

## Medial groupoids and Mersenne numbers

by

J. Dudek (Wrocław)

**Abstract.** Let  $(G, +)$  be a groupoid and let  $\mathfrak{M}_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) denote the variety of all idempotent commutative medial (i.e.,  $(x+y)+(u+v) = (x+u)+(y+v)$ ) groupoids satisfying  $x+ny = x$  (where  $x+ny = (\dots(x+y)+y)+\dots+y$ ),  $x$  occurs once and  $y$  occurs  $n$  times). The main purpose of the note is to prove the following theorem: The variety  $\mathfrak{M}_n$  is equationally complete iff the Mersenne number  $M_n = 2^n - 1$  is prime.

0. For a natural number  $n$  the number  $M_n = 2^n - 1$  will be called the  $n$ -th Mersenne number (see [4]). It is an open problem how many prime Mersenne numbers exist (the same applies to nonprime Mersenne numbers).

In this note we exhibit a connection between prime Mersenne numbers  $M_n$  and some equationally complete varieties  $\mathfrak{M}_n$  of idempotent groupoids (see below).

In Section 1, a characterization theorem for groupoids from  $\mathfrak{M}_n$  is given which is needed to prove our main result.

The terminology and the notations are adopted from [2], [3] and [4].

By an algebra  $\mathfrak{A} = (A; F)$  we shall understand an ordered pair  $(A; F)$ , where  $A$  is a nonempty set and  $F$  is a set of operations on  $A$ . For a given algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  by  $A(F)$  we denote the set of all algebraic operations over  $\mathfrak{A}$  (see [3]).

Two algebras  $\mathfrak{A}_1 = (A; F_1)$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_2 = (A; F_2)$  are considered equal (polynomially equivalent in [1]) if  $A(F_1) = A(F_2)$ .

A groupoid is an algebra  $(G; \cdot)$  with a binary fundamental operation  $x \cdot y$ . We write  $xy$  instead of  $x \cdot y$  and  $xy^n$  stands for  $(\dots(xy) \dots)y$ , where  $x$  occurs once and  $y$  occurs  $n$  times. We shall also omit the brackets in an expression  $(\dots(x_1 x_2) \dots)x_n$ . So, e.g., we write  $x_1 x_2 x_3$  instead of  $(x_1 x_2)x_3$ .

A groupoid  $(G; \cdot)$  is said to be *idempotent* if  $xx = x$  for every  $x \in G$ .

In general, an algebra  $(A; F)$  is *idempotent* if every fundamental operation of it is idempotent, i.e., if  $f \in F$ , then  $f(x, \dots, x) = x$  for all  $x \in A$ . For a given algebra  $\mathfrak{A} = (A; F)$  by  $I(\mathfrak{A})$  we denote the algebra  $(A; I(F))$ , where  $I(F)$  is the set of all idempotent algebraic operations of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . This algebra is called the *idempotent reduct* of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

A groupoid  $(G; \cdot)$  is *commutative* if  $xy = yx$  for all  $x, y \in G$  and it is called *medial* if  $(xy)(uv) = (xu)(yv)$  holds for all  $x, y, u, v \in G$ .

For any natural number  $n$ , the class of all idempotent commutative medial groupoids  $(G; \cdot)$  which satisfy the identity  $xy^n = x$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{M}_n$ .

A variety of algebras is called the *zero-variety* if it consists only of one-element algebras. It will be denoted by  $O$ . A variety  $V$  of algebras is said to be *equationally complete* if the only proper subvariety of  $V$  is  $O$ .

In this note we are going to prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *The Mersenne number  $M_n$  is prime if and only if the variety  $\mathfrak{M}_n$  is equationally complete.*

Before proving this theorem (Section 2) we need some information on groupoids from  $\mathfrak{M}_n$ .

