

to prove by induction that all other components are surjective as required in 2.1. Thus we have defined a system  $(\Psi, e)$  of equations.

b) For every  $T$ -algebra  $A = (X, \{\omega^A\})$ , define  $A' = FX \xrightarrow{\delta} X$  by

$$\delta(\omega) = \omega^A(1_X) \quad \text{for all } \omega \in FX (= B(X, 1)).$$

c) It is routine to verify that the assignment  $A \mapsto A'$  defines an isomorphism of categories  $T$ -alg and  $(F, \Psi, e)$ -alg. Notice that the inverse isomorphism sends each  $(F, \Psi, e)$ -algebra  $A = FX \xrightarrow{\delta} X$  to a  $T$ -algebra  $A = (X, \{\omega^A\})$  defined by

$$\omega^A(\alpha) = \varepsilon_i(\omega\alpha^*) \quad \text{for all } \omega \in T(n, 1), \alpha \in X^n,$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is as in 2.1 h), and  $i$  is such that  $\omega\alpha^* \in X_i$ .

#### References

- [1] J. Adámek, *Free algebras and automata realizations in the language of categories*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 15 (1974), pp. 589–602.
- [2] M. Barr, *Coequalizers and free triples*, Math. Z. 116 (1970), pp. 307–322.
- [3] W. Felscher, *Birkhoffsche und kategorische Algebra*, Math. Ann. 180 (1969), pp. 1–25.
- [4] A. W. Hales, *On the non-existence of free complete Boolean algebras*, Fund. Math. 54 (1964), pp. 45–66.
- [5] J. R. Isbell, *General functorial semantics I*, Amer. J. Math. 94 (1972), pp. 535–596.
- [6] G. M. Kelly, *Notes on the transfinite induction*, preprint.
- [7] V. Kurková-Pohlová and V. Koubek, *When a generalized algebraic category is monadic*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 15 (1974), pp. 577–602.
- [8] F. W. Lawvere, *Functorial semantics of algebraic theories*, Thesis, Columbia University 1963.
- [9] F. E. J. Linton, *Some aspects of equational categories*, in: Proceedings of the conference on categorical algebra La Jolla 1965, pp. 84–94, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1966.
- [10] S. Mac-Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971.
- [11] E. G. Manes, *Algebraic Theories*, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1976.
- [12] J. Reiterman, *Large algebraic theories with small algebras*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 19 (1978), pp. 371–380.
- [13] — *One more categorical model of universal algebra*, Math. Z. 161 (1978), pp. 137–146.
- [14] J. Słomiński, *The theory of abstract algebras with infinitary operations*, Dissertationes Math. 18 (1959).
- [15] V. Trnková, J. Adámek, V. Koubek and J. Reiterman, *Free algebras, input processes and free monads*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 16 (1975), pp. 339–452.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
FACULTY OF NUCLEAR SCIENCE AND TECHNICAL ENGINEERING  
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF PRAGUE  
Prague 1, Husova 5  
Czechoslovakia

Accépté par la Rédaction le 3. 2. 1981

## On approximate $n$ -connectedness

by

Thomas J. Sanders\* (Annapolis, MD)

