

Fix-finite approximation of n -valued multifunctions

by

Helga Schirmer (Ottawa)

Abstract. We call a multifunction $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ n -valued if $\varphi(x)$ consists, for all $x \in X$, of n points, and show that an n -valued continuous multifunction from a compact polyhedron to itself has an arbitrarily close approximation by an n -valued continuous multifunction which has only finitely many fixed points. The proof includes multivalued analogues of the Simplicial Approximation Theorem and of the Hopf construction. A basic tool is the Splitting Lemma, which shows that n -valued continuous multifunctions are locally equivalent to n single-valued continuous functions, and implies that every n -valued continuous multifunction from a compact, Hausdorff, path connected and simply connected space with the fixed point property to itself has at least n fixed points.

1. Introduction. B. O'Neill [5] studied continuous multifunctions $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ which have the property that, for all $x \in X$, $\varphi(x)$ is either acyclic or consists of n acyclic components. He showed that if X and Y are compact polyhedra, then an induced homomorphism $\varphi_*: H_*(X) \rightarrow H_*(Y)$ can be defined. Hence if $X = Y$, there exists a Lefschetz number $L(\varphi)$ with the usual property that $L(\varphi) \neq 0$ implies that the fixed point set $\text{Fix } \varphi = \{x \in X \mid x \in \varphi(x)\}$ is not empty.

Here we consider a special case of such multifunctions, namely those where, for all $x \in X$, $\varphi(x)$ consists of n points. Such multifunctions, which we call n -valued, inherit some of the properties of single-valued functions. Our main purpose is to show that $\text{Fix } \varphi$ is generically finite (Theorem 6). To accomplish it, we first prove a multivalued analogue of the simplicial approximation theorem (Theorem 4), and then carry out a "Hopf construction" for n -valued simplicial multifunctions (Lemma 5). A crucial tool in all proofs is the Splitting Lemma (Lemma 1), which shows that n -valued continuous multifunctions are locally (but not necessarily globally) equivalent to n single-valued continuous functions. The proof of the Splitting Lemma uses a lemma by O'Neill [5], but otherwise this paper is independent of his work. Theorem 3 could, in fact, be used to define for an n -valued continuous multifunction φ an induced homomorphism $\varphi_*: H_*(X) \rightarrow H_*(Y)$ in a way different from the one given by O'Neill, but we do not pursue this topic.

It seems likely that the Simplicial Approximation Theorem (Theorem 4) and the Fix-Finite Approximation Theorem (Theorem 6) still hold if the n -valued multifunction is only upper semi-continuous rather than continuous,

but our method of proof fails in this case. For consider the 2-valued upper semi-continuous multifunction $\psi: [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ given by

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} \{x+1, 1\} & \text{if } -1 \leq x \leq 0, \\ \{-1, x-1\} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

The Splitting Lemma is false for ψ , and so is Theorem 2, which states that if X is a compact, Hausdorff, path connected and simply connected space with the fixed point property, then every n -valued continuous multifunction $\varphi: X \rightarrow X$ has at least n fixed points.

It may also be possible to extend Theorems 4 and 6 to a continuous multifunction φ for which the number of points in $\varphi(x)$ is finite but not independent of x . But again there is no analogue of the Splitting Lemma and of Theorem 2, as an unpublished example by R. Dunn (see O'Neill [5], p. 1183) shows that there exists such a multifunction from the 2-cell to itself without a fixed point.

2. Splitting n -valued multifunctions. The proofs in this paper depend heavily on the fact that the multifunctions considered here can locally be "split" into single-valued functions. We give the necessary background in this paragraph. A basic reference for multifunctions is e.g. the book by C. Berge [1], especially Chapter VI.

