

- [3] V. Knichal, *Sur les superpositions des automorphismes continues d'un intervalle fermé*, Fund. Math. 31 (1938), pp. 79–83.
- [4] K. D. Magill, Jr., *A survey of semigroups of continuous selfmaps*, Semigroup Forum 11 (3) (1975/76), pp. 189–282.
- [5] J. Schreier and S. Ulam, *Über topologische Abbildungen der euklidischen Sphären*, Fund. Math. 23 (1934), pp. 102–118.
- [6] W. Sierpiński, *Sur l'approximation des fonctions continues par les superpositions de quatre fonctions*, Fund. Math. 23 (1934), pp. 119–120.
- [7] S. Subbiah, *Some finitely generated subsemigroups of  $S(X)$* , Fund. Math. 86 (1975), pp. 221–231.
- [8] S. W. Young, *Finitely generated semigroups of continuous functions on  $[0, 1]$* , Fund. Math. 68 (1970), pp. 297–305.

DAEMEN COLLEGE  
Amherst, N.Y.

Accepté par la Rédaction le 29. 9. 1980

## The axiom of determinateness implies $\omega_2$ has precisely two countably complete, uniform, weakly normal ultrafilters

by

Robert J. Mignone \* (Charleston, S. C.)

**Abstract.** A well known consequence of the axiom of determinateness is that over  $\omega_2$  there are precisely two  $\omega_2$ -complete, normal ultrafilters. This can be strengthened to precisely two  $\omega_1$ -complete, uniform, weakly normal ultrafilters exist over  $\omega_2$ . The proof is a modification of the original proof of Martin and Paris. One application of this result shows that a theorem of ZFC, due to Ketonen, fails in ZF+AD.

**§ 0. Notation and preliminaries.** A familiarity with set theory is assumed. For the definitions of ultrafilter,  $\kappa$ -complete, normal, fine, and other common set theoretic notions the reader is referred to [2].

If  $A$  is a set, then  $\bar{A}$  denotes the cardinality of  $A$ .

If  $\kappa \leq \lambda$  are cardinals,  $P_\kappa \lambda = \{a \subseteq \lambda: \bar{a} < \kappa\}$ .

A filter  $U$  over  $P_\kappa \lambda$  is called *weakly normal* if given any  $f: P_\kappa \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$  such that  $\{a \in P_\kappa \lambda: f(a) \in a\} \in U$ , then there exists a  $\gamma < \lambda$  such that  $\{a \in P_\kappa \lambda: f(a) < \gamma\} \in U$ . Likewise, a filter  $F$  over  $\kappa$  is called *weakly normal* if given any  $f: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$  such that  $\{\alpha \in \kappa: f(\alpha) < \alpha\} \in F$ , then there exists  $\gamma < \kappa$  such that  $\{\alpha \in \kappa: f(\alpha) < \gamma\} \in F$ .

A filter  $F$  over  $\kappa$  is *uniform* if  $a \in F$  implies  $\bar{a} = \kappa$ .

Let  $\kappa \leq \mu$  be regular cardinals.  $F$  an ultrafilter over  $\lambda$  is  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -regular if there exists  $\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in \mu\} \subseteq F$  such that for every  $a \subseteq \kappa$  with  $\bar{a} = \kappa$ , then  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in a} X_\alpha = \emptyset$ .

Let  $X, Y$  be sets and  $F, U$  ultrafilters over  $X, Y$  respectively.  $U$  is said to be *projectible onto  $F$*  (denoted  $F \leq U$ ) if there exists  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  such that for all  $a \subseteq X, a \in F$  if and only if  $f^{-1}(a) \in U$ .

In [3] the following theorem is proved:

**THEOREM (Ketonen).** ZFC. Let  $\kappa \leq \lambda$  be regular cardinals and  $F$  a  $\kappa$ -complete, uniform ultrafilter over  $\lambda$ . Then  $F$  is  $(\kappa, \lambda)$ -regular if and only if there is a weakly normal, fine ultrafilter  $U$  over  $P_\kappa \lambda$  projectible onto  $F$ .

Let  $\kappa$  be a regular cardinal and  $\alpha < \kappa$  an ordinal. A set  $a \subseteq \kappa$  is  $\alpha$ -closed unbounded in  $\kappa$ , if

\* This paper represents Chapter One of my Ph. D. Thesis (The Pennsylvania State University, 1979) written under the supervision of Professor Thomas Jech, to whom I am grateful for his guidance and knowledge of set theory.

