

terms of the conjugates of H . In the case of A_5 , if $|H| = 12$ then $N_{A_5}(H) = H$, but A_5 has no subgroup of index < 5 .

2. A natural place to look for an example showing that these results cannot be extended would be $\text{PSL}(2, 7)$, which has two conjugacy classes of subgroups of index 7. Unfortunately, this group does not yield a counterexample, but I will not inflict my unpleasant calculations upon the reader. For a nice listing of the properties of this group, see [6].

References

- [1] M. Curzio, *I gruppi finiti che sono somma di tre o quattro laterali di sottogruppi propri*, Boll. Un. Math. Ital. 10 (1955), pp. 228–232.
- [2] P. Erdős, *Egy kongruenciorendszerekéről szóló problémáról*, Mat. Lapok 3 (1952), pp. 122–128,
- [3] — *Some problems in number theory*, Computing in Number Theory, Academic Press, 1971, pp. 405–414.
- [4] I. Korec and S. Znám, *On disjoint covering of groups by their cosets*, Math. Slovaca 27 (1977), pp. 3–7.
- [5] S. K. Stein, *Unions of arithmetic sequences*, Mat. Ann. 134 (1958), pp. 289–294.
- [6] M. Weinstein, *Examples of groups*, Polygonal, 1977.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY OF NEWFOUNDLAND
St. John's, Newfoundland
Canada

Received 2 September 1982

On span and chainable continua

by

Lex G. Oversteegen (Birmingham, Ala.)
and E. D. Tymchatyn (Saskatoon, Sas.) (*)

Abstract. In 1964 Lelek [8] defined the notion of the span of a metric continuum and proved that chainable continua have span zero. He asked if the converse is also true, i.e., if continua with span zero are chainable. Recently, (see [10] and [13]) Lelek proved that continua with span zero are atriodic and tree-like. In [13] the authors gave some new characterization of continua with span zero and proved that continua with span zero are continuous images of the pseudo-arc. In this paper we prove that if a hereditarily indecomposable metric continuum has span zero and is an inverse limit of finite graphs with in some sense not too many branch-points or simple closed curves, then X is a pseudo-arc. In particular, it follows that if X is a continuum which is the continuous image of the pseudo-arc and such that all proper subcontinua of X are pseudo-arcs, then X itself is a pseudo-arc.

1. Introduction. All spaces considered in this paper are metric. A *compactum* is a compact metric space. A *continuum* is a connected compactum. We write $f: X \rightarrow Y$ to indicate that f is a mapping of X onto Y . We let I denote the closed unit interval and Q the Hilbert cube with a fixed but arbitrary metric d . Every continuum is a subspace of Q .

If $A \subset X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we let $S(A, \varepsilon)$ denote the open ε -ball around A in X . We let $\text{Cl}(A)$ denote the closure of A in X .

If X and Y are continua we let $\pi_1: X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_2: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ denote the first and second coordinate projections, respectively. We let ΔX denote the diagonal in $X \times X$. We define (see [9]) the *surjective span* of X , $\sigma^*(X)$, (resp. the *surjective semi-span*, $\sigma_0^*(X)$) to be the least upper bound of all real numbers ε for which there exists a subcontinuum $Z \subset X \times X$ such that $\pi_1(Z) = X = \pi_2(Z)$ (resp. $\pi_1(Z) = X$) and $d(x, y) \geq \varepsilon$ for each $(x, y) \in Z$. The *span* of X

$$\sigma(X) = \sup\{\sigma^*(A) \mid A \text{ is a subcontinuum of } X\}$$

and the *semi-span* of X

$$\sigma_0(X) = \sup\{\sigma_0^*(A) \mid A \text{ is a subcontinuum of } X\}.$$

(*) The first author was supported in part by NSF grant number MCS-8104866 and the second author was supported in part by NSERC grant number A5616.

A continuum is *tree-like* if it can be obtained as an inverse limit of trees, i.e., connected, simply connected, finite graphs. A continuum is *chainable* if it is an inverse limit of arcs. A continuum is *indecomposable* provided it cannot be written as the union of two of its proper subcontinua. A compactum is *hereditarily indecomposable* provided every subcontinuum is indecomposable. The *pseudo-arc* is the unique (up to homeomorphism) hereditarily indecomposable chainable continuum (see [2]).

If A is a set we let $|A|$ denote the cardinality of A . If $X \subset Q$ is a continuum such that $X = \varprojlim (X_n, f_n^m)$ where the X_n are graphs we may suppose that the spaces X_n are embedded in Q such that their projections $f_n: X \rightarrow X_n$ converge to the identity map on X .

2. Preliminaries. A cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ of a space X is called a *chain-cover* provided $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow |i-j| \leq 1$. A cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ of a space X is said to be *taut* provided $\text{Cl}(U_i) \cap \text{Cl}(U_j) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$. If

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$$

is a cover of a space X we denote $i(U_j, \mathcal{U}) = U_j \setminus \text{Cl}(\bigcup_{i \neq j} U_i \in \mathcal{U})$. The following theorem (see [14, Theorem 3]) will be used:

THEOREM 1. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ be a taut, open, chain-cover of a hereditarily indecomposable compactum X such that there exists a continuum $Z \subset X$ such that $Z \cap i(U_1, \mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset \neq Z \cap i(U_n, \mathcal{U})$. Let $f: \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ be a function such that $|f(i) - f(i+1)| \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, m-1$. Then there exists a taut open chain cover $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, \dots, V_m\}$ of X such that \mathcal{V} follows pattern f in \mathcal{U} (i.e., $V_i \subset U_{f(i)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$).

COROLLARY 2. Let $g: X \rightarrow I$ be an ε -map of a hereditarily indecomposable compactum X onto I such that there exists a subcontinuum $Z \subset X$ such that $g|_Z: Z \rightarrow I$. Let $\eta > 0$ be such that $4\eta < \varepsilon - \varepsilon_1$ where $\varepsilon_1 = \max(\text{diam}\{g^{-1}(t) \mid t \in I\})$. Let $f: I \rightarrow I$ be a piecewise linear map such that $f^{-1}(0) = 0$ and $f^{-1}(1) = 1$. Then there exists an ε -map $h: X \rightarrow I$ such that $h^{-1}(0) = g^{-1}(0), h^{-1}(1) = g^{-1}(1)$ and

$$d(g(x), f \circ h(x)) < \eta$$

for each $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $\{0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = 1\}$ be a partition of I of mesh less than $\frac{1}{3}\eta$ such that $\text{diam}(g^{-1}([x_{i-2}, x_{i+1}])) < \varepsilon_1 + \eta$ for each $i \in \{2, \dots, m-1\}$ and $f|f^{-1}([0, x_2])$ and $f|f^{-1}([x_{m-2}, 1])$ are one-to-one.