A multifunction $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ from a topological space X to a topological space Y is a correspondence which assigns to each point of X at least one point of Y . The multifunction $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ is called *upper semi-continuous* if $\varphi(x)$ is closed for all $x \in X$ and if for each open set $V \subset Y$ with $\varphi(x) \subset V$ there exists an open set $U \subset X$ with $x \in U$ and $\varphi(U) \subset V$. It is called *lower semi-continuous* if for every $x \in X$ and open set $V \subset Y$ with $\varphi(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ there exists an open set $U \subset X$ with $x \in U$ and $\varphi(x') \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for all $x' \in U$. If φ is both upper and lower semi-continuous, then it is called *continuous*. If X and Y are compact Hausdorff spaces, then $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ is upper semi-continuous if and only if its graph $\Gamma_\varphi = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid y \in \varphi(x)\}$ is closed in $X \times Y$ (see [1], p. 112). We will reserve the term map for a single-valued continuous function.

We define that a multifunction $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ *splits into maps* if there exist finitely many maps $f_i: X \rightarrow Y$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, so that $\varphi(x) = \{f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_n(x)\}$ for all $x \in X$. If $f_i(x) \neq f_j(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $i \neq j$, then we say that φ *splits into distinct maps*, and write $\varphi = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$. Clearly a multifunction which splits into maps is continuous.

LEMMA 1 (Splitting Lemma). *Let X and Y be compact Hausdorff. If X is path connected and simply connected and $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ is n -valued and continuous, then φ splits into distinct maps.*

Proof. It follows from [5], Lemma 4 that the graph $\Gamma_\varphi \subset X \times Y$ consists of n components, say C_i , with $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. As φ is continuous, each projection $p_i: C_i \rightarrow X$ induced by the projection of $X \times Y$ onto X is a surjection. Hence we can define functions $f_i: X \rightarrow Y$ by $f_i(x) = p_i^{-1}(x)$, and as φ is n -valued, the f_i are single-valued. The graphs C_i of the f_i are closed, so the f_i are continuous, and therefore $\varphi = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ splits into distinct maps.

O'Neill [5], Theorem 9 proved that if X is an acyclic compact polyhedron and $\varphi: X \rightarrow X$ a continuous multifunction such that, for all $x \in X$, $\varphi(x)$ is acyclic or consists of n acyclic components, then $\text{Fix } \varphi \neq \emptyset$. We can strengthen this result if φ is n -valued.

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff, path connected and simply connected space with the fixed point property. Then every n -valued continuous multifunction $\varphi: X \rightarrow X$ has at least n fixed points.*

Proof. Lemma 1 implies that $\varphi = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$. Each f_i has at least one fixed point, and these fixed points are distinct.

Remark. Using [5], Lemma 4 and generalizations of Kakutani's Theorem it is possible to obtain analogues for Lemma 1 and Theorem 2 for continuous multifunctions $\varphi: X \rightarrow X$, where for all $x \in X$, $\varphi(x)$ consists of n acyclic components. It is, however, not possible to drop the assumption in Lemma 1 that X is simply connected. To see this, let $S^1 = \{e^{it} \mid 0 \leq t < 2\pi\}$ be the unit circle in the complex plane and $\psi: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ be the multifunction given by $\psi(e^{it}) = \{e^{it/2}, e^{it/2+in}\}$ for all $0 \leq t < 2\pi$. Then ψ is 2-valued and continuous, but does not split into distinct maps. It has one fixed point.

3. Simplicial approximation of n -valued multifunctions. We now consider n -valued continuous multifunctions $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ in the case where X and Y are compact polyhedra, and show that they have a simplicial approximation. Denote by $|K|$ a polyhedron which is the realization of a finite simplicial complex K , by σ an open simplex of $|K|$, by $\bar{\sigma}$ the corresponding closed simplex, and by ∂ the boundary of σ . The (open) star $\text{st}_K \sigma$ is the union of all simplices of $|K|$ which have σ as a face. We shall write $\text{st}_K \bar{\sigma}$ for the closure of $\text{st}_K \sigma$.

