

in this way across the $(n+1)$ st row until $X_{n+1,n}$ has been constructed and an embedding of it into $X_{n,n}$. Finally, construct $X_{n+1,n+1}$ from $X_{n+1,n}$ the way that $X_{2,2}$ was constructed from $X_{2,1}$. ■

Remark. H. Cook has shown that $X_{\infty,\infty}$ is not hereditarily equivalent. It is an open question whether there exists a hereditarily equivalent (plane) continuum of positive span.

References

- [1] R. D. Anderson, *Hereditarily indecomposable plane continua*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 57 (1951), p. 185.
- [2] M. Brown, *Some applications of an approximation theorem for inverse limits*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1960), pp. 478–483.
- [3] C. E. Capel, *Inverse limit spaces*, Duke Math. J. 21 (1954), pp. 233–245.
- [4] H. Cook, *Continua which admit only the identity map onto non-degenerate subcontinua*, Fund. Matn. 60 (1967), pp. 241–249.
- [5] A. Emeryk and Z. Horbanowicz, *On atomic mappings*, Colloq. Math. 27 (1973), pp. 49–55.
- [6] W. T. Ingram, *An atriodic tree-like continuum with positive span*, Fund. Math. 77 (1972), pp. 99–107.
- [7] — *An uncountable collection of mutually exclusive planar atriodic tree-like continua with positive span*, Fund. Math. 85 (1974), pp. 75–78.
- [8] — *Hereditarily indecomposable tree-like continua*, Fund. Math. 103 (1979), pp. 61–64.
- [9] — *Hereditarily indecomposable tree-like continua II*, to appear.
- [10] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, vol. 2, New York–London–Warszawa 1968.
- [11] A. Lelek, *Disjoint mappings and the span of spaces*, Fund. Math. 55 (1964), pp. 199–214.
- [12] S. Mardešić and J. Segal, *ε -mappings onto polyhedra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 109 (1963), pp. 146–164.

UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA IN BIRMINGHAM
Birmingham, AL 35294

Received 17 May 1982

Another universal metacompact developable T_1 -space of weight m

by

J. Chaber (Warszawa)

Abstract. Let m be an infinite cardinal number. We use the d -line constructed in [Ch2] in order to construct a simple universal metacompact developable T_1 -space of weight m analogous to a universal metric space of weight m constructed implicitly in the proof of the Nagata-Smirnov metrization theorem.

Let m be an infinite cardinal number. In [Ch2], we constructed a universal metacompact developable T_1 -space of weight m . The construction was based on a method of constructing mappings into metacompact developable T_1 -spaces from [Ch1].

In section one of this paper we give another construction of a universal metacompact developable T_1 -space of weight m . This construction is related to a method of constructing mappings into metacompact developable T_1 -spaces investigated in [Ch3]. It is simpler than the construction in [Ch2] and has its metric analogue.

In section two we generalize the construction from [Ch2] in order to obtain an orthocompact developable T_1 -space of weight 2^m containing all orthocompact developable T_1 -spaces of weight m . The universal metacompact developable T_1 -space of weight m constructed [Ch2] is contained in this space in a natural way. We indicate some relations between the two constructions of universal spaces (Remark 2.7).

All our constructions are based on the d -line D (denoted by $T(0)$ in [Ch2]). In section three we construct a d -interval D^* and discuss the problem of extending mappings into D and D^* .

We use the terminology and notation from [E]. All mappings are assumed to be continuous and all spaces are assumed to be T_1 -spaces. The last section requires the knowledge of [Ch2].

The d -line D [Ch2] (a similar, but more complicated space has been constructed earlier in [H]) is N^N_+ , where N is the set of natural numbers and $N_+ = N \setminus \{0\}$. The topology of D is generated by the subbase

$$\mathcal{P} = \{B_n(i) : n, i \geq 1\} \cup \{B_n(i, j) : n, i, j \geq 1\} \cup \{D\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(i) &= \{d \in D : d(n) \geq i\} \quad \text{for } n, i \geq 1, \\ B_n(i, j) &= \{d \in D : d(n) \geq i \Rightarrow d(n+1) \geq j\} \\ &= (D \setminus B_n(i)) \cup B_{n+1}(j) \quad \text{for } n, i, j \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that D is a T_0 -space and each element of \mathcal{P} is an F_σ -set in D . Thus D is a developable T_1 -space of countable weight. The point $(0, 0, \dots) \in D$ will be denoted by 0 .