**Abstract.** The concept of approximate  $n$ -connectedness has been given by K. Borsuk in his book *Theory of Shape* as a property of topological spaces to correspond in the theory of shape to the concept of  $n$ -connectedness in homotopy theory. In this paper, this concept is characterized using the homotopy bi-groups. Also, a Vietoris-Smale type theorem in compactly generated shape theory is proven, conditions are given under which the shape groups are isomorphic to the usual homotopy groups, and a result on lifting CG-shape maps and some of its applications to the theory of decomposition spaces are given.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\underline{K}$  be a category. There are associated categories  $\text{inv}(\underline{K})$  whose objects are inverse systems  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, p_{\alpha\alpha'}, A\}$  in  $\underline{K}$  and whose morphisms are morphisms of inverse systems  $f = (f, f_\beta): \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y} = \{Y_\beta, q_{\beta\beta'}, B\}$ , and  $\text{pro}(\underline{K})$  which is a quotient category  $\text{inv}(\underline{K})/\simeq$  (see for example [Mar]). Dually, there are associated categories  $\text{dir}(\underline{K})$  whose objects are direct systems  $X^* = [X^\alpha, p^{\alpha\alpha'}, A]$  in  $\underline{K}$  and whose morphisms are morphisms of direct systems  $f^* = (f, f^\alpha): X^* \rightarrow Y^* = [Y^\beta, q^{\beta\beta'}, B]$ , and  $\text{ind}(\underline{K})$  which is a quotient category  $\text{dir}(\underline{K})/\simeq$  (see for example [S-4]). If the function on indices  $f$  of a morphism in either  $\text{inv}(\underline{K})$  or  $\text{dir}(\underline{K})$  is a bijection, that morphism will be called a *special* morphism. If  $\underline{L}$  is a category and  $F: \underline{K} \rightarrow \underline{L}$  is a functor, then  $F$  induces functors  $\text{pro}(F): \text{pro}(\underline{K}) \rightarrow \text{pro}(\underline{L})$  and  $\text{ind}(F): \text{ind}(\underline{K}) \rightarrow \text{ind}(\underline{L})$ .

It can be verified (cf. [S-4]) that the following holds.

(1.1) THEOREM. *If  $f^*$  is a special  $\text{dir}(\underline{K})$  morphism, then*

(a) *if each  $f^\alpha$  is an isomorphism in  $\underline{K}$ , then the equivalence class  $[f^*]$  is an isomorphism in  $\text{ind}(\underline{K})$ ,*

(b) *if each  $f^\alpha$  is an epimorphism in  $\underline{K}$ , then  $[f^*]$  is an epimorphism in  $\text{ind}(\underline{K})$ , and*

(c) *a similar statement holds for monomorphisms.*

(1.2) NOTATION. If  $X$  is a metrizable space and  $x_0 \in X$ , then  $\pi_n(X, x_0)$  denotes the usual homotopy groups,  $\pi_n(X, x_0)$  denotes the shape groups [S-2],  $\text{pro}(\pi_n)(X, x_0)$  denotes the homotopy pro-groups (whenever  $X$  is

\* Supported by a grant from the Naval Academy Research Council.

compact), and  $\text{ind-pro}(\pi_n)(X, x_0)$  denote the homotopy bi-groups [S-4]. The dimension of a metrizable space  $X$  is the covering dimension (cf. [Mor]). A continuous function  $f: (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$  is said to be *proper* if for each compact set  $B \subset Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a compact subset of  $X$ .

**2. Approximate  $n$ -connectedness.** K. Borsuk [Bor] has given the following definition: A pointed space  $(Y, y_0)$  is said to be *approximately  $n$ -connected* if, for any  $\text{AR}(M)$ -space  $N$  containing  $Y$  as a closed subset and for any compactum  $B \subset Y$  containing  $y_0$  there exists a compactum  $\hat{B} \subset Y (B \subset \hat{B})$  such that for every neighborhood  $\hat{V}$  of  $\hat{B}$  (in  $N$ ) there is a neighborhood  $V_0$  of  $B$  (in  $N$ ) with the property that every map of the pointed  $n$ -sphere  $(S^n, *)$  into  $(V_0, y_0)$  is null-homotopic in  $(\hat{V}, y_0)$ . If  $(Y, y_0)$  is approximately  $k$ -connected for  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , then the notation  $(Y, y_0) \in \text{AC}^n$  will be used. The homotopy bi-groups characterize this concept.

(2.1) THEOREM. A pointed metrizable space  $(Y, y_0)$  is approximately  $n$ -connected iff the homotopy bi-group  $\text{ind-pro}(\pi_n)(Y, y_0)$  is a zero object in the ind-pro-group category.

*Proof.* The assertion follows since the homotopy bi-group  $\text{ind-pro}(\pi_n)(Y, y_0)$  is a zero object iff for each compactum  $B \subset Y$  containing  $y_0$  there is a compactum  $\hat{B} \subset Y$ ,  $B \subset \hat{B}$ , such that the morphism induced by the inclusion map  $\text{pro}(\pi_n)(q^{\hat{B}B}): \text{pro}(\pi_n)(B, y_0) \rightarrow \text{pro}(\pi_n)(\hat{B}, y_0)$  is the zero morphism in the pro-group category.

