

- [4] S. Mardešić, *Approximate polyhedra, resolutions of maps and shape fibrations*, Fund. Math. 114 (1982), pp. 53–78.
- [5] — *Inverse limits and resolutions*, Shape Theory and Geom. Top. Proc. (Dubrovnik, 1981), Lecture Notes in Math. 870, Springer, 1981, pp. 239–252.
- [6] — and J. Segal, *Shapes of compacta and ANR-systems*, Fund. Math. 72 (1971), pp. 41–59.
- [7] — — *Shape Theory*, North-Holland, 1982.
- [8] K. Morita, *On the simple extension of a space with respect to a uniformity*, I, II, Proc. Japan Acad. 27 (1951), pp. 65–72; pp. 130–137.
- [9] — *Paracompactness and product spaces*, Fund. Math. 50 (1961), pp. 223–236.
- [10] — *On shapes of topological spaces*, Fund. Math. 86 (1975), pp. 251–259.
- [11] — *Čech cohomology and covering dimension for topological spaces*, Fund. Math. 87 (1975), pp. 31–50.
- [12] — *The Hurewicz and the Whitehead theorems in shape theory*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, Sect. A 12 (1974), pp. 246–258.
- [13] — *On expansions of Tychonoff spaces into inverse systems of polyhedra*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, Sect. A 13 (1975), pp. 66–74.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SOPHIA UNIVERSITY
Tokyo 102, Japan

Received 15 June 1983

Borel sets in compact spaces: some Hurewicz type theorems

by

Fons van Engelen and Jan van Mill (Amsterdam)

Abstract. Let X be a compact metric space, and let A be a Borel subset of X . We identify two subspaces S and T of the Cantor set, and prove that:

(1) A is not the union of a complete and a countable subset if and only if X contains a Cantor set K such that $K \setminus A \approx P$ and $K \cap A \approx Q \times C$.

(2) A is not strongly σ -complete if and only if X contains a Cantor set K such that $K \setminus A \approx Q \times P$ and $K \cap A \approx T$.

(3) A is not the union of a strongly σ -complete and a countable subset if and only if X contains a Cantor set K such that $K \setminus A \approx Q \times P$ and $K \cap A \approx S$.

As an application, we give topological characterizations of $Q \times S$ and $Q \times T$.

1. Introduction.

All spaces under discussion are separable metric.

In his 1928 paper [6], Hurewicz proved that a Borel subset A of a compact space X is not a G_δ in X (i.e. A is not topologically complete) if and only if there exists a compact subset K of X such that $K \cap A \approx Q$ (the rationals) and $K \setminus A \approx P$ (the irrationals). A theorem of the same type was proved in 1978 by Saint Raymond ([10]): he showed, among others, that a Borel subset A of a compact space X is not the union of an F_σ and a G_δ of X (i.e. A is not the union of a σ -compact and a topologically complete subspace) if and only if there exists a compact subspace K of X such that $K \cap A \approx Q \times P$. However, he did not prove anything concerning $K \setminus A$. In the light of Hurewicz's result, this suggests an obvious question; in this paper, we will answer this question, and prove some more "Hurewicz-type" theorems.

We identify a certain zero-dimensional space T , which can easily be visualized as the remainder of $Q \times P$ in some compactification of $Q \times P$, and we prove that a Borel subset A of a compact space X is not the union of a σ -compact and a topologically complete subspace if and only if there exists a Cantor set K in X such that $K \cap A \approx Q \times P$ and $K \setminus A \approx T$. This theorem can also be stated in a slightly different way. Call a subset Y of a space X *strongly σ -complete* if $Y = \bigcup \{Y_i : i \in N\}$, where each Y_i is topologically complete and closed in Y ; it is easily seen that a subset Y of a compact space X is strongly σ -complete if and only if Y is the intersection

of a σ -compact and a topologically complete subspace of X (Lemma 2.1). The above-mentioned theorem then states that a Borel subset A of a compact space X is not strongly σ -complete if and only if there exists a Cantor set K in X such that $K \cap A \approx T$ and $K \setminus A \approx Q \times P$. Thus, in a sense, T is minimal among the non-strongly σ -complete Borel sets. If a Borel set in a compact space does not come too close to being strongly σ -complete, then we can prove a somewhat stronger statement; for this purpose, we identify another zero-dimensional remainder of $Q \times P$, which we call S , and which is "larger" than T : S contains a closed copy of T , but not conversely. Then we show that a Borel subset A of a compact space X is not the union of a countable and a strongly σ -complete subspace if and only if there exists a Cantor set K in X such that $K \cap A \approx S$ and $K \setminus A \approx Q \times P$. In the proof, we will use another result à la Hurewicz: a Borel subset A of a compact space X is not the union of a countable and a topologically complete subset if and only if there exists a Cantor set K in X such that $K \cap A \approx Q \times C$ and $K \setminus A \approx P$ (here C denotes the Cantor set). As an application of the above results, we obtain topological characterizations of $Q \times S$ and $Q \times T$.

The space S has been topologically characterized by van Mill in [9]. For T , this was first done by van Douwen ([4]); since his proof has not yet been published, we include a new proof of this characterization in an appendix to this paper.

2. Known results and preliminary lemmas. Notation is standard, as e.g. in Engelking [5]; $A \approx B$ means that A and B are homeomorphic. The diameter of a set A is denoted by $\text{diam}(A)$. All metrics in this paper are denoted by d and assumed to be bounded by 1; also, if the space in question is topologically complete, we always take d to be a complete metric. A subset of a space X is *clopen* if it is both closed and open in X . A space X is *strongly σ -complete* if it is the countable union of closed and complete subsets of X ; we use "complete" as an abbreviation for "topologically complete", i.e. being an absolute G_δ .

2.1. LEMMA. *A subset A of a compact space X is strongly σ -complete if and only if it is the intersection of an F_σ and a G_δ of X .*

Proof. If A is strongly σ -complete, then $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$, where A_i is closed in A and complete. Hence A_i is a G_δ in \bar{A}_i , so $\bar{A}_i \setminus A_i$ is an F_σ in \bar{A}_i and hence also in X . So $X \setminus A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (\bar{A}_i \setminus A_i) \cup \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (X \setminus \bar{A}_i)$ is the union of an F_σ and a G_δ of X ; equivalently, A is the intersection of an F_σ and a G_δ of X . Conversely, suppose $A = (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i) \cap G$, where each F_i is closed in X and G is complete. Then $F_i \cap G = F_i \cap A$ is closed in A , and in G , hence complete. So $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (F_i \cap G)$ is strongly σ -complete. ■

We will now give topological characterizations of some Borel subsets of the Cantor set. Here, if \mathcal{P} is a topological property, then a space is *nowhere \mathcal{P}* if none of its non-empty open subsets has \mathcal{P} ; note that if \mathcal{P} is a closed-hereditary property

of a zero-dimensional space, this is equivalent to none of the non-empty clopen subsets of the space having \mathcal{P} . Of course, "unique" is "unique up to homeomorphism".