Let

$$U_1 = g^{-1}([0, \frac{1}{3}x_1 + \frac{1}{3}x_2]), \quad U_n = g^{-1}([\frac{2}{3}x_{n-1} + \frac{1}{3}x_{n-2}, 1])$$

and

$$U_i = g^{-1}([\frac{2}{3}x_{i-1} + \frac{1}{3}x_{i-2}, \frac{2}{3}x_i + \frac{1}{3}x_{i+1}]) \quad \text{for } 1 < i < n.$$

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$, then \mathcal{U} is a taut, open, chain-cover of X of mesh less than ε . By taking the partition $\{x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n\}$ fine enough we may assume that

the piecewise linear map f determines a function $\bar{f}: \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|\bar{f}(i) - \bar{f}(i+1)| \leq 1$ for $i \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}, \bar{f}^{-1}(0) = 0, \bar{f}^{-1}(n) = m$ where $\{0 = y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_m = 1\}$ is a partition of I such that f is linear or constant on each $[y_{i-1}, y_i]$ and $f(y_i) = x_{\bar{f}(i)}$.

By Theorem 1, there exists a taut open chain cover \mathcal{V} of X such that \mathcal{V} follows pattern \bar{f} in \mathcal{U} . Note that $i(U_1, \mathcal{U}) \subset V_1 \subset U_1$ and $i(U_n, \mathcal{U}) \subset V_m \subset U_n$. Define $h: X \rightarrow I$ such that $h^{-1}(0) = g^{-1}(0), h^{-1}(1) = g^{-1}(1), h(V_i \cap V_{i+1}) = x_{\bar{f}(i)}$ if $i < n$ using the Tietze extension theorem such that the conditions in the statement of the corollary are satisfied.

3. Continua with span zero. In [13] the authors characterized surjective semi-span zero using uniformizations of two sequences of arcs converging onto a continuum X . The next lemma is, in some sense, a generalization of these results.

LEMMA 3. Let X be a continuum in Q with $\sigma_0^*(X) = 0$. Let I_n be a sequence of arcs in Q such that $\text{Lim } I_n = X$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ and an integer n_0 such that if $n \geq n_0$ and $G \subset S(X, \delta)$ is any Peano continuum, then a component of $\{(x, y) \in G \times I_n \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$ separates $G \times \{0\}$ from $G \times \{1\}$ where 0 and 1 denote the endpoints of I_n .

Proof. Suppose that for some $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence G_n of Peano continua and integers m_n with $m_n < m_{n+1}, G_n \subset S(X, 1/n)$ and continua

$$K_n \subset \{(x, y) \in G_n \times I_{m_n} \mid d(x, y) \geq \varepsilon\}$$

such that K_n meets both $G_n \times \{0\}$ and $G_n \times \{1\}$. Without loss of generality the sequence of continua K_n converges to a continuum $K \subset X \times X$. Then $\pi_2(K) = \text{Lim } \pi_2(K_n) = \text{Lim } I_{m_n} = X$. Also $d(K, \Delta X) \geq \varepsilon$. This contradicts the assumption that $\sigma_0^*(X) = 0$. Hence, there exists an integer n_0 and $\delta > 0$ such that if $n \geq n_0$ and $G \subset S(X, \delta)$ is a Peano continuum then $\{(x, y) \in G \times I_n \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$ separates $G \times \{0\}$ from $G \times \{1\}$.

Let $n \geq n_0$ and let sG be the suspension of G

$$sG = G \times [-1, 2] / \{G \times \{-1\}, G \times \{2\}\}.$$

Note that sG is a locally connected continuum. By the Mayer-Vietoris theorem sG has trivial first cohomology and, hence, is unicoherent. Also,

$$\{(x, y) \in G \times I_n \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$$

separates $G \times \{-\frac{1}{2}\}$ from $G \times \{\frac{3}{2}\}$ in sG so some component K does. Since $K \subset G \times I_n \subset G \times [0, 1] \subset sG$, no component of $G \times I_n \setminus K$ meets both $G \times \{0\}$ and $G \times \{1\}$.

Note 4. The restriction to a continuum $S \subset \{(x, y) \in G \times I_n \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$ of the second coordinate projection $\pi_2: G \times I_n \rightarrow I_n$ is a 2ε -map (i.e., $\text{diam}(\pi_2^{-1}(t) \cap S) < 2\varepsilon$ for each $t \in I_n$).

LEMMA 5. Let $X \subset Q$ be a continuum with $\sigma_0^*(X) = 0$. Let G_n and F_n be two

sequences of graphs in \mathcal{Q} such that $\text{Lim } G_n = X = \text{Lim } F_n$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. There exists an integer n_0 such that if $n \geq n_0$, then no component K of

$$\{(x, y) \in G_n \times F_n \mid d(x, y) \geq \varepsilon\}$$

has $\pi_2(K) = G_n$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the first part of Lemma 3 and is omitted.

LEMMA 6. Let $X \subset Q$ be a hereditarily indecomposable continuum with $\sigma_0(X) = 0$. Let I_n be a sequence of arcs in Q such that $\text{Lim } I_n = X$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists a $\delta > 0$ and an integer n_0 such that if $G \subset S(X, \delta)$ is a finite connected graph, $n \geq n_0$, $(a, b) \in G \times I_n$ with $d(a, b) < \delta$ and L is the component of $\{(x, y) \in G \times I_n \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$ which contains (a, b) , then no component of $G \times I_n \setminus L$ meets both $\{a\} \times I_n$ and $G \times \{b\}$.

Proof. Suppose there exists a sequence $m_1 < m_2 < \dots$ of positive integers and a sequence G_n of finite connected graphs with $G_n \subset S(X, 1/n)$ such that for each n there exist $(a_n, b_n) \in G_n \times I_{m_n}$ with $d(a_n, b_n) < 1/n$ and a continuum

$$K_n \subset \{(x, y) \in G_n \times I_{m_n} \mid d(x, y) \geq \varepsilon\}$$

such that K_n meets both $\{a_n\} \times I_{m_n}$ and $G_n \times \{b_n\}$. Without loss of generality the sequence K_n converges to a continuum $K \subset X \times X$. Then $d(K, \Delta X) \geq \varepsilon$ and

$$d(\pi_1(K), \pi_2(K)) = \lim d(\pi_1(K_n), \pi_2(K_n)) \leq \lim d(a_n, b_n) \leq \lim 1/n = 0.$$

Hence $\pi_1(K) \cap \pi_2(K) \neq \emptyset$. Since X is hereditarily indecomposable either $\pi_1(K) \cap \pi_2(K) = \pi_1(K)$ or $\pi_2(K) \cap \pi_1(K)$. Thus $\sigma_0(X) \geq \sigma_0(\pi_1(K) \cup \pi_2(K)) \geq \varepsilon > 0$ which is a contradiction.

