

THEOREM 3.1. *Let X be a continuum in E^n having the property that for any neighborhood U of X the only loop that belongs to the normal closure in U of each neighborhood W of X is the trivial loop. Then X is nearly-1-movable if and only if X is 1-UV.*

Proof. Let X be nearly-1-movable. Let U be an open set containing X . Choose V so that each loop in V belongs to the normal closure in U of each open W . $X \subset W \subset V$. But only such loops are trivial loops. Thus X is 1-UV.

COROLLARY. *If X is as above, then X has property 1-UV if and only if E^n/X is locally simply connected.*

Proof. Clear.

As a corollary, we get the following theorem of D. R. McMillan [10].

THEOREM. *If X is compact connected strongly 1-acyclic, then X is 1-UV if and only if E^n/X is locally simply connected.*

Proof. Strongly acyclic continua satisfy the property in Theorem 3.1.

References

- [1] R. H. Bing, *Conditions under which monotone decompositions of E^3 are simply connected*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 63 (1957), p. 143, Abstract No. 325.
- [2] K. Borsuk, *Concerning homotopy properties of compacta*, Fund. Math. 62 (1968), pp. 223–254.
- [3] — *On the homotopy type of some decomposition spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 18 (1970), pp. 235–239.
- [4] J. H. Case and R. E. Chamberlin, *Characterizations of tree-like continua*, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), pp. 73–84.
- [5] T. A. Chapman, *On some applications of infinite dimensional manifolds to the theory of shape*, Fund. Math. 76 (1972), pp. 181–193.
- [6] R. Geoghegan and R. Summerhill, *Concerning the shapes of finite dimensional compacta*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 179 (1973), pp. 291–292.
- [7] D. W. Henderson, *Applications of infinite dimensional manifolds to quotient spaces of complete ANR's*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 19 (1971), pp. 747–753.
- [8] G. Kozłowski, *Factorization of certain maps up to homotopy*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (1969), pp. 88–92.
- [9] S. Marděšić, *On the shape of quotient spaces S^n/A* , Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 19 (1971), pp. 623–629.
- [10] D. R. McMillan, Jr., *Acyclicity in 3-manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1970), pp. 942–964.
- [11] — *One dimensional shape properties and three manifolds*, Studies in topology, Proc. Top. Conf. at Charlotte, NC., 1974, Academic Press, NY (1975), pp. 367–381.
- [12] — and N. Shrikhande, *On the simple connectivity of a quotient space*, Glasnik Matematički 12 (32) (1977), pp. 113–124.

CENTRAL MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY
Mt. Pleasant, Michigan

Accepté par la Rédaction le 16. 6. 1980

Yosida-Fukamiya's theorem for f -rings

by

Jean Trias Pairó (Barcelona)

Abstract. We introduce the concept of super-infinitely small element and prove that in a commutative f -ring with unity the J -radical coincides with the set of all super-infinitely small elements.

Preliminaries. We follow the notation and terminology of [1] and [5]. A lattice-ordered ring is an f -ring if $ax \wedge y = xa \wedge y = 0$ whenever $x \wedge y = 0$ and $a \geq 0$. If we put $x^+ = x \vee 0$, $x^- = (-x) \vee 0$ and $|x| = x^+ + x^-$, then a lattice-ordered ring is a d -ring if $|xy| = |x| \cdot |y|$, $\forall x, y$. The term ideal must be understood in the ring-theoretic sense. An ideal I is an l -ideal if $|x| \leq |y|$, $y \in I \Rightarrow x \in I$. We denote by $\langle a \rangle$ the l -ideal generated by $a \in A$. Following [1], an element $a \in A$ such that $\langle a \rangle = A$ is called a *formal unity*. An l -ideal I is a *band* if, whenever a subset of I has a supremum in A , that supremum belongs to I . The J -radical $J(A)$ of an f -ring A is defined as the intersection of all maximal (two-sided) l -ideals, if there is any. Otherwise, $J(A) = A$ by definition. The ring A is J -semisimple if $J(A) = 0$. An element $x \in A$ is *infinitely small* with respect to the element $y \in A$ whenever $n|x| \leq |y|$ holds for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. If we put $I_0(A) = \bigcup_{y \in A} I_0(y)$, where $I_0(y) = \{x \in A \mid x \text{ is infinitely small with respect to } y\}$, then A is Archimedean if and only if $I_0(A) = 0$. A lattice-ordered ring is *Dedekind complete* if every non-empty subset which is bounded from above has a supremum.