We call a multifunction $\varphi: |K| \rightarrow |L|$ from a polyhedron $|K|$ to a polyhedron $|L|$ a *simplicial multifunction* if, for every $\bar{\sigma} \in |K|$, the restriction $\varphi|_{\bar{\sigma}}$ splits into maps f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n so that each f_i maps $\bar{\sigma}$ affinely onto a simplex $\bar{\tau}_i \in |L|$. It is easy to check that a simplicial multifunction is continuous. We use d_K and d_L to denote the barycentric metric of $|K|$ and $|L|$, ϱ_L to denote the Hausdorff metric on $|L|$ induced by d_L , and

$$\bar{d}(\varphi, \varphi') = \sup \{\varrho_L(\varphi(x), \varphi'(x)) \mid x \in |K|\}$$

for the distance between two multifunctions $\varphi, \varphi': |K| \rightarrow |L|$.

If $\varphi: |K| \rightarrow |L|$ is n -valued and continuous, then we define a function from $|K|$ into the reals by

$$\gamma(x) = \inf \{d_L(y_i, y_j) \mid y_i, y_j \in \varphi(x), y_i \neq y_j\}$$

for all $x \in |K|$, and the *gap* of φ by

$$\gamma(\varphi) = \inf \{\gamma(x) \mid x \in |K|\}.$$

The continuity of φ implies that $\gamma(x)$ depends continuously on x . Hence if $|K|$ is compact, then it follows from $\gamma(x) > 0$ for all $x \in |K|$ that $\gamma(\varphi) > 0$.

The symbol $\mu(K)$ denotes the mesh of $|K|$. We first describe the simplicial approximation of φ in the form of a detailed lemma needed later on.

LEMMA 3. *Let $|K|$ and $|L|$ be compact polyhedra and $\varphi: |K| \rightarrow |L|$ an n -valued continuous multifunction. If L^s is a subdivision of L with $\mu(L^s) < \frac{1}{2}\gamma(\varphi)$, then there exist a subdivision K^r of K and an n -valued simplicial multifunction $\varphi': |K^r| \rightarrow |L^s|$ so that*

$$\text{i) } \gamma(\varphi') \geq \gamma(\varphi) - 2\mu(L^s), \quad \text{ii) } \bar{d}(\varphi, \varphi') \leq \mu(L^s).$$

Proof. According to Lemma 1 the restriction $\varphi|_{\text{st}_K v}$ splits into n distinct maps for every vertex $v \in |K|$. Write $\varphi|_{\text{st}_K v} = \{f_{v,1}, f_{v,2}, \dots, f_{v,n}\}$, let $\lambda_i(v)$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be the Lebesgue number of the open cover

$$\{\overline{f_{v,i}^{-1}(\text{st}_{L^s} b)} \mid b \text{ is a vertex of } |L^s|\}$$

of $\text{st}_K v$, and let

$$\lambda = \inf \{\lambda_i(v) \mid v \text{ is a vertex of } |K| \text{ and } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

As $|K|$ is compact, we have $\lambda > 0$. Now each $f_{v,i}: \text{st}_K v \rightarrow |L|$ is uniformly continuous, therefore we can for every $v \in |K|$ choose $\delta(v) > 0$ so that $d_L(f_{v,i}(x), f_{v,i}(x')) < \frac{1}{2}\gamma(\varphi)$ for all $x, x' \in \text{st}_K v$ with $d_K(x, x') < \delta(v)$ and all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let

$$\delta = \inf \{\delta(v) \mid v \text{ is a vertex of } |K|\},$$

and let K^r be a subdivision of K so that $\mu(K^r) < \min(\delta, \lambda/2)$.

We now construct φ' on the vertices of $|K^r|$. Select for every vertex $a \in |K^r|$ a vertex $v = v(a) \in |K|$ so that $\text{st}_{K^r} a \subset \text{st}_K v$. As the diameter $\text{diam}(\text{st}_{K^r} a) < \lambda \leq \lambda_i(v)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we see that

$= \{f'_1(a_i), f'_2(a_i), \dots, f'_n(a_i)\}$ so that

$$f_{v,i}|_{\text{st}_{K^r} v} \cap \overline{\text{st}_{K^r} v}(a_i) = f_{v(a_i),i}|_{\text{st}_{K^r} v} \cap \overline{\text{st}_{K^r} v}(a_i)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then

$$\bigcap_{i=0}^p \text{st}_{K^r} a_i = \text{st}_{K^r} \sigma \neq \emptyset$$

implies

$$\emptyset \neq f_{v,i} \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^p \text{st}_{K^r} a_i \right) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=0}^p f_{v(a_i),i}(\text{st}_{K^r} a_i).$$