**1. Characterization theorem for groupoids from  $\mathfrak{M}_n$ .** Let  $n \geq 2$  be a fixed natural number and let  $d > 1$  be a divisor of  $2^n - 1$ . Now let  $(G; +)$  be an abelian group of exponent  $d$ . Denote by  $G(d, n)$  the groupoid  $(G; c(x+y))$  where  $c = (d+1)/2$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *The groupoids  $G(d, n)$  belong to the variety  $\mathfrak{M}_n$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $xx = (d+1)x = x$ . The commutativity of  $xy$  follows from the fact that  $(G; +)$  is an abelian group. To prove the medial law, let us observe that the binary operation  $\alpha x + \beta y$  is medial in every module over a commutative ring. Since any abelian group of exponent  $d$  can be regarded as a  $\Omega_d$ -module, where

$$\Omega_d = \{o, \dots, d-1\}; +(\text{mod } d); (\text{mod } d),$$

we infer that  $G(d, n)$  is medial.

Now let us check the identity  $xy^n = x$ . We have  $x_1 x_2 x_3 = c^2(x_1 + x_2) + c x_3$  and in general

$$x_1 \dots x_k = c^{k-1} x_1 + c^{k-2} x_2 + c^{k-3} x_3 + \dots + c x_k.$$

Hence we have

$$xy^n = c^n x + (c + \dots + c^n) y = c^n x + \frac{c(c^n - 1)}{c - 1} y.$$

Since  $(c-1, c) = 1$  and  $(c-1, d) = 1$  and  $d | 2^n - 1$ , we infer that  $c^n \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$  and  $\frac{c^{n+1} - c}{c - 1} \equiv o \pmod{d}$ . Thus we conclude that  $G(d, n) \in \mathfrak{M}_n$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $(G; \cdot) \in \mathfrak{M}_n$  then there exists an abelian group  $(G; +)$  of exponent  $d$ ,  $d | 2^n - 1$ , such that  $xy = c(x+y)$  for all  $x, y \in G$  with  $c = (d+1)/2$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\text{card } G = 1$  then  $d = 1$  and  $xy = x+y$ . Now let  $\text{card } G \geq 2$  and  $x+y = xyo^{n-1}$  for some  $o \in G$ . We prove that  $(G; +)$  is the required group. Indeed, observe that  $x+y = y+x$  and  $x+o = xoo^{n-1} = xo^n = x$ . Using the medality and distributivity (which follows from the medality and idempotency of  $xy$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x+y)+z &= xyo^{n-1}zo^{n-1} = xyo^{n-1}zoo^{n-2} = ((xyo^{n-1}o)(zo))o^{n-2} \\ &= ((xyo^n)(zo))o^{n-2} = ((xy)(zo))o^{n-2} \\ &= (y+z)+x = x+(y+z). \end{aligned}$$

We have thus proved that  $(G; +)$  is a commutative semigroup with the zero-element  $o$ .

Observe that any equation  $x+a = b$  has a solution in  $G$  for  $a, b \in G$ . Indeed,  $x+a = xao^{n-1} = b$  and hence  $xa = xao^{n-1}o = bo$  and  $x = obo^{n-1}$  is the required solution. One can easily check that the solution is unique, and so  $(G; +)$  is a group.

Observe that  $2x = xo^{n-1}$  and  $2^2x = xo^{n-2}$ . By induction it follows that  $2^kx = xo^{n-k}$ . Putting  $k = n-1$  we get  $2^{n-1}x = xo$  and hence  $2^n x = ((xo)(xo))o^{n-1} = xo^n = x$ . Since we are in the group  $(G; +)$ , therefore  $(2^n - 1)x = o$  for all  $x \in G$ . Thus the exponent  $d$  of  $G$  divides  $2^n - 1$  and  $d > 1$ .

Further, observe that

$$xy = \frac{d+1}{2}(x+y).$$

Indeed,  $2^{n-1}x + 2^{n-1}y = xo + yo = ((xo)(yo))o^{n-1} = xyoo^{n-1} = xyo^n = xy$ . To complete the proof of our lemma it is enough to prove that

$$2^{n-1} - \frac{d+1}{2} \equiv o \pmod{d}.$$

We have

$$\frac{(2^n - 1) - d}{2} \equiv o \pmod{d},$$

which is a simple consequence of  $d | 2^n - 1$  and  $(2, d) = 1$ .