A collection \mathcal{U} of open subsets of a space X is said to be *interior-preserving* if the intersection of every $\mathcal{U}' \subset \mathcal{U}$ is open in X .

A space X is said to be *metacompact* (*metalindelöf* or *orthocompact*) if each open cover of X has a point-finite (point-countable or, respectively, interior-preserving) open refinement. It is well known that a developable space is *metacompact* (*metalindelöf* or *orthocompact*) iff it has a development consisting of point-finite (point-countable or, respectively, interior-preserving) covers. Observe that D (any space with a countable base consisting of F_σ -sets) has a development consisting of two-element covers.

A space X is said to be *semi-stratifiable* [C] if each closed subset A of X can be represented as a G_δ -set $\bigcap_{k \geq 1} W_k(A)$ in such a way that $A \subset A'$ implies $W_k(A) \subset W_k(A')$ for $k \geq 1$. Developable spaces are semi-stratifiable.

In what follows m denotes a fixed infinite cardinal number, $\mathcal{P}(m)$ is the power set of m and $\text{Fin}(m)$ is the set of finite subsets of m .

The spaces constructed in this paper depend on m . Since m is fixed, we shall often omit the symbol m .

1. The space $S(m)$. One of the most familiar universal metric spaces is the product of countably many hedgehogs $J(m)$ [E, 4.4.9]. The Bing metrization theorem can be considered to be a corollary to the fact that, for any discrete collection $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in m\}$ of functionally open subsets of a space X , there exists an $f : X \rightarrow J(m)$ such that U_α is the inverse image of the open spine of $J(m)$ corresponding to α [S].

If the collection $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in m\}$ is locally finite, then $J(m)$ should be replaced by $K(m)$, which is a "hedgehog" whose spines are cubes of finite dimensions.

More precisely, $K(m) = \{x \in I^m : |\{\alpha \in m : x(\alpha) \neq 0\}| < \aleph_0\}$ considered with the topology of uniform convergence.

It is easy to see that $J(m)$ is naturally embedded in $K(m)$ and that the Nagata-Smirnov metrization theorem can be proved by constructing an embedding into $K(m)^{\aleph_0}$.

Let $S(m) = \{s \in D^m : |\{\alpha \in m : s(\alpha) \neq 0\}| < \aleph_0\}$ and consider S with the topology generated by the products of open subsets of D with all but a finite number of factors equal to a neighbourhood of 0 in D (more precisely, the intersections of such products with S).

It is easy to check that S is a metacompact developable T_1 -space of weight m .

For $\alpha \in m$, put $H_\alpha = \{s \in S : s(\alpha) \neq 0\}$. Clearly, $\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in m\}$ is a point-finite collection of open subsets of S . We have (see [Ch2, Theorem 1]).

1.1. THEOREM. *If X is a perfect space and $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in m\}$ a collection of open subsets of X which is point-finite as an indexed collection, then there exists a mapping $f : X \rightarrow S(m)$ such that $f^{-1}(H_\alpha) = U_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in m$.*

An immediate consequence of 1.1 is

1.2. COROLLARY. *The space $S(m)^{\aleph_0}$ is universal for all metacompact developable T_1 -spaces of weight m .*

The proof of 1.1 will be based on the following lemma.

1.3. LEMMA. *If $\{V(i)\}_{i \geq 1}$ is a decreasing sequence of open subsets of a perfect space X and $\bigcap_{i \geq 1} V(i) = \emptyset$, then there exists a mapping $f : X \rightarrow D$ such that $f^{-1}(B_1(i)) = V(i)$, $f^{-1}(D \setminus \{0\}) = V(1)$ and $X \setminus f^{-1}(B_n(i, j)) \subset V(1) \setminus V(j)$ for $n, i, j \geq 1$.*

Proof. We modify a reasoning from [Ch2]. We construct, by induction on $n \geq 1$, sequences $\{V_n(i)\}_{i \geq 1}$ of open subsets of X such that

(i) $V_1(i) = V(i)$ for $i \geq 1$

and, for $n \geq 1$,

(ii) $\{V_n(i)\}_{i \geq 1}$ is a decreasing sequence and $\bigcap_{i \geq 1} V_n(i) = \emptyset$,

(iii) $V_n(j) \subset V_{n+1}(j) \subset V(1)$ for $j \geq 1$,

(iv) $V_n(i, j) = (X \setminus V_n(i)) \cup V_{n+1}(j)$ is open in X for $i, j \geq 1$.