Introduction. In vector lattices with a strong unit the Yosida-Fukamiya's theorem [7] asserts that the *radical* — intersection of all maximal l -vector subspaces — is the set of all infinitely small elements. Here, for a commutative f -ring with unity, we obtain a result that is parallel to that of Yosida-Fukamiya. But in this context infinitely small elements are no more appropriate and it has been necessary to introduce a notion of "smallness" related to the product of the ring: that of *super-infinitely small element*. And the set of all super-infinitely small elements of A is proved to be $J(A)$.

Super-infinitely small elements and pseudoarchimedean rings.

DEFINITION 1. The element x of the lattice-ordered ring A is called *super-infinitely small element* with respect to $y \in A$ whenever $|a| \cdot |x| \leq |y|$ and $|x| \cdot |a| \leq |y|$ hold for every $a \in A$.

As we did with infinitely small elements, we write $I'_0(A) = \bigcup_{y \in A} I'_0(y)$, where $I'_0(y) = \{x \in A \mid x \text{ is super-infinitely small element with respect to } y\}$.

Analogously, we make the following natural definition:

DEFINITION 2. The lattice-ordered ring A is said to be *Pseudoarchimedean* if and only if $I'_0(A) = 0$, that is, if and only if, given $x, y \in A$ such that $|a||x| \leq |y|$ and $|x||a| \leq |y|$ hold for every $a \in A$, we have $x = 0$.

Some elementary properties follow without proof:

THEOREM 1. In a lattice-ordered ring A the following holds.

- (a) $I'_0(A)$ is an l -ideal.
- (b) If A has a (ring) unity, then $I'_0(A) = I_0(A)$.

Note that the inclusion given in part (b) of Theorem 1 makes it reasonable, for the unitary case, to call the elements of $I'_0(A)$ super-infinitely small elements.

EXAMPLES

1. *A Pseudoarchimedean ring that is not Archimedean.* Consider the non-pseudo-compact topological space X , $X \neq \emptyset$, and let $C(X)$ be the f -ring of all real continuous functions on X , under pointwise ordering and operations. Let M be a hyper-real maximal ideal [3] in $C(X)$ and let $A = C(X)/M$ be the canonically ordered quotient ring. Then we have $I'_0(A) = 0$ and $I_0(A) \neq 0$. This example shows also that the inclusion $I'_0(A) \subset I_0(A)$ (in a ring with unity) may be strict.

2. *Totally ordered ring that is neither Archimedean nor Pseudoarchimedean.* Let $R[x]$ be the ring of polynomials in an indeterminate x with real coefficients, endowed with the usual operations and a total ordering defined as follows: if $P(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_{n-k} x^{n-k} \neq 0$, then $P > 0$ if and only if $a_{n-k} > 0$. Then $A = (R[x], +, \cdot, \leq)$ is a totally ordered non-Archimedean ring, and it is not Pseudoarchimedean since $x \in I'_0(1)$.

3. *An Archimedean ring that is not Pseudoarchimedean.* Consider $A = R \times R$. Addition and ordered are defined coordinatewise, and the rule for multiplication is given by $(a, b)(c, d) = (0, ac)$. Then we get a lattice-ordered ring such that $I_0(A) = 0$ and $I'_0(A) = \{(0, y) \mid y \in R\}$.