2.2. THEOREM. (a) (Brouwer [3]). *The Cantor set C is the unique zero-dimensional compact space without isolated points.*

(b) (Alexandroff and Urysohn [1]). *The set of irrationals P is the unique zero-dimensional complete, nowhere locally compact space.*

(c) (Sierpiński [11]). *The set of rationals Q is the unique countable space without isolated points.*

(d) (Alexandroff and Urysohn [1]; van Mill [8]). *$Q \times C$ is the unique zero-dimensional σ -compact, nowhere countable, nowhere locally compact space; equivalently, it is the unique zero-dimensional space X such that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$, where $C_i \approx C$, and each C_i is a nowhere dense subset of C_{i+1} .*

(e) (van Mill [8]). *$Q \times P$ is the unique zero-dimensional strongly σ -complete, nowhere σ -compact, nowhere complete space; equivalently, it is the unique zero-dimensional space X such that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i$, where $P_i \approx P$ is closed in X and a nowhere dense subset of P_{i+1} .*

Now let K, Q be dense subsets of C such that $K \approx Q \times C$, $Q \approx Q$. Let $P_1 = C \setminus K$, $P_2 = C \setminus Q$. Then $P_1 \approx P_2 \approx P$. Define $S, T \subset C \times C$ by $S = (C \times C) \setminus (Q \times P_1)$, $T = (C \times C) \setminus (Q \times P_2)$; then $(C \times C) \setminus S \approx (C \times C) \setminus T \approx Q \times P$. The spaces S and T can be characterized as follows (a proof of (b) will be given in an appendix to this paper):

2.3. THEOREM. (a) (van Mill [9]). *S is the unique zero-dimensional space which is the union of a complete and a σ -compact subspace, and which is nowhere σ -compact and nowhere the union of a countable and a complete subspace.*

(b) (van Douwen [4]). *T is the unique zero-dimensional space which is the union of a complete and a countable subspace, and which is nowhere σ -compact and nowhere complete.*

Let us note that $Q \times P$, S and T are pairwise non-homeomorphic. S and T are Baire (they contain a dense copy of P), whereas $Q \times P$ is not; and S is not the union of a complete and a countable subspace. Also observe that each of the above spaces is homeomorphic to any of its non-empty clopen subspaces (this follows easily from the characterizations). This implies that each of these spaces is homogeneous; in fact, any homeomorphism between closed and nowhere dense subsets can be extended to an autohomeomorphism of the whole space ([8], Theorem 3.1). That $C, P, Q, Q \times C$ and $Q \times P$ are homogeneous is trivial of course; however, no easy proofs for the homogeneity of S or T are known.

2.4. LEMMA. *Let X be compact zero-dimensional, and let A be dense in X . Then $A \approx Q \times P$ if and only if $X \setminus A$ is a nowhere σ -compact, nowhere complete space which is the union of a complete and a σ -compact subspace.*

Proof. If $A \approx Q \times P$, then A is strongly σ -complete by Theorem 2.2(c); hence $X \setminus A$ is the union of a σ -compact and a complete subspace by Lemma 2.1. If U is open in X and $U \setminus A$ is σ -compact, then $U \cap A$ is a complete open subspace of A , contradicting nowhere completeness of $Q \times P$; thus, $X \setminus A$ is nowhere σ -compact. Similarly, nowhere σ -compactness of $Q \times P$ yields nowhere completeness of $X \setminus A$. The converse statement follows by exactly the same argumentation. ■

2.5. LEMMA. Let X be any space, and let A, B and K be subspaces such that $\emptyset \neq K$ is compact, A is nowhere dense in B , and $A \cap K$ is dense in K . Then there exists a countable discrete subset D of $B \setminus \bar{A}$ such that $\bar{D} = D \cup K$; furthermore, if $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a given sequence of positive numbers, we can choose $D = \{d_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in such a way that $d(K, d_n) < \varepsilon_n$.

Proof. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathcal{D}_i be a finite cover of K by open sets of X of diameter less than $1/i$, say $\mathcal{D}_i = \{D(i, j) : j = 1, \dots, n_i\}$. Then for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \leq n_i$, we have $D(i, j) \cap A \cap K \neq \emptyset$, say $p(i, j) \in D(i, j) \cap A \cap K$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a unique $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n = (\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} n_k) + j$ for some $j \leq n_i$, and we choose $y(i, j) = d_n \in (B(p(i, j), \varepsilon_n) \cap D(i, j) \cap B) \setminus \bar{A}$. We claim that $D = \{d_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is as required. Clearly $D \subset B \setminus \bar{A}$, and if $n = (\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} n_k) + j$ then $d(d_n, K) \leq d(d_n, p(i, j)) < \varepsilon_n$. Now take $x \in \bar{D}$ and let $x_i \in D$ be such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = x$; then either (x_i) contains a constant subsequence, or we may assume that, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_i = y(i, j_i)$ for some $j_i \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$. In the first case, $x \in D$; otherwise, $d(x, K) \leq d(x, x_k) + d(x_k, K) \leq d(x, x_k) + 1/k$ which converges to 0. So $x \in K$. Hence \bar{D} is discrete and $\bar{D} \subset D \cup K$. Conversely, suppose $x \in K$. Then for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in D(i, j_i)$ for some $j_i \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$. Since $\text{diam} D(i, j_i) < 1/i$ for each i , $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} y(i, j_i) = x$, so $x \in \bar{D}$. ■

3. Dense copies of $Q \times C$ in P . In this section we will show that, essentially, $Q \times C$ can be densely embedded in P in only one way; more precisely, we will show that, given two dense copies A_1 and A_2 of $Q \times C$ in P , there exists an autohomeomorphism h of P which maps A_1 onto A_2 (i.e. P is “ $Q \times C$ dense homogeneous”).

3.1. DEFINITION. Let $X \in \{C, P\}$. A *skeletoid* in X is a subset $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ of X , with $A_i \subset A_{i+1}$, such that each A_i is nowhere dense and compact, and such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and each nowhere dense compact subset B of X , there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a homeomorphic embedding $f: A_m \cup B \rightarrow A_n$ such that $f|_{A_m} = \text{id}$ and $\sup\{d(f(x), x) : x \in A_m \cup B\} < \varepsilon$.

Note that if $X = P$, then the “nowhere dense” can be deleted. Using [2] (Corollary IV.3.1 and Proposition IV.4.1) and [8] (Theorem 3.1) it is easily seen that this is equivalent to the usual definition of a \mathcal{H} -skeletoid in P (resp. C), where \mathcal{H} is the set of compacta in P (resp. nowhere dense compacta in C). Thus we have:

3.2. THEOREM ([2], Theorem IV.2.1). If A_1 and A_2 are two skeletoids in P , then there exists a homeomorphism $h: P \rightarrow P$ such that $h[A_1] = A_2$.

Hence to show that P is $Q \times C$ dense homogeneous, it suffices to prove:

3.3. THEOREM. If A is a dense copy of $Q \times C$ in P , then A is a skeletoid in P .

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and a compact subset B of P . Let $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$, where $A_i \approx C$ and A_i is a nowhere dense subset of A_{i+1} (Theorem 2.2(d)). Embed P in C as a dense subset. Since P is nowhere locally compact, each A_i is a nowhere dense compact subset of C ; by [9], A is a skeletoid in C . Also, B is nowhere dense in C , so by Definition 3.1 there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a homeomorphic embedding $f: A_m \cup B \rightarrow A_n$ such that $f|_{A_m} = \text{id}$ and $\sup\{d(f(x), x) : x \in A_m \cup B\} < \varepsilon$. Again by Definition 3.1, A is a skeletoid in P . ■

3.4. COROLLARY. If A_1 and A_2 are two dense copies of $Q \times C$ in P , then there exists a homeomorphism $h: P \rightarrow P$ such that $h[A_1] = A_2$.

4. Borel sets which are not complete. Recall that Hurewicz’s original theorem states that if A is a Borel subset of a compact space X which is not complete, then there exists a compact subset K of X such that $K \cap A \approx Q$ and $K \setminus A \approx P$. We will first show that for K we can even choose a Cantor set.