- (i) the sup of every increasing sequence of length  $\alpha$  from  $a$  is in  $a$ ; and
- (ii) if  $\beta < \kappa$ , then there is a  $\delta \in a$  such that  $\beta \leq \delta$ .

Denote by  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_1$  the filters over  $\omega_2$  generated by the collections of  $\omega$ -closed unbounded subsets of  $\omega_2$  and  $\omega_1$ -closed unbounded subsets of  $\omega_2$ , respectively.

Martin and Paris proved, assuming AD,  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_1$  are the only two  $\omega_2$ -complete, normal ultrafilters over  $\omega_2$ , see [4].

§ 1. THEOREM 1.1. AD. Given any uniform,  $\omega_1$ -complete, weakly normal ultrafilter  $\nu$  on  $\omega_2$ , then  $\nu$  is  $\mu_0$  or  $\mu_1$ .

Proof. Assume otherwise. Let  $A \in \nu$ ,  $E_0 \in \mu_0$  be  $\omega$ -closed, and  $E_1 \in \mu_1$  be  $\omega_1$ -closed such that  $A \cap (E_0 \cup E_1) = \emptyset$ . Define  $f: A \rightarrow \omega_2$  by

$$f(\alpha) = \inf\{\sup(E_0 \cap \alpha), \sup(E_1 \cap \alpha)\}.$$

So  $f(\alpha) < \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in A$ . Next, consider  $f^{-1}(\gamma)$  for any  $\gamma \in f'' A \cap \omega_2$ . If  $\alpha \in f^{-1}(\gamma)$ , then  $\gamma = \sup(E_0 \cap \alpha)$  or  $\gamma = \sup(E_1 \cap \alpha)$ . In either case, since  $E_0$  and  $E_1$  are unbounded there exist  $\beta_0 \in E_0$  and  $\beta_1 \in E_1$  such that  $\beta_0 > \gamma$  and  $\beta_1 > \gamma$ . For all  $\alpha' \in A$  such that  $\alpha' > \sup(\beta_0, \beta_1)$ ,  $\gamma < \sup(E_0 \cap \alpha')$  and  $\gamma < \sup(E_1 \cap \alpha')$ . That is,  $f(\alpha') > \gamma$ . So  $A \cap f^{-1}(\gamma) \subseteq \sup(\beta_0, \beta_1) + 1 < \omega_2$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(\gamma)$  is bounded in  $A$ . But  $f(\alpha) < \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in A \in \nu$ . Weakly normal implies there is a  $B \in \nu$ ,  $B \subseteq A$ , and  $\zeta < \omega_2$  satisfying:

For all  $\beta \in B$ ,  $f(\beta) < \zeta$ . So  $B \subseteq \bigcup_{\gamma < \zeta} f^{-1}(\gamma) \cap A$  and  $\bar{B} = \omega_2$ . But  $\zeta < \omega_2$  and  $f^{-1}(\gamma) \cap A < \omega_2$ , contradicting the regularity of  $\omega_2$ . ■

COROLLARY 1.2. AD. Let  $F$  be an  $\omega_1$ -complete, uniform, weakly normal ultrafilter on  $\omega_2$ .  $F$  is not  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -regular.

Proof. By Theorem 1.1  $F$  is either  $\mu_0$  or  $\mu_1$  and both are  $\omega_2$ -complete. ■

THEOREM 1.3. AD. Let  $U$  be an  $\omega_1$ -complete, fine, normal ultrafilter on  $P_{\omega_1}\omega_2$ .  $U$  is projectible onto an  $\omega_1$ -complete, uniform, weakly normal ultrafilter on  $\omega_2$ .

Proof. Define  $f: P_{\omega_1}\omega_2 \rightarrow \omega_2$  by  $f(x) = \sup x$ . Given  $a \subseteq \omega_2$  define  $F$  on  $\omega_2$  by  $a \in F$  iff  $f^{-1}(a) \in U$ .

CLAIM.  $F$  is an  $\omega_1$ -complete, uniform, weakly normal ultrafilter on  $\omega_2$ .

Proof of claim.