But by construction of $f'_i(a_i)$ we have

$$\text{st}_{K^r} a_i \subset f_{v(a_i),i}^{-1}(\text{st}_{L^s} f'_i(a_i)),$$

so

$$\bigcap_{i=0}^p \text{st}_{L^s} f'_i(a_i) \neq \emptyset.$$

Thus the set $\{f'_i(a_0), f'_i(a_1), \dots, f'_i(a_p)\}$ spans, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, a simplex $\tau_i \in |L^s|$, and hence we can extend each f'_i from the vertices of σ to an affine map $f'_{\sigma,i}: \bar{\sigma} \rightarrow \bar{\tau}_i$. Define $\varphi': |K^r| \rightarrow |L^s|$ by $\varphi'(x) = \{f'_{\sigma,1}(x), f'_{\sigma,2}(x), \dots, f'_{\sigma,n}(x)\}$ if $x \in \bar{\sigma}$.

To show that φ' is well defined, it is necessary to show that if $\bar{\sigma} \subset \bar{\sigma}^*$, where σ, σ^* are simplexes of $|K^r|$, then $f_{\sigma,i}$ and $f_{\sigma^*,j}$ can be indexed so that $f'_{\sigma,i}(x) = f'_{\sigma^*,i}(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\sigma}$. The construction of the $f'_{\sigma,i}$ from the $\varphi'(a)$ depends only on the choice of the vertex $v \in |K|$ with $\text{st}_{K^r} \sigma \subset \text{st}_K v$. Hence it suffices to show that if $\varphi'(\bar{\sigma}) = \{\bar{\tau}_1, \bar{\tau}_2, \dots, \bar{\tau}_n\}$, then the simplexes $\tau_i \in |L^s|$ are independent of v up to order. To see this, take a vertex $f'_i(a_i) \in \bar{\tau}_i$. As $f_{v(a_i),i}(\text{st}_{K^r} a_i) \subset \text{st}_{L^s} f'_i(a_i)$, we have

$$d_L(f_{v(a_i),i}(a_i), f'_i(a_i)) \leq \mu(L^s).$$

So if $f'_j(a_k) \in \bar{\tau}_j$ and $i \neq j$, then $f_{v(a_i),i}(a_i) = f_{v,i}(a_i)$ and $f_{v(a_k),j}(a_k) = f_{v,k}(a_k)$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} d_L(f'_i(a_i), f'_j(a_k)) &\geq d_L(f_{v,i}(a_i), f_{v,j}(a_i)) - d_L(f_{v,j}(a_i), f_{v,j}(a_k)) - \\ &\quad - d_L(f_{v,i}(a_i), f'_i(a_i)) - d_L(f_{v,j}(a_k), f'_j(a_k)) \\ &\geq \gamma(\varphi) - \frac{1}{2}\gamma(\varphi) - 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}\gamma(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}\gamma(\varphi) > \mu(L^s). \end{aligned}$$

$$f_{v,i}(x) \in \bigcap_{i=0}^p f_{v,i}(\text{st}_{K'}(a_i)) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=0}^p \text{st}_{L^s} f'_i(a_i) = \text{st}_{L^s} \tau_i$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and as $f'_{\sigma,i}(x) \in \tau_i$, we have

$$d_L(f'_{\sigma,i}(x), f_{v,i}(x)) \leq \mu(L^s)$$

and therefore if $i \neq j$

$$d_L(f'_{\sigma,i}(x), f'_{\sigma,j}(x)) \geq d_L(f_{v,i}(x), f_{v,j}(x)) - 2\mu(L^s).$$

As $\varphi(x) = \{f_{v,1}(x), f_{v,2}(x), \dots, f_{v,n}(x)\}$, $\varphi'(x) = \{f'_{\sigma,1}(x), f'_{\sigma,2}(x), \dots, f'_{\sigma,n}(x)\}$, it follows that $\gamma(\varphi') \geq \gamma(\varphi) - 2\mu(L^s)$ and $\bar{d}(\varphi, \varphi') \leq \mu(L^s)$.