4.1. THEOREM. Let X be compact, and let A be a Borel subset of X . Then A is not complete if and only if there exists a Cantor set K in X such that $K \cap A \approx Q$ and $K \setminus A \approx P$.

Proof. Clearly, if A contains a closed copy of Q , then A cannot be complete. Conversely, if A is not complete, then by Hurewicz’s theorem ([6]; for a different proof see [10] or [12]) there exists a compact subset K' of X such that $K' \cap A \approx Q$ and $K' \setminus A \approx P$. Let Q be a copy of Q in C , and let $f: Q \rightarrow K' \cap A$ be a homeomorphism. Since both C and K' are compact, we can apply Lavrentieff’s theorem (see e.g. [5], Theorem 4.3.21) to obtain homeomorphic G_δ -subsets B and D of C and K' , respectively, such that $B \supset Q$ and $D \supset K' \cap A$. In particular, D is zero-dimensional. Now if D contains an open (in D) compact subset U , then $U \approx C$ and $U \cap A \approx Q$ whence $U \setminus A \approx P$; so put $K = U$. If this is not the case, then D is nowhere locally compact, hence homeomorphic to P by Theorem 2.2(b). Now let P be any copy of P , and let K_0 be a copy of C contained in P ; let Q_0 be countable and dense in K_0 , and let Q_1 be countable and dense in $P \setminus K_0$. Then $Q = Q_0 \cup Q_1$ is countable and dense in P . By [5] (Exercise 4.3H) there exists a homeomorphism $h: P \rightarrow D$ such that $h[Q] = K' \cap A$. Then $K = h[K_0]$ is as required. ■

We will now turn to the proof of our first Hurewicz-type theorem; in our arguments we will use techniques from [10]. In the remainder of this section, as well as in the next section, we will denote by M the set of all finite sequences of natural numbers (including the empty sequence \emptyset). If $s = (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in M$, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, “ s, n ” denotes the sequence $(s_1, \dots, s_k, n) \in M$; $|s| = k$ is the length of s and $v(s) = s_1 + \dots + s_k$; we put $|\emptyset| = v(\emptyset) = 0$. If σ is an infinite sequence of natural numbers, then “ $s < \sigma$ ” means that s is an initial segment of σ .

4.2. THEOREM. Let X be compact, and let A be a Borel subset of X . Then A is

the union of a countable and a complete subset if and only if A does not contain a closed copy of $Q \times C$.

Proof. If A is the union of a countable and a complete subspace, and if B is closed in A , then also $B = F \cup G$, where F is countable and G is complete. If $B \approx Q \times C$, then B contains uncountably many closed disjoint copies of Q , hence one of those is contained in G ; but Q is not complete, a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that A is not the union of a countable and a complete subset. Since A is Borel, there exists by [7] a continuous surjection $\varphi: P \rightarrow X \setminus A$. Put $W = \{x \in P: \text{there exists a neighborhood } V_x \text{ of } x \text{ in } P \text{ and a } \sigma\text{-compact subset } E_x \text{ of } X \text{ such that } \varphi[V_x] \subset E_x \text{ and } E_x \cap A \text{ is countable}\}$. Then W is open in P , and there exist countably many open V_i in P and σ -compact E_i in X such that $W = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i$, $\varphi[V_i] \subset E_i$, and $E_i \cap A$ is countable for each $i \in N$. Then $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ is σ -compact, $\varphi[W] \subset E$, and $E \cap A$ is countable. Put $F = P \setminus \varphi^{-1}[E \setminus A]$. Then F is a G_δ in P , hence complete. We claim that F is non-empty. Indeed, if $\varphi^{-1}[E \setminus A] = P$, then $E \supset X \setminus A$ and $A = (X \setminus E) \cup (E \cap A)$. However, E is σ -compact so $X \setminus E$ is complete, and $E \cap A$ is countable, contradicting our hypothesis on A . So $F \neq \emptyset$. Also if $\emptyset \neq U$ is open in F , say $U = U' \cap F$ where U' is open in P , then $\varphi[U'] = \varphi[U] \cup \varphi[U' \setminus U] \subset \varphi[U'] \cup E$ which is σ -compact; since $U' \not\subset W$, $(\varphi[U'] \cup E) \cap A = (\varphi[U] \cap A) \cup (E \cap A)$ is uncountable, whence $\varphi[U'] \cap A$ is uncountable. Thus, $\varphi[U] \cap A$ contains a copy of the Cantor set ([7]).

For each $s \in M$, we will now construct Cantor sets K_s in A and open subsets W_s of F , such that the following hold for each $s \in M$:

- (1) $K_s \subset A \cap \overline{\varphi[W_s]}$;
- (2) for each $n \in N$: $\overline{\varphi[W_{s,n}]} \cap K_s = \emptyset$;
- (3) for each $n, m \in N$: $\overline{\varphi[W_{s,n}]} \cap \overline{\varphi[W_{s,m}]} = \emptyset$ if $n \neq m$;
- (4) for each $n \in N$: $\overline{W_{s,n}} \subset W_s$ (here closure is taken in F);
- (5) $\text{diam}(W_s) \leq 2^{-|s|}$ (here the diameter is taken w.r.t. a complete metric for F);
- (6) $\text{diam}(\varphi[W_s]) \leq 2^{-v(s)}$;
- (7) for each $n \in N$: $d(K_s, K_{s,n}) \leq 2^{1-v(s,n)}$.

We proceed by induction on $|s|$. First, put $W_\emptyset = F$; then $\overline{\varphi[W_\emptyset]} \cap A$ is uncountable, so it contains a Cantor set K_\emptyset . Then (1), (5) and (6) are satisfied since our metrics are bounded by 1. Next, suppose that W_s and K_s have been constructed for $|s| \leq k$, in accordance with conditions (1) through (7). Fix $s \in M$ with $|s| = k$; we will construct $W_{s,n}$ and $K_{s,n}$ for each $n \in N$. By (1), $K_s \subset A \cap \overline{\varphi[W_s]}$; since $\varphi[W_s] \subset X \setminus A$, K_s is nowhere dense in $K_s \cup \varphi[W_s]$ and we can apply Lemma 2.5 to obtain a countable discrete subset $D_s = \{y_{s,n}: n \in N\}$ of $\varphi[W_s]$ such that $\overline{D_s} = D_s \cup K_s$, and $d(y_{s,n}, K_s) \leq 2^{-v(s,n)}$ for each $n \in N$. Let $U_{s,n}$ be a neighborhood

of $y_{s,n}$ in $X \setminus A$ such that $\text{diam}(U_{s,n}) \leq 2^{-v(s,n)}$, $\overline{U_{s,n}} \cap \overline{U_{s,m}} = \emptyset$ if $n \neq m$, and $\overline{U_{s,n}} \cap K_s = \emptyset$, for each $n \in N$. Since $y_{s,n} \in \varphi[W_s]$, $y_{s,n} = \varphi(x_{s,n})$ for some $x_{s,n} \in W_s$; hence there is an open neighborhood $W_{s,n}$ of $x_{s,n}$ in F such that $\overline{W_{s,n}} \subset W_s$, $\text{diam}(W_{s,n}) \leq 2^{-|s|-1}$, and $\varphi[W_{s,n}] \subset U_{s,n}$. Then (2)-(6) are satisfied. Since $\varphi[W_{s,n}] \cap A$ is uncountable, it contains a Cantor set $K_{s,n}$. To verify (7), note that

$$d(K_s, K_{s,n}) \leq d(K_s, \varphi[W_{s,n}]) + \text{diam}(\varphi[W_{s,n}]) \leq d(K_s, y_{s,n}) + 2^{-v(s,n)}.$$

