

It is easy to write formula  $\psi(x, y, z, X, Y, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3)$  in the topological language stating (in  $T$ ) that  $x, y \in X$  and  $X \in Z_1^*$ ,  $Y \in Z_2^*$ ,  $x \sim z \pmod{Z_2}$  and there exists  $y^1 \in Y$  such that  $y \sim y^1 \pmod{Z_3}$  and  $y^1 \sim z \pmod{Z_1}$ .

In order to interpret DL in  $T$  it is enough to find  $X, Y, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 \subset R^2$  such that  $|X| = 2^{\aleph_0}$  and  $\psi$  defines a one-one correspondence between  $\{\langle x, y \rangle : x, y \in X\}$  and  $\{z : z \in R^2\}$ . Let  $Q$  be the set of rational numbers. Choose  $X = R \times \{0\}$ ,  $Y = \{0\} \times R$ ,  $Z_1 = R \times Q$ ,  $Z_2 = Q \times R$  and  $Z_3 = \{\langle a, b \rangle : a - b \in Q\}$ . Then  $\psi(x, y, z, X, Y, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3)$  holds iff there exist  $a, b \in R$  such that  $x = \langle a, 0 \rangle$ ,  $y = \langle b, 0 \rangle$  and  $z = \langle a, b \rangle$ .

#### References

- [1] A. Grzegorzcyk, *Undecidability of some topological theories*, Fund. Math. 38 (1951), pp. 137–152.
- [2] Y. Gurevich, *Monadic theory of order and topology 1 (Abstract)*, J. Symb. Logic 42 (1977), p. 141.
- [3] — *Monadic theory of order and topology 2*, Israel J. Math. (1979).
- [4] G. W. Henson, C. G. Jockush, Jr., L. A. Rubel and G. Takeuti, *First order topology*, Dissertationes Math. 143 (1977), p. 44.
- [5] M. O. Rabin, *Decidability of the second order theories and automata on infinite trees*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 141 (1969), pp. 1–35.
- [6] S. Shelah, *The monadic theory of order*, Ann. of Math. 102 (1975), pp. 379–419.

Accepté par la Rédaction le 26. 9. 1977

## Borel sets with $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -sections

by

J. Bourgain (Brussel)

**Abstract.** Let  $E, F$  be compact metric spaces. We characterize Borel sets  $A$  in  $E \times F$  with  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -sections.

**Introduction.** We consider two fixed compact metric spaces  $E$  and  $F$ . The class  $\mathcal{C}$  will consist of the Borel subsets  $A$  of  $E \times F$  such that for each  $x \in E$  the section  $A(x) = \{y \in F : (x, y) \in A\}$  is closed in  $F$ . We will prove the following:

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $A$  is a Borel subset of  $E \times F$  such that each section  $A(x)$  is  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  in  $F$ , then  $A$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma\delta}$ .*

This is an extension of the work of J. Saint-Raymond (see [13]), who established:

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $A$  is a Borel subset of  $E \times F$  such that each section  $A(x)$  is  $F_\sigma$  in  $F$ , then  $A$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ .*

Theorem 1 is also related to my earlier paper [2].

**Preliminaries.**  $N$  will denote the set of all positive integers. Let  $\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_k N^k$ , taking  $N^0 = \{\emptyset\}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{R}$  consists of the finite complexes of integers. If  $c \in \mathcal{R}$ , let  $|c|$  be the length of  $c$ . If  $c, d \in \mathcal{R}$ , we write  $c < d$  if  $c$  is an initial section of  $d$ . Let  $(p_k)_k$  be an enumeration of all prime numbers. If we associate 0 to  $\emptyset$  and the integer  $p_1^{n_1} \dots p_k^{n_k}$  to the complex  $c = (n_1, \dots, n_k)$ , a one-one map of  $\mathcal{R}$  into  $N$  is established. The induced ordering of  $\mathcal{R}$  will be called the *standard ordering*. Let  $\mathcal{N} = N^N$ . If  $v \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $c \in \mathcal{R}$ , we write  $c < v$  if  $c$  is an initial section of  $v$ .