The sequence $\{V_1(i)\}_{i \geq 1}$ satisfying (ii) is defined by (i). Suppose that $\{V_n(i)\}_{i \geq 1}$ is given. For $i \geq 1$ let $\{U_n(i, j)\}_{j \geq 1}$ be a decreasing sequence of open subsets of X such that $X \setminus V_n(i) = \bigcap_{j \geq 1} U_n(i, j)$.

Put

$$(v) \quad V_{n+1}(j) = V_n(j) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} (V_n(i) \cap U_n(i, j)).$$

From the inductive assumptions it follows that (ii) and (iii) are satisfied. Moreover, from (ii) and (iii) it follows that, for $i \geq j$, $V_n(i) \subset V_n(j) \subset V_{n+1}(j)$ and, consequently, $V_n(i, j) = X$. If $i < j$, then, by virtue of (v), $V_n(i, j) = U_n(i, j) \cup V_{n+1}(j)$. This shows that (iv) is satisfied and completes the inductive construction.

For $n \geq 1$ put $V_n(0) = X$ and define $f(x)(n) = \max\{i \geq 0 : x \in V_n(i)\}$. This gives $f : X \rightarrow D$ satisfying $f^{-1}(B_n(i)) = V_n(i)$ and, consequently, $f^{-1}(B_n(i, j)) = V_n(i, j)$. Thus f is continuous and (i) and (iii) imply that $f^{-1}(B_1(i)) = V(i)$, $f^{-1}(D \setminus \{0\}) = V(1)$ and $X \setminus f^{-1}(B_n(i, j)) = X \setminus V_n(i, j) = V_n(i) \setminus V_{n+1}(j) \subset V(1) \setminus V(j)$.

Proof of 1.1. Suppose that X is a perfect space and $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in m\}$ is a collection of open subsets of X such that $\{\alpha \in m : x \in U_\alpha\}$ is finite for $x \in X$.

Since X is a perfect space, one can construct, for $k \geq 1$, collections $\{E_\alpha(k) : \alpha \in m\}$ of closed subsets of X which are locally finite as indexed collections and satisfy $\bigcup_{k \geq 1} E_\alpha(k) = U_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in m$ (if X is developable and $\{\mathcal{U}_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ is a development of X , then $E_\alpha(k) = X \setminus \text{St}(X \setminus U_\alpha, \mathcal{U}_k)$ satisfy the above conditions).

For $\alpha \in m$ put $V_\alpha(1) = U_\alpha$ and $V_\alpha(i) = U_\alpha \setminus \bigcup_{k < i} E_\alpha(k)$ for $i > 1$. Let $f_\alpha : X \rightarrow D$

be a mapping satisfying the requirements of Lemma 1.3 with respect to the sequence $\{V_\alpha(i)\}_{i \geq 1}$.

Since $f_\alpha^{-1}(D \setminus \{0\}) = U_\alpha$, it follows that $f = \bigtriangleup_{\alpha \in m} f_\alpha: X \rightarrow S$ and $f^{-1}(H_\alpha) = U_\alpha$.

Thus it remains to prove that f is a continuous function.

From the definition of the topology of S it follows that it is sufficient to show that, for any (subbasic) neighbourhood B of 0 in D , $\{f_\alpha^{-1}(B): \alpha \in m\}$ is interior-preserving in X .

Take $B = B_n(i, j)$. For $\alpha \in m$, $X \setminus f_\alpha^{-1}(B_n(i, j)) \subset V_\alpha(1) \setminus V_\alpha(j) \subset \bigcup_{k < j} E_\alpha(k)$. Thus $\{X \setminus f_\alpha^{-1}(B): \alpha \in m\}$ is locally finite in X and consequently $\{f_\alpha^{-1}(B): \alpha \in m\}$ is interior-preserving.

1.4. Remark. If X is additionally assumed to be collectionwise normal, then $S(m)$ can be replaced by $K(m)$ in 1.1.

1.5. Remark. Let $Y(m) = \{y \in D^m: |\{\alpha \in m: y(\alpha) \notin B\}| < \aleph_0 \text{ for each neighbourhood } B \text{ of } 0 \text{ in } D\}$ and consider Y with the topology generated in the same way as the topology of S . It can be checked that Y is a quasi-developable [Be] T_1 -space with a point-countable base of cardinality m . If X is a semi-stratifiable space with a point-countable collection $\{U_\alpha: \alpha \in m\}$ of open sets, then one can use [Ch3, 4.4] in order to define sets $E_\alpha(k)$ which allow us to construct, as in the proof of 1.1, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $U_\alpha = f^{-1}(\{y \in Y: y(\alpha) \neq 0\})$. Thus $Y(m)^{\aleph_0}$ contains topologically all metalindelöf developable T_1 -spaces of weight m . Unfortunately, it can be shown that $Y(m)$ is not a perfect space (even for $m = \omega_0$).