(2.2) COROLLARY (cf. Theorem 8.11, p. 145 of [Bor]). If  $(Y, y_0)$  is approximately  $n$ -connected, then the shape group  $\pi_n(Y, y_0)$  is trivial.

As a consequence of the Whitehead theorem in CG-shape (Theorem 8.2 of [S-4]) one has the following.

(2.3) COROLLARY. A  $\sigma$ -compact (locally compact)  $n$ -dimensional ( $n < \infty$ ) metrizable space  $(Y, y_0)$  has trivial CG-shape iff  $(Y, y_0) \in \text{AC}^n$ .

(2.4) NOTE. According to [S-3], one may replace CG-shape with weak shape in (2.3).

**3. A Vietoris-Smale theorem.** Borsuk notes ([Bor], 8.10 p. 145) that approximate  $n$ -connectedness for compacta reduces to the following statement.

(3.1) NOTE. If  $(Y, y_0)$  is a pointed compactum lying in an  $\text{AR}(M)$ -space  $N$ , then  $(Y, y_0)$  is approximately  $n$ -connected iff for every neighborhood  $V$  of  $Y$  in  $N$  there is a neighborhood  $V_0$  of  $Y$  in  $N$  such that every map  $f: (S^n, *) \rightarrow (V_0, y_0)$  is null homotopic in  $(V, y_0)$ .

J. Dydak [Dyd] used (3.1) to give a Vietoris-Smale theorem for shape theory. As a consequence of his Theorem 8.5, one has the following.

(3.2) LEMMA. If  $f: (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$  is a surjective map of compacta such that  $f^{-1}(y) \in \text{AC}^n$  for each  $y \in Y$ , then the induced morphism of pro-groups

$\text{pro}(\pi_k)(f): \text{pro}(\pi_k)(X, x_0) \rightarrow \text{pro}(\pi_k)(Y, y_0)$  is an isomorphism for  $k \leq n$  and an epimorphism for  $k = n+1$ .

An analogue in CG-shape theory is as follows.

(3.3) THEOREM. If  $f: (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$  is a proper surjection of metrizable spaces such that  $f^{-1}(y) \in \text{AC}^n$  for each  $y \in Y$ , then the induced morphism of homotopy bi-groups

$$\text{ind-pro}(\pi_k)(f): \text{ind-pro}(\pi_k)(X, x_0) \rightarrow \text{ind-pro}(\pi_k)(Y, y_0)$$

is an isomorphism for  $k \leq n$  and an epimorphism for  $k = n+1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $f: (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$  is such a map. Let  $\underline{F}$  be the compact cover of  $(X, x_0)$  whose elements are of the form  $f^{-1}(B)$  where  $B$  is a compact subset of  $Y$  and  $y_0 \in B$ . Note that  $\underline{F}$  is CS-cofinal [R-S] and that  $f$  induces a special ind-pro-morphism

$$f^*: [A, \underline{p}^{AA}, \underline{F}] \rightarrow (Y, y_0)^* = [B, \underline{q}^{BB}, c(B)].$$

The result then follows from Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 3.2.

(3.4) COROLLARY. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.3, the induced homomorphism

$$\pi_k(f): \pi_k(X, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_k(Y, y_0)$$

is an isomorphism of groups for  $k \leq n$ .

**4. Local  $n$ -connectedness.** A consequence of Theorem 8.7 of [Dyd] is as follows.

(4.1) LEMMA. If  $Z$  is a compact  $\text{LC}^n$  metrizable space and  $z_0 \in Z$ , then the natural homomorphism

$$p_k: \pi_k(Z, z_0) \rightarrow \underline{\pi}_k(Z, z_0)$$

is an isomorphism of groups for  $k \leq n$ .