We derive now some sufficient conditions for Pseudoarchimedeanity:

THEOREM 2. Given the lattice-ordered ring with unity A , then the following implications hold:

- (a) If A is Archimedean, it is also Pseudoarchimedean.
- (b) If A is commutative and every $x > 0$ is a formal unity, then A is Pseudoarchimedean.
- (c) If A is commutative and M is a maximal l -ideal, then the quotient ring A/M is Pseudoarchimedean.

Proof. (a) It follows from Theorem 1 (b). (b) Evident. (c) It is a consequence of part (b).

THEOREM 3. Let A be a Dedekind complete f -ring containing an element d that is not divisor of zero. Then A is Pseudoarchimedean.

Proof. Assume that $x \in I'_0(A)$. Then the set $\{|a| \cdot |x| \mid a \in A\}$ is bounded from above and, therefore, the least upper bound $z = \bigvee_{a \in A} |a| \cdot |x|$ exists in A by hypothesis. There exists also $t = \bigvee_{a \in A} (|a| + |d|)|x|$ in A and $t \leq z$. Now, from $z + |d| \cdot |x| = t \leq z$ we obtain $dx = 0$. Hence $x = 0$.

Let us now present one more example. Let H be a complex Hilbert space, with inner product $(x|y)$. The set of all bounded linear Hermitian operators in H will be denoted by \mathcal{H} . Under the usual algebraic operations, \mathcal{H} is a real vector space. Moreover, \mathcal{H} is an ordered vector space by defining that $A \geq 0$ holds whenever $(Ax|x) \geq 0$ holds for all $x \in H$. Let \mathcal{D} a subset of \mathcal{H} such that all elements of \mathcal{D} commute mutually and let $\mathcal{C}''(\mathcal{D})$ be its second commutant [5]. With the same ordering of \mathcal{H} , $\mathcal{C}''(\mathcal{D})$ is an f -ring.

THEOREM 4. (a) $\mathcal{C}''(\mathcal{D})$ is Pseudoarchimedean.

(b) If the set $\{\|BA\| \mid B \in \mathcal{C}''(\{A\})\}$ is bounded, then $A = 0$.

Proof. (a) $\mathcal{C}''(\mathcal{D})$ is Dedekind complete [5], hence Archimedean. It suffices now to apply Theorem 2 (a).

(b) Suppose that $\|BA\| \leq k$ holds for every $B \in \mathcal{C}''(\{A\})$. Therefore, being the norm of $\mathcal{C}''(\mathcal{D})$ compatible with the lattice structure, we have $\| |B| \cdot |A| \| \leq k$, $\forall B \in \mathcal{C}''(\{A\})$. On account of $|B| \cdot |A| \geq 0$ we have now that

$$\| |B| \cdot |A| \| = \sup \{ (|B| \cdot |A|)x|x| / \|x\|^2 \mid x \neq 0 \}$$

and so $((|B| \cdot |A|)x|x) \geq (kI)x|x$ holds for every $x \in H$, that is, $A \in I'_0(\mathcal{C}''(\{A\}))$. Hence $A = 0$, by part (a).

Natural questions arise about the incidence of the Pseudoarchimedean condition on the structure of the ring. Some results related with this problem follow.

LEMMA 1. If $\text{Ann}(A)$ is the annihilator of the lattice-ordered ring A , then $\text{Ann}(A) \subset I'_0(A)$.

Proof. Obvious.

THEOREM 5. Every commutative Pseudoarchimedean d -ring is an f -ring.

Proof. Suppose that $x \wedge y = 0$ and $a \geq 0$; we have to prove that $ax \wedge y = 0$. Indeed, the element $ax \wedge y$ is a left-annihilator of the ring [1] and, therefore, by the commutativity assumption, $ax \wedge y \in \text{Ann}(A)$. By Lemma 1, $ax \wedge y \in I'_0(A)$ and consequently $ax \wedge y = 0$, as it had to be shown.