If  $L$  is a compact metric space, then  $\underline{K}(L)$  consists of all closed subsets of  $L$  and is equipped with the exponential or Vietoris topology. This topology is compact metrizable. I recall the following result (see [7]).

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $P$  be a Polish subspace of the compact metric space  $L$ . Then the subspace  $\underline{F}(P)$  of  $\underline{K}(L)$  consisting of those compact sets  $K$  in  $L$  such that  $K = \overline{K} \cap P$ , is Polish.*

A pavage  $\mathcal{P}$  on a set  $\Omega$  will be a family of subsets of  $\Omega$  containing the empty set. A subset of  $\Omega$  is said to be  $\mathcal{P}$ -analytic if it is the result of Souslin operation performed on members of  $\mathcal{P}$ . For more details, I refer to [7].

I also remember the separation theorem of Novikov, which will be often used in this paper:

LEMMA 2. Let  $(A_n)_n$  be a sequence of analytic subsets of the Polish space  $P$  satisfying  $\bigcap_n A_n = \emptyset$ . Then there exists a sequence  $(B_n)_n$  of Borel subsets of  $P$  such that  $A_n \subset B_n$  for each  $n$  and  $\bigcap_n B_n = \emptyset$ .

The reader can find a prove of this result in [7].

If  $A$  is a subset of  $E \times F$ , let  $\bar{A}^s$  be the subset of  $E \times F$  defined by  $\bar{A}^s(x) = A(\bar{x})$  for  $x \in E$ . Consider for each  $r \in N$  a finite covering  $(U_{ri})_i$  of  $F$  by open sets with diameter less than  $2^{-r}$ . It is easily verified that  $\bar{A}^s = \bigcap_r \bigcup_i [\pi_E(A \cap (E \times U_{ri})) \times \bar{U}_{ri}]$ .

Therefore we obtain:

LEMMA 3. If  $A$  is analytic in  $E \times F$ , then also  $\bar{A}^s$  is analytic.

LEMMA 4. If  $A$  and  $B$  are analytic in  $E \times F$  and  $\bar{A}^s \cap B = \emptyset$ , then there exists a member  $C$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $A \subset C$  and  $B \cap C = \emptyset$ .

Proof. Take the open set  $U_{ri}$  as before. For each  $r \in N$  the set

$$S_r = B \cap \bigcup_i [\pi_E(A \cap (E \times U_{ri})) \times \bar{U}_{ri}]$$

is analytic in  $E \times F$ . Since  $\bigcap_r S_r = \emptyset$ , a sequence  $(T_r)_r$  of Borel subsets of  $E \times F$  is obtained such that  $S_r \subset T_r$  for each  $r$  and  $\bigcap_r T_r = \emptyset$ . Now, for each  $r$  and each  $i$ , we have that  $[\pi_E(A \cap (E \times U_{ri})) \times \bar{U}_{ri}] \cap (B \setminus T_r) = \emptyset$  and thus  $\pi_E(A \cap (E \times U_{ri}))$  and  $\pi_E((B \setminus T_r) \cap (E \times \bar{U}_{ri}))$  are disjoint analytic sets. Therefore there exist Borel sets  $B_{ri}$  in  $E$  with  $A \cap (E \times U_{ri}) \subset B_{ri} \times \bar{U}_{ri} \subset ((E \times F) \setminus B) \cup T_r$ . For each  $r \in N$ , take  $C_r = \bigcup_i (B_{ri} \times \bar{U}_{ri})$ , which belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $A \subset C_r$  and  $C_r \cap (B \setminus T_r) = \emptyset$ .

The set  $C = \bigcap_r C_r$  satisfies the required properties.