It then follows that

(4.2) THEOREM. If  $(Y, y_0)$  is a metrizable space having a CS-cofinal cover consisting of  $\text{LC}^n$  spaces, then the homomorphism [S-2]  $p_k: \pi_k(Y, y_0) \rightarrow \underline{\pi}_k(Y, y_0)$  is an isomorphism of groups for  $k \leq n$ .

The principal result of this section is as follows.

(4.3) THEOREM. If  $(Y, y_0)$  is a  $\text{LC}^n$  metrizable space, then the homomorphism

$$p_k: \pi_k(Y, y_0) \rightarrow \underline{\pi}_k(Y, y_0)$$

is an isomorphism of groups for  $k \leq n$ .

The proof of this theorem uses the following definitions and lemmas.

(4.4) DEFINITION (cf. [Dyd]). Let  $\underline{U}$  and  $\underline{V}$  be open covers of a metrizable space  $Y$ . A realization (partial or full)  $f: L \rightarrow Y$  of a complex  $K$  in  $Y$  is said

to be *relative to  $U$*  provided that for each (closed) simplex  $\sigma$  of  $K$  there is a  $U \in \underline{U}$  such that  $f(\sigma \cap L) \subset U$ . The open cover  $\underline{V}$  is said to be an  *$n$ -refinement of  $\underline{U}$*  if every partial realization of any (at most)  $(n+1)$ -dimensional complex  $K$  relative to  $\underline{V}$  extends to a full realization of  $K$  relative to  $\underline{U}$ .

A consequence of Lemma 8.2 of [Dyd] is that

(4.5) LEMMA. *If  $Y \in \text{LC}^n$ , then each open cover  $\underline{U}$  of  $Y$  has an open  $n$ -refinement  $\underline{V}$ .*

Suppose  $Y$  is a metrizable space and  $B \subset Y$  is compact. Select sequences  $\underline{U}_k$  and  $\underline{V}_k$  of locally finite (normal) open covers of  $Y$  such that the mesh of  $\underline{U}_k$  is less than  $1/k$ ,  $\underline{U}_{k+1}$  star refines  $\underline{V}_k$ , and  $\underline{V}_k$   $n$ -refines  $\underline{U}_k$ . Let  $\underline{U}_k(B) = \{U \cap B \mid U \in \underline{U}_k \text{ and } U \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$  be the induced covers of  $B$  and let  $K_k(B)$  denote the nerve of  $\underline{U}_k(B)$ ,  $p_{k,k+1}^B: K_{k+1}(B) \rightarrow K_k(B)$  canonical projections, and  $p_k^B: B \rightarrow K_k(B)$  canonical maps (cf. [Spa], p. 152). A similar sequence of open covers was used in the proof of the Whitehead theorem for CG-shape. Y. Kodama [Kod] has also used a similar sequence of open covers to obtain a  $\Delta$ -space having the same shape (both weak and Fox) as a finite dimensional locally compact metric space.

(4.6) LEMMA. *Suppose  $Y \in \text{LC}^n$  is metrizable,  $B \subset Y$  is compact,  $f: K \rightarrow K_{k+1}(B)$  is a map of an (at most)  $(n+1)$ -dimensional complex, and  $g: L \rightarrow B$  is a map of a subcomplex  $L$  of  $K$  relative to  $\underline{U}_{k+1}(B)$  such that  $p_{k+1}^B g = f$ . Then there is a map  $g': K \rightarrow Y$  which is an extension of  $g$  relative to  $\underline{U}_k$  such that for each point  $x \in K$ , there is a  $U \in \underline{U}_k$  with  $(p_{k+1}^B)^{-1} f(x) \cup g'(x) \subset \text{st}(U, \underline{U}_k)$ .*