Thus, there exists δ with $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ and an integer n_0 such that if $G \subset S(X, 2\delta)$ is a finite connected graph, $n \geq n_0$ and $(a, b) \in G \times I_n$ with $d(a, b) \leq 2\delta$, then no component of $\{(x, y) \in G \times I_n \mid d(x, y) \geq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\}$ meets both $\{a\} \times I_n$ and $G \times \{b\}$. Hence, if G is unicoherent the component of $\{(x, y) \in G \times I_n \mid d(x, y) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\}$ containing the point (a, b) separates $\{a\} \times I_n$ from $G \times \{b\}$ in $G \times I_n$.

Suppose $G \subset S(X, \frac{1}{2}\delta)$ is a finite connected non-acyclic graph. Let \hat{G} be the universal covering space of G and $p: \hat{G} \rightarrow G$ be the covering projection. We may suppose \hat{G} is embedded in $S(X, \frac{1}{2}\delta)$ such that $\hat{G} \cup G$ is a compactification of \hat{G} by G and the natural extension \hat{p} of p to $\hat{G} \cup G$ is a $\frac{1}{2}\delta$ -retraction of $\hat{G} \cup G$ to G .

Let $n \geq n_0$ and let A be an arc in $G \times I_n$ which is irreducible with respect to intersecting $\{a\} \times I_n$ and $G_n \times \{b\}$. Then $(p \times \text{id}_{I_n})^{-1}(A) = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots$ where the A_i are pairwise disjoint arcs in $\hat{G} \times I_n$ which map homeomorphically onto A under $p \times \text{id}_{I_n}$. Let $\pi_1: \hat{G} \times I_n \rightarrow \hat{G}$ be the first coordinate projection and let $\pi_1(A_1) = C$. Let $a_1 \in C$ such that $p(a_1) = a \in G$. Then $d(a_1, b) < 2\delta$ and if K is the component of (a_1, b) in $\{(x, y) \in C \times I_n \mid d(x, y) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\}$, then by the second paragraph of this proof K separates $\{a_1\} \times I_n$ from $C \times \{b\}$ in $C \times I_n$ since C is unicoherent. Hence $K \cap A_1 \neq \emptyset$. Clearly

$$(a, b) \in (p \times \text{id}_{I_n})(K) \subset \{(x, y) \in G \times I_n \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\} \text{ and } (p \times \text{id}_{I_n})(K) \cap A \neq \emptyset.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

LEMMA 7. Let T_1 and T_2 be connected graphs and let x be a point such that $T_1 \cap T_2 = \{x\}$. Let $y \in I$ and let K_1 and K_2 be graphs with $K_i \subset T_i \times I$ such that $K_i \cap (\{x\} \times I) = \{(x, y)\}$ and K_i separates $T_i \times \{0\}$ from $T_i \times \{1\}$ for each $i = 1, 2$. Then $K_1 \cup K_2$ separates $(T_1 \cup T_2) \times \{0\}$ from $(T_1 \cup T_2) \times \{1\}$ in $(T_1 \cup T_2) \times I$.

Proof. We may suppose that K_1 is irreducible with respect to separating $T_1 \times \{0\}$ from $T_1 \times \{1\}$ in $T_1 \times I$ for $i = 1, 2$. If $K_1 \cup K_2$ does not separate $(T_1 \cup T_2) \times \{0\}$ from $(T_1 \cup T_2) \times \{1\}$ in $(T_1 \cup T_2) \times I$, then there exists a polygonal arc

$$A \subset (T_1 \cup T_2) \times \bigcap (K_1 \cup K_2)$$

such that A meets both $(T_1 \cup T_2) \times \{0\}$ and $(T_1 \cup T_2) \times \{1\}$. Since $(K_1 \cup K_2) \cap (\{x\} \times I) = \{(x, y)\}$, it is easy to see that there exists an arc B such that either

$$B \subset [A \cup (\{x\} \times \{(0, y) \cup (y, 1)\})] \cap (T_1 \times \bigcap K_1),$$

or

$$B \subset [A \cup (\{x\} \times \{(0, y) \cup (y, 1)\})] \cap (T_2 \times \bigcap K_2)$$

and B meets both $(T_1 \cup T_2) \times \{0\}$ and $(T_1 \cup T_2) \times \{1\}$ which is a contradiction.

4. Some span zero type conditions for chainability. Let $X \subset Q$ be a continuum. We give five conditions that X may satisfy:

- (i) X is chainable.
- (ii) For each sequence of arcs I_n in Q such that $\text{Lim } I_n = X$ there exists an inverse sequence of graphs (G_n, f_n^m) such that:
 - (a) $X = \varprojlim (G_n, f_n^m)$,
 - (b) $G_n \subset Q$ such that $\text{Lim } G_n = X$ and the projection $f_n: X \rightarrow G_n$ is a $1/n$ -map such that $d(x, f_n(x)) < 1/n$,
 - (c) for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each integer n_0 there exists $n \geq n_0$ and a graph $G_n^* \subset C(n, \varepsilon) = \{(x, y) \in G_n \times I_n \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$ such that G_n^* is homeomorphic to G_n and G_n^* separates $G_n \times \{0\}$ from $G_n \times \{1\}$ in $G_n \times I_n$.
- (iii) For each sequence G_n of graphs in Q such that $X = \text{Lim } G_n$, $d(x, f_n(x)) < 1/n$, $X = \varprojlim (G_n, f_n^m)$ and $f_n: X \rightarrow G_n$ is a $1/n$ -map there exists a sequence of arcs I_n in Q such that
 - (a) $\text{Lim } I_n = X$,
 - (b) for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each integer n_0 there exists $n \geq n_0$ and a graph $G_n^* \subset C(n, \varepsilon) = \{(x, y) \in G_n \times I_n \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$ such that G_n^* is homeomorphic to G_n and G_n^* separates $G_n \times \{0\}$ from $G_n \times \{1\}$ in $G_n \times I_n$.
- (iv) There exist sequences I_n of arcs and G_n of graphs in Q such that
 - (a) $\text{Lim } I_n = \text{Lim } G_n = X$,
 - (b) $X = \varprojlim (G_n, f_n^m)$ and $f_n: X \rightarrow G_n$ is a $1/n$ -map such that $d(x, f_n(x)) < 1/n$
 - (c) for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each integer n_0 there exists $n \geq n_0$ and a graph $G_n^* \subset C(n, \varepsilon) = \{(x, y) \in G_n \times I_n \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$ such that G_n^* is homeomorphic to G_n and G_n^* separates $G_n \times \{0\}$ from $G_n \times \{1\}$ in $G_n \times I_n$.
 - (v) For every pair of sequences I_n of arcs and G_n of graphs in Q such that $\text{Lim } I_n = \text{Lim } G_n = X$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an integer n_0 such that for

