

On the category of n -groups

by

Jacek Michalski (Wrocław)

Abstract. Let $n = s \cdot k$. We introduce the notions of a free covering $(k+1)$ -group and a covering $(k+1)$ -group of an $(n+1)$ -group, which are generalizations of analogous notions used by Post. This enables us to construct a functor $\Phi_s: Gr_{n+1} \rightarrow Gr_{k+1}$ (Gr_n — the category of n -groups) which is left adjoint to the forgetful functor $\Psi_s: Gr_{k+1} \rightarrow Gr_{n+1}$. We obtain several theorems on commutativity of those functors with inductive and projective limits. We also prove a general theorem on the form of inductive limits of covering $(k+1)$ -groups of $(n+1)$ -groups.

1. Introduction. Several authors have investigated n -groups, but not much attention has been paid so far to the category of n -groups. This paper is an attempt to put together and systematize certain facts concerning categorical properties of n -groups. Although in most notions and theorems where n -groups and their homomorphisms occur they are considered from the external, categorical view-point, in some cases, of necessity, the internal approach is used, which means considering n -groups as sets with a certain structure and their homomorphisms as functions. This causes a certain inconsistency of notation. Namely, an n -group as a set with operations will be denoted by $\mathfrak{G} = (G, f)$ (or shortly (G, f)), whereas for the same n -group considered as an object in the category of n -groups simply the abbreviation G will be used. To avoid numerous repetitions, we assume that f and g always denote $(n+1)$ -group and $(k+1)$ -group operations, respectively, and we write (G, f) and (G, g) only to avoid a possible misunderstanding. The terms homomorphisms and morphisms will be used interchangeably, depending on which properties, internal or external, are to be emphasized.

The symbol $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$, where A and B are objects of the same category, usually means a morphism of that category. The identity morphism will be denoted by $e_A: A \rightarrow A$, or shortly e , if it is not misleading.

In this paper the term functor always means a covariant one. We shall use interchangeably the following terms: a small category and a diagram scheme; a functor from a small category and a diagram. The latter terms will be used especially in dealing with limits. The symbol \mathcal{D} will always denote a small category and the symbol F a functor from that category \mathcal{D} (i.e., F will denote a diagram).

For operations in n -groups the same notation as in [9] is adopted. Let us recall only the most common conventions:

$$f(\dots, x, \dots) = f(\dots, \underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{r}, \dots);$$

$$f(\dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots) = f(\dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots).$$

Also most of the notions and theorems to which we refer here can be found in [9]. The notions of category theory used here can be found in any monograph in this field (e.g. [2], [14]).

Part of the results here presented have been announced in [7] and [8].

2. The functors Ψ_s and Φ_s . The category consisting of n -groups as objects and their homomorphisms as morphisms will be denoted (as in [7] and [8]) by \mathbf{Gr}_n . Consequently, the category of groups will be denoted by \mathbf{Gr}_2 . The definition of n -group given by Dörnte in [4] assumed that its carrier was nonempty. In considering the category of n -groups for $n > 2$ it is convenient to admit the empty n -group. The empty n -group is an initial object in \mathbf{Gr}_n . The category \mathbf{Gr}_n always has final objects. They are one-element n -groups. In \mathbf{Gr}_2 there exist zero objects: one-element groups.

The class of n -groups is a variety (cf. [5] and [12]); thus in \mathbf{Gr}_n monomorphisms and injective homomorphisms coincide (cf. [2]).

Let $\mathfrak{G} = (G, g)$ be a $(k+1)$ -group. Then the $(sk+1)$ -groupoid $\mathfrak{G}_{(s)} = (G, g_{(s)})$ where $g_{(s)}$ is an $(sk+1)$ -ary operation which is a simple iteration of the $(k+1)$ -ary operation g , i.e.,

$$g_{(s)}(x_1, \dots, x_{sk+1}) = \underbrace{g(g(\dots g(g(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}), x_{k+2}, \dots, x_{2k+1}), \dots), x_{(s-1)k+2}, \dots, x_{sk+1})}_{s}$$

is already an $(sk+1)$ -group (cf. [4], [9]). In certain situations we will write $g_{(s)}$ to mean $g_{(u)}$ for some $u = 1, 2, \dots$. In [5] it was shown that the resulting $(sk+1)$ -group $\mathfrak{G}_{(s)}$ is a reduct (in the sense of [6]; note that in n -group theory the term reduct is also used in another sense) of the $(k+1)$ -group \mathfrak{G} , i.e., every term operation in $\mathfrak{G}_{(s)}$ is a term operation in \mathfrak{G} . This leads to the forgetful functor $\Psi_s: \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{sk+1}$. Note that for the case of $s = 1$ the functor Ψ_1 is simply the identity functor. When it is not misleading we will write simply Ψ in place of Ψ_s . Like every forgetful functor, Ψ turns out to be a faithful functor. The functor Ψ thus reflects monomorphisms and epimorphisms. On the other hand, like every forgetful functor, Ψ preserves and reflects injective and surjective homomorphisms. Hence Ψ preserves monomorphisms.

In [9] the notion of a free covering k -group and covering k -group has been introduced. The definitions of these notions given here differ from the corresponding definitions of [9]. However, one can prove that in the case of nonempty n -groups (and in fact only such n -groups were considered in [9]) the two definitions are equivalent.

For the sake of description of the construction of the free covering k -group and for the investigation of the functors Ψ and Φ it will be convenient to treat $(k+1)$ -groups and $(n+1)$ -groups, rather than k -groups and n -groups. Henceforth, throughout the paper, we always assume $n = sk$ (admitting $k = 1, s = 1$), $s = mq$; furthermore m and q may have the same indices.

