum E of Y there exist $z \in Z$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, J \in B_k$ and $j = 0, \dots, 2^{k-1} - 1$ such that $s(C_j(J) \times \{z\}) \subset E$.

Proof. By 11, the set $u(E)$ is a nondegenerate subcontinuum of T . There exist $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and $J \in B_k$ such that

$$T(J) \subset u(E) \quad \text{and} \quad u(E) - T(J) \neq \emptyset$$

(see 5).

Let K be the union of all the sets $K_j(J)$, $j = 0, \dots, 2^{k-1} - 1$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Denote by B the set $s(K \times Z)$. Note that $Y - B$ can be considered as a subset of $X \times Z$. Denote by v the projection of $X \times Z$ onto X . Observe that $pv|_{Y-B} = u|_{Y-B}$ and $u(B)$ is a zero-dimensional set. Thus $pv(E - B) \subset u(E)$ and $u(E) - pv(E - B) \subset u(B)$ is zero-dimensional. Therefore $pv(E - B) \cap T(J) \neq \emptyset \neq pv(E - B) - T(J)$. By 9 it follows that there is a $j = 0, \dots, 2^{k-1} - 1$ such that

$$v(E - B) \cap C_j(J) \neq \emptyset \neq v(E - B) - C_j(J).$$

Hence

$$E \cap s(C_j(J) \times Z) \neq \emptyset \neq E - s(C_j(J) \times Z).$$

We will prove the following claim.

CLAIM. For every $n = 1, 2, \dots$ there exists an $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{n-1} - 1$ such that

$$C_i(J) \subset \text{cl}v(E \cap s(X \times Z_n(i)) - B).$$

Assume that the claim is false. So there is an n such that, for every $i = 0, \dots, 2^{n-1} - 1$, there is an open in X set V_i such that $V_i \cap C_i(J) \neq \emptyset$ and $V_i \cap v(E \cap s(X \times Z_n(i)) - B) = \emptyset$.

For every $i = 0, \dots, 2^{n-1} - 1$, let V'_i be an open set intersecting $C_i(J)$ and such that $V'_i \subset \text{cl}V'_i \subset V_i$. Let U be a neighborhood of $C_j(J)$ in X such that

(i) for every $k' \geq n$, $J' \in B_{k'}$ and $j' = 0, \dots, 2^{k'-1} - 1$ if $\text{cl}U \cap K_j(J') \neq \emptyset$, then $C_{j'}(J') \subset C_j(J)$ and

(ii) for every $k' \geq n$, $J' \in B_{k'}$ ($J' \neq J$), $j' = 0, \dots, 2^{k'-1} - 1$, and $i = 0, \dots, 2^{n-1} - 1$, if $C_{j'}(J') \cap \text{cl}U - V_i \neq \emptyset$ then $C_{j'}(J') \cap V'_i = \emptyset$.

Let E_n be a component of $E \cap s(U \times Z)$ which intersects $s(C_j(J) \times Z)$. By Theorem 1 § 47, III from [1] it follows that

$$E_n - s(C_j(J) \times Z) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let E' be an arbitrary component of $E_n - s(C_j(J) \times Z)$. Again by Theorem 1 § 47, III from [1], $\text{cl}E' \cap s(C_j(J) \times Z) \neq \emptyset$. By the choice of U , there is an $i = 0, \dots, 2^{n-1} - 1$ such that $E' \subset s(X \times Z_n(i))$.

Let E'' be the union of $v(E' - B)$ and of all continua $C_{j'}(J')$ ($k' > n$, $J' \in B_{k'}$ and $j' = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{k'-1} - 1$) such that $E' \subset s(C_{j'}(J') \times Z) \neq \emptyset$. Observe that E'' is connected and $\text{cl}E'' - C_j(J) = E''$. On the other hand, $\text{cl}E'' \cap V'_i = \emptyset$ (see (ii)) and $E'' - C_j(J) \neq \emptyset$; thus by 8 we have

$$\text{cl}E'' \cap C_j(J) = \emptyset.$$

Hence $s(\text{cl}E'' \times Z) \cap s(C_j(J) \times Z) = \emptyset$. But $\text{cl}E' \subset s(\text{cl}E'' \times Z)$, which contradicts the fact that $\text{cl}E' \cap s(C_j(J) \times Z) \neq \emptyset$. This contradiction proves the claim.

There is a $z \in Z$ such that if $z \in Z_n(i)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$, $i = 0, \dots, 2^{n-1} - 1$) then

$$C_j(J) \subset \text{cl}v(E \cap s(X \times Z_n(i)) - B).$$

It suffices to prove that $s(C_j(J) \times \{z\}) \subset E$. Otherwise there exists a point $x \in C_j(J)$ such that $s(x, z) \not\subset E$. Thus there exists a neighborhood V of x in X and a set $Z_n(i)$ containing z such that $s(V \times Z_n(i)) \cap E = \emptyset$. It follows that $V \cap v(E \cap s(X \times Z_n(i)) - B) = \emptyset$ which contradicts the property of z . This contradiction completes the proof of the lemma.

13. THEOREM. Y is a Suslinian continuum such that for every countable set $W \subset Y$ there is a nondegenerate continuum which does not intersect W .

Proof. It suffices to prove that Y is Suslinian (see 10).

Let $\{E_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in G}$ be an uncountable collection of nondegenerate subcontinua of Y . Since continua $C_j(J)$'s form a countable collection, by 12 there is a $C_j(J)$, a $J \in B_k$, and an uncountable set $G' \subset G$ such that for every $\alpha \in G'$ there is a $z_\alpha \in Z$ such that $s(C_j(J) \times \{z_\alpha\}) \subset E_\alpha$. There are $i = 0, \dots, 2^{k-1} - 1$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in G'$ such that $z_{\alpha_1}, z_{\alpha_2} \in Z_n(i)$. By the construction the intersection of $s(C_j(J) \times \{z_{\alpha_1}\})$ and $s(C_j(J) \times \{z_{\alpha_2}\})$ is non-void. Hence $E_{\alpha_1} \cap E_{\alpha_2} \neq \emptyset$, which completes the proof.

References

- [1] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, vol. 2, New York-London-Warszawa 1967.
- [2] A. Lelek, *Some problems concerning curves*, Coll. Math. 23 (1971), pp. 91-99.
- [3] — *On the topology of curves II*, Fund. Math. 70 (1971), pp. 129-139.

Received 2 July 1982;
in revised form 5 September 1982