each $n \geq n_0$ there exists a graph $K_n \subset C(n, \varepsilon) = \{(x, y) \in G_n \times I_n \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$ such that K_n separates $G_n \times \{0\}$ from $G_n \times \{1\}$ in $G_n \times I_n$.

THEOREM 8. *If $X \subset Q$ is a continuum then we have the following relations among these conditions:*

$$(i) \begin{array}{l} \nearrow (ii) \\ \searrow (iii) \end{array} \not\Rightarrow (iv)$$

$$(i) \Rightarrow (\sigma(X) = 0) \Leftrightarrow (\sigma_0(X) = 0) \Rightarrow (\sigma_0^*(X) = 0) \Rightarrow (v)$$

Moreover, if X is hereditarily indecomposable then $(iv) \Rightarrow (i)$.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$. Suppose X is chainable and let I_n be a sequence of arcs in Q converging onto X . Since X is chainable, there exists a sequence of arcs G_n in Q such that $X = \varinjlim(G_n, f_n^m)$, $X = \text{Lim } G_n$, $d(x, f_n(x_n)) < 1/n$ and $f_n: X \rightarrow G_n$ is a $1/n$ -map. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since X is chainable, $\sigma_0(X) = 0$. Hence by Lemma 3 there exists an integer n_0 such that for $n \geq n_0$ a component K_n of

$$\{(x, y) \in G_n \times I_n \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$$

separates $G_n \times \{0\}$ from $G_n \times \{1\}$. By [6, p. 438] there exists a locally connected continuum $H_n \subset K_n$ which separates $G_n \times \{0\}$ from $G_n \times \{1\}$. Hence there exists an arc $M_n \subset H_n$ which separates $G_n \times \{0\}$ from $G_n \times \{1\}$.

$(i) \Rightarrow (iii)$. Let G_n be a sequence of graphs in Q such that $\text{Lim } G_n = X = \varinjlim(G_n, f_n^m)$, $f_n: X \rightarrow G_n$ is a $1/n$ -map and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let \mathcal{U}_n be a nested sequence of open chain covers of X in Q such that $\text{mesh } \mathcal{U}_n < 1/n$ and let $I_n \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}_n$ be the nerve of \mathcal{U}_n . Without loss of generality $G_n \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}_n$. Let $r_n: \bigcup \mathcal{U}_n \rightarrow I_n$ be a $1/n$ -retraction. Let $G_n^* \subset G_n \times I_n$ be the graph of $r_n|_{G_n}$. Then $G_n^* \approx G_n$ and G_n^* separates $G_n \times \{0\}$ from $G_n \times \{1\}$ in $G_n \times I_n$.

$(i) \Rightarrow \sigma(X) = 0$. See [8].

$\sigma(X) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \sigma_0(X) = 0$. See [5].

$\sigma_0^*(X) \Rightarrow (v)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By Lemma 3 there exists an integer n_0 such that for $n \geq n_0$ there exists a component K_n of $\{(x, y) \in G_n \times I_n \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$ which separates $G_n \times \{0\}$ from $G_n \times \{1\}$. Since $G_n \times I_n$ is embedded in the suspension of G_n (cf. the proof of Lemma 3) which is a locally connected unicoherent continuum, it follows that there exists a locally connected continuum $H_n \subset K_n$ which separates $G_n \times \{0\}$ from $G_n \times \{1\}$. ([6], p. 438). Since G_n is a finite graph and K_n is open in $G_n \times I_n$, it follows that there exists a graph $C_n \subset K_n$ which separates $G_n \times \{0\}$ from $G_n \times \{1\}$.

$(ii) \Rightarrow (iv)$ and $(iii) \Rightarrow (iv)$ trivial.

Suppose X is hereditarily indecomposable and satisfies (iv) . Let I_n and G_n be sequences in Q which satisfy (iv) . We will show that X is chainable. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Without loss of generality we may assume that I_n and G_n are piecewise linear ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) in Q . Let n be an integer so large that the projection $f: X \rightarrow G_n$ moves points less than ε and there exists a graph

$$G_n^* \subset C(n, \varepsilon) = \{(x, y) \in G_n \times I_n \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$$

such that G_n^* separates $G_n \times \{0\}$ from $G_n \times \{1\}$ in $G_n \times I_n$ and such that G_n^* is homeomorphic to G_n . We may suppose, by compressing G_n^* slightly in the second coordinate, that $G_n^* \cap (G_n \times \{0, 1\}) = \emptyset$, since $G_n^* \subset C(n, \varepsilon)$ which is open in $G_n \times I_n$. Let $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon$ such that f_n is an ε_1 -map. Let $\eta > 0$ be such that $4\eta < \varepsilon - \varepsilon_1$ and the diameter of $f_n^{-1}(S(x, \eta)) < \varepsilon$ for each $x \in G_n$. Let $G'_n \subset G_n^*$ be irreducible with respect to separating $G_n \times \{0\}$ from $G_n \times \{1\}$ in $G_n \times I_n$.

Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ be the branch-points and endpoints of G_n . It is not difficult to see that if x_t is a branch-point of G_n , then there exists in G'_n at least one branch-point of the form (x_t, y_t) for some $y_t \in I_n$ since G'_n separates $G_n \times \{0\}$ from $G_n \times \{1\}$. In fact the order of G'_n at (x_t, y_t) is at least as great as the order of G_n at x_t . Since $G'_n \subset G_n^* \approx G_n$, it follows that G'_n has exactly one branch point in $\{x_t\} \times I_n$. Let U be a connected neighbourhood of x_t in G_n such that $\text{Cl}(U)$ contains only one vertex of the graph G_n . If $(x_t, z) \in G'_n$ such that the component C of (x_t, z) in $G'_n \cap (\{x_t\} \times I_n)$ does not contain (x_t, y_t) , then there exists an arc $A \subset U$ having x_t as an endpoint such that some neighbourhood of C in G'_n is contained in $A \times I_n$. By adjusting G'_n slightly in the open set $C(n, \varepsilon)$ we may suppose $G'_n \cap (\{x_t\} \times I_n)$ is connected for each branch-point x_t of G_n . By a further small adjustment of G'_n we may assume $G'_n \cap (\{x_t\} \times I_n) = \{(x_t, y_t)\}$ for each branch-point x_t of G_n and that G'_n is piecewise linear in $G_n \times I_n$. It follows that G_n is homeomorphic to G'_n under a homeomorphism which takes x_t to (x_t, y_t) for each $t \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

If G_n does not contain any branch-point, then G_n is either an arc or a circle. The proof is complete if G_n is an arc. If G_n is a circle, let (\tilde{G}_n, p) be the universal covering space of G_n . Since $\sigma(X) = 0$, X is tree-like. Hence the projection $f_n: X \rightarrow G_n$ has a lifting $\tilde{f}_n: X \rightarrow \tilde{G}_n$ such that $f_n = p \circ \tilde{f}_n$. It follows that \tilde{f}_n is an ε -map of X onto an arc. Hence we may assume that G_n contains at least one branch-point.

Let A be an arc in G_n with end points x_i and x_j such that A contains no other points of $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$. Then $\pi_1^{-1}(A) \cap G'_n$ is an arc A' . By Corollary 2 there exists an ε -map $g: f_n^{-1}(A) \rightarrow A'$ such that

$$g^{-1}((x_i, y_i)) = f_n^{-1}(x_i), \quad g^{-1}((x_j, y_j)) = f_n^{-1}(x_j) \quad \text{and} \quad d(f_n(x), \pi_1 \circ g(x)) < \eta$$

or each $x \in f_n^{-1}(A)$.

If C is a simple closed curve in G_n such that $\text{Bd}(C)$ in G_n is at most a single point x_k , then $\pi_1^{-1}(C) \cap G'_n$ is a simple closed curve C' . Choose an arc $K \subset C \setminus \{x_k\}$ with end point a_c and b_c such that $\text{diam}(f_n^{-1}(\text{Cl}(C \setminus K))) < \varepsilon$ and $(\{x\} \times I_n) \cap G'_n$ is a singleton for each $x \in \text{Cl}(C \setminus K)$. Since $\pi_1^{-1}(K) \cap G'_n$ is an arc $K' \subset C'$ there exists as above an ε -map $g: f_n^{-1}(C) \rightarrow C'$ such that for each $x \in \text{Cl}(C \setminus K)$

$$f_n^{-1}(x) = g^{-1}(\{x\} \times I_n \cap G'_n) \quad \text{and} \quad d(f_n(x), \pi_1 \circ g(x)) < \eta \quad \text{for each } x \in f_n^{-1}(C).$$

It follows that there exists an ε -map $h: X \rightarrow G'_n$ such that $d(f_n(x), \pi_1 \circ h(x)) < \eta$ for $x \in X$.

We claim that $\pi_2 \circ h: X \rightarrow I_n$ is a 4ϵ -map. To see this let $x, y \in (\pi_2 \circ h)^{-1}(t)$. By Note 4, $\pi_2|_{G_n}$ is a 2ϵ -map, it follows $d(h(x), h(y)) < 2\epsilon$ and hence

$$d(\pi_1 h(x), \pi_1 h(y)) < 2\epsilon.$$

Also

$$d(x, \pi_1 h(x)) \leq d(x, f_n(x)) + d(f_n(x), \pi_1 h(x)) \leq \epsilon_1 + \eta < \epsilon \quad \text{for each } x \in X.$$

Hence

$$d(x, y) \leq d(x, \pi_1 h(x)) + d(\pi_1 h(x), \pi_1 h(y)) + d(\pi_1 h(y), y) < 4\epsilon.$$

Since ϵ was arbitrary X is chainable.

PROBLEM 9. Suppose X is a hereditarily indecomposable continuum such that $\sigma_0(X) = 0$. Does X satisfy condition (iv) and, as a consequence, is X chainable?

5. Applications. In this section we will give some partial solutions to Problem 9. It is known ([10] and [13]) that continua X with $\sigma(X) = 0$ are atriodic and tree-like. The reason for allowing graphs (rather than trees) in the inverse limit description of X in the following theorems is that this makes it easier to satisfy the condition concerning the number of branch-points on arcs in G_n (cf. the proof of Corollary 14).

THEOREM 10. Let $X = \varprojlim (G_n, f_n^m)$ be a hereditarily indecomposable continuum in \mathcal{Q} with $\sigma(X) = 0$ where each G_n is a graph with the property that each simple closed curve in G_n has at most one point in its boundary in G_n . Suppose also there exists an integer N such that for each integer n and each arc $A \subset G_n$, A contains at most N branch points of G_n . Then X is a pseudo-arc.

Proof. We may suppose by the remark at the end of section 1 that the graphs G_n are embedded in \mathcal{Q} such that $X = \text{Lim } G_n$ and f_n^m moves no point of G_m more than $1/n$ for each $m \geq n$.

Let I_n be a sequence of arcs in \mathcal{Q} such that $\text{Lim } I_n = X$.

For each $\delta > 0$ and each positive integer n let

$$C(n, \delta) = \{(x, y) \in G_n \times I_n \mid d(x, y) < \delta\}.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. By Lemma 6, let $\epsilon = \epsilon_0 > \epsilon_1 > \dots > \epsilon_n > 0$ and let $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_N$ be integers such that if $(x, y) \in C(n, \epsilon_{i+1})$ where $n \geq m_i$, then no component of $G_n \times I_n \setminus C(n, \epsilon_i)$ meets both $\{x\} \times I_n$ and $G_n \times \{y\}$. By Lemma 3 we may suppose there is a component $K(n, \epsilon_n)$ of $C(n, \epsilon_n)$ such that $K(n, \epsilon_n)$ separates $G_n \times \{0\}$ from $G_n \times \{1\}$ in $G_n \times I_n$ for $n \geq m_N$. By Lemma 5 we may suppose no component L of $G_n \times I_n \setminus C(n, \epsilon_n)$ has $\pi_1(L) = G_n$ for $n \geq m_N$.

If $m \geq m_N$ and G_m has no branch-points, then G_m is an arc or a simple closed curve. In either case $K(m, \epsilon_N)$ contains a continuum homeomorphic to G_m which separates $G_m \times \{0\}$ from $G_m \times \{1\}$.