This completes the induction. Now define $B_i = \bigcup \{K_s: |s| \leq i\}$. We claim that $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i$ is closed in A and homeomorphic to $Q \times C$. We first show that $B_i \approx C$ for each $i \in N$. Since $K_s \approx C$ for each $s \in M$, each B_i is zero-dimensional, being the countable union of closed zero-dimensional subspaces. Clearly, it contains no isolated points; hence it suffices to show that each B_i is closed in X . This is trivial for $i = 0$, so suppose it is true for $i = k$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, let $B_k^\varepsilon = \{x \in X: d(B_k, x) \leq \varepsilon\}$, and $M_k^\varepsilon = \{s \in M: |s| = k+1, K_s \not\subset B_k^\varepsilon\}$; then each B_k^ε is compact, and each M_k^ε is finite by (1), (6) and (7). Since B_k is compact, $B_k = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} B_k^\varepsilon$, and

$$B_{k+1} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} (B_k^\varepsilon \cup \bigcup \{K_s: s \in M_k^\varepsilon\})$$

is compact being the intersection of compacta. From (2) and (7) it is obvious that B_i is nowhere dense in B_{i+1} for each i , and thus we may conclude from Theorem 2.2(d) that $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \approx Q \times C$. To show that B is closed in A , take $x \in \overline{B} \setminus B$, and fix $i \in N$. Since $x \notin B_i$, $x \notin B_i^\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. From (1) and (4) it follows that $B \subset \bigcup_{|s|=i} \overline{\varphi[W_s]} \cup B_i$, and from (1) and (6) that $\overline{\varphi[W_s]} \subset B_i^\varepsilon$ for all but finitely many $s \in M$ with $|s| = i$. Hence for some finite $M_0 \subset \{s \in M: |s| = i\}$, we have $\overline{B} \subset B_i^\varepsilon \cup \bigcup_{s \in M_0} \overline{\varphi[W_s]}$. Then $x \in \overline{\varphi[W_s]}$ for some $s \in M_0$, and this s is unique with $|s| = i$ by (3). So by (4), there exists an infinite sequence σ of natural numbers such that $x \in \bigcap_{s < \sigma} \overline{\varphi[W_s]}$ which is a one-point set by (6); also $\bigcap_{s < \sigma} W_s = \bigcap_{s < \sigma} W_s$ is a one-point set by (5) and by completeness of F . Hence if $\{z\} = \bigcap_{s < \sigma} W_s$, then $\varphi(z) \in \bigcap_{s < \sigma} \overline{\varphi[W_s]} = \{x\}$, so $x \in \varphi[P] = X \setminus A$; thus $\overline{B} \subset X \setminus A$ and B is closed in A . ■

4.3. THEOREM. Let X be compact, and let A be a Borel subset of X which is not the union of a complete and a countable subset. Then X contains a Cantor set K such that $K \cap A \approx Q \times C$ and $K \setminus A \approx P$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.2, A contains a closed copy B of $Q \times C$. Also, we can embed $Q \times C$ as a subset D of the Cantor set. Let $f: B \rightarrow D$ be a homeomorphism. As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we can apply Lavrentieff's theorem to obtain a zero-dimensional G_δ -subset G of \overline{B} such that $G \supset B$. Now if G contains an open (in G) compact subset U , then $U \approx C$ and $U \cap A = U \cap B \approx Q \times C$ whence $U \setminus A = U \setminus B \approx P$; so put $K = U$. If this is not the case, then G is nowhere locally com-

compact, hence homeomorphic to P by Theorem 2.2(b). Now let P be any copy of P , and let K_0 be a copy of C contained in P . Let $B_0 \approx Q \times C$ be dense in K_0 ; since $P \setminus K_0 \approx P$, there also exists a dense copy B_1 of $Q \times C$ in $P \setminus K_0$. Now $\tilde{B} = B_0 \cup B_1$ is dense in P , and clearly σ -compact and nowhere countable; it is also nowhere locally compact: if $\emptyset \neq V$ is open in \tilde{B} and locally compact, then $V \cap B_0 = \emptyset$ since B_0 is closed in \tilde{B} and nowhere locally compact; hence $V \subset B_1$, contradicting nowhere local compactness of B_1 . Hence $\tilde{B} \approx Q \times C$ by Theorem 2.2(d). By Corollary 3.4, there exists a homeomorphism $h: P \rightarrow G$ such that $h[\tilde{B}] = B$. Then $K = h[K_0]$ is as required. ■

5. Borel sets which are not strongly σ -complete. We will now prove our main theorems; the argument we use is much like that of Theorem 4.2. However, the situation is more complicated now. Although we can build $Q \times P$ inductively, we can not apply the same method as in Theorem 4.3 to obtain a copy of $Q \times P$ with remainder homeomorphic to T (or S), simply because P is not $Q \times P$ dense homogeneous. We have to make certain in our induction that we define a copy of $Q \times P$ in X with zero-dimensional closure in X .

The proofs of the two main theorems are very similar; hence we will give only one proof (the more complicated one) in full detail, and give a sketch of the other.

5.1. THEOREM. *Let X be compact, and let A be a Borel subset of X which is not the union of a strongly σ -complete and a countable subset. Then A contains a Cantor set K such that $K \cap A \approx S$ and $K \setminus A \approx Q \times P$.*

Proof. Since A is a Borel subset of X , there exists a continuous surjection $\varphi: P \rightarrow A$. Put $W = \{x \in P: \text{there exists a neighborhood } V_x \text{ of } x \text{ in } P, \text{ a } \sigma\text{-compact subset } E_x \text{ of } X, \text{ and a countable subset } D_x \text{ of } A \text{ such that } \varphi[V_x] \subset E_x, \text{ and } D_x \cup (E_x \setminus A) \text{ is } \sigma\text{-compact}\}$. Then W is open in P , and there exist countably many open V_i in P , σ -compact E_i in X , and countable subsets D_i of A such that $W = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i$, $\varphi[V_i] \subset E_i$, and $D_i \cup (E_i \setminus A)$ is σ -compact for each $i \in N$. Then $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ is σ -compact, $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} D_i$ is a countable subset of A , $D \cup (E \setminus A)$ is σ -compact, and $\varphi[W] \subset E$. Put $F = P \setminus \varphi^{-1}[E \cap A]$. Then F is a G_δ in P , hence complete. We claim that F is non-empty. Indeed, if $\varphi^{-1}[E \cap A] = P$, then $A \subset E$, hence $X \setminus A = (X \setminus E) \cup (E \setminus A)$ and $(X \setminus A) \cup D = (X \setminus E) \cup (D \cup (E \setminus A))$ is the union of a complete and a σ -compact space. Thus $A \setminus D$ is the intersection of a complete and a σ -compact space, i.e., $A \setminus D$ is strongly σ -complete (Lemma 2.1); so A is the union of a countable and a strongly σ -complete subset, contradicting our hypothesis on A . So $F \neq \emptyset$. Also, if $\emptyset \neq U$ is open in F , say $U = U' \cap F$ where U' is open in P , then $\varphi[U'] = \varphi[U] \cup \varphi[U' \setminus U] \subset \overline{\varphi[U]} \cup E$ which is σ -compact; since $U' \not\subset W$, $N \cup ((\overline{\varphi[U]} \cup E) \setminus A)$ is not σ -compact for any countable $N \subset A$. Since D is a countable subset of A , and $D \cup (E \setminus A)$ is σ -compact, we have that $N \cup (\overline{\varphi[U]} \setminus A)$ is not σ -compact for any countable $N \subset A$. Hence $\overline{\varphi[U]} \cap A$ is not the union of a complete and a countable subset. So by Theorem 4.3, $\overline{\varphi[U]}$ contains

a Cantor set K such that $K \cap A \approx Q \times C$ and $K \setminus A \approx P$. Recall that M denotes the set of all finite sequences of natural numbers. We will construct Cantor sets K_s in X , open subsets W_s of F , and finite collections \mathcal{U}_i of open subsets of X , for each $s \in M$ and each $i \in N$, such that the following hold:

- (1) $K_s \subset \overline{\varphi[W_s]}$;
- (2) for each $n \in N: \overline{\varphi[W_{s,n}]} \cap K_s = \emptyset$;
- (3) for each $n, m \in N: \overline{\varphi[W_{s,n}]} \cap \overline{\varphi[W_{s,m}]} = \emptyset$;
- (4) for each $n \in N: \overline{W_{s,n}} \subset W_s$ (here closure is taken in F);
- (5) $\text{diam}(W_s) \leq 2^{-|s|}$ (here the diameter is taken w.r.t. a complete metric for F);
- (6) $\text{diam}(\varphi[W_s]) \leq 2^{-v(s)}$;
- (7) for each $n \in N: d(K_s, K_{s,n}) \leq 2^{1-v(s,n)}$;
- (8) $K_s \cap A \approx Q \times C$ and $K_s \setminus A \approx P$;
- (9) $B_i = \bigcup \{K_s: |s| \leq i\} \approx C$;
- (10) $B_i \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}_i$;
- (11) $\bigcup \mathcal{U}_{i+1} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}_i$;
- (12) $\{\overline{U}: U \in \mathcal{U}_i\}$ is pairwise disjoint;
- (13) $\text{diam}(U) < 1/i$ for each $U \in \mathcal{U}_i$.

We proceed by induction on $|s|$ and i . First, put $W_\emptyset = F$; then $\overline{\varphi[W_\emptyset]} \cap A$ is not the union of a countable and a complete subset, so it contains a Cantor set K_\emptyset such that $K_\emptyset \cap A \approx Q \times C$ and $K_\emptyset \setminus A \approx P$. Put $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{X\}$, then (1), (5), (6), (8), (9), (10), (12) and (13) are satisfied since our metrics are bounded by 1. Next, suppose that W_s, K_s and \mathcal{U}_i have been defined for $|s| \leq k$ and $i \leq k$, in accordance with conditions (1)-(13). Fix $s \in M$ with $|s| = k$; note that by (1), $K_s \subset \overline{\varphi[W_s]}$. We will first prove the following:

CLAIM. $K_s \cup (\overline{\varphi[W_s]} \setminus \varphi[W_s])$ is nowhere dense in $\overline{\varphi[W_s]}$.

We distinguish two cases.

Case 1. Let $y \in K_s \cap \overline{\varphi[W_s]}$, say $y = \varphi(x)$ with $x \in W_s$, and let U be an open neighborhood of y in $\overline{\varphi[W_s]}$. By continuity of $\varphi: W_s \rightarrow \overline{\varphi[W_s]}$, there exists an open neighborhood V of x in W_s such that $\varphi[V] \subset U \cap \varphi[W_s]$. Suppose $U \cap \varphi[W_s] \subset K_s$. Then $\varphi[V] \subset K_s \cap A$ which is σ -compact by (8). Since V is open in W_s , it is open in F , say $V = V' \cap F$ with V' open in P ; then $\varphi[V'] = \varphi[V] \cup \varphi[V' \setminus V] \subset (K_s \cap A) \cup E$ which is σ -compact, and $D \cup ((K_s \cap A) \cup E) \setminus A = D \cup (E \setminus A)$ is σ -compact. But $V' \not\subset W_s$, a contradiction. Hence $(U \cap \overline{\varphi[W_s]}) \setminus K_s \neq \emptyset$.

Case 2. Let $y \in \overline{\varphi[W_s]} \setminus \varphi[W_s]$, and let U be an open neighborhood of y in $\overline{\varphi[W_s]}$. Then $U \cap \varphi[W_s] \neq \emptyset$, say $z \in U \cap \varphi[W_s]$. If $z \notin K_s$, we are done; if $z \in K_s$, then $z \in K_s \cap \varphi[W_s]$, so $(U \cap \overline{\varphi[W_s]}) \setminus K_s \neq \emptyset$ by Case 1.

By the claim, we can apply Lemma 2.5 to $K_s, K_s \cup \overline{\varphi[W_s]} \setminus \varphi[W_s]$ and $\varphi[W_s]$ to obtain a countable discrete subset $D_s = \{y_{s,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of $\varphi[W_s] \setminus K_s$ such that $\overline{D_s} = D_s \cup K_s$ and $d(y_{s,n}, K_s) \leq 2^{-v(s,n)}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Of course, we may assume that $D_s \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}_k$. The sets $W_{s,n}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) satisfying (2)-(6) are now defined exactly as in the proof of Theorem 4.2, with the additional requirement that $\varphi[W_{s,n}] \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}_k$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\overline{\varphi[W_{s,n}]} \cap A$ is not the union of a complete and a countable subset, $\overline{\varphi[W_{s,n}]}$ contains a Cantor set $K_{s,n}$ such that $K_{s,n} \cap A \approx Q \times C$ and $K_{s,n} \setminus A \approx P$; (7) follows easily. As in the proof of Theorem 4.2, we can show that $\bigcup \{K_{s,n} : |s| \leq k, n \in \mathbb{N}\} = B_{k+1} \approx C$; note that $B_{k+1} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}_k$. Let \mathcal{V} be a cover of B_{k+1} by disjoint clopen subsets of B_{k+1} of diameter less than $1/(k+1)$. By normality, there exist open subsets V' of X (for $V \in \mathcal{V}$), which can be taken to have diameter less than $1/(k+1)$, such that $V' \cap B_{k+1} = V$ and $\mathcal{V}' = \{V' : V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ is pairwise disjoint. Again by normality, we can shrink \mathcal{V}' to obtain an open cover \mathcal{U}_{k+1} of B_{k+1} satisfying (10)-(13). This completes the induction. Now put $K = (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i)^-$; we claim that K is as required. Clearly, K is a compact space without isolated points. Also, from (10) and (11) it follows that $K \subset \bigcup \{\overline{U} : U \in \mathcal{U}_i\}$ which is a pairwise disjoint closed cover of K by sets of diameter less than $1/i$; hence $\{\overline{U} \cap K : U \in \mathcal{U}_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a clopen basis for K , so K is zero-dimensional. Thus $K \approx C$ by Theorem 2.2(a). As in the proof of Theorem 4.2, we have that $K \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i) \subset \varphi[P] = A$, whence $K \setminus A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (B_i \setminus A)$. Fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly $B_i \setminus A$ is closed in $K \setminus A$; since B_i is nowhere dense in B_{i+1} by (1), (6) and (7), and since $B_i \setminus A$ is dense in B_i by (8), also $B_i \setminus A$ is nowhere dense in $B_{i+1} \setminus A$. From (2), (3) and (8) it follows that $B_i \cap A = \bigcup \{K_s \cap A : |s| \leq i\}$ is a countable disjoint union of closed copies of $Q \times C$, whence $B_i \cap A$ is also σ -compact, nowhere countable, and nowhere locally compact (see the argument in the proof of Theorem 4.3, showing that $\overline{B} \approx Q \times C$) and thus homeomorphic to $Q \times C$ by Theorem 2.2(d); hence $B_i \setminus A \approx P$ since $B_i \cap A$ is dense in B_i . So $K \setminus A \approx Q \times P$ by Theorem 2.2(e). It remains to be shown that $K \cap A \approx S$. By Lemma 2.4, $K \cap A$ is a zero-dimensional nowhere σ -compact space which is the union of a σ -compact and a complete subspace. Now suppose U is open in $K \cap A$; then for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $U \cap B_i = U \cap B_i \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Since $B_i \cap A \approx Q \times C$, $U \cap B_i \cap A \approx Q \times C$; so U contains a closed copy of $Q \times C$. By Theorem 4.2, U is not the union of a countable and a complete subset, so by Theorem 2.3(a), $K \cap A \approx S$. ■