DEFINITION 1. A pair $\langle A', \tau_A \rangle$ where $\tau_A: A \rightarrow \Psi_s(A')$, $A \in \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$, $A' \in \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1}$ is said to be a free covering $(k+1)$ -group of an $(n+1)$ -group A if for each $h: A \rightarrow \Psi_s(B)$, where $B \in \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1}$, there exists a unique morphism $h^*: A' \rightarrow B$ such that $\Psi_s(h^*)\tau_A = h$.

The construction of a free covering group is due to Post (cf. [13]). Here we cite the construction of free covering $(k+1)$ -groups as it was given in [7].

The set $Z_s = \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$ where $s = 2, 3, \dots$ together with the $(k+1)$ -ary operation $\varphi(l_1, \dots, l_{k+1}) \equiv l_1 + \dots + l_{k+1} + 1 \pmod{s}$ is a cyclic $(k+1)$ -group of order s . We will denote it by $\mathfrak{C}_{s,k+1}$. Additionally, by $\mathfrak{C}_{1,k+1}$ we will denote the one-element $(k+1)$ -group.

Let $\mathfrak{G} = (G, f)$ be an arbitrary nonempty $(n+1)$ -group and let $c \in G$ be an arbitrary but fixed element of G . Form the set $G^{**} = G \times Z_s$ and define a $(k+1)$ -ary operation f^* in G^{**} in the following way:

$$f^*((x_1, l_1), \dots, (x_{k+1}, l_{k+1})) = (f_{(c)}(x_1, c, \dots, x_{k+1}, c, \bar{c}, c, \dots), \varphi(l_1, \dots, l_{k+1}))$$

for $x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} \in G$, $l_1, \dots, l_{k+1} \in Z_s$.

The $(k+1)$ -groupoid $\mathfrak{G}^{**} = (G^{**}, f^*)$ together with a mapping $\tau_G: G \rightarrow \Psi_s(G^{**})$ given by $\tau_G(x) = (x, 0)$ is a free covering $(k+1)$ -group of the $(n+1)$ -group \mathfrak{G} in the sense of Definition 2 of [9] (cf. [9], Theorem 1) and hence also in the sense of Definition 1. Note that when $s = 1$ the $(n+1)$ -group \mathfrak{G} is isomorphic to the $(n+1)$ -group \mathfrak{G}^{**} and τ_G is an isomorphism.

PROPOSITION 1. If a pair $\langle A', \lambda_A \rangle$ is a free covering $(k+1)$ -group of an $(n+1)$ -group A , then the morphism $\lambda_A: A \rightarrow \Psi_s(A')$ is a monomorphism and the set $\lambda_A(A)$ generates the $(k+1)$ -group A' .

With each free covering $(k+1)$ -group $\langle A', \tau_A \rangle$ of the $(n+1)$ -group A we can connect some morphism $\zeta_A: A' \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{s,k+1}$. For a nonempty $(n+1)$ -group A the morphism ζ_A is determined as in Coset Theorem (cf. [13] and Theorem 2 of [9]). It is easy to check that for the empty $(n+1)$ -group A a free covering $(k+1)$ -group $\langle A', \tau_A \rangle$ is, depending on k , the empty one (for $k > 1$) or the one-element group (for $k = 1$), whence $\zeta_A: A' \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{s,k+1}$ is uniquely determined.

DEFINITION 2. A triple $\langle A', \lambda_A, \zeta_A \rangle$ where $\lambda_A: A \rightarrow \Psi_s(A')$, $\zeta_A: A' \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{q,k+1}$, $A \in \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$, $A' \in \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1}$, is said to be a covering $(k+1)$ -group of index q of the $(n+1)$ -group A if there exists a $(qk+1)$ -group \bar{A} together with an embedding $\tau_{\bar{A}}: \bar{A} \rightarrow \Psi_q(\bar{A})$ such that $\langle A', \tau_{\bar{A}} \rangle$ is a free covering $(k+1)$ -group of the $(qk+1)$ -group \bar{A} , $\Psi_m(\bar{A}) = A$, $\Psi_m(\tau_{\bar{A}}) = \lambda_A$, and the morphism ζ_A is determined by $\langle A', \tau_{\bar{A}} \rangle$.

Note that if the $(n+1)$ -group A is nonempty, the number q and the morphism ζ_A are uniquely determined by the pair $\langle A', \lambda_A \rangle$ and, conversely, the morphism λ_A is uniquely determined by the pair $\langle A', \zeta_A \rangle$ (cf. [9], Theorem 2 and Definition 3). If the $(n+1)$ -group A is empty, each number q with $q|s$ can be treated as an index of $\langle A', \lambda_A \rangle$.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let A be a nonempty $(n+1)$ -group. A pair $\langle A', \lambda_A \rangle$ is a covering $(k+1)$ -group of A if and only if λ_A is a monomorphism and the set $\lambda_A(A)$ generates the $(k+1)$ -group A' .*

The pair $\langle G^{*s}, \tau_G \rangle$ is a quasireflect of the object $G \in \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$ with respect to the forgetful functor $\Psi_s: \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$ (cf. [14]). This enables us to define the functor $\Phi_s: \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1}$ by $\Phi_s(G) = G^{*s}$ for $G \in \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$, which is a left adjoint functor to Ψ_s . Note that to guarantee the correctness of this definition we choose in each nonempty $(n+1)$ -group (G, f) an element $c_G \in G$ and then by $\Phi_s(G)$ we mean the $(k+1)$ -group (G^{*s}, f^*) constructed as in [7] for a previously given element c_G . The procedure of choice is not essential, since all functors obtained by this way are naturally equivalent. Therefore when investigating the preservation properties of Φ_s we may choose the elements c_G in the most convenient way. As in the case of Ψ , we also now admit writing Φ in place of Φ_s . And similarly to the case of Ψ , the functor Φ_1 is the identity functor.