An immediate consequence of Lemma 3 is

THEOREM 4 (Simplicial approximation for n -valued multifunctions). *Let $|K|$ and $|L|$ be compact polyhedra and $\varphi: |K| \rightarrow |L|$ an n -valued continuous multifunction. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist subdivisions K' of K and L^s of L and an n -valued simplicial multifunction $\varphi': |K'| \rightarrow |L^s|$ such that $\bar{d}(\varphi, \varphi') < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. In Lemma 3 choose L^s so that $\mu(L^s) < \min\{\frac{1}{2}\gamma(\varphi), \varepsilon\}$.

Remark. A simplicial approximation theorem for certain finite-valued multifunctions – the so-called m -functions – was proved by R. Jerrard [3], Theorem 5.2, but he only showed that piecewise linear m -functions (defined as m -functions with a polyhedral graph) have a simplicial approximation.

4. Fix-finite approximation of n -valued multifunctions. It is the purpose of this paragraph to show that every n -valued continuous multifunction $\varphi: |K| \rightarrow |K|$ from a compact polyhedron to itself has an arbitrarily close approximation by an n -valued continuous multifunction $\varphi: |K| \rightarrow |K|$ which has only finitely many fixed points. Our method consists of a repeated use of a Hopf construction performed on an n -valued simplicial multifunction approximating φ . The Hopf construction for n -valued continuous multifunctions, described in the rather technical Lemma 5, is modelled on the Hopf construction for maps which can be found in [2], pp. 117–118.

We use K'_L to denote the barycentric subdivision of the simplicial complex K modulo the subcomplex L (see e.g. [2], p. 116, or [4], p. 49). A refinement of K is a simplicial complex obtained by means of a finite number of subdivisions modulo subcomplexes. A simplex $\sigma \in |K|$ is maximal if $\text{st}_K \sigma = \sigma$. K^p denotes the p -skeleton of K , and hence K^p_L the p -skeleton of K'_L .

LEMMA 5 (Hopf construction for n -valued multifunctions). *Let K' be a refinement of the finite simplicial complex K , let $\varphi: |K'| \rightarrow |K|$ be an n -valued simplicial multifunction with $\gamma(\varphi) > 4\mu(K)$, and let $\sigma \in |K'|$ be a p -dimensional simplex which is not maximal. If $\sigma \cap \text{Fix } \varphi = \emptyset$ but $\sigma \cap \text{Fix } \varphi' \neq \emptyset$, then there exists an n -valued simplicial multifunction $\varphi'': |K'_L| \rightarrow |K|$, where $|L| = |K'| - \text{st}_{K'} \sigma$, so that*

- i) all fixed points of $\varphi''|_{|K^p_L|}$ lie in $|L|$,
- ii) $\varphi'(x) = \varphi''(x)$ for all $x \in |L|$,

- iii) $\gamma(\varphi'') \geq \gamma(\varphi) - 4\mu(K)$,
- iv) $\bar{d}(\varphi', \varphi'') \leq 2\mu(K)$.

Proof. Select a vertex $v \in |K'|$ so that $\text{st}_{K'} \sigma \subset \text{st}_{K'} v$. It follows from Lemma 1 that $\varphi'|_{\text{st}_{K'} v} = \{f'_1, f'_2, \dots, f'_n\}$ splits into n distinct maps, and as φ' is simplicial, each f'_i maps $\bar{\sigma}$ affinely onto a simplex $\bar{\tau}_i \in |K|$. Note that the $\bar{\tau}_i$ are distinct, for if $x, x' \in \bar{\sigma}$ and $i \neq j$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d_L(f'_i(x), f'_j(x')) &\geq d_L(f'_i(x), f'_j(x)) - d_L(f'_j(x), f'_j(x')) \\ &\geq \gamma(\varphi') - \mu(K) > 3\mu(K). \end{aligned}$$