**A result about transfinite systems.** The proof of various results in the remainder of the text is considerably shortened by the use of the following lemma:

LEMMA 5. Let for each  $k \in N$  a Polish space  $P_k$  be given. Assume for all  $k \in N$  and  $\alpha < \omega_1$  a subset  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  of  $\prod_{i=1}^k P_i$  be defined, such that following conditions are satisfied:

1. If  $k \in N$ , then  $\mathcal{S}_k^0$  is analytic in  $\prod_{i=1}^k P_i$ .
2. If  $k \in N$  and  $\alpha < \beta$ , then  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta \subset \mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$ .
3. If  $k \in N$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and  $\sigma \in \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$  satisfies  $\sigma|l \in \mathcal{S}_l^{\alpha+1}$  for each  $l = 1, \dots, k$ , then there exists  $\iota \in \mathcal{S}_{k+1}^\alpha$  with  $\sigma = \iota|k$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{S}_1^\alpha \neq \emptyset$  for every  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . Then there is some  $\xi \in \prod_k P_k$  such that  $\xi|k \in \mathcal{S}_k^0$  for each  $k \in N$ .

Proof. If  $\pi \in \mathcal{B}$ , let  $\mathcal{N}(\pi) = \{v \in \mathcal{N}; \pi < v\}$ . For each  $k \in N$ , let  $\varphi_k: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$  be a continuous map with image  $\mathcal{S}_k^0$ .

By induction we will define for every  $k \in N$  elements  $\pi_{1k}, \dots, \pi_{kk}$  of  $N^k$ , such that the following conditions are satisfied:

1.  $\pi_{lk} = \pi_{l, k+1}|k$  if  $k \geq l$ .

2. For each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , there exists  $\sigma \in \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$  so that  $\sigma|l \in \varphi_l(\mathcal{N}(\pi_{lk})) \cap \mathcal{S}_l^\alpha$  for each  $l = 1, \dots, k$ .

Since  $\mathcal{S}_1^\alpha \neq \emptyset$  for every  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_1^0 = \bigcup_p \varphi_1(\mathcal{N}(p))$ , there must be some  $\pi_{11} \in N$  such that  $\varphi_1(\mathcal{N}(\pi_{11})) \cap \mathcal{S}_1^\alpha \neq \emptyset$  for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . Assume now  $\pi_{1k}, \dots, \pi_{kk}$  obtained. If  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , then there exists  $\sigma \in \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$  so that  $\sigma|l \in \varphi_l(\mathcal{N}(\pi_{lk})) \cap \mathcal{S}_l^{\alpha+1}$  for each  $l = 1, \dots, k$ . Therefore there is  $\iota \in \mathcal{S}_{k+1}^\alpha$  with  $\iota|k = \sigma$  and thus  $\iota|l \in \varphi_l(\mathcal{N}(\pi_{lk})) \cap \mathcal{S}_l^\alpha$  for each  $l = 1, \dots, k$ . For  $l = 1, \dots, k$  we have  $\varphi_l(\mathcal{N}(\pi_{lk})) = \bigcup_p \varphi_l(\mathcal{N}(\pi_{lk}, p))$  and also  $\mathcal{S}_{k+1}^0 = \bigcup_{\pi \in N} \varphi_{k+1}(\mathcal{N}(\pi))$ . Again there must exist  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in N$  and  $\pi_{k+1, k+1} \in N^{k+1}$  such that for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$  there is  $\sigma \in \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} P_i$  with  $\sigma|l \in \varphi_l(\mathcal{N}(\pi_{lk}, p_l)) \cap \mathcal{S}_l^\alpha$  for each  $l = 1, \dots, k$  and  $\sigma \in \varphi_{k+1}(\mathcal{N}(\pi_{k+1, k+1})) \cap \mathcal{S}_{k+1}^\alpha$ . For every  $l = 1, \dots, k$  let  $\pi_{l, k+1} = (\pi_{lk}, p_l)$ . Then the construction is complete. For each  $l \in N$ , let  $\pi_l \in \mathcal{N}$  be defined by  $\pi_l|k = \pi_{lk}$  if  $k \geq l$ . Obviously  $\varphi_l(\pi_l) \in \mathcal{S}_l^0$ . If  $k \geq l+1$ , then  $[\varphi_l(\mathcal{N}(\pi_{lk})) \times P_{l+1}] \cap \varphi_{l+1}(\mathcal{N}(\pi_{l+1, k})) \neq \emptyset$ , implying  $\varphi_{l+1}(\pi_{l+1})|l = \varphi_l(\pi_l)$ . Hence there is  $\xi \in \prod_k P_k$  satisfying  $\xi|k = \varphi_k(\pi_k)$  for each  $k \in N$  and the lemma is established.