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, f)$, $\mathfrak{B} = (B, f)$ be $(n+1)$ -groups and $h: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$. Fix elements $c_A \in A$ and $c_B \in B$ to be used in the construction of the free covering $(k+1)$ -groups. Then (cf. [9]) $\Phi_s(h) = (\tau_B h)^*$, whence

$$\Phi_s(h)(x, l) = (f(h(x), \underbrace{h(c_A), \dots, h(c_A)}_{lk}, \underbrace{c_B, c_B, \dots, c_B}_{n-1-lk}, l)).$$

Observe that if we take $c_B = h(c_A)$, the above formula is simplified. In fact, for the functor Φ_s determined by such a choice we have $\Phi_s(h)(x, l) = (h(x), l)$. Hence

PROPOSITION 3. *The functor Φ preserves and reflects injective and surjective homomorphisms.*

In \mathbf{Gr}_n the class of injective homomorphisms is equal to the class of monomorphisms. Thus, in view of Proposition 3, Φ preserves and reflects monomorphisms (cf. [1], [3]). Note that the last remark results also from the fact that Φ is a faithful functor (since the first canonical transformation $\tau_G: G \rightarrow \Psi_s \Phi_s(G)$ is a monomorphism, cf. [2]). Being such a functor, Φ reflects epimorphisms. On the other hand, being a left adjoint functor, Φ preserves epimorphisms (cf. [3]). Hence and by Proposition 3 we obtain

COROLLARY 1. *In the category \mathbf{Gr}_n the class of surjective homomorphisms is equal to the class of epimorphisms.*

Recall that a monomorphism $\mu: U \rightarrow A$ is said to be a *regular monomorphism* (cf. [2], p. 41) if, for any morphism $\gamma: X \rightarrow A$ such that for each pair $\alpha: A \rightarrow Y$, $\beta: A \rightarrow Y$ the equality $\alpha\mu = \beta\mu$ implies the equality $\alpha\gamma = \beta\gamma$, there exists a morphism $\gamma': X \rightarrow U$ such that $\mu\gamma' = \gamma$. In a dual way we define the notion of a regular epimorphism.

As in each variety, in \mathbf{Gr}_n every surjective homomorphism is a regular epimorphism (cf. [2]). Hence one can obtain

COROLLARY 2. *In the category \mathbf{Gr}_n every epimorphism is a regular epimorphism.*

PROPOSITION 4. *The functor Φ reflects regular monomorphisms.*

Proof. Let a morphism $\Phi_s(\mu): \Phi_s(A) \rightarrow \Phi_s(B)$, where $A, B \in \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$, be regular. The morphism $\mu: A \rightarrow B$ is a monomorphism. Take an arbitrary $\gamma: X \rightarrow B$, where $X \in \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$, having the following property: for every pair $\alpha: B \rightarrow Y$, $\beta: B \rightarrow Y$ from the equality $\alpha\mu = \beta\mu$ it follows that $\alpha\gamma = \beta\gamma$. One can show that $\Phi_s(\gamma)$ also has this property. Hence there exists a morphism $\eta: \Phi_s(X) \rightarrow \Phi_s(A)$ such that $\Phi_s(\mu)\eta = \Phi_s(\gamma)$. According to Corollary 6 of [9] it follows that $\eta = \Phi_s(\delta)$ where $\delta: X \rightarrow A$ and $\mu\delta = \gamma$. This proves that μ is a regular monomorphism.

COROLLARY 3. *In the category \mathbf{Gr}_n every monomorphism is a regular monomorphism.*

3. The relations of the functor Φ_s to inductive and projective limits. As we mentioned above, the class of n -groups is a variety. The category \mathbf{Gr}_n is therefore a complete category with respect to inductive and projective limits for all diagram schemes including the empty diagram scheme (cf. [2]). The functor Φ , being a left adjoint functor, preserves inductive limits. We show even more, namely that Φ also reflects inductive limits.

LEMMA 1. *Let categories \mathcal{X}_1 and \mathcal{X}_2 be complete with respect to inductive limits, if a faithful functor $A: \mathcal{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_2$ preserves inductive limits and reflects isomorphisms, then A reflects inductive limits.*

PROPOSITION 5. *$[L; \{\alpha_D: F(D) \rightarrow L\}_{D \in \mathfrak{D}}]$ is the inductive limit of $F: \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$ if and only if $[\Phi_s(L); \{\Phi_s(\alpha_D): \Phi_s F(D) \rightarrow \Phi_s(L)\}_{D \in \mathfrak{D}}]$ is the inductive limit of $\Phi_s F: \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1}$.*

COROLLARY 4. *If $[L'; \{\gamma_D: \Phi_s F(D) \rightarrow L'\}_{D \in \mathfrak{D}}]$ is the inductive limit of $\Phi_s F: \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1}$, then there exists an object $L \in \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$ unique up to an isomorphism, a family $\{\alpha_D: F(D) \rightarrow L\}_{D \in \mathfrak{D}}$ and an isomorphism $\eta: L' \rightarrow \Phi_s(L)$ such that $\Phi_s(\alpha_D) = \eta\gamma_D$ for every $D \in \mathfrak{D}$. Moreover, $[L; \{\alpha_D: F(D) \rightarrow L\}_{D \in \mathfrak{D}}]$ is then the inductive limit of $F: \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$.*

The above corollary shows that the inductive limit of free covering $(k+1)$ -groups is a free covering $(k+1)$ -group, i.e., the class of free covering $(k+1)$ -groups of $(n+1)$ -groups is closed with respect to inductive limits. Note that we now regard free covering $(k+1)$ -groups of $(n+1)$ -groups as certain $(k+1)$ -groups (to be exact, such $(k+1)$ -groups G for which there exist epimorphisms $\zeta: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{s, k+1}$). Being a free covering $(k+1)$ -group of $(n+1)$ -group is then an inner property of the $(k+1)$ -group itself but not of the pair (the form of the epimorphism is not essential here).