5.2. THEOREM. *Let X be compact, and let A be a Borel subset of X . Then A is the union of a countable and a strongly σ -complete subset if and only if A does not contain a closed copy of S .*

Proof. Suppose A is the union of a countable and a strongly σ -complete subset, and suppose $S' \subset A$ is a closed copy of S . Then $S' = F \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i$, where

F is countable, and G_i is a closed and complete subset of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i$. Then $\overline{G_i} \setminus G_i \subset F$, so $\overline{G_i}$ is the union of a complete and a countable subset; the same is true of $\{x\}$, for each $x \in F$. Hence $S' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{G_i} \cup \bigcup_{x \in F} \{x\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$, where each A_i is closed in S' and the union of a countable and a complete subset. From the characterization of S it follows that each A_i is nowhere dense in S' , contradicting the fact that S is Baire. The other implication follows immediately from Theorem 5.1. ■

We now come to our last Hurewicz-type theorem.

5.3. THEOREM. *Let X be compact, and let A be a Borel subset of X which is not strongly σ -complete. Then X contains a Cantor set K such that $K \cap A \approx T$ and $K \setminus A \approx Q \times P$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : P \rightarrow A$ be a continuous surjection, and, as in [10], put $W = \{x \in P : \text{there exists a neighborhood } V_x \text{ of } x \text{ in } P, \text{ and a } \sigma\text{-compact subset } E_x \text{ of } X \text{ such that } \varphi[V_x] \subset E_x, \text{ and } E_x \setminus A \text{ is } \sigma\text{-compact}\}$. Then $\varphi[W] \subset E$ for some σ -compact subset E of X with the property that $E \setminus A$ is also σ -compact. Put $F = P \setminus \varphi^{-1}[E \cap A]$. If F is empty, then $X \setminus A = (X \setminus E) \cup (E \setminus A)$ is the union of a complete and a σ -compact subspace and hence A is strongly σ -complete by Lemma 2.1, a contradiction. So $F \neq \emptyset$. If $\emptyset \neq U$ is open in F , say $U = U' \cap F$ where U' is open in P , then $\varphi[U'] = \varphi[U] \cup \varphi[U' \setminus U] \subset \overline{\varphi[U]} \cup E$ which is σ -compact; since $U' \not\subset W$, and since $E \setminus A$ is σ -compact, we have that $\varphi[U] \setminus A$ is not σ -compact whence $\overline{\varphi[U]} \cap A$ is not complete. So by Theorem 4.1, $\overline{\varphi[U]} \cap A$ contains a Cantor set K such that $K \cap A \approx Q$ and $K \setminus A \approx P$. Now define K_s, W_s , and \mathcal{U}_i as in the proof of Theorem 5.1 such that conditions (1)-(7) and (9)-(13) are satisfied, as well as:

$$(8') K_s \cap A \approx Q \text{ and } K_s \setminus A \approx P.$$

(The only major difference with Saint Raymond's proof is the addition of the hypotheses (10)-(13).)

Again, we define $K = (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i)^-$, and prove that $K \approx C$, $K \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i) \subset \varphi[P] = A$, and $K \setminus A \approx Q \times P$. So all that remains to be shown is that $K \cap A \approx T$. By Lemma 2.3, $K \cap A$ is a zero-dimensional nowhere σ -compact, nowhere complete space. Also,

$$K \cap A = (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i)^- \cap A = K \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (B_i \cap A).$$

Since $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ is σ -compact, $K \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i)$ is complete; and $B_i \cap A = \bigcup \{K_s \cap A : |s| \leq i\}$ is a countable union of copies of Q by (8'), hence $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (B_i \cap A)$ is countable. So $K \cap A$ is the union of a countable and a complete subset; by Theorem 2.3(b), $K \cap A \approx T$. ■

5.4. THEOREM. Let X be compact, and let A be a Borel subset of X . Then A is strongly σ -complete if and only if A does not contain a closed copy of T .

Proof. Suppose A is strongly σ -complete, and suppose $T' \subset A$ is a closed copy of T . Then $T' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$, where each A_i is complete and closed in T' . Since T is nowhere complete, each A_i is nowhere dense in T' , contradicting the fact that T is Baire. The other implication follows immediately from Theorem 5.3. ■

6. Topological characterizations of $\mathcal{Q} \times S$ and $\mathcal{Q} \times T$. Throughout this section, \mathcal{X} denotes the class of all zero-dimensional spaces which are the union of a strongly σ -complete and a σ -compact subspace, and which are nowhere the union of a complete and a σ -compact subspace, and nowhere the union of a countable and a strongly σ -complete subspace. Similarly, \mathcal{Q} denotes the class of all zero-dimensional spaces which are the union of a strongly σ -complete and a countable subspace, and which are nowhere the union of a complete and a countable subspace, and nowhere strongly σ -complete.

The following theorem shows that both \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Q} are non-empty.

6.1. THEOREM. $\mathcal{Q} \times S \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Q} \times T \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Proof. Write $S = F \cup G$, where F is σ -compact, and G is complete. Then $\mathcal{Q} \times S = \bigcup_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} (\{q\} \times F) \cup \bigcup_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} (\{q\} \times G)$. Since each $\{q\} \times S$ is closed in $\mathcal{Q} \times S$, $\bigcup_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} (\{q\} \times G)$ is strongly σ -complete, and $\bigcup_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} (\{q\} \times F)$ is σ -compact. Let $\emptyset \neq U \subset \mathcal{Q} \times S$, and suppose $\emptyset \neq U_1$ and $\emptyset \neq U_2$ are clopen subsets of \mathcal{Q} and S , respectively, such that $U_1 \times U_2 \subset U$. Since $U_1 \approx \mathcal{Q}$ and $U_2 \approx S$, U contains a closed copy of $\mathcal{Q} \times S$, and thus also a closed copy of S . By Theorem 5.2, U is not the union of a strongly σ -complete and a countable subspace; hence $\mathcal{Q} \times S$ is nowhere the union of a strongly σ -complete and a countable subset. In particular, $U_1 \times U_2$ is nowhere the union of a complete and a σ -compact subset, and nowhere σ -compact; so if U is the union of a complete and a σ -compact subset, then so is $U_1 \times U_2$, and hence $U_1 \times U_2 \approx S$. But S is Baire, whereas clearly $\mathcal{Q} \times S$ is not. So $\mathcal{Q} \times S$ is nowhere the union of a complete and a σ -compact subset. Hence $\mathcal{Q} \times S \in \mathcal{X}$. The proof that $\mathcal{Q} \times T \in \mathcal{Q}$ is similar; we apply Theorem 5.4 instead of Theorem 5.2 to prove that $\mathcal{Q} \times T$ is nowhere strongly σ -complete. ■

The results from Section 5 now enable us to show that the above properties completely characterize $\mathcal{Q} \times S$ and $\mathcal{Q} \times T$.

6.2. THEOREM. Up to homeomorphism, \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Q} each contain only one element.