But $\bar{\tau}_i \cap \bar{\tau}_j \neq \emptyset$ would imply

$$d_L(f'_i(x), f'_j(x')) \leq 2\mu(K),$$

so this is impossible. Hence it follows from $\sigma \cap \text{Fix } \varphi' \neq \emptyset$ that there exists exactly one $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $\sigma \cap \text{Fix } f'_k \neq \emptyset$. Let S be the subcomplex of K'_L underlying $\text{st}_{K'} v$. If we apply the Hopf construction [2], pp. 117–118 to the map $f'_k: \text{st}_{K'} v \rightarrow |K|$, then we obtain a simplicial map $f''_k: |S| \rightarrow |K|$ so that $f''_k(x) = f'_k(x)$ for all $x \in |L| \cap |S|$, all fixed points of $f''_k|_{|S^p|}$ lie in $|L|$, and $d_L(f''_k(x), f''_k(x)) \leq 2\mu(K)$ for all $x \in |S|$.

Now take any map $f'_i: \text{st}_{K'} v \rightarrow |K|$ with $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $i \neq k$, and define a function f''_i on the vertices of $|S|$ as follows: if $v \in |L|$, let $f''_i(v) = f'_i(v)$, and if $v \notin |L|$, let $f''_i(v)$ be any vertex of $\bar{\tau}_i$. It is easy to check that f''_i can be extended to a simplicial map $f''_i: |S| \rightarrow |K|$.

f''_i has no fixed points on $\bar{\sigma}$, for if $x \in \bar{\sigma}$, then $f''_i(x) \in \bar{\tau}_i$. But one can see as in [2], p. 117 that $\text{Fix } f''_k \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset$ implies $\bar{\sigma} \subset \bar{\tau}_k$, and so it follows from $\bar{\tau}_i \cap \bar{\tau}_k = \emptyset$ that $f''_i(x) \neq x$. If we now consider a point $x \in \text{st}_{K'} \sigma - \sigma$ which lies in $|S^p|$, then $x \notin |K^p|$ but $f''_i(x) \in |K^p|$, so $f''_i(x) \neq x$ also. Hence all fixed points of f''_i on $|S^p|$ lie in $|L|$. Note that $f''_i(x) = f'_i(x)$ for all $x \in |L| \cap |S|$, and that $d_L(f''_i(x), f''_i(x)) \leq 2\mu(K)$ for all $x \in |S|$.

As $d_L(f''_i(x), f''_j(x)) \geq \gamma(\varphi') - 4\mu(K) > 0$ for all $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, with $i \neq j$, the multifunction $\varphi'': |K'_L| \rightarrow |K|$ given by

$$\varphi''(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi'(x) & \text{if } x \in |L|, \\ \{f''_1(x), f''_2(x), \dots, f''_n(x)\} & \text{if } x \notin |L| \end{cases}$$

shows that Lemma 5 is true.

THEOREM 6 (Fix-finite approximation of n -valued multifunctions). *Let X be a compact polyhedron and $\varphi: X \rightarrow X$ be an n -valued continuous multifunction. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an n -valued continuous multifunction $\psi: X \rightarrow X$ so that*

- i) ψ has only finitely many fixed points,
- ii) there exists a triangulation of X so that each fixed point of ψ lies in a maximal simplex,
- iii) $\bar{d}(\varphi, \psi) < \varepsilon$.

Proof. Let m be the dimension of X ; we can assume $m > 0$. Choose a simplicial complex K with $|K| = X$ and

$$\mu(K) < \min\left(\frac{\gamma(\varphi)}{2(1+2m)}, \frac{\varepsilon}{4m}\right),$$

and use Lemma 3 to obtain an n -valued simplicial multifunction

$$\varphi': |K'| \rightarrow |K| \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma(\varphi') \geq \gamma(\varphi) - 2\mu(K) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{d}(\varphi, \varphi') < \mu(K).$$