The proof is completed.

The following theorem results from Lemmas 1 and 2.

**CHARACTERIZATION THEOREM.** *For a fixed natural number  $n$ , a groupoid  $(G; \cdot)$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{M}_n$  if and only if there exists an abelian group  $(G; +)$  of exponent  $d$  with  $d | 2^n - 1$  and*

$$xy = \frac{d+1}{2}(x+y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in G.$$

**2. Proof of Theorem.** In this section we shall prove the theorem stated in Section 0.

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be a prime number greater than 2. Denote by  $S_p$  the variety

$$\text{HSP} \left( \{o, \dots, p-1\}; \frac{p+1}{2}(x+y) \right)$$

where  $+ = +(\text{mod } p)$ ,  $\cdot = \cdot(\text{mod } p)$  are understood in the sense of the Galois field

$$\text{GF}(p) = (\{o, \dots, p-1\}; +(\text{mod } p), \cdot(\text{mod } p)).$$

Using the result of [5], we infer that the groupoid

$$G_p = \left( \{o, \dots, p-1\}; \frac{p+1}{2}(x+y) \right)$$

is polynomially equivalent to the idempotent reduct of the additive group of the field  $\text{GF}(p)$ . This group can be regarded as a vector space over  $\text{GF}(p)$  and the groupoid  $G_p$  can be treated as an affine space over  $\text{GF}(p)$ . As is shown in [1], every

affine space over  $\text{GF}(p)$  is polynomially equivalent to some medial idempotent quasigroup and the variety of all affine spaces over  $\text{GF}(p)$  is equationally complete. So, we infer that  $S_p$  is equationally complete because of the following fact: if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an algebra of a fixed type  $\tau_1$  and if the algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  can also be considered as an algebra of a type  $\tau_2$  (with same algebraic operations), then  $\text{HSP}(\mathfrak{A})$  is equationally complete with respect to  $\tau_1$  if and only if it is equationally complete with respect to  $\tau_2$ .

It follows from [1] that for different primes  $p$  and  $q$  the varieties  $S_p$  and  $S_q$  are different atoms in the lattice of subvarieties of all idempotent medial quasigroups.

Now we are in a position to complete the proof of the theorem. Suppose  $\mathfrak{M}_n$  is equationally complete and suppose that  $M_n = 2^n - 1$  is not prime. Then there exist two different primes  $p$  and  $q$  such that  $p|2^n - 1$  and  $q|2^n - 1$ . By Lemma 1 we infer that  $G(p, n)$  and  $G(q, n)$  belong to the variety  $\mathfrak{M}_n$ . Therefore the varieties  $S_p$  and  $S_q$  are contained as non-zero subvarieties in  $\mathfrak{M}_n$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\mathfrak{M}_n$  is equationally complete.

Assume now that  $M_n$  is prime. To prove that  $\mathfrak{M}_n$  is equationally complete it is enough to show  $\mathfrak{M}_n = \text{HSP}((G; \cdot))$  for every nontrivial groupoid  $(G; \cdot)$  from  $\mathfrak{M}_n$ .

Let  $(G; \cdot) \in \mathfrak{M}_n$ . Then by Lemma 2 there exists an abelian group  $(G; +)$  of exponent  $d|2^n - 1$ , where  $d > 1$  and

$$(G; xy) = \left( G; \frac{d+1}{2}(x+y) \right).$$

Since  $2^n - 1$  is prime, we have  $d = 2^n - 1$  and hence

$$\text{HSP}((G; \cdot)) = \text{HSP}((G; 2^{n-1}(x+y))).$$

The latter variety is equal to the variety  $S_{2^n - 1}$  since the sets of identities of the groupoid  $(G; 2^{n-1}(x+y))$  and  $(\{0, \dots, 2^n - 2\}; 2^{n-1}(x+y))$  are equal (the latter groupoid is polynomially equivalent to the affine space over  $\text{GF}(2^n - 1)$ ). By Lemma 1 we find that  $S_{2^n - 1} \subset \mathfrak{M}_n$  and  $S_{2^n - 1} = \text{HSP}((G; \cdot))$  for all  $(G; \cdot) \in \mathfrak{M}_n$  with  $\text{card } G \geq 2$ . Using the well-known Birkhoff theorem, we infer that  $\mathfrak{M}_n = S_{2^n - 1}$  and hence  $\mathfrak{M}_n$  is equationally complete.