Now, suppose $m \geq m_N$ and x_1 is a branch-point of G_m . Let $(x_1, t_1) \in C(m, \epsilon_N)$ and let H_1, \dots, H_{n_1} be the closures of the components of $G_m \setminus \{x_1\}$. After reindexing if necessary we may suppose H_1, \dots, H_{k_1} are not arcs or simple closed curves and each

of $H_{k_1+1}, \dots, H_{n_1}$ is an arc or a simple closed curve. Let $K(m, \epsilon_{N-1})$ be the component of $C(m, \epsilon_{N-1})$ which contains (x_1, t_1) . By the choice of m_N and ϵ_N no component of $G_m \times I_m \setminus K(m, \epsilon_{N-1})$ meets both $\{x_1\} \times I_m$ and $G_m \times \{t_1\}$. We will show that $K(m, \epsilon_{N-1})$ separates $G_m \times \{0\}$ from $G_m \times \{1\}$ in $G_m \times I_m$.

If $K(m, \epsilon_N) \cap K(m, \epsilon_{N-1}) \neq \emptyset$, then $K(m, \epsilon_N) \subset K(m, \epsilon_{N-1})$ and there is nothing to prove. Hence suppose $K(m, \epsilon_N) \cap K(m, \epsilon_{N-1}) = \emptyset$. Then $\pi_1(K(m, \epsilon_{N-1})) = G_m$ since if

$$\pi_1(K(m, \epsilon_{N-1})) \neq G_m,$$

then

$$K(m, \epsilon_{N-1}) \cap [G_m \times \{0\}] \neq \emptyset \neq K(m, \epsilon_{N-1}) \cap [G_m \times \{1\}]$$

and this contradicts the fact that $K(m, \epsilon_N)$ separates $G_m \times \{0\}$ from $G_m \times \{1\}$ in $G_m \times I_m$. We may suppose without loss of generality that $K(m, \epsilon_N)$ separates $G_m \times \{0\}$ from $K(m, \epsilon_{N-1})$. Let $\varphi: G_m \times I_m \rightarrow Y = G_m \times I_m / G_m \times \{0\}$ denote the natural projection, then Y is a locally connected unicoherent continuum. Now $G_m \times I_m \setminus C(m, \epsilon_{N-1})$ separates $K(m, \epsilon_N)$ from $K(m, \epsilon_{N-1})$ in $G_m \times I_m$. Hence $\varphi(G_m \times I_m \setminus C(m, \epsilon_{N-1}))$ separates $\varphi(K(m, \epsilon_N))$ from $\varphi(K(m, \epsilon_{N-1}))$ in Y . Hence a component L of $\varphi((G_m \times I_m \setminus C(m, \epsilon_{N-1})))$ separates these sets. Then $L \cap \varphi((G_m \times \{0\})) = \emptyset$ and $\varphi^{-1}(L)$ is a component of $G_m \times I_m \setminus C(m, \epsilon_{N-1})$ such that $\pi_1(L) = G_m$. This contradicts the choice of m_N . We have proved that $K(m, \epsilon_{N-1})$ separates $G_m \times \{0\}$ from $G_m \times \{1\}$ in $G_m \times I_m$.

Let M_1 be a graph in the open set $K(m, \epsilon_{N-1})$ which is minimal with respect to separating $G_m \times \{0\}$ from $G_m \times \{1\}$ and such that $(\{x_1\} \times I_m) \cap M_1 = \{(x_1, t_1)\}$. For each i , $k_1 + 1 \leq i \leq n_1$, $(H_i \times I_m) \cap M_1$ is homeomorphic to H_i .

For each i , $1 \leq i \leq k_1$, let $x_{2,i}$ be the unique branch-point of H_i which separates every other branch-point of H_i from x_1 . Let M'_1 be the closure of the component of $M_1 \setminus (\{x_{2,1}, \dots, x_{2,k_1}\} \times I_m)$ which contains (x_1, t_1) . Let M''_1 be a minimal subcontinuum of M'_1 which contains $M_1 \cap (\bigcup_{i=k_1+1}^{n_1} H_i \times I_m)$ and meets $\{x_{2,i}\} \times I_m$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k_1$. For each $i = 1, \dots, k_1$ let $(x_{2,i}, t_{2,i}) \in M''_1$. Notice that if $i \in \{1, \dots, k_1\}$ and A is the arc in G_m with end-points x_1 and $x_{2,i}$, then $(A_i \times I_m) \cap M''_1$ is an arc. Also $M''_1 \cap (B_1 \times I_m)$ is homeomorphic to B_1 where B_1 is the subcontinuum of G_m which is minimal with respect to containing

$$\{x_{2,1}, \dots, x_{2,k_1}\} \cup H_{k_1+1} \cup \dots \cup H_{n_1}.$$

For $i = 1, \dots, k_1$ let $H_{i,1}, \dots, H_{i,n_{2,i}}$ be the closures of the components of $G_m \setminus \{x_{2,i}\}$ which do not contain x_1 . After reindexing if necessary we may suppose $H_{i,1}, \dots, H_{i,k_{2,i}}$ are not arcs or simple closed curves and $H_{i,k_{2,i}+1}, \dots, H_{i,n_{2,i}}$ are arcs or simple closed curves. Let $K(m, \epsilon_{N-2}, i)$ be the component of $C(m, \epsilon_{N-2})$ which contains $(x_{2,i}, t_{2,i})$. As above, $K(m, \epsilon_{N-2}, i)$ separates $G_m \times \{0\}$ from $G_m \times \{1\}$ in $G_m \times I_m$.

Let $M_{2,i}$ be a graph in $K(m, \epsilon_{N-2}, i) \cap (\bigcup_{j=1}^{n_{2,i}} H_{i,j} \times I_m)$ which is minimal with

respect to separating $\bigcup_{j=1}^{n_{2,i}} H_{i,j} \times \{0\}$ from $\bigcup_{j=1}^{n_{2,i}} H_{i,j} \times \{1\}$ in $\bigcup_{j=1}^{n_{2,i}} H_{i,j} \times I_m$ and such that $M_{2,i} \cap (\{x_{2,i}\} \times I_m) = \{(x_{2,i}, t_{2,i})\}$. For each $j = 1, \dots, k_{2,i}$ let $x_{3,i,j}$ be the unique branch-point of $H_{i,j}$ which separates $x_{2,i}$ from every other branch-point of $H_{i,j}$. As above, let $M_{2,i}'$ be a minimal subcontinuum of $M_{2,i}$ which contains $M_{2,i} \cap [\bigcup_{j=k_{2,i}+1}^{n_{2,i}} (H_{i,j} \times I_m)]$ and meets $\{x_{3,i,j}\} \times I_m$ for each $j = 1, \dots, k_{2,i}$.