Proof. Let  $\text{Sd}(K)$  be a subdivision of  $K$  and  $\tau: \text{Sd}(K) \rightarrow K_{k+1}(B)$  a simplicial approximation of  $f$  (i.e.  $f(\text{st}(v)) \subset \text{st}(\tau(v), K_{k+1}(B))$  for  $v$  a vertex of  $\text{Sd}(K)$ ). Extend  $g$  to  $g_1: L \cup \text{Sd}(K) \rightarrow X$  by defining  $g_1(v)$  as an arbitrary element of  $(p_{k+1}^B)^{-1}(\tau(v))$  for  $v$  a vertex of  $\text{Sd}(K) - L$ . Since  $\underline{U}_{k+1}$  is a star refinement of  $\underline{V}_k$ , for each simplex  $\sigma \in \text{Sd}(K)$ , there is a  $V \in \underline{V}_k$  such that if  $v$  is a vertex of  $\sigma$ , then  $g_1(v) \in V$ . Thus  $g_1: L \cup \text{Sd}(K) \rightarrow Y$  is a partial realization of  $K$  relative to  $\underline{V}_k$ . Since  $\underline{V}_k$  is an  $n$ -refinement of  $\underline{U}_k$ ,  $g_1$  extends to a full realization  $g': \text{Sd}(K) \rightarrow X$  of  $K$  relative to  $\underline{U}_k$ . Let  $x \in K$  and choose  $\sigma \in \text{Sd}(K)$  with  $x \in \sigma$ . If  $v$  is a vertex of  $\sigma$ , then

$$(p_{k+1}^B)^{-1} f(x) \cup g'(x) \subset \text{st}((p_{k+1}^B)^{-1} \tau(v), \underline{U}_k).$$

Note that a homotopy of an  $n$ -dimensional complex will have an associated map. Thus we have as a corollary the following lemma.

(4.7) LEMMA. *Each map  $f: K \rightarrow K_{k+1}(B)$  of an (at most)  $(n+1)$ -dimensional complex has an associated map  $f': K \rightarrow Y$ . This relationship is such that if  $K$  has dimension  $\leq n$  and if  $f$  and  $g$  are homotopic as maps of  $K$  into  $K_{k+1}(B)$ , then the associated maps  $f'$  and  $g'$  are homotopic as maps of  $K$  into  $Y$ .*

Proof of 4.3. Let  $Y$  be an  $\text{LC}^n$  metrizable space. By Lemma 4.7, for

each compact subset  $B$  of  $Y$ ,  $y_0 \in B$ , there is a homomorphism

$$\alpha_B: \pi_k(B, y_0) \rightarrow \pi_k(Y, y_0)$$

such that if  $B \subset B'$ , then  $\alpha_{B'} = \alpha_B$ . Here  $i_k: \pi_k(B, y_0) \rightarrow \pi_k(B', y_0)$  denotes the homomorphism induced by the inclusion map  $i: (B, y_0) \rightarrow (B', y_0)$ . The universal mapping property of  $\varinjlim$  gives a unique homomorphism  $\alpha: \pi_k(Y, y_0) \rightarrow \pi_k(Y, y_0)$  such that  $\alpha i_k = \alpha_B$  for all compact subsets  $B$  of  $Y$ ,  $y_0 \in B$ . Here  $i_k: \pi_k(B, y_0) \rightarrow \pi_k(Y, y_0)$  denotes the homomorphism induced by the inclusion map  $i: (B, y_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$ . It remains only to verify that  $\alpha = p_k^{-1}$ .

5. Lifting CG-shape maps. The principal result of this section is as follows (cf. [Dyd], Theorem 8.13).

(5.1) THEOREM. *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metrizable spaces. If  $f: (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$  is a proper surjective map such that  $f^{-1}(y) \in \text{AC}^n$  for each  $y \in Y$ , then for each CG-shape map  $G: (Z, z_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$ , where  $Z$  is a metrizable space having dimension  $\leq n$ , there is a unique CG-shape map  $H: (Z, z_0) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$  with  $f^* H = G$ . Here  $f^*: (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$  denotes the CG-shape map induced by  $f$ .*

The first of the following corollaries is immediate, the second follows using the Whitehead theorem in CG-shape.