PROPOSITION 6. *If $[L'; \{\pi_D: L' \rightarrow \Phi_s F(D)\}_{D \in \mathfrak{D}}]$ is the projective limit of $\Phi_s F: \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1}$, where \mathfrak{D} is a nonempty category or $k = 1$, then there exists an object $L \in \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$ such that $\Phi_s(L)$ is isomorphic to L' .*

Proof. Let $[G, \{\gamma_D: G \rightarrow F(D)\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$ be the projective limit of $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$ where \mathcal{D} is nonempty. The family $\{\Phi_s(\gamma_D)\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}$ is compatible with $\Phi_s F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1}$. Then there exists a unique morphism $\mu: \Phi_s(G) \rightarrow L'$ with $\pi_D \mu = \Phi_s(\gamma_D)$ for $D \in \mathcal{D}$. By Corollary 6 of [9] the object L' is a free covering $(k+1)$ -group of an $(n+1)$ -group L . Hence L' is isomorphic to $\Phi_s(L)$.

Now, let the object $L' \in \mathbf{Gr}_2$ be the projective limit of $\Phi_s F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_2$ where \mathcal{D} is the empty category. Then L' (as a final object in \mathbf{Gr}_2) is a one-element group. But a one-element group is a free covering group of the empty $(n+1)$ -group. This completes the proof.

Thus the class of free covering $(k+1)$ -groups of $(n+1)$ -groups is closed with respect to projective limits (where free covering $(k+1)$ -groups of $(n+1)$ -groups are understood simply as a subclass of the class of $(k+1)$ -groups, as in the remark to Corollary 4). It is worthwhile to add that the object L is not uniquely determined and depends on the choice of the morphism γ_D (cf. Corollary 6 of [9]). Proposition 6 is (regarding projective limits) essentially weaker than Corollary 4 (regarding inductive limits). The functor Φ need not preserve a cartesian product. For example, let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, f_A)$, $\mathfrak{B} = (B, f_B)$ be finite $(n+1)$ -groups. Then $\mathfrak{A}^{**} = (A \times Z_s, f_A^*)$, $^{**}\mathfrak{B} = (B \times Z_s, f_B^*)$, whence $\mathfrak{A}^{**} \times \mathfrak{B}^{**} = (A \times Z_s \times B \times Z_s, f_A^* \times f_B^*)$. On the other hand, $(\mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{B})^{**} = (A \times B \times Z_s, f_{A \times B}^*)$, which shows that the $(k+1)$ -groups $\mathfrak{A}^{**} \times \mathfrak{B}^{**}$ and $(\mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{B})^{**}$ are not isomorphic. However, under additional conditions set upon the diagram scheme \mathcal{D} one can obtain

THEOREM 1. *Let \mathcal{D} have a final object. Then $[L; \{\pi_D: L \rightarrow F(D)\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$ is the projective limit of $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$ if and only if $[\Phi_s(L); \{\Phi_s(\pi_D): \Phi_s(L) \rightarrow \Phi_s F(D)\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$ is the projective limit of $\Phi_s F$.*

Proof. Let E be a final object of \mathcal{D} , i.e., for each object $D \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists a unique morphism $\sigma_D: D \rightarrow E$. We first prove that Φ_s preserves projective limits.

Let $[L; \{\pi_D: L \rightarrow F(D)\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$ and $[L'; \{\gamma_D: L' \rightarrow \Phi_s F(D)\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$ be the projective limits of $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$ and $\Phi_s F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1}$, respectively. The family $\{\Phi_s(\pi_D)\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}$ is compatible with $\Phi_s F$; thus there exists a unique morphism $\delta: \Phi_s(L) \rightarrow L'$ with $\gamma_D \delta = \Phi_s(\pi_D)$ for $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Since $\Phi_s(L)$ and $\{\Phi_s F(D)\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}$ are free covering $(k+1)$ -groups, there exist epimorphisms $\zeta'_L: \Phi_s(L) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{s,k+1}$ and $\zeta'_D: \Phi_s F(D) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{s,k+1}$ for $D \in \mathcal{D}$ (cf. [9], Theorem 2). Note that $\zeta'_D \Phi_s(\pi_D) = \zeta'_L$ and $\zeta'_E \Phi_s F(\sigma_D) = \zeta'_D$, $\zeta'_Y \Phi_s F(\alpha) = \zeta'_X$ if $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$, for every $D, X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$. Hence $\zeta'_D \gamma_D = \zeta'_E \gamma_E$, which proves that the morphisms $\zeta'_D \gamma_D$ are equal to each other for every $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Denote these morphisms by ζ' , i.e., $\zeta' = \zeta'_D \gamma_D$. The morphism $\zeta': L' \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{s,k+1}$ is an epimorphism since $\zeta'_D \delta = \zeta'_L$. Thus $\langle L', \zeta' \rangle$ is a free covering $(k+1)$ -group of the $(n+1)$ -group $G = \zeta'^{-1}(0)$ (cf. [9]). Furthermore, there exists an isomorphism $\eta: \Phi_s(G) \rightarrow L'$ such that $\gamma_D \eta = \Phi_s(\alpha_D)$ where $\alpha_D: G \rightarrow F(D)$ for $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Hence $\Phi_s(F(\alpha) \alpha_X) = \Phi_s(\alpha_Y)$. The last equality shows that the family $\{\alpha_D\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}$ is compatible with F . Then there exists a unique morphism $\beta: G \rightarrow L$ such that $\pi_D \beta = \alpha_D$ for $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Thus $\Phi_s(\pi_D) \Phi_s(\beta) \eta^{-1} \delta = \Phi_s(\pi_D)$ for $D \in \mathcal{D}$, which shows that $\Phi_s(\beta) \eta^{-1} \delta = e_{\Phi_s(L)}$. On the other hand, the equality $\zeta'_D \delta = \zeta'_L$ implies the existence of a morphism