#### References

- [1] B. Csákány and L. Megyesi, *Varieties of idempotent medial quasigroup*, Acta Sci. Math. 37 (1975), pp. 17-24.
- [2] G. Grätzer, *Universal Algebra*, Van Nostrand 1968.
- [3] E. Marczewski, *Independence and homomorphism in abstract algebras*, Fund. Math. 50 (1961), pp. 45-61.
- [4] W. Narkiewicz, *Teoria Liczb*, Warszawa 1977.
- [5] J. Płonka, *On the arity of idempotent reducts*, Colloq. Math. 21 (1970), pp. 35-37.

Accepté par la Rédaction le 12. 11. 1979

## Solution of a problem of Ulam on countable sequences of sets

by

Andrzej Pelc (Warszawa)

**Abstract.** Let  $E$  be a set of cardinality  $2^\omega$  and  $\{A_n: n \in \omega\}$  an arbitrary sequence of subsets of  $E$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $E$  generated by the family  $\{A_n: n \in \omega\}$  and  $\mathcal{B}^*$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $E^2$  generated by the family  $\{A_n \times A_m: n, m \in \omega\}$ . S. M. Ulam stated a problem (see [3]), whether there exists an injection  $\Phi: E \rightarrow E^2$  transforming  $\mathcal{B}$  into  $\mathcal{B}^*$  and conversely.

We give a negative answer to this question and formulate a condition on  $\{A_n: n \in \omega\}$  under which the answer is positive.

§ 0. We use standard set theoretical notation and terminology.

By  $E$  we always denote a set of cardinality  $2^\omega$ . If  $A \subset E$  then we put  $A^1 = A$ ,  $A^0 = E \setminus A$ . If  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_n: n \in \omega\}$  is a sequence of subsets of  $E$  then the function  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}: E \rightarrow 2^\omega$  such that  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(x)(n) = 1 \equiv x \in A_n$  is called the *characteristic function of  $\mathcal{A}$* . For every  $f \in 2^\omega$  the set  $\mathcal{A}(f) = \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} * \{f\} = \bigcap_n A_n^{f(n)}$  is called a *component of  $\mathcal{A}$*  and  $f$  the *index of  $\mathcal{A}(f)$* . If  $e \in E$  then  $S(e)$  denotes the component containing  $e$ . Clearly the components are pairwise disjoint and their union is  $E$ . Conversely, every pairwise disjoint family of cardinality  $2^\omega$  with union  $E$  is the set of components of some sequence  $\mathcal{A}$ .

We define generalized Borel classes over  $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$\Sigma_1^0(\mathcal{A}) = \{ \bigcup X: X \subset \mathcal{A} \},$$

$$\Sigma_2^0(\mathcal{A}) = \{ \bigcup X: |X| \leq \omega, X \subset \bigcup_{n < \xi} (\Sigma_n^0(\mathcal{A}) \cup \Pi_n^0(\mathcal{A})) \},$$

$$\Pi_2^0(\mathcal{A}) = \{ E \setminus X: X \in \Sigma_2^0(\mathcal{A}) \},$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcup_{\xi < \omega_1} (\Sigma_\xi^0(\mathcal{A}) \cup \Pi_\xi^0(\mathcal{A})).$$

$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{B}_1$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $E_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $E_2$  then a function  $\Phi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  is called  $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ -preserving iff  $B \in \mathcal{B}_1 \Rightarrow \Phi * (B) \in \mathcal{B}_2$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}_2 \Rightarrow \Phi^{-1} * (B) \in \mathcal{B}_1$ . In case when  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are subsets of  $2^\omega$  and  $\mathcal{B}_i$  is the family of Borel subsets of  $E_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), we say that  $\Phi$  is Borel preserving instead of saying  $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ -preserving.