**Results about closed coverings.** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the pavage on  $\underline{K}(E \times F)$  consisting of the open subsets of  $\underline{K}(E \times F)$  which are of the form  $\{L \in \underline{K}(E \times F); L \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset\}$ , where  $\Omega$  ranges over the open sets in  $E \times F$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}^*$  be the set of  $\mathcal{P}$ -analytic subsets of  $\underline{K}(E \times F)$ .

The following two lemma's are obvious.

LEMMA 6. If  $A$  is an analytic subset of  $E \times F$ , then the set  $\{L \in \underline{K}(E \times F); L \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$  is a member of  $\mathcal{P}^*$ .

LEMMA 7. If  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{P}^*$ ,  $L \in \Gamma$  and  $M \in \underline{K}(E \times F)$  contains  $L$ , then  $M \in \Gamma$ .

LEMMA 8. Let  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{P}^*$  and let  $A$  be an analytic subset of  $E \times F$  such that  $A(x)$  is closed for each  $x \in E$ . Then  $\{x \in E; \{x\} \times A(x) \in \Gamma\}$  is analytic.

Proof. There is a system  $(\Omega_\pi)_{\pi \in \mathcal{B}}$  of open subsets of  $E \times F$  such that  $\Gamma = \bigcup_v \bigcap_v \Gamma_{v|v}$ , where  $\Gamma_{v|v} = \underline{K}\{L \in (E \times F); L \cap \Omega_{v|v} \neq \emptyset\}$ . For each  $r \in N$ , let  $\Sigma_r$  be the subset

of  $\mathcal{N} \times E \times F$  defined by  $\Sigma_r(v, x) = A(x) \cap \Omega_{v|r}(x)$ . It is clear that  $\Sigma_r$  is analytic in  $\mathcal{N} \times E \times F$ . Hence  $\pi_E(\bigcap_r \pi_{\mathcal{N} \times E}(\Sigma_r))$  is analytic in  $E$ . But this set is precisely

$$\{x \in E; \{x\} \times A(x) \in \Gamma\}.$$

If  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{P}^*$ , let  $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$  consist of the members  $A$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\{x\} \times A(x) \notin \Gamma$  for each  $x \in E$ .

LEMMA 9. Let  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{P}^*$  and let  $S$  be analytic in  $E \times F$  such that  $S(x)$  is closed and  $\{x\} \times S(x) \notin \Gamma$  for each  $x \in E$ . Then there exists  $T \in \mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$  containing  $S$ .

Proof. There is a system  $(\Omega_\pi)_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}}$  of open subsets of  $E \times F$  such that  $\Gamma = \bigcup_{\pi} \Gamma_{v|r}$ , where  $\Gamma_{v|r} = \{L \in \underline{K}(E \times F); L \cap \Omega_{v|r} \neq \emptyset\}$ . We will consider the space  $F^* = \prod_r F_r$ , where each  $F_r = F$ . If  $r \in N$  and  $\pi \in N^r$ , take

$$\Omega_\pi^* = \{(x, y^*) \in E \times F^*; (x, y_r^*) \in \Omega_\pi\}$$

and define  $\Omega^* = \bigcup_r \bigcap_{\pi} \Omega_\pi^*$ , which is an analytic subset of  $E \times F^*$ . Let further for each  $r \in N$  the set  $S_r^* = \{(x, y^*) \in E \times F^*; (x, y_r^*) \in S\}$  and let  $S^* = \bigcap_r S_r^*$ . It is easy to deduce from the hypothesis that  $S^* \cap \Omega^* = \emptyset$ .