Remark. Dropping the Pseudoarchimedean hypothesis and substituting it by Archimedeanity, Theorem 5 is no more valid, as may be shown by means of Example 3. Also, if we do not assume that the ring is a d -ring, Theorem 5 is not true: by way of example, take $A = R \times R$, with coordinatewise operations and order it by: $(x, y) \geq 0$ if and only if $x \geq y \geq 0$. Then $I'_0(A) = 0$, but A is not an f -ring.

THEOREM 6. *If A is an Archimedean, Pseudoarchimedean f -ring, then it has no non-zero nilpotent elements. Hence A is a subdirect product of totally ordered rings without non-zero divisors of zero.*

Proof. In an Archimedean f -ring every nilpotent element is an annihilator of the ring [1]. Hence $N(A) \subset \text{Ann}(A)$. Combining this with Lemma 1 and Pseudoarchimedeanity we obtain $N(A) = 0$. The other statement follows now from a well-known characterization of f -rings without nilpotency [4].

COROLLARY 1. *Let A be a Dedekind complete f -ring with some non-zero-divisor. Then $N(A) = 0$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to apply Theorems 3 and 6.

Remark. Theorem 6 does not necessarily hold for an Archimedean, Pseudoarchimedean ring that is not an f -ring: consider the $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ of 2×2 -matrices with real coefficients, with the usual operations and the ordering defined pointwise. Then $I_0(A) = I_0(A) = 0$, but $N(A) \neq 0$.

A Lattice characterization of the J -radical.

THEOREM 7. *If A is an f -ring with formal unit u , then $J(A) \subset I_0(u)$.*

Proof. Assume that $x \notin I_0(u)$. Then there exist an $a \in A$ such that $|a| \cdot |x| \not\leq u$ or $|x| \cdot |a| \not\leq u$. Suppose that we are in the first case (if we were in the second one, the argument would be similar). There are two possibilities:

(i) $|a| \cdot |x| \geq u$. Then x is also a formal unity and, therefore, $x \notin M$ for every maximal l -ideal M . So $x \notin J(A)$ and the theorem is proved.

(ii) $|a| \cdot |x| \not\leq u$ and $|a| \cdot |x| \not\geq u$. Equivalently, $(|a| \cdot |x| - u)^+ > 0$ and $(|a| \cdot |x| - u)^- > 0$. Consider now the nonzero ideal $I = \langle (|a| \cdot |x| - u)^- \rangle$; being A an f -ring, we have $\langle (|a| \cdot |x| - u)^+ \rangle \cap \langle (|a| \cdot |x| - u)^- \rangle = 0$, hence $I \neq A$ on account of $(|a| \cdot |x| - u)^+ \neq 0$. Hence there exist a maximal l -ideal M containing I . Now, considering the canonical mapping onto the quotient ring A/M , $x \mapsto \bar{x}$, we have $\bar{0} = (|a| \cdot |x| - u)^- \geq \bar{u} - |\bar{a}| \cdot |\bar{x}|$. Hence $x \notin M$.

COROLLARY 2. *Every Pseudoarchimedean f -ring with formal unity is J -semisimple.*

It is an immediate consequence of Theorem 7.

Note that this corollary is a generalization of the following result of Johnson [4]: Every Archimedean f -ring with unity is J -semisimple.

A theorem of Birkhoff-Pierce [2] asserts that an Archimedean f -ring with unity has no nonzero nilpotent elements. The preceding theorem allows us to strengthen this result:

COROLLARY 3. *If A is a commutative Pseudoarchimedean f -ring with unity, then it contains no nonzero nilpotent elements.*

Proof. It follows from the inclusions $N(A) \subset J(A) \subset I_0(A)$.