Now proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2, pp. 118–119, of [2], i.e. apply the Hopf construction of Lemma 5 repeatedly on simplexes of increasing dimension until a simplicial multifunction $\psi: |K'| \rightarrow |K|$ is obtained, where K' is a refinement of K so that ψ is fixed point free on all non-maximal simplexes. An argument parallel to the one in [2], p. 119 implies that the image of each point is changed at most m times. Hence Lemma 5 iii) shows that

$$\gamma(\psi) \geq \gamma(\varphi') - 4m\mu(K) \geq \gamma(\varphi) - 2\mu(K) - 4m\mu(K) > 0,$$

so ψ is n -valued. Similarly, we see that each intermediate simplicial multifunction φ'' which is fixed point free on all p -simplexes ($p < m$) satisfies the assumption $\gamma(\varphi'') > 4\mu(K)$ of Lemma 5. It follows that

$$\bar{d}(\varphi, \psi) \leq \bar{d}(\varphi, \varphi') + \bar{d}(\varphi', \psi) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + m \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2m} < \varepsilon.$$

The verification that ψ satisfies i) and ii) is analogous to the one in [2], pp. 118–119.

References

- [1] C. Berge, *Topological Spaces*, Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh and London, 1963.
- [2] R. F. Brown, *The Lefschetz Fixed Point Theorem*, Scott, Foresman and Co., Glenview, Ill., 1971.
- [3] R. Jerrard, *Homology with multiple-valued functions applied to fixed points*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 213 (1975), pp. 407–427.
- [4] C. R. F. Maunder, *Algebraic Topology*, van Nostrand Reinhold Co., London 1970.
- [5] B. O'Neill, *Induced homology homomorphism for set-valued maps*, Pacific J. Math. 7 (1957), pp. 1179–1184.

CARLETON UNIVERSITY
Ottawa, Canada

Received 26 October 1981

A universal metacompact developable T_1 -space of weight m

by

Józef Chaber (Warszawa)

Abstract. For each cardinal number m we construct a metacompact developable T_1 -space $T(m)$. If m is infinite, then $T(m)^{\aleph_0}$ is universal for all metacompact developable T_1 -spaces of weight m . The space $T(0)$ is the set of irrational numbers with a weaker topology and $T(0)^{\aleph_0}$ is universal for all perfect T_1 -spaces of countable weight. Each $T(m)$ is built of m copies of $T(0)$. Moreover, each mapping of a closed subset of a perfect space X into $T(0)$ can be extended to a mapping of X into $T(0)$.

In [3] we have introduced a method of constructing mappings into metacompact developable T_1 -spaces. More precisely, we have constructed, for a point-finite open cover \mathcal{U} of a perfect space X , a continuous mapping p of X onto a metacompact developable T_1 -space Z such that each element of \mathcal{U} is an inverse image of an open subset of Z .

The examination of this construction shows that the space Z can be regarded as a subspace of a metacompact developable T_1 -space T which depends only on the cardinality of \mathcal{U} .

In the first section of this paper we give a modification of the construction from [3]. The ideas of the first section are used in the second section to construct, for each cardinal number m , a metacompact developable T_1 -space $T(m)$ and a point-finite collection \mathcal{G} of open subsets of $T(m)$ such that, for any perfect space X and any point-finite collection \mathcal{U} of cardinality m consisting of open subsets of X , there exists a mapping $f: X \rightarrow T(m)$ satisfying $\mathcal{U} = \{f^{-1}(G) : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$.

The weight of $T(m)$ is $m + \aleph_0$ and it follows that, for infinite m , $T(m)^{\aleph_0}$ is universal for all metacompact developable T_1 -spaces of weight m .

A space with properties similar to the properties of $T(0)$ is constructed in [6]. Our construction of $T(0)$ is more direct and can be regarded as a simplification of the construction in [6]. We prove an extension theorem for mappings into $T(m)$ (Theorem 2) and obtain a number of corollaries showing that $T(0)$ can be considered to be a D -line (see Remarks 3 and 4).

We shall use the terminology and notation from [5]. By a mapping we always mean a continuous function. Metacompact spaces are not necessarily Hausdorff but all spaces we consider are T_1 -spaces. If \mathcal{D} is a family of subsets