It follows that there is a sequence  $(B_r^*)$  of Borel sets in  $E \times F^*$  with  $S_r^* \cap \Omega^* \subset B_r^*$  for each  $r$  and  $\bigcap_r B_r^* = \emptyset$ . Let  $r \in N$  be fixed. Since  $S_r^* \cap (\Omega^* \setminus B_r^*) = \emptyset$ , we obtain that  $S$  and  $\pi_{E \times F_r}(\Omega^* \setminus B_r^*)$  are disjoint analytic sets. We now use the fact that each section  $S(x)$  is closed to obtain a set  $T_r$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $S \subset T_r$  and  $T_r \cap \pi_{E \times F_r}(\Omega^* \setminus B_r^*) = \emptyset$ . If  $T^* = \{(x, y^*) \in E \times F^*; (x, y_r^*) \in T_r\}$  then  $T_r^* \cap (\Omega^* \setminus B_r^*) = \emptyset$ . We claim that the set  $T = \bigcap_r T_r$  satisfies. We only have to verify that  $\{x\} \times T(x) \notin \Gamma$  for each  $x \in E$ . Assume not, then there is  $v \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $T(x) \cap \Omega_{v|r}(x) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $r \in N$ . Therefore  $\bigcap_r T_r^* \cap \Omega^* \neq \emptyset$ , implying  $\bigcap_r B_r^* \neq \emptyset$ , a contradiction.

We will use the following stability property of  $\mathcal{P}^*$ :

LEMMA 10. Let  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{P}^*$  and let  $A$  be an analytic subset of  $E \times F$ . If  $A = \{L \in \underline{K}(E \times F); A \cap L \text{ can not be covered by countably many closed sets not belonging to } \Gamma\}$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{P}^*$ .

Proof. Take a compact metric space  $G$  and a  $G_\delta$ -subset  $H$  of  $E \times F \times G$  satisfying  $A = \pi(H)$ , where  $\pi: E \times F \times G \rightarrow E \times F$  is the projection. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a countable base for the topology of  $E \times F \times G$ . Let  $L \in \underline{K}(E \times F)$  be fixed. Remark that  $A \cap L = \pi(H \cap \pi^{-1}(L))$ , where  $H \cap \pi^{-1}(L)$  is a  $G_\delta$ . It follows that  $L \in A$  if and only if there exists a nonempty closed subset  $Y$  of  $H \cap \pi^{-1}(L)$  such that if  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $U \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\overline{\pi(Y \cap U)} = \overline{\pi(\overline{Y \cap U})} \in \Gamma$ . Hence  $L \in A$  if and only if there exists a nonempty set  $M$  in  $\underline{K}(E \times F \times G)$  satisfying:

1.  $M \in \underline{F}(H)$ ,
2.  $\pi(M \cap U) \in \Gamma$  whenever  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $M \cap U \neq \emptyset$ ,
3.  $\pi(M) \subset L$ .

The set  $\underline{K}(E \times F)$  consisting of the nonempty compact subsets of  $E \times F$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}$ . We will prove that the set  $\mathcal{A} = \{(L, M) \in \underline{K}(E \times F) \times \underline{K}(E \times F \times G); M \neq \emptyset \text{ and } L, M \text{ satisfy (1), (2), (3)}\}$  is  $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{K}$ -analytic, where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the pavage on  $\underline{K}(E \times F \times G)$  consisting of the closed sets. Because  $A = \pi_E(\pi_{E \times F}(\mathcal{A}))$ , we will then obtain that  $A$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -analytic (see [7]).

1. Since  $\underline{F}(H)$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $\underline{K}(E \times F \times G)$ ,  $\underline{F}(H)$  is  $\mathcal{K}$ -analytic.
2. Clearly  $\{M \in \underline{K}(E \times F \times G); M \cap U = \emptyset\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}$ . Because the map  $\underline{K}(E \times F \times G) \rightarrow \underline{K}(E \times F): M \mapsto \pi(M \cap U)$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -measurable, we obtain that  $\{M \in \underline{K}(E \times F \times G); \pi(M \cap U) \in \Gamma\}$  is  $\mathcal{K}$ -analytic.
3. Let  $(V_i)$  be a countable base for the topology of  $E \times F$ . For each  $i \in N$ , we have that  $\Gamma_i = \{L \in \underline{K}(E \times F); L \cap V_i \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\Delta_i = \{M \in \underline{K}(E \times F \times G); M \cap \pi^{-1}(V_i) = \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{K}$ . But the set  $\{(L, M) \in \underline{K}(E \times F) \times \underline{K}(E \times F \times G); \pi(M) \subset L\}$  is precisely  $\bigcap_i [(\Gamma_i \times \underline{K}(E \times F \times G)) \cup (\underline{K}(E \times F) \times \Delta_i)]$  and hence  $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{K}$ -analytic.