$\mu: L \rightarrow G$ such that $\eta \Phi_s(\mu) = \delta$ (cf. [9], Theorem 4). Hence $\Phi_s(\alpha_D \mu \beta) = \Phi_s(\alpha_D)$. By the faithfulness of Φ_s we get $\alpha_D \mu \beta = \alpha_D$ for $D \in \mathcal{D}$, whence $\mu \beta = e_G$. Thus $\delta \Phi_s(\beta) \eta^{-1} = e_{L'}$. So δ is an isomorphism, which proves that

$$[\Phi_s(L); \{\Phi_s(\pi_D): \Phi_s(L) \rightarrow \Phi_s F(D)\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$$

is the projective limit of $\Phi_s F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1}$.

The proof of the converse theorem is similar to that of Proposition 5. The only facts needed are the completeness of \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1} and faithfulness of Φ and the preservation of projective limits, as shown. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 5. *If $[L'; \{\gamma_D: L' \rightarrow \Phi_s F(D)\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$ is the projective limit of $\Phi_s F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1}$ and \mathcal{D} is a small category with a final object, then there exists an object $L \in \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$ unique up to an isomorphism, a family $\{\pi_D: L \rightarrow F(D)\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}$ and an isomorphism $\varrho: \Phi_s(L) \rightarrow L'$ such that $\Phi_s(\pi_D) = \gamma_D \varrho$ for each $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Moreover,*

$$[L; \{\pi_D: L \rightarrow F(D)\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$$

is then the projective limit of $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$.

It turns out that Proposition 6 is a particular case of a more general statement on inductive limits of covering $(k+1)$ -groups of $(n+1)$ -groups.

Let \mathcal{D} be an arbitrary, nonempty diagram scheme.

THEOREM 2. *If $[L'; \{\gamma_D: F'(D) \rightarrow L'\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$ is the inductive limit of $F': \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1}$, where $\langle F'(D), \lambda_D, \zeta_D \rangle$ are covering $(k+1)$ -groups of indices q_D of the $(n+1)$ -group $F(D)$ and $\Psi_s F'(\alpha) \lambda_X = \lambda_Y F(\alpha)$ for each morphism $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$, then L' is also a covering $(k+1)$ -group of index $q = \text{g.c.d.}\{q_D\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}$ of the $(n+1)$ -group $\delta(L)$ where $[L; \{\alpha_D: F(D) \rightarrow L\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$ is the inductive limit of $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$, and δ is the morphism induced by $\{\Psi_s(\gamma_D) \lambda_D\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}$.*

Proof. Let $[L; \{\alpha_D: F(D) \rightarrow L\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$ be the inductive limit of F . The family $\{\Psi_s(\gamma_D) \lambda_D\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}$ is compatible with F , and so there exists a unique morphism $\delta: L \rightarrow \Psi_s L'$ such that $\delta \alpha_D = \Psi_s(\gamma_D) \lambda_D$ for $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Since $\langle F'(D), \lambda_D, \zeta_D \rangle$ are, by assumption, covering $(k+1)$ -groups of indices q_D of $F(D)$, it follows that there exists a unique family of epimorphisms $\{\xi_a: \mathfrak{C}_{q_D, k+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{q, k+1}\}_{a \in \mathcal{D}}$, where $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$, with $\xi_a(0) = 0$ and $\xi_a \lambda_X = \lambda_Y F(\alpha)$ (cf. [9], Theorem 4). Let $q = \text{g.c.d.}\{q_D\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}$. Then for each $D \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists one (and only one) epimorphism $\xi_D: \mathfrak{C}_{q_D, k+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{q, k+1}$ with $\xi_D(0) = 0$. From the definition of ξ_D it follows that for every morphism $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$ the equality $\xi_Y \xi_X = \xi_X$ holds. Then the family $\{\xi_D \lambda_D\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}$ is compatible with F' : $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1}$. Hence there exists a unique morphism $\zeta': L' \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{q, k+1}$ such that $\zeta' \gamma_D = \xi_D \lambda_D$ for $D \in \mathcal{D}$. This equality shows that ζ' is an epimorphism.