Our argument heavily relies on the following instance of a theorem from [8]:

6.3. THEOREM. Let $A \in \{S, T\}$. Then $\mathcal{Q} \times A$ is the unique space which can be written as a countable union of closed subspaces A_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) such that each A_i is homeomorphic to A and a nowhere dense subset of A_{i+1} .

Proof of Theorem 6.2. Let $X \in \mathcal{X}$, say $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i$, where each

F_i is compact, and each G_i is complete and closed in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i$. Then $\overline{G_i} \setminus G_i \subset F = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$, which is σ -compact. Since G_i is a G_δ in $\overline{G_i}$, $\overline{G_i} \setminus G_i$ is an F_σ in F , hence $\overline{G_i} \setminus G_i$ is also σ -compact. Thus $\overline{G_i}$ is the union of a complete and a σ -compact subset; the same is true of each F_i . Hence $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{G_i} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$, where each A_i is closed in X and the union of a complete and a σ -compact subset. Since X is zero-dimensional, we can find a disjoint clopen cover \mathcal{D} of $X \setminus A$, such that for each $D \in \mathcal{D}$, $\text{diam}(D) < d(D, A_1)$. Since D is not the union of a countable and a strongly σ -complete subspace, it contains by Theorem 5.2 a closed copy $S(D)$ of S . Put $X_1 = A_1 \cup \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} S(D)$. Clearly, X_1 is closed in X . Write $S(D) = F(D) \cup G(D)$, where $F(D)$ is σ -compact and $G(D)$ is complete. Then $\bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} F(D)$ is σ -compact, and

$\bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} G(D)$ is complete since \mathcal{D} is clopen and disjoint. Since A_1 is also the union of a complete and a σ -compact subspace, so is X_1 . To show that $X_1 \approx S$, we must prove that it is nowhere σ -compact, and nowhere the union of a complete and countable subspace. Since $S(D) \approx S$ for each $D \in \mathcal{D}$, and since $S(D)$ is closed in X_1 , it suffices to show that $U \cap \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} S(D) \neq \emptyset$ for each non-empty open U in X_1 . So

suppose to the contrary that some non-empty open subset U of X_1 is contained in A_1 , say $U = U' \cap X_1$ where U' is open in X , and let $x \in U$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $B(x, \varepsilon) \subset U'$. Since X is nowhere the union of a complete and a σ -compact space, $B(x, \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon) \not\subset A_1$, whence $B(x, \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ for some $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Since $\text{diam}(D) < (d, A_1) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ for this D , we have $S(D) \subset D \subset B(x, \varepsilon) \subset U'$, and $S(D) \subset U' \cap X_1 = U \subset A_1$ which is impossible. Thus $X_1 \approx S$, and from the above argument it also follows that A_1 is nowhere dense in X_1 . Now replace A_1 by $X_1 \cup A_2$ and construct a closed copy X_2 of S in X such that $X_1 \cup A_2$ is nowhere dense in X_2 ; in general, we can find closed copies X_i of S in X such that X_i is nowhere dense in X_{i+1} and $A_i \subset X_i$, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$, and $X \approx \mathcal{Q} \times S$ by Theorem 6.3.

Now suppose $X \in \mathcal{Q}$; as in the proof of Theorem 5.2, we can write $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$, where each A_i is closed in X and the union of a complete and a countable subset. Now follow the same line of argument as above; the closed copies $T(D)$ of T in D can be obtained from Theorem 5.4 and the fact that X is nowhere strongly σ -complete. ■

Using the characterizations of Theorem 2.2 and 2.3, it is easily seen that the properties characterizing $\mathcal{Q} \times S$ and $\mathcal{Q} \times T$ cannot be weakened; also, they show that $\mathcal{Q} \times P$, S , T , $\mathcal{Q} \times S$ and $\mathcal{Q} \times T$ are pairwise non-homeomorphic.

Appendix: A topological characterization of T . Let \mathcal{S} denote the class of all zero-dimensional spaces which are the union of a σ -compact and a complete subspace, and which are nowhere σ -compact, and nowhere the union of a complete and a countable subset. Let \mathcal{T} denote the class of all zero-dimensional spaces which

are the union of a countable and a complete subspace, and which are nowhere σ -compact and nowhere complete.

Theorem 2.3 states, that \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} each contain only one element, up to homeomorphism. For the class \mathcal{S} a proof of this fact was given in [9]. A proof for the class \mathcal{T} was given by van Douwen ([4]); since our proof for \mathcal{T} is very similar to that for \mathcal{S} , we first sketch the proof from [9].

A.1. LEMMA ([9], Corollary 5.2). *Let $S \subset C$ be dense such that $S \in \mathcal{S}$. Then there is a sequence P_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) of closed and complete subspaces of $C \setminus S$ such that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$:*

- (1) $P_i \subset P_{i+1}$, and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i = C \setminus S$;
- (2) P_i is nowhere dense in P_{i+1} ;
- (3) $\overline{P_i} \setminus P_i \approx Q \times C$.

A.2. LEMMA ([9], Theorem 2.3). *Let $K, L \subset C$ be dense copies of $Q \times C$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there is a homeomorphism $\varphi: C \rightarrow C$ such that $\varphi[K] = L$ and $d(\varphi, \text{id}) < \varepsilon$.*

A.3. THEOREM ([9], Theorem 5.3). *Let $S_1, S_2 \subset C$ be dense such that $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{S}$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a homeomorphism $\varphi: C \rightarrow C$ such that $\varphi[S_1] = S_2$ and $d(\varphi, \text{id}) < \varepsilon$.*

Proof of Theorem A.3. Write $C \setminus S_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i(1)$, $C \setminus S_2 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i(2)$, with $P_i(1), P_i(2)$ as in Lemma A.1. Using a Bernstein-type argument, define for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ homeomorphisms $h_i, g_i: C \rightarrow C$, such that $\varphi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n^{-1} \circ h_n \circ \dots \circ g_1^{-1} \circ h_1$ is a homeomorphism and such that for some sequences of natural numbers $1 = m_0 < m_1 < \dots$ and $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ we have:

- (1) $g_i^{-1} \circ h_i \circ g_{i-1}^{-1} \circ h_{i-1} \circ \dots \circ g_1^{-1} \circ h_1 [P_{m_{i-1}}(1)] \subset P_{n_i}(2)$;
- (2) $g_i^{-1} \circ h_i \circ g_{i-1}^{-1} \circ h_{i-1} \circ \dots \circ g_1^{-1} \circ h_1 [P_{m_i}(1)] \supset P_{n_i}(2)$;
- (3) if $k \geq i + 1$, then $g_k^{-1} \circ h_k [g_i^{-1} \circ h_i \circ \dots \circ g_1^{-1} \circ h_1 [P_{m_{i-1}}(1)]] = \text{id}$.

Then $\varphi[C \setminus S_1] = \varphi[C \setminus S_2]$ and hence $S_1 \approx S_2$. Conditions (1) and (2) can be satisfied using Lemma A.2. ■

Now the proof for \mathcal{T} is exactly the same once we replace \mathcal{S} by \mathcal{T} and $Q \times C$ by Q . Lemma A.2 with Q instead of $Q \times C$ can be easily proved using the fact that C is countable dense homogeneous (see e.g. [5], Exercise 4.3H): if $\varepsilon > 0$ is given, we can write C as a finite disjoint union $\bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i$, where each C_i is a clopen subset of C of diameter less than ε ; then if K, L are two dense copies of Q in C , we can find autohomeomorphisms h_i of C_i such that $h_i[K \cap C_i] = L \cap C_i$. Then $h = \bigcup_{i=1}^n h_i$ is an autohomeomorphism of C , $h[K] = L$, and $d(h, \text{id}) < \varepsilon$.