So the proof is complete.

COROLLARY 11. Let  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{P}^*$  and let  $A$  be an analytic subset of  $E \times F$ . Then the set  $\{x \in E; \{x\} \times A(x) \text{ can be covered by countably many closed sets not belonging to } \Gamma\}$  is coanalytic in  $E$ .

Proof. The set  $A$  considered in Lemma 10 is an analytic subset of  $\underline{K}(E \times F)$ . We must consider  $\{x \in E; \{x\} \times F \notin A\}$ . We only have to remark that the map  $E \rightarrow \underline{K}(E \times F): x \mapsto \{x\} \times F$  is continuous to complete the proof.

Combining Lemma 6 and Corollary 11 we obtain immediately

COROLLARY 12. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be analytic subsets of  $E \times F$ . Then the set  $\{x \in E; A(x) \text{ is contained in an } F_\sigma\text{-set which is disjoint from } B(x)\}$  is coanalytic in  $E$ .

THEOREM 3. Let  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{P}^*$  and let  $A$  be an analytic subset of  $E \times F$ . Assume that for each  $x \in E$  the set  $\{x\} \times A(x)$  can be covered by countably many closed sets not belonging to  $\Gamma$ . Then  $A$  is contained in a member of  $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ .

Before we pass to the proof of the theorem, let us mention the following easy corollary:

COROLLARY 13. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be analytic subsets of  $E \times F$ . Assume that  $A(x)$  is contained in an  $F_\sigma$ -set which is disjoint from  $B(x)$ , for each  $x \in E$ . Then  $A$  can be separated from  $B$  by a member of  $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ .

This result is due to J. Saint-Raymond (see [13]).

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3. Let  $G$  be a compact metric space and let  $H$  be a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $E \times F \times G$  such that  $A = \pi(H)$ , where  $\pi: E \times F \times G \rightarrow E \times F$  is the projection. If  $\mathcal{H}$  is a subset of  $H$ , take  $D(\mathcal{H}) = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{H}; \text{ for each neighborhood } U \text{ of } (x, y, z) \text{ the set } \{x\} \times \pi(\mathcal{H} \cap U)(x) \in \Gamma\}$ .

LEMMA 14. If  $\mathcal{H}$  is analytic, then  $D(\mathcal{H})$  is analytic. If moreover  $B$  is a Borel subset of  $H$  with  $D(\mathcal{H}) \subset B$ , then  $\pi(\mathcal{H} \setminus B)$  is contained in a member of  $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ .

Proof. Let  $(U_i)_i$  be a countable base for the topology of  $H$ . For each  $i \in N$ , the set  $\overline{\pi(\mathcal{H} \cap U_i)^s}$  is analytic by Lemma 3 and thus

$$E_i = \{x \in E; \{x\} \times \overline{\pi(\mathcal{H} \cap U_i)(x)} \notin \Gamma\}$$