Then $M_2'' = M_1'' \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_1} M_{2,i}'$ is a continuum homeomorphic to $\pi_1(M_2'')$ which meets $\{x\} \times I_m$ in precisely one point for each branch-point of $\pi_1(M_2'')$ and which separates $\pi_1(M_2'') \times \{0\}$ from $\pi_1(M_2'') \times \{1\}$ in $\pi_1(M_2'') \times I_m$. One can continue this argument inductively through at most N stages to construct a graph $M = M_N'' \subset C(m, \varepsilon)$ such that M is homeomorphic to G_m and M separates $G_m \times \{0\}$ from $G_m \times \{1\}$ in $G_m \times I_m$. The theorem follows from Theorem 8.

THEOREM 11. *Let X be a hereditarily indecomposable continuum in \mathcal{Q} such that $\sigma(X) = 0$. Suppose $X = \varinjlim (G_n, f_n^m)$ where each G_n is a graph such that:*

- (1) if C is a simple closed curve in G_n , then C has at most one boundary point in G_n ;
- (2) there exists an integer N and a sequence of arcs $A_n \subset G_n$ such that if $B \subset G_n \setminus A_n$ is an arc, then B contains at most N ramification points of G_n .

Then X is a pseudo-arc.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let I_n be a sequence of arcs in \mathcal{Q} such that $\text{Lim } I_n = X$. We may assume that the graphs G_n are piecewise linearly embedded in \mathcal{Q} such that $\text{Lim } G_n = X$ and $f_n: X \rightarrow G_n$ moves no point more than $1/n$. By Lemmas 3 and 6 choose $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 > \varepsilon_1 > \dots > \varepsilon_{N+2} > 0$ and integers $m_1 < \dots < m_{N+2}$ such that if $n \geq m_{i+1}$, $G \subset S(X, \varepsilon_{i+1})$ is a connected graph and $(x, y) \in G \times I_n$ such that $d(x, y) < \varepsilon_{i+1}$ then a component of $C(n, \varepsilon_i) = \{(a, b) \in G \times I_n \mid d(a, b) < \varepsilon_i\}$ separates $\{x\} \times I_n$ from $G \times \{y\}$ in $G \times I_n$ and a component of $C(n, \varepsilon_{N+2})$ separates $G_n \times \{0\}$ from $G_n \times \{1\}$ for $n \geq m_{N+2}$.

Let $n \geq m_{N+2}$, $A_n \subset G_n \subset S(X, \varepsilon_{N+2})$. Let K_0 be a piecewise linear arc in

$$\{(x, y) \in A_n \times I_n \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon_{N+2}\}$$

which is irreducible with respect to separating $A_n \times \{0\}$ from $A_n \times \{1\}$ in $A_n \times I_n$. We may suppose that the natural projection $f_n: X \rightarrow G_n$ moves each point of X a distance less than $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{N+2}$. Let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$ be all the branch-points of G_n on A_n . We may assume that $K_0 \cap [\{x_i\} \times I_n]$ is a finite set for $i = 1, \dots, e$. Let H_i be the closure of the union of the components of $G_n \setminus \{x_i\}$ which are disjoint from A_n . Then $H_i \cap H_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. Let $\{(x_i, t_{i,1}), \dots, (x_i, t_{i,s_i})\} = \{(\{x_i\} \times I_n) \cap K_0\}$ and define

$$K_1 = K_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^e \left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{s_i} (H_i \times \{t_{i,j}\}) \right] \subset G_n \times I_n.$$

Notice that π_1 restricted to every component of $K_1 \setminus K_0$ is a homeomorphism.

For each $\eta > 0$, let K_η be a homeomorphic copy of K_1 embedded in \mathcal{Q} such that

the natural projection $\xi_\eta: K_\eta \rightarrow G_\eta$ moves points less than η , (i.e. $\xi_\eta = \pi_1 \circ h_\eta$ where $h_\eta: K_\eta \rightarrow K_1$ is a homeomorphism and $\pi_1: G_n \times I_n \rightarrow G_n$ is the usual projection). Let B_η be the maximal arc in K_η such that $\xi_\eta(B_\eta) = A_n$. For each sufficiently small η there exists an arc $L_\eta \subset \{(x, y) \in B_\eta \times I_n \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon_{N+2}\}$ such that L_η projects homeomorphically onto B_η by $\pi_1: K_\eta \times I_n \rightarrow K_\eta$.

We will show that there exists a $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{N+2}$ -map $\varphi: X \rightarrow K_\eta$. Choose a taut open chain cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ of G_n such that:

- (1) each U_i is connected,
- (2) for each vertex (v, t) of the piecewise linear arc K_0 there exists exactly one element $U_i \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $v \in U_i$,
- (3) for each element $U_i \in \mathcal{U}$ there is at most one point $v \in U_i$ such that (v, t) is a vertex of K_0 for some $t \in I_n$,
- (4) for each $t = 1, \dots, l$ there exists exactly one element $U_i \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $H_t \cap \text{Cl}(U_i) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\mathcal{W} = \{W_1, \dots, W_p\}$ be a taut open chain cover of K_0 such that W_j is connected, $\text{Cl}(W_j)$ contains at most one vertex of K_0 and $\{\pi_1(W_j)\}_{j=1}^p$ refines \mathcal{U} . The map $\pi_1|_{K_0}: K_0 \rightarrow A_0$ induces a (pattern) map $\pi_1^*: \{1, \dots, p\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|\pi_1^*(t) - \pi_1^*(t+1)| \leq 1$, $t = 1, \dots, p-1$. By [14, Theorem 3], there exists a taut open chain cover $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, \dots, V_p\}$ of X such that $V_i \subset U_{\pi_1^*(t)}$ for $t = 1, \dots, p$. It is now not difficult (cf. the proof of Corollary 2) to construct a $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{N+2}$ -map $\varphi: X \rightarrow K_1 \approx K_\eta$ (this map is not necessarily onto) such that $d(x, \varphi(x)) < \varepsilon_{N+2}$.