Let $a' \in \delta(L)$, i.e., $a' = \delta(a)$ where $a \in L$. The $(n+1)$ -group L , being the inductive limit of F , is generated by the set $\bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \alpha_D(F(D))$, whence

$$a = f_{\langle \alpha_{D_1}(a_1), \dots, \alpha_{D_n}(a_n) \rangle}$$

where $a_i \in F(D_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$ and $l \equiv 1 \pmod n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(a') &= \zeta\delta(f_{(c)}(\alpha_{D_1}(a_1), \dots, \alpha_{D_l}(a_l))) \\ &= \zeta(f_{(c)}(\Psi_s(\gamma_{D_1})\lambda_{D_1}(a_1), \dots, \Psi_s(\gamma_{D_l})\lambda_{D_l}(a_l))) \\ &= \varphi(\xi_{D_1}\zeta_{D_1}(\lambda_{D_1}(a_1)), \dots, \xi_{D_l}\zeta_{D_l}(\lambda_{D_l}(a_l))) = \varphi(0, \dots, 0) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where f denotes an $(n+1)$ -group operation on L and also on $\Psi_s(L')$ and φ denotes (as usual) the $(k+1)$ -group operation on $\mathbb{C}_{s,k+1}$. Thus $\zeta(\delta(L)) = \{0\}$, i.e., $\delta(L) \subset \zeta^{-1}(0)$. Let $b' \in L'$. The $(k+1)$ -group L' , as the inductive limit of F , is generated by the set $\bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \gamma_D(F'(D))$, whence $b' = g_{(c)}(\gamma_{D_1}(a'_1), \dots, \gamma_{D_l}(a'_l))$ where $a'_i \in F'(D_i)$ and $l \equiv 1 \pmod k$. Moreover, the $(k+1)$ -groups $F'(D_i)$ are generated by the sets $\lambda_{D_i}(F(D_i))$, and so we get $a'_i = g_{(c)}(\lambda_{D_i}(a_{i1}), \dots, \lambda_{D_i}(a_{ij}))$ where $j_i \equiv 1 \pmod k$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} b' &= g_{(c)}(\gamma_{D_1}(a_1), \dots, \gamma_{D_l}(a_l)) \\ &= g_{(c)}(\gamma_{D_1}(g_{(c)}(\lambda_{D_1}(a_{11}), \dots, \lambda_{D_1}(a_{1j_1}))), \dots, \gamma_{D_l}(g_{(c)}(\lambda_{D_l}(a_{l1}), \dots, \lambda_{D_l}(a_{lj_l})))) \\ &= g_{(c)}(\delta\alpha_{D_1}(a_{11}), \dots, \delta\alpha_{D_l}(a_{lj_l})), \end{aligned}$$

where g denotes a $(k+1)$ -group operation on L' and also on $F'(D)$. Then the $(k+1)$ -group L' is generated by the set $\delta(L)$, which proves that the pair $\langle L', \varepsilon \rangle$, where ε is the inclusion of $\delta(L)$ into $\Psi_s(L')$, is a covering $(k+1)$ -group of the $(n+1)$ -group $\delta(L)$. Let q' be the index of that covering $(k+1)$ -group. Then there exists an epimorphism $\zeta': L' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{q',k+1}$ such that $\zeta'^{-1}(0) = \delta(L)$. Hence $\zeta'^{-1}(0) \subset \zeta^{-1}(0)$ (since $\delta(L) \subset \zeta^{-1}(0)$), which shows that there exists an epimorphism $\mu: \mathbb{C}_{q',k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{q,k+1}$ such that $\mu\zeta' = \zeta$ (cf. [9], Lemma 1). From this equality it follows that $q|q'$. Now, let $a' \in \zeta_D^{-1}(0)$ for a certain $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Then $a' = \lambda_D(a)$ for a certain $a \in F(D)$. The morphism $\zeta'\gamma_D: F'(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{q',k+1}$ is an epimorphism, since $\zeta'\gamma_D(\lambda_D(F(D))) = 0$. From the equality $\zeta'\gamma_D(a') = \zeta'\delta\alpha_D(a) = 0$ it follows that $\zeta_D^{-1}(0) \subset (\zeta'\gamma_D)^{-1}(0)$. This proves by Lemma 1 of [9] the existence of an epimorphism $\mu_D: \mathbb{C}_{q_D,k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{q',k+1}$ such that $\mu_D\zeta_D = \zeta'\gamma_D$. Thus $q'|q_D$ for each $D \in \mathcal{D}$, whence $q'|q$. This proves that $q' = q$.

4. The relations of the functor Ψ_s to inductive and projective limits. The functor Ψ , being a right adjoint functor, preserves projective limits. On the other hand, Ψ reflects projective limits, since \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1} is a complete category, Ψ is a faithful functor and Ψ reflects isomorphisms.

Hence we immediately get

PROPOSITION 7. $[L; \{\pi_D: L \rightarrow F(D)\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$ is the projective limit of $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1}$ if and only if $[\Psi_s(L); \{\Psi_s(\pi_D): \Psi_s(L) \rightarrow \Psi_s F(D)\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$ is the projective limit of $\Psi_s F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$.

COROLLARY 6. If $[L'; \{\gamma_D: L' \rightarrow \Psi_s F(D)\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$ is the projective limit of $\Psi_s F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$, then there exists an object $L \in \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1}$ unique up to an isomorphism and a family $[\pi_D: L \rightarrow F(D)]_{D \in \mathcal{D}}$ such that $\Psi_s(L) = L'$, $\Psi_s(\pi_D) = \gamma_D$ for each $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Moreover $[L; \{\pi_D: L \rightarrow F(D)\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$ is then the projective limit of $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1}$.