is coanalytic by Lemma 8. Hence  $D(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H} \setminus \bigcup_i [(E_i \times F \times G) \cap U_i]$  is analytic. If  $D(\mathcal{H}) \subset B$ , then  $\mathcal{H} \setminus B \subset \bigcup_i [(E_i \times F \times G) \cap U_i]$ , where  $\mathcal{H} \setminus B$  is analytic and each set  $(E_i \times F \times G) \cap U_i$  coanalytic in  $E \times F \times G$ . Therefore there are analytic sets  $(D_i)_i$  so that  $D_i \subset (E_i \times F \times G) \cap U_i$  and  $\mathcal{H} \setminus B = \bigcup_i D_i$ . We obtain that  $\overline{\pi(\mathcal{H} \setminus B)} \subset \bigcup_i \overline{\pi(D_i)}$ . If  $i \in N$  is fixed, then  $\overline{\pi(D_i)^s}$  is an analytic set contained in  $\overline{\pi(\mathcal{H} \cap U_i)^s} \cap (E_i \times F)$ . It follows from the definition of  $E_i$  that  $\{x\} \times \overline{\pi(D_i)(x)} \notin \Gamma$  for all  $x \in E$ . Applying Lemma 9 there exists  $A_i \in \mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$  satisfying  $\overline{\pi(D_i)} \subset A_i$ . The set  $\bigcup_i A_i$  satisfies.

Let  $(H_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$  be the transfinite system obtained as following:

$$H_0 = H,$$

$$H_{\alpha+1} = D(H_\alpha).$$

If  $\gamma$  is a limit ordinal, take  $H_\gamma = \bigcap_{\alpha < \gamma} H_\alpha$ .

It is easily verified that the sets  $H_\alpha(x)$  are closed in  $H(x)$  for all  $x \in E$  and the system  $(H_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$  is decreasing. Using Lemma 14, we obtain

LEMMA 15. For each  $\alpha < \omega_1$  the set  $H_\alpha$  is analytic.

LEMMA 16. If  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and  $B$  is a Borel subset of  $H$  containing  $H_\alpha$ , then  $\pi(H \setminus B)$  is contained in a member of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)_\sigma$ .

Proof. By induction on  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . If  $\alpha = 0$ , then the statement is obvious. Let the statement be true for  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and let  $B$  be a Borel subset of  $H$  containing  $H_{\alpha+1} = D(H_\alpha)$ . By Lemma 14, we obtain  $D'$  in  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)_\sigma$  with  $\pi(H_\alpha \setminus B) \subset D'$ . Hence  $H_\alpha$  is contained in  $B \cup (\pi^{-1}(D') \cap H)$ , which is still Borel. By induction hypothesis, there is  $D''$  in  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)_\sigma$  with  $D' \supset \pi(H \setminus (B \cup \pi^{-1}(D'')))$ .

Clearly  $\pi(H \setminus B) \subset D' \cup D''$ . Finally let  $\gamma$  be a limit ordinal and  $(\alpha_n)_n$  an increasing sequence of ordinals converging to  $\gamma$  and satisfying the lemma. If  $B$  is a Borel set containing  $H_\gamma$ , then  $\bigcap_n (H_{\alpha_n} \setminus B) = \emptyset$ . Thus there is a sequence  $(B_n)_n$  of Borel sets such that  $H_{\alpha_n} \setminus B \subset B_n$  and  $\bigcap_n B_n = \emptyset$ . Let  $n \in N$  be fixed. Since  $H_{\alpha_n} \subset B \cup B_n$ , we obtain  $D_n$  in  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)_\sigma$  so that  $\pi(H \setminus (B \cup B_n)) \subset D_n$ . If we take  $D = \bigcup_n D_n$ , we get  $\pi(H \setminus B) \subset D$ , completing the proof.

It follows from the preceding lemma that if  $H_\alpha = \emptyset$  for some  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , then  $A$  is contained in a member of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)_\sigma$ . Thus it remains to prove:

LEMMA 17. There exists  $\alpha < \omega_1$  such that  $H_\alpha = \emptyset$ .

Proof. Assume  $H_\alpha \neq \emptyset$  for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . We take  $Q = \{L \in \underline{F}(H); \pi_E(L) \text{ is a unique point of } E\}$ , which is a Polish subspace of  $\underline{K}(E \times F \times G)$ . If  $k \in N$  and

$\alpha < \omega_1$ , define  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha = \{(L_1, \dots, L_k) \in Q^k; L_i \cap H \subset D(L_{i+1} \cap H) \text{ if } 1 \leq i < k \text{ and } L_k \cap H \subset H_\alpha\}$ . We verify that the conditions of Lemma 5 are satisfied.