2. The space $Z(m)$. The existence of $f: X \rightarrow S(m)$ satisfying the requirements of 1.1 was based on the possibility of representing each U_α as the union of a countable collection $\{E_\alpha(k)\}_{k \geq 1}$ of closed subsets of X such that $\{E_\alpha(k): \alpha \in m\}$ was locally finite in X for $k \geq 1$.

If $\{U_\alpha: \alpha \in m\}$ is an interior-preserving collection of open subsets of a semi-stratifiable space X and $P(a) = \bigcap \{U_\alpha: \alpha \in a\} \setminus \bigcup \{U_\alpha: \alpha \notin a\}$ for $a \subset m$, then each $P(a)$ can be represented as the union of a countable collection $\{E(a, k)\}_{k \geq 0}$ of closed sets such that $\{E(a, k): a \subset m\}$ is discrete in X for $k \geq 0$ [J, 4.8]. We shall use this observation in order to generalize the construction of $T(m)$ and $T'(m)$ from [Ch2, Theorem 1, Remark 6].

Let $Z(m) = \mathcal{P}(m) \times D$ and put

$$\begin{aligned} G(a, i) &= \{(b, d) \in Z: a \subset b \text{ and } (a \neq b \Rightarrow d(1) \geq i)\} \quad \text{for } a \subset m \text{ and } i \geq 0, \\ G_n(i) &= \mathcal{P}(m) \times B_n(i) \quad \text{for } n, i \geq 1, \\ G_n(i, j) &= \mathcal{P}(m) \times B_n(i, j) \quad \text{for } n, i, j \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Consider Z with the topology obtained by taking the sets defined above as a subbase of Z .

One can modify the proof of the developability of $T(m)$ from [Ch2] in order to show that Z is an orthocompact developable T_1 -space. Clearly, the weight of Z is 2^m .

For $\alpha \in m$, put $G_\alpha = G(\{\alpha\}, 0)$. Clearly, $\{G_\alpha: \alpha \in m\}$ is an interior-preserving collection of open subsets of Z . We have (see [Ch2, Theorem 1])

2.1. THEOREM. *If X is a semi-stratifiable space and $\{U_\alpha: \alpha \in m\}$ an interior-preserving collection of open subsets of X , then there exists a $g: X \rightarrow Z(m)$ such that $g^{-1}(G_\alpha) = U_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in m$.*

2.2. COROLLARY. *The space $Z(m)^{\aleph_0}$ contains topologically all orthocompact developable T_1 -spaces of weight m .*

Proof of 2.1. Suppose that $\{U_\alpha: \alpha \in m\}$ is an interior-preserving collection of open subsets of a semi-stratifiable space X . Let $P(a)$ and $\{E(a, k): a \subset m\}$ for $k \geq 0$ be as in the introduction to this section.

Put $V(i) = X \setminus \bigcup \{E(a, k): a \subset m \text{ and } k < i\}$ and let $f: X \rightarrow D$ be a mapping satisfying the requirements of 1.3 with respect to the sequence $\{V(i)\}_{i \geq 1}$. Define $g(x) = (\{\alpha: x \in U_\alpha\}, f(x)) \in Z$.

Clearly, $g^{-1}(G_\alpha) = U_\alpha$ and the proof of the continuity of g reduces to a simple observation that

$$g^{-1}(G(a, i)) = \bigcap \{U_\alpha: \alpha \in a\} \setminus \bigcup \{E(b, k): b \neq a \text{ and } k < i\}.$$

2.3. Remark. If $E(\emptyset, 0) = P(\emptyset)$, then $g(X) \subset \{(a, d) \in Z: a \neq \emptyset \text{ or } d = 0\}$. Since $P(\emptyset)$ is closed, we can always assume that $E(\emptyset, 0) = p(\emptyset)$.

2.4. Remark. If $\{U_\alpha: \alpha \in m\}$ is point-finite as an indexed collection, then $g(X) \subset \text{Fin}(m) \times D = T(m) \subset Z(m)$ (see [Ch2, Theorem 1]).