From Corollary 6 it follows that the projective limit of $(n+1)$ -groups derived from $(k+1)$ -groups (cf. [9]) is an $(n+1)$ -group derived from a $(k+1)$ -group. We shall prove a similar theorem for inductive limits, for the case of $s > 1$, but under additional conditions set upon \mathcal{D} . For the case of $s = 1$ the functor Ψ , being the identity functor, obviously preserves and reflects all limits.

THEOREM 3. Given a diagram $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1}$, suppose \mathcal{D} has an initial object I and $\Psi_s F(I)$ is not an initial object in \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1} ($s > 1$). Then $[L; \{\sigma_D: F(D) \rightarrow L\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$ is the inductive limit of $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1}$ if and only if $[\Psi_s(L); \{\Psi_s(\sigma_D): \Psi_s F(D) \rightarrow \Psi_s(L)\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$ is the inductive limit of $\Psi_s F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$.

Proof. Let $[L; \{\sigma_D: F(D) \rightarrow L\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$ and $[L'; \{\gamma_D: \Psi_s F(D) \rightarrow L'\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$ be the inductive limits of F and $\Psi_s F$, respectively. Denote (as usual) the $(k+1)$ -group operations on $F(D)$ by g , and the $(n+1)$ -group operation on L' by f . Let I be an initial object in \mathcal{D} , i.e., for each object $D \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists a unique morphism $\alpha_D: I \rightarrow D$. Take an arbitrary element $c_0 \in F(I)$. We shall prove that the element $d = \gamma_I(\bar{c}_0)$, where \bar{c}_0 is the skew element to c_0 in the $(k+1)$ -group $F(I)$, is an s -skew element to $c = \gamma_I(c_0)$ (cf. [10]). In fact, take an arbitrary element $x \in L'$. The $(n+1)$ -group L' , as the inductive limit of $\Psi_s F$, is generated by the set $\bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \gamma_D(\Psi_s F(D))$, and thus $x = f_{(c)}(\gamma_{D_1}(x_1), \dots, \gamma_{D_r}(x_r))$ where $r \equiv 1 \pmod n$ and $x_i \in \Psi_s F(D_i)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(d, c, x) &= f(\gamma_I(\bar{c}_0), \gamma_I(c_0), f_{(c)}(\gamma_{D_1}(x_1), \dots, \gamma_{D_r}(x_r))) \\ &= f_{(c)}(f(\gamma_{D_1}\Psi_s F(\alpha_{D_1})(\bar{c}_0), \gamma_{D_1}\Psi_s F(\alpha_{D_1})(c_0), \gamma_{D_1}(x_1)), \gamma_{D_2}(x_2), \dots, \gamma_{D_r}(x_r)) \\ &= f_{(c)}(\gamma_{D_1}(g_{(s)}(F(\alpha_{D_1})(\bar{c}_0), F(\alpha_{D_1})(c_0), x_1)), \gamma_{D_2}(x_2), \dots, \gamma_{D_r}(x_r)) \\ &= f_{(c)}(\gamma_{D_1}(x_1), \dots, \gamma_{D_r}(x_r)) = x, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that condition 1° from the definition of an s -skew element (cf. [10]) is fulfilled. Similarly one can prove that $f(c, d, x) = x$.

Now, take arbitrary elements $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1-k} \in L'$ and fixed $i = 1, \dots, n+1-k$. Let $x_i = f_{(c)}(\gamma_{D_1}(y_1), \dots, \gamma_{D_r}(y_r))$ where $r \equiv 1 \pmod n$ and $y_j \in \Psi_s F(D_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_i, d, c, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n+1-k}) &= f_{(c)}(\dots, x_{i-1}, \gamma_{D_1}(y_1), \dots, \gamma_{D_{r-1}}(y_{r-1}), f^{(k-1)s}(c, d, \gamma_{D_r}(y_r)), d, c, x_{i+1}, \dots) \\ &= f_{(c)}(\dots, \gamma_{D_{r-1}}(y_{r-1}), c, f^{(k-1)s-k}(c, d, \gamma_{D_r}(y_r), d, c), x_{i+1}, \dots) \\ &= f_{(c)}(\dots, \gamma_{D_{r-1}}(y_{r-1}), c, f^{(k-1)s-k}(c, d, \gamma_{D_r}\Psi_s F(\alpha_{D_r})(\bar{c}_0), \gamma_{D_r}\Psi_s F(\alpha_{D_r})(c_0), \gamma_{D_r}(y_r), \dots) \\ &= f_{(c)}(\dots, \gamma_{D_{r-1}}(y_{r-1}), c, f^{(k-1)s-k}(c, d, \gamma_{D_r}\Psi_s F(\alpha_{D_r})(\bar{c}_0), \gamma_{D_r}\Psi_s F(\alpha_{D_r})(c_0), x_{i+1}, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= f_{(c)}(\dots, \gamma_{D_{r-1}}(y_{r-1}), c, \gamma_{D_r}(g_{(s)}(F(\alpha_{D_r})(c_0), F(\alpha_{D_r})(\bar{c}_0), y_r, \\
 &\quad F(\alpha_{D_r})(\bar{c}_0), F(\alpha_{D_r})(c_0))), x_{i+1}, \dots) \\
 &= f_{(c)}(\dots, \gamma_{D_{r-1}}(y_{r-1}), c, f(\gamma_{D_r} \Psi_s F(\alpha_{D_r})(c_0), \gamma_{D_r} \Psi_s F(\alpha_{D_r})(\bar{c}_0), \gamma_{D_r}(y_r), \\
 &\quad \gamma_{D_r} \Psi_s F(\alpha_{D_r})(c_0), \gamma_{D_r} \Psi_s F(\alpha_{D_r})(\bar{c}_0)), x_{i+1}, \dots) \\
 &= f_{(c)}(\dots, \gamma_{D_{r-1}}(y_{r-1}), c, f(c, d, \gamma_{D_r}(y_r), c, d), x_{i+1}, \dots) \\
 &= \dots = f(x_1, \dots, x_i, c, d, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n+1-k}).
 \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we prove that