The main theorem is the following one:

THEOREM 8. *If A is a commutative f -ring with unity 1, then $J(A) = I_0(A) = I_0(1)$.*

Proof. Theorem 7 gives us the inclusion $J(A) \subset I_0(1)$. For the proof that $I_0(A) \subset J(A)$ holds, assume that M is a maximal l -ideal. Then $I_0(A/M) = 0$ by Theorem 2 (b). Hence we obtain $I_0(A) \subset J(A)$ by noting that $(I_0(A))/M \subset I_0(A/M)$.

In view of this theorem it is now easy to see that there are sufficiently many Pseudoarchimedean f -rings:

COROLLARY 4. *For every commutative f -ring with unity, $A/I_0(A)$ is Pseudoarchimedean.*

Proof. By Theorem 8, $I_0(A/I_0(A)) = J(A/J(A)) = 0$, on account of $A/J(A)$ being J -semisimple [4].

We also obtain as a consequence of Theorem 8 a result that is already known [1] (part (c)):

COROLLARY 5. *For a commutative f -ring A with unity the following statements are equivalent:*

- A is J -semisimple.
- A is Pseudoarchimedean.
- For the arbitrary elements $a, b \in A$ there exist an $x \in A$ such that $|a| \cdot |x| \leq |b|$.

Remark. Theorem 8 makes it easy to obtain an f -ring for which the J -radical and the algebraic Jacobson radical do not coincide: consider again the ring of Example 2; by Theorem 8 we have that $J(A) = I_0(A) = I_0(1) = (x)$, where (x) is the ideal generated by x . But in this case, if $R(A)$ is the Jacobson radical, $R(A) = N(A) = 0$.

COROLLARY 6. *If $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and \mathcal{D} is a set of operators that commute mutually, then $\mathcal{C}''(\mathcal{D})$ is J -semisimple. Hence $\mathcal{C}''(\mathcal{D})$ is a subdirect product of totally ordered rings without nonzero divisors of zero and without nontrivial l -ideals.*

Proof. It follows from Corollary 5 and Theorem 4(a).

We recall that an f -ring is said to satisfy the descending chain condition for l -ideals if every properly descending chain $I_1 \supset I_2 \supset I_3 \supset \dots$ of l -ideals is finite.

COROLLARY 7. *If A is a commutative f -ring with unity that satisfies the descending chain condition and contains no nonzero nilpotent elements, then A is Pseudoarchimedean.*

Proof. Being A an f -ring with unity and satisfying the descending chain condition we have [4]: $J(A) = N(A)$. By Theorem 8, $I_0(A) = N(A)$ and so the conclusion follows.

As an application of Theorem 8 we shall investigate some conditions under which $J(A)$ is a band.

A commutative lattice-ordered ring A is called p -distributive [6] if the product by positive elements preserves the suprema of subsets of A .

THEOREM 9. *If A is a p -distributive, commutative f -ring with unity, then $J(A)$ is a band.*

Proof. By Theorem 8 it suffices to prove that $I'_0(A)$ is a band. To this end, suppose that $\{x_i | i\} \subset I'_0(1)$ and $x = \bigvee x_i$ exists in A ; we must prove that $x \in I'_0(1)$.

It follows easily by convexity that $x^- \in I'_0(1)$. On the other hand, $|a|x^+ = \bigvee |a|x_i^+$ holds for every $a \in A$ by p -distributivity. But $|a|x_i^+ \leq 1$, $\forall i, \forall a \in A$, and, therefore, $x^+ \in I'_0(1)$.

Let us recall that an ordered ring with unity 1 is of *bounded inversion* if every element greater than 1 is a unit. If A is a commutative f -ring with unity and S is the set of non-zero-divisors, $(S_0^{-1}A, +, \cdot, \leq)$ will denote the *total* ring of fractions, ordered by the cone $(S_0^{-1}A)^+ = \{a/s | as \geq 0\}$. Then we have

COROLLARY 8. *Given a commutative f -ring A with unity, each of the following conditions is sufficient for $J(A)$ to be a band.*

- (a) *The mapping $A \mapsto S_0^{-1}A$, $x \mapsto x/1$ preserves all suprema of subsets of A .*
- (b) *A is of bounded inversion.*
- (c) *Every non-unit is a zerodivisor.*

Proof. Each of these conditions implies p -distributivity [6].