2.5. Remark. If $\{X \setminus U_\alpha: \alpha \in m\}$ is locally finite as an indexed collection then $g(X) \subset \{(a, d) \in Z: m \setminus a \in \text{Fin}(m)\}$ (see [Ch2, Remark 6]).

2.6. Remark. A weak form of Lemma 1.3 (sufficient for proving 2.1) can be obtained from 2.1 by observing that $\{U_\alpha: \alpha \in \omega_0\}$, where $U_0 = X$ and $U_\alpha = V(\alpha)$ for $1 \leq \alpha < \omega_0$, is point-finite, $p: T(m) \rightarrow D$ defined by $p(a, d) = (|a|, d)$ is continuous and consequently $f = p \circ g: X \rightarrow D$, where $g: X \rightarrow T(\omega_0)$ is given by 2.1, satisfies $f^{-1}(B_n(i)) = V(i)$ for $i \geq 1$.

2.7. Remark. The function $f: T(m) \rightarrow S(m)$ defined by $f(a, d)(\alpha) = p(a, d)$ if $\alpha \in a$ and $f(a, d)(\alpha) = 0$ if $\alpha \notin a$ is continuous. The restriction of f to $\{(a, d) \in T: a \neq \emptyset \text{ or } d = 0\}$ (see 2.3) is a homeomorphic embedding of this subspace of $T(m)$ into $S(m)$.

3. A developable T_1 -compactification of D and extensions of mappings. The results of [Ch2] and the first section of this paper show that D can be considered to be a generalization of the real line (a d -line). It is easy to observe that $\{B_1(i, 1): i \geq 1\}$ is a countable open cover of D with no finite subcover. We shall construct a developable T_1 -compactification D^* of D (a d -interval).

Let $\omega N = N \cup \{\omega\}$ be the Alexandroff compactification of N and consider ωN with a well-order generated by the natural homeomorphism of ωN onto $\omega_0 + 1$.

Put $D^* = \{d \in \omega N^{\aleph^+}: d(n+1) = \omega \text{ implies } d(n) = 0 \text{ for } n \geq 1\}$. Consider D

with the topology generated by the sets $B_n(i)$ and $B_n(i, j)$ defined in D^* for all $n, i, j \geq 1$ by the formulas defining the corresponding subbasic sets in D .

It is easy to see that D^* is a T_0 -space and that each of the sets generating the topology of D is an F_σ -set. Thus D^* is a developable T_1 -space of countable weight. Moreover, we have

3.1. PROPOSITION. *The space D^* is a T_1 -compactification of D .*

Proof. Clearly, D is a dense subset of D^* . Suppose that D is not compact. Then there exists an open cover \mathcal{U} of D^* such that no finite subcollection of \mathcal{U} covers D^* and \mathcal{U} consists of subbasic sets of D^* [E, 3.12.2].

For $n \geq 1$ let $d(n)$ be the lowest upper bound of $\{i \geq 1: B_n(i, j) \in \mathcal{U} \text{ for a } j \geq 1\}$ in ωN .

Observe that, since $B_n(i, j) \cup B_{n+1}(j, k) = D^*$ and $B_n(i) \cup B_n(i, j) = D^*$, it follows that

(*) if $B_n(i, j) \in \mathcal{U}$, then $d(n+1) < j$,

(**) if $B_n(i) \in \mathcal{U}$, then $d(n) < i$.

As a consequence of (*), we infer that $d(n) > 0$ implies $d(n+1) \neq \omega$, which means that $d = \{d(n)\}_{n \geq 1} \in D^*$.

Let B be an element of \mathcal{U} containing d . Suppose that $B = B_n(i)$. Then, by virtue of (**), $d(n) < i$ and $d \notin B$. Thus B is of the form $B_n(i, j)$ and (*) shows that $d(n+1) < j$. From the definition of $d(n)$ we obtain $d(n) \geq i$ and, consequently, $d \notin B$. The contradiction shows that D^* is compact.

In [Ch2, Corollary 2] we proved that, if A is a closed subset of a perfect space X and g is a mapping of A into D , then there exists a mapping $f: X \rightarrow D$ which is an extension of g . The same reasoning can be used in order to prove the non-trivial part of the following characterization of perfect spaces (an analogous characterization of perfectly normal spaces is implicitly contained in the formulation of the Tietze extension theorem in [K, XII, 5, Theorem 1]).