(5.2) COROLLARY. *Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are metrizable spaces and  $\dim Y \leq n$ . If  $f: (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$  is a proper surjective map such that  $f^{-1}(y) \in \text{AC}^n$  for each  $y \in Y$ , then  $f^*: (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$  is a CG-shape domination and  $\text{Sh}_{\text{CG}}(X, x_0) \geq \text{Sh}_{\text{CG}}(Y, y_0)$ .*

(5.3) COROLLARY. *If  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $\sigma$ -compact (locally compact) metrizable spaces connected and finite dimensional and  $f: (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$  is a proper surjective map such that  $f^{-1}(y) \in \text{AC}^n$  for each  $y \in Y$  where  $\dim Y \leq n$ , then  $f^*: (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$  is a CG-shape equivalence and  $\text{Sh}_{\text{CG}}(X, x_0) = \text{Sh}_{\text{CG}}(Y, y_0)$ .*

(5.4) NOTE. According to [S-3], one can replace CG-shape with weak shape in (5.3) and also in (5.2) and (5.1) whenever the spaces are locally compact metrizable spaces.

Proof of 5.1. Let  $G = [g, g^c]: (Z, z_0)^* \rightarrow (Y, y_0)^*$  be a CS-morphism [R-S] representative of the CG-shape map  $G$ . Then for each compact subset  $C$  of  $Z$ ,  $z_0 \in C$ ,  $g^c: (C, z_0) \rightarrow (g(C), y_0)$  is a compact shape map. Let  $h(C) = f^{-1}(g(C))$  and note that  $h(C)$  is a compact subset of  $X$ ,  $f|_{h(C)}: h(C) \rightarrow g(C)$  is a closed surjective map, and if  $y \in g(C)$ , then  $(f|_{h(C)})^{-1}(y) = f^{-1}(y) \in \text{AC}^n$ . By Theorem 8.13 of [Dyd], there is a unique compact shape map  $h^c: (C, z_0) \rightarrow (h(C), x_0)$  with  $f|_{h(C)} \circ h^c = g^c$ . Here  $f|_{h(C)}: (h(C), x_0) \rightarrow (g(C), y_0)$  denotes the compact shape map induced by  $f|_{h(C)}$ . An application of part 2 of Lemma 8.12 of [Dyd] verifies that  $H = [h, h^c]: (Z, z_0)^* \rightarrow (X, x_0)^*$  is a well defined CS-morphism such that  $f^* H = G$ . The uniqueness follows from a similar application of Lemma 8.12 of [Dyd].

## References

- [Bor] K. Borsuk, *Theory of Shape*, Warszawa 1975.
- [Dug] J. Dugundji, *Elements of Modern Topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York 1968.
- [Dyd] J. Dydak, *The Whitehead and Smale theorems in shape theory*, *Dissertationes Mat.* 87, Warszawa 1976.
- [Kee] J. W. Keesee, *An Introduction to Algebraic Topology*, Brooks/Cole, Belmont, Ca, 1970.
- [Kod] Y. Kodama, *Decomposition spaces and shape in the sense of Fox*, *Fund. Math.* 97 (1977), pp. 199-208.
- [Mar] S. Mardešić, *On the Whitehead theorem in shape theory I*, *Fund. Math.* 91 (1976), pp. 51-64.
- [Mor] K. Morita, *On shapes of topological spaces*, *Fund. Math.* 86 (1975), pp. 251-259.
- [Mos] M. Moszyńska, *The Whitehead theorem in the theory of shapes*, *Fund. Math.* 80 (1973), pp. 221-263.
- [R-S] L. Rubin and T. Sanders, *Compactly generated shape*, *Gen. Top. and Appl.* 4 (1974), pp. 73-83.
- [S-1] T. Sanders, *Shape groups and products*, *Pacific J. Math.* 48 (1973), pp. 485-496.
- [S-2] — *Shape groups for Hausdorff spaces*, *Glasnik Matematički* 8 (28) (1973), pp. 297-304.
- [S 3] — *Compactly generated shape theories*, *Fund. Math.* 93 (1976), pp. 37-40.
- [S 4] — *A Whitehead theorem in CG-shape*, *Fund. Math.* 103 (1981), pp. 131-140.
- [Sma] S. Smale, *A Vietoris mapping theorem for homotopy*, *AMS Proceedings* 8 (1975), pp. 604-610.
- [Spa] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York 1966.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
U. S. NAVAL ACADEMY  
Annapolis, MD 21402

Accépté par la Rédaction le 2. 3. 1981

---