For each branch-point $x \in B_\eta$ of K_η , let (x, y_x) be the unique point on L_η and let C_x be the closure of the union of the components of $K_\eta \setminus \{x\}$ disjoint from B_η . As in the proof of Theorem 10 (recall $d(x, y_x) < \varepsilon_{N+2}$), there exists a graph $M_x \subset \{(a, b) \in C_x \times I_n \mid d(a, b) < \varepsilon\}$ such that M_x is homeomorphic to C_x , $M_x \cap (\{x\} \times I_n) = \{(x, y_x)\}$ and M_x separates $C_x \times \{0\}$ from $C_x \times \{1\}$.

By Lemma 7, $K = L_\eta \cup \bigcup \{M_x \mid x \in B_\eta\}$ is a branch point of K_η in $K_\eta \times I_n$ which separates $K_\eta \times \{0\}$ from $K_\eta \times \{1\}$, K is homeomorphic to K_η and $d(a, b) < \varepsilon$ for each $(a, b) \in K$. The theorem now follows by Theorem 8.

THEOREM 12. *Let X be a hereditarily indecomposable continuum in \mathcal{Q} such that $\sigma(X) = 0$. Suppose $X = \varinjlim (G_n, f_n^m)$ where each G_n is a graph such that:*

- (1) if C is a simple closed curve in G_n then C has at most one boundary point in G_n ,
- (2) there exist integers N and M and families of arcs $\mathcal{B}_{n,1}, \dots, \mathcal{B}_{n,M}$ in G_n for each n such that:

- (a) each arc in $G_n \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^M (\bigcup \mathcal{B}_{n,i})$ contains at most N branch points,
- (b) $\mathcal{B}_{n,1}$ consists of a single arc and for $i = 2, \dots, M$ each arc in $\mathcal{B}_{n,i}$ intersects $\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} (\bigcup \mathcal{B}_{n,j})$ in exactly one point,
- (c) each pair of elements of $\mathcal{B}_{n,1}$ intersects in at most one point.

Then X is a pseudo-arc.

Proof. The case $M = 1$ was done in Theorem 11. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 11 and is omitted.

COROLLARY 13. *Let X be a hereditarily indecomposable continuum with $\sigma(X) = 0$. If there exist an integer N and a sequence (T_n, J_n) of trees with at most N branch-points such that $X = \varprojlim (T_n, J_n)$, then X is a pseudo-arc.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 10.

COROLLARY 14. *If X is a continuous image of the pseudo-arc such that every proper subcontinuum is a pseudo-arc, then X is a pseudo-arc.*

Proof. It follows from the Boundary Bumping Theorem [6], p. 172 that X is indecomposable and hence hereditarily indecomposable. By [13], Theorem 15, $\sigma_0(X) = 0$. Let $x \in X$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let U be an open neighbourhood of x of diameter less than ε . If C is any component of $X \setminus U$, then C is either a point or a pseudo-arc. Hence there exists an open ε -chain cover \mathcal{U}_C of C such that $\bigcup \mathcal{U}_C$ is open and closed in $X \setminus U$. Since $X \setminus U$ is compact there exists an integer n and C_1, \dots, C_n components of $X \setminus U$ such that $\mathcal{U}_{C_1} \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{U}_{C_n}$ cover $X \setminus U$. Let $\mathcal{V}_1 = \{W \in \mathcal{U}_{C_i} \mid W \cap U = \emptyset\}$ and for $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ let

$$\mathcal{V}_i = \{V \setminus \bigcup_{j < i} \mathcal{U}_{C_j} \mid V \in \mathcal{U}_i, V \cap U = \emptyset\}.$$

Then $(\bigcup \mathcal{V}_i) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{V}_j) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

Let

$$\mathcal{V} = \text{St}(U, \{U\} \cup \mathcal{U}_{C_1} \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{U}_{C_n}) \cup \mathcal{V}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{V}_n.$$

Then \mathcal{V} is an open cover of X of mesh less than 3ε such that the nerve of \mathcal{V} has at most one branch-point. The corollary now follows from Theorem 10.

A continuum X is said to be *almost chainable* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an open cover \mathcal{U} of X such that $\text{mesh}(\mathcal{U}) < \varepsilon$ and a chain $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$ in \mathcal{U} with $X \subset S(\bigcup \mathcal{C}, \varepsilon)$, $\text{Cl}(C_i) \cap \text{Cl}(C_j) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $|i - j| \leq 1$ and

$$\text{Cl}(C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_n) = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_{n-1} \cup \text{Cl}(C_n).$$

COROLLARY 15 (Lewis [11]). *If X is an almost chainable homogeneous continuum, then X is a pseudo-arc.*

Proof. By [3], all proper subcontinua of X are pseudo-arcs. By the proof of [12, I, Theorem 3.6], $\sigma(X) = 0$ and hence by [13] X is the continuous image of the pseudo-arc. The result follows from Corollary 14.

PROBLEM 16. Suppose X is a homogeneous hereditarily indecomposable continuum such that $\sigma(X) = 0$. Does X satisfy the conditions of Theorem 12?

References

- [1] R. H. Bing, *A homogeneous indecomposable plane continuum*, Duke Math. J. 15 (1948), pp. 729–742.
 [2] — *Snake-like continua*, Duke Math. J. 18 (1951), pp. 653–663.

- [3] C. E. Burgess, *Homogeneous continua which are almost chainable*, Canad. J. Math. 13 (1961), pp. 519–528.
 [4] H. Cook, *Tree-likeness of hereditarily equivalent continua*, Fund. Math. 68 (1970), pp. 203–205.
 [5] J. F. Davis, *The equivalence of zero span and zero semi-span*, preprint.
 [6] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. II, Warszawa 1968.
 [7] A. Lelek, *On weakly chainable continua*, Fund. Math. 51 (1962), pp. 271–282.
 [8] — *Disjoint mappings and the span of spaces*, Fund. Math. 55 (1964), pp. 199–214.
 [9] — *On the surjective span and semi-span of connected metric spaces*, Colloq. Math. 37 (1977), pp. 233–260.
 [10] — *The span of mappings and spaces*, Top. Proc. 4 (1979), pp. 631–633.
 [11] W. Lewis, *Almost chainable homogeneous continua are chainable*, Houston J. Math. (to appear).
 [12] L. G. Oversteegen and E. D. Tymchatyn, *Plane strips and the span of continua, I, II*, Houston J. Math. (to appear).
 [13] — *On span and weakly-chainable continua*, Fund. Math. 122 (1984), pp. 159–174.
 [14] — *On hereditarily indecomposable compacta*, preprint.

UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA IN BIRMINGHAM
 Birmingham, Alabama 35294 USA

UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN
 Saskatoon, Saskatchewan S7N — OWO Canada

Received 17 September 1982