(uniformity)(i) Since  $U$  is fine and  $\bar{a} = \omega_2$  for any  $a \in F$ .

( $\omega_1$ -completeness)(ii) Let  $\{X_\alpha: \alpha < \gamma\} \subseteq F$  for any  $\gamma < \omega_1$ .

$\{f^{-1}(X_\alpha): \alpha < \gamma\} \subseteq U$ . And by  $\omega_1$ -completeness of  $U$ ,  $\bigcap_{\alpha < \gamma} f^{-1}(X_\alpha) \in U$ . Let

$x \in \bigcap_{\alpha < \gamma} f^{-1}(X_\alpha)$ . Then  $x \in f^{-1}(X_\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha < \gamma$ , giving  $f(x) \in X_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha < \gamma$ . That is,

$f(x) \in \bigcap_{\alpha < \gamma} X_\alpha$ . Hence  $x \in f^{-1}(\bigcap_{\alpha < \gamma} X_\alpha)$ . So  $\bigcap_{\alpha < \gamma} f^{-1}(X_\alpha) \subseteq f^{-1}(\bigcap_{\alpha < \gamma} X_\alpha)$ , yielding  $\bigcap_{\alpha < \gamma} X_\alpha \in F$ .

(weakly normal)(iii) Let  $g: \omega_2 \rightarrow \omega_2$  be such that  $g(\alpha) < \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in a \in F$ .

So  $f^{-1}(a) \in U$ . Define  $G: P_{\omega_1}\omega_2 \rightarrow \omega_2$  by

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} \inf(x - g(\sup x)) & \text{for } x \in f^{-1}(a), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For all  $x \in f^{-1}(a) \in U$ ,  $G(x) \in x$ . Hence, there exists a  $\gamma < \omega_2$  and  $B \subseteq A$  with  $B \in U$  satisfying:  $G(x) = \gamma$  for every  $x \in B$ . Let  $b = \{\sup x: x \in B\}$ . Now  $b \subseteq a$ . And for  $\beta \in b$ ,  $g(\beta) = g(\sup x)$  for some  $x \in B$ . So  $g(\beta) = g(\sup x) < G(x) = \gamma$ . But  $B \subseteq f^{-1}(b)$ . Hence  $b \in F$ .

(ultrafilter)(iv)  $a \notin F$  iff  $f^{-1}(a) \notin U$

iff  $P_{\omega_1}\omega_2 - f^{-1}(a) \in U$

iff  $\{x \in P_{\omega_1}\omega_2: \sup x \in \omega_2 - a\} \in U$

iff  $f^{-1}(\omega_2 - a) \in U$ . ■

COROLLARY 1.4. AD. Let  $U$  be an  $\omega_1$ -complete, fine, normal ultrafilter on  $P_{\omega_1}\omega_2$ .  $U$  is projectible onto  $\mu_0$  on  $\omega_2$ . (The existence of such a  $U$  follows from AD, see [1].)

Proof. Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.1 and the facts:

$\{\alpha < \omega_2: \text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega\} \in \mu_0$ ,

$\{\alpha < \omega_2: \text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega_1\} \in \mu_1$ ,

and  $U$  is normal; imply

$\{x \in P_{\omega_1}\omega_2: \sup x \text{ is a limit ordinal}\} \in U$ . ■

COROLLARY 1.5. AD. Any normal (hence weakly normal), fine,  $\omega_1$ -complete ultrafilter over  $P_{\omega_1}\omega_2$  is projectible onto an  $\omega_1$ -complete, uniform ultrafilter over  $\omega_2$  which is not  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -regular.

Proof. By Corollaries 1.4 and 1.2. ■

### References

- [1] C. A. Di Prisco and J. Henle, *On the compactness of  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$* , J. Symb. Logic 43 (1978), pp. 394-401.
- [2] T. J. Jech, *Set Theory*, Academic Press, New York 1978.
- [3] J. Ketonen, *Strong compactness and other cardinal sins*, Ann. Math. Logic 11 (1977), pp. 57-103.
- [4] D. A. Martin and J. B. Paris, *AD implies there exists exactly two normal measures on  $\omega_2$*  (manuscript).

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY  
University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

Current Address:  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
THE COLLEGE OF CHARLESTON  
Charleston, South Carolina 29424