References

- [1] A. Bigard, K. Keimel and S. Wolfenstein, *Groupes et anneaux réticulés*, Lecture Notes in Math. 608, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1977.
- [2] G. Birkhoff and R. S. Pierce, *Lattice-ordered Rings*, Anais Acad. Brasil C. 28 (1956), pp. 41-69.
- [3] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Princeton 1960.
- [4] D. G. Johnson, *A structure theory for a class of lattice-ordered rings*, Acta Math. 104 (1960), pp. 163-215.
- [5] W. Luxemburg and A. Zaanen, *Riesz Spaces I*, Amsterdam 1971.
- [6] J. Trias, *Sobre la p -distributividad en los f -anillos*, Contrib. en Prob. y Est. Mat. Ens. de la Mat. y Análisis, Universidad de Granada (1979), pp. 61-66.
- [7] K. Yosida and M. Fukamiya, *On vector lattices with a unit II*, Proc. imp. Acad. Tokyo 18 (1941/42), pp. 479-482.

DEPT. DE MATEMÀTIQUES I ESTADÍSTICA
E.T.S. D'ARQUITECTURA, UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA
Diagonal 649
Barcelona — 28

Accepté par la Rédaction le 16. 6. 1980

Intersections of separators and essential submanifolds of I^N

by

Darryl McCullough * and Leonard R. Rubin (Norman, Okla.)

Abstract. A compactum X in $I^N = I^m \times I^n$ is *essential* in the first m directions if and only if the projection of X to I^m is a stable map. Similarly define Y to be essential in the last n directions. We discuss conditions under which X and Y must have nonempty intersection. If $m \in \{1, 2\}$ then $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, while for $m, n > 2$ examples of disjoint essential compacta are constructed. We give applications, including an apparently new characterization of dimension in terms of mappings into R^n , and a generalization of the Cantor manifold concept.

1. Introduction. The boundary S^{N-1} of I^N can be written as the non-singular join of two distinct canonical lower dimensional spheres S^{m-1} and S^{n-1} for each choice of m, n with $m+n = N$. These spheres bound convex balls D^m and D^n whose intersection is nonempty. The balls are examples of compacta which are essentially embedded in the sense that D^k does not retract to S^{k-1} , $k \in m, n$. Suppose we replace D^m and D^n by different essentially embedded compacta X and Y ; then is it possible that $X \cap Y = \emptyset$? Indeed this is possible as we shall show in Section 4, while in Section 3 we shall show it is impossible whenever $m \in \{1, 2\}$. A final result in Section 3 is an apparently new characterization of dimension in terms of mappings into R^n . In Section 5, we will generalize the Cantor manifold concept.

It is not known whether all infinite dimensional compacta have infinite cohomological dimension. A solution to this longstanding problem would be equivalent to a solution of the CE-map dimension raising problem and related problems [E1]. In 3.1 of [W] it was shown that any compactum which can be written as the intersection of separators of co-infinitely many faces of the Hilbert cube has infinite cohomological dimension. In Section 4 we shall show that, at least in finite dimensional cubes, there are essentially embedded manifolds which cannot be written as intersections of separators in the non-essential directions. This situation is related to the one described above, concerning non-intersecting essentially embedded compacta. It may shed light on the question of which compacta in the Hilbert cube can be written as the intersection of co-infinitely many separators of faces of the Hilbert cube. We note that quite recently Roman Pol [P] proved the existence of a compact metric space X which is neither countable dimensional nor strongly

* Partially supported by a University of Oklahoma College of Arts and Sciences Summer Fellowship.