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_i, d, c, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n+1-k}) = f(c, d, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1-k}).$$

This shows that the second condition from the definition of an s -skew element is also fulfilled. Thus (cf. [9], Theorem 5 and [10], Proposition 1) the $(n+1)$ -group (L, f) is derived from a certain $(k+1)$ -group (A, g) , i.e., $\Psi_s(A) = L'$. The $(k+1)$ -group operation on A is described by the formula

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}, d, c).$$

Let $x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} \in F(D)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma_D(g(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1})) &= \gamma_D(g_{(s)}(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}, F(\alpha_D)(\bar{c}_0), F(\alpha_D)(c_0))) \\
 &= f(\gamma_D(x_1), \dots, \gamma_D(x_{k+1}), d, c) = g(\gamma_D(x_1), \dots, \gamma_D(x_{k+1})),
 \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\gamma_D = \Psi_s(\beta_D)$, where $\beta_D: F(D) \rightarrow A$, for $D \in \mathcal{D}$. By the faithfulness of Ψ it follows that the family $\{\beta_D\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}$ is compatible with $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1}$. Then there exists a unique morphism $\delta: L \rightarrow A$ such that $\delta\sigma_D = \beta_D$ for $D \in \mathcal{D}$. One can prove that δ is an isomorphism. Therefore $[\Psi_s(L); \{\Psi_s(\sigma_D): \Psi_s F(D) \rightarrow \Psi_s(L)\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$ is the inductive limit of $\Psi_s F$.

COROLLARY 7. *Let \mathcal{D} have an initial object I and let F be a diagram such that $\Psi_s F(I)$ is not an initial object in \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1} ($s > 1$). If $[L'; \{\gamma_D: \Psi_s F(D) \rightarrow L'\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$ is the inductive limit of $\Psi_s F$, then there exists an object $L \in \mathbf{Gr}_{k+1}$ unique up to an isomorphism and a family $\{\sigma_D: F(D) \rightarrow L\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}$ such that $\Psi_s(L) = L'$, $\Psi_s(\sigma_D) = \gamma_D$ for each $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Moreover, $[L; \{\sigma_D: F(D) \rightarrow L\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}]$ is then the inductive limit of F .*

This shows that for $s > 1$ the class of $(n+1)$ -groups derived from $(k+1)$ -groups is closed with respect to the inductive limits of the diagrams $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1}$ where \mathcal{D} has an initial object and $F(I)$ is not an initial object in \mathbf{Gr}_{n+1} .

The functors Φ and Ψ , being adjoint functors, have numerous dual properties. Hence also free covering $(k+1)$ -groups and derived $(n+1)$ -groups, being objects of the form $\Phi_s(A)$ and $\Psi_s(A)$, respectively, also have many dual properties. Examples

are contained in Proposition 5 and Proposition 7, Theorem 1 and Theorem 3. But not all facts listed in Section 3 have their counterparts in Section 4. The class of free covering $(k+1)$ -groups is closed with respect to projective limits, whereas the class of derived $(n+1)$ -groups is not closed with respect to inductive limits. An example is given by the free product of two derived $(n+1)$ -groups from $(k+1)$ -groups, which is even a primitive $(n+1)$ -group, i.e., is not derived from any $(k+1)$ -group where k is an arbitrary divisor of n (cf. [11]).

References

- [1] B. A. Артамонов, *Свободные n -группы*, *Мат. Заметки* 8 (1970), pp. 499–507.
- [2] М. Ш. Цаленко, Е. Г. Шультгейфер, *Основы теории категорий*, Москва 1974.
- [3] G. Čupona and N. Celakoski, *On representation of associatives into semigroups*, *Maked. Akad. Nauk. Umet. Oddel. Prirod.* — *Mat. Nauk. Prilozi* 6 (1974), pp. 23–34.
- [4] W. Dörnte, *Untersuchungen über einen verallgemeinerten Groppenbegriff*, *Math. Z.* 29 (1929), pp. 1–19.
- [5] B. Gleichgewicht and K. Głazek, *Remarks on n -groups as abstract algebras*, *Colloq. Math.* 17 (1967), pp. 209–219.
- [6] H. Lausch and W. Nöbauer, *Algebra of Polynomials*, Amsterdam 1973.
- [7] J. Michalski, *On some functors from the category of n -groups*, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math.* 27 (1979), pp. 437–441.
- [8] — *Inductive and projective limits of n -groups*, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math.* 27 (1979), pp. 443–446.
- [9] — *Covering k -groups of n -groups*, *Arch. Math. (Brno)* 17 (1981), pp. 207–226.
- [10] — *On s -skew elements in polyadic groups*, *Arch. Math. (Brno)* 19 (1983), pp. 215–218.
- [11] — *Free products of n -groups*, *Fund. Math.* 123 (1984), pp. 11–20.
- [12] D. Monk and F. M. Sioson, *On the general theory of m -groups*, *Fund. Math.* 72 (1971), pp. 233–244.
- [13] E. Post, *Polyadic groups*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 48 (1940), pp. 208–350.
- [14] Z. Semadeni and A. Wiweger, *Einführung in die Theorie der Kategorien und Funktoren*, Leipzig 1979.

Received 26 January 1981;
in revised form 7 June 1982