3.2. THEOREM. *A space X is perfect iff for each closed subset A of X and $g: A \rightarrow D^*$ there exists an extension $f: X \rightarrow D^*$ of g such that $f(X \setminus A) \subset D$.*

It is natural to expect that any mapping g of a closed subset A of a d -normal [Ch3] (= D -normal [Br2]) space X into D (D^*) can be extended to $f: X \rightarrow D$ (D^*). In [Ch2, Remarks 3.4] we have observed that such an extension exists if A is a G_δ -set (the same method can be applied to mappings into D^*). It turns out that the assumption that A is a G_δ -set is essential.

3.3. EXAMPLE. A normal space X containing a closed subset A such that there exists a mapping $g: A \rightarrow D$ which cannot be extended to any mapping $f: A \rightarrow D^*$.

Let $X = R_Q$ [E, 5.1.32]. Put $A = Q$ and let $\{q_m\}: m \geq 1$ be an enumeration of Q . The function $g: A \rightarrow D$ defined by $g(q_m) = (1, m, m, m, \dots)$ is continuous because $g^{-1}(B)$ is either empty or co-finite for subbasic subsets of D .

Suppose that $f: X \rightarrow D^*$ is an extension of g . Consider $f^{-1}(B_1(1))$ and $f^{-1}(B_2(i))$ for $i \geq 1$. Each of these sets contains an open and dense subset of R and

consequently the intersection of these sets contains a point $x \in R$. For $d = f(x)$ we have $d(1) \geq 1$ and $d(2) = \omega$, which contradicts the fact that $f(x) \in D^*$.

The possibility of extending mappings defined on a closed subset A of a d -normal space X can be characterized in terms of D -open sets [Br1] (= inverse images of open subsets of D [Ch2, Remark 3]).

3.4. THEOREM. *For a closed subset A of a d -normal space X the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) any $g: A \rightarrow D$ has an extension $f: X \rightarrow D$,
- (ii) any $g: A \rightarrow D$ has an extension $f: X \rightarrow D^*$,
- (iii) if $\{V(i)\}_{i \geq 1}$ is a decreasing sequence of D -open subsets of A and $\bigcap_{i \geq 1} V(i) = \emptyset$, then there exists a decreasing sequence $\{W(i)\}_{i \geq 1}$ of D -open subsets of X such that $\bigcap_{i \geq 1} W(i) = \emptyset$ and $W(i) \cap A = V(i)$ for $i \geq 1$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is obvious. Suppose that (ii) is satisfied and let $\{V(i)\}_{i \geq 1}$ be as in (iii). The proof of Lemma 1.3 shows that there exists a mapping $h: A \rightarrow D$ such that $h^{-1}(B_1(i)) = V(i)$. Consider $g: A \rightarrow D$ defined by $g(x) = (1, h(x))$ for $x \in A$. Let $f: X \rightarrow D^*$ be an extension of g and put $W(i) = f^{-1}(B_1(1) \cap B_2(i))$ for $i \geq 1$. It is easy to check (see the reasoning in 3.3) that $\{W(i)\}_{i \geq 1}$ has the required properties.

The proof of (iii) \Rightarrow (i) is a modification of the proof of Theorem 2 in [Ch2].

References

- [Be] H. R. Bennett, *On quasi-developable spaces*, Gen. Top. Appl. 1 (1971), pp. 253–262.
- [Br1] H. Brandenburg, *On spaces with a G_δ -basis*, Archiv. Math. 35 (1980), pp. 544–547.
- [Br2] — *Separating closed sets by continuous mappings into developable spaces*, Canadian J. Math. 33 (1981), pp. 1420–1431.
- [C] G. D. Creede, *Concerning semi-stratifiable spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 32 (1970), pp. 47–54.
- [Ch1] J. Chaber, *Mappings onto metric spaces*, Topology Appl. 14 (1982), pp. 34–41.
- [Ch2] — *A universal metacompact developable T_1 -space of weight m* , Fund. Math. 121 (1984), pp. 81–88.
- [Ch3] — *On d -paracompactness and related properties*, Fund. Math. 122 (1984), pp. 175–186.
- [E] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Warszawa 1977.
- [H] N. C. Heldermann, *The category of D -completely-regular spaces is simple*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 262 (1980), pp. 437–446.
- [J] H. J. K. Junnila, *Neighbournets*, Pacific J. Math. 76 (1978), pp. 83–108.
- [K] K. Kuratowski, *Introduction to Set Theory and Topology*, Warszawa 1977.
- [S] M. A. Swardson, *A short proof of Kowalsky's hedgehog theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 75 (1979), p. 188.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW
00-901 Warsaw

Received 30 June 1982