Hence to complete our proof, we only have to prove the analogue to Lemma A.1.

A.4. LEMMA. *Let $T \subset C$ be dense such that $T \in \mathcal{T}$. Then there is a sequence P_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) of closed and complete subspaces of $C \setminus T$ such that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$:*

- (1) $P_i \subset P_{i+1}$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i = C \setminus T$;
- (2) P_i is nowhere dense in P_{i+1} ;
- (3) $\overline{P_i} \setminus P_i \approx Q$.

Proof. Since $C \setminus T \approx Q \times P$ by Lemma 2.4, there exist complete closed subspaces E_i of $C \setminus T$ such that $C \setminus T = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$. Fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\overline{E_i} \setminus E_i = \overline{E_i} \cap T$ is a closed subset of T , it is the union of a complete subset G_i and a countable subset. Hence $\overline{E_i} \setminus G_i$ is σ -compact, say $\overline{E_i} \setminus G_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} K(i, j)$, where each $K(i, j)$ is compact, and $K(i, j) \setminus E_i$ is countable. Put $\{M_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{K(i, j) \cap E_i; i \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \mathbb{N}\} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Then each M_n is closed in $C \setminus T$ and contained in some E_i , hence complete, and $\overline{M_n} \setminus M_n$ is countable for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $R_1 = M_1$. By Lemma 2.5, there exists a countable discrete subset D of $(C \setminus T) \setminus \overline{R_1}$ such that $\overline{D} = D \cup \overline{R_1}$ (note that R_1 is nowhere dense in $C \setminus T$ since $Q \times P$ is nowhere complete). For each $x \in D$, choose a clopen neighborhood V_x of x in $C \setminus T$ such that $\overline{V_x} \cap \overline{R_1} = \emptyset$, and such that $\text{diam}(V_x) < d(x, \overline{R_1})$, and $\{V_x; x \in D\}$ is pairwise disjoint. Since $C \setminus T$ is nowhere σ -compact, V_x is a Borel subset of V_x which is not an F_σ in $\overline{V_x}$; hence by Theorem 4.1 there exists a copy C_x of the Cantor set in $\overline{V_x}$ such that $C_x \cap V_x \approx P$ and $C_x \setminus V_x \approx Q$. Put $K_1 = \bigcup_{x \in D} C_x \cup \overline{R_1}$. We claim that $K_1 \approx C$. Indeed, suppose

$$y \in \underbrace{(\bigcup_{x \in D} C_x)}_{x \in D} \setminus \underbrace{(\bigcup_{x \in D} C_x)}_{x \in D}, \text{ say } y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n, y_n \in C_{x_n}. \text{ Since } y \notin \bigcup_{x \in D} C_x, \text{ and } C_x \text{ is closed}$$

for each $x \in D$, we may assume that $x_n \neq x_m$ if $n \neq m$. By compactness, $(x_n)_n$ has a convergent subsequence $(x_{n(k)})_k$, say $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n(k)} = x$. Then since $\overline{D} = D \cup \overline{R_1}$,

x must be in $\overline{R_1}$, and since $\text{diam}(V_{x_{n(k)}}) < d(x_{n(k)}, \overline{R_1})$, also $y_{n(k)} \rightarrow x$. Hence $y \in \overline{R_1} \subset K_1$, so $K_1 = K_1$. Since K_1 clearly does not contain any isolated points, $K_1 \approx C$ by Theorem 2.2(a). Now put $P_1 = K_1 \cap (C \setminus T)$. Then P_1 is complete since $K_1 \setminus P_1 = \bigcup_{x \in D} (C_x \setminus V_x) \cup \overline{R_1} \setminus R_1 \approx Q$ is an F_σ in K_1 ; also R_1 is nowhere dense in P_1 . Now

replace R_1 by $R_2 = P_1 \cup M_2$ and construct P_2 likewise. Proceeding in this manner, we obtain complete subsets P_n of $C \setminus T$ containing M_n such that $R_n = P_{n-1} \cup M_n$ is nowhere dense in P_n . Then $\{P_i; i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is as required. ■

A.5. THEOREM. *Let $T_1, T_2 \subset C$ be dense such that $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a homeomorphism $\varphi: C \rightarrow C$ such that $\varphi[T_1] = T_2$ and $d(\varphi, \text{id}) < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Same as the proof of Theorem A.3. ■

From Theorem A.5 it not only follows that \mathcal{T} contains only one element (up to homeomorphism), but also that C is T dense homogeneous. By Lemma A.2 and Theorem A.3, C is also $Q \times C$ dense homogeneous and S dense homogeneous.

Hence, in Theorems 4.3, 5.1, and 5.3, the partition of the Cantor set K in a copy of $Q \times C$ and a copy of P (resp. S and $Q \times P$, resp. T and $Q \times P$) is unique. In other words, given a Cantor set $K = K_1 \cup K_2$, where $K_1 \approx Q \times C$, $K_2 \approx P$, and $K_1 \cap K_2 = \emptyset$, then in any compact space X containing a Borel set A which is not the union of a complete and a countable subset, K can be embedded in such a way that $K \cap A = K_1$ and $K \setminus A = K_2$; similarly for the cases of Theorems 5.1 and 5.3.

References

- [1] P. Alexandroff and P. Urysohn, *Über nulldimensionale Punktmengen*, Math. Ann. 98 (1928), pp. 89–106.
- [2] C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, *Selected Topics in Infinite-Dimensional Topology*, Warszawa 1975.
- [3] L. E. J. Brouwer, *On the structure of perfect sets of points*, Proc. Akad. Amsterdam 12 (1910), pp. 785–794.
- [4] E. van Douwen, unpublished.
- [5] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Warszawa 1977.
- [6] W. Hurewicz, *Relativ perfekte Teile von Punktmengen und Mengen (A)*, Fund. Math. 12 (1928), pp. 78–109.
- [7] K. Kuratowski, *Topologie I*, second edition, Warszawa 1958.
- [8] J. van Mill, *Characterization of some zero-dimensional separable metric spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 264 (1981), pp. 205–215.
- [9] — *Characterization of a certain subset of the Cantor set*, Fund. Math. 118 (1983), pp. 81–91.
- [10] J. Saint Raymond, *La structure Borélienne d'Effros est-elle standard?*, Fund. Math. 100 (1978), pp. 201–210.
- [11] W. Sierpiński, *Sur une propriété topologique des ensembles dénombrables denses en soi*, Fund. Math. 1 (1920), pp. 11–16.
- [12] F. Topsøe and J. Hoffman-Jørgensen, *Analytic spaces and their applications*, in: Analytic Sets, Instr. Conf. on Anal. Sets, Univ. Coll., London 1978.

UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM
 MATHEMATISCH INSTITUUT
 Roetersstraat 15
 1018 WB Amsterdam
 The Netherlands

VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT
 SUBFACULTEIT WISKUNDE
 De Boelelaan 1081
 1081 HV Amsterdam
 The Netherlands

Received 28 June 1983

Errata to the paper

“Families of convex sets closed under intersections, homotheties
 and uniting increasing sequences of sets”
 Fundamenta Mathematicae 120 (1984), pp. 15–40

by

Marek Lassak (Bydgoszcz)

Page	For	Insert
22 ¹⁹	3. This is a special case of the preceding properties	3. $B_x(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $P_x(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \mathcal{C}$
39 ₁₅	fulfilling conditions (M), (U), (H) and	fulfilling conditions (M), (U) and