1. We show that  $Z = \{(L, M) \in Q^2; L \cap H \subset D(M \cap H)\}$  is analytic in  $Q^2$ . Let  $(U_i)_i$  be a countable base for the topology of  $E \times F \times G$ . For each  $i \in N$ , consider  $Z_i = [\{L \in Q; L \cap U_i = \emptyset\} \times Q] \cup [Q \times \{M \in Q; \overline{\pi(M \cap U_i)} \in \Gamma\}]$  which is easily seen to be analytic. Therefore  $Z$  is analytic, since  $Z = \bigcap_i Z_i$ .

2. This follows immediately from the fact that  $(H_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$  is decreasing.

3. Suppose  $k \in N$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and  $(L_1, \dots, L_k) \in \mathcal{S}_k^{\alpha+1}$ . If  $\pi_E(L_k) = \{x\}$ , then  $L_k \cap H \subset \{x\} \times H_{\alpha+1}(x) \in D(\{x\} \times H_\alpha(x))$ . The set  $L_{k+1} = \{x\} \times H_\alpha(x)$  belongs to  $Q$  and  $(L_1, \dots, L_k, L_{k+1}) \in \mathcal{S}_{k+1}^\alpha$  since  $L_{k+1} \cap H = \{x\} \times H_\alpha(x)$ . Thus the lemma applies. For each  $\alpha < \omega_1$  the set  $\mathcal{S}_1^\alpha \neq \emptyset$ , because  $\{x\} \times H_\alpha(x) \in \mathcal{S}_1^\alpha$  whenever  $H_\alpha(x) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence there exists a sequence  $(L_k)_k$  in  $Q$  so that  $(L_k, L_{k+1}) \in Z$  for each  $k \in N$ . In particular there is  $x \in E$  with  $\pi_E(L_k) = \{x\}$  for all  $k \in N$ . If  $(F_r)_r$  is a sequence of closed sets not belonging to  $\Gamma$  and covering  $\{x\} \times A(x)$ , we obtain that  $\{x\} \times H(x) \subset \bigcup_r \pi^{-1}(F_r)$ . Let  $L = \bigcup_k L_k$ , which belongs to  $\underline{F}(H)$ . By the Baire category theorem, there is  $r \in N$  and  $i \in N$  such that  $L \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$  and  $L \cap H \cap U_i \subset \pi^{-1}(F_r)$ .

Thus there is  $k \in N$  with  $L_k \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$  and therefore  $\overline{\pi(L_{k+1} \cap U_i)} \in \Gamma$ , since  $cL_k, L_{k+1}) \in Z_i$ . But  $\overline{\pi(L_{k+1} \cap U_i)} \subset \pi(L \cap H \cap U_i) \subset F_r$ , which is the required (contradiction).

**$F_{\sigma\delta}$ -sections of Borel sets.** Let again  $E, F$  be compact metric spaces. In [3], we obtained the following result:

THEOREM 4. If  $A$  and  $B$  are analytic subsets of  $E \times F$ , then  $\{x \in E; A(x) \text{ is contained in an } F_{\sigma\delta}\text{-set which is disjoint from } B(x)\}$  is coanalytic in  $E$ .

In this section, we will prove:

THEOREM 5. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be analytic subsets of  $E \times F$  such that  $A(x)$  is contained in an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set which is disjoint from  $B(x)$ , for all  $x \in E$ . Then  $A$  can be separated from  $B$  by a member of  $\mathcal{G}_{\sigma\delta}$ .

It clearly implies Theorem 2.

Assume  $B$  a fixed analytic subset of  $E \times F$ . Let  $B = \bigcup_k \bigcap_l B_{v|k}$  be an analytic representation of  $B$ , where the  $B_{v|k}$  are closed in  $E \times F$  and  $B_{v|k+1} \subset B_{v|k}$ . By induction on  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , we define for each  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}$  a class  $\mathcal{D}^\alpha(\pi)$  of subsets of  $E \times F$ , by taking:

$$\mathcal{D}^0(\pi) = \{D \subset E \times F; \overline{D} \cap B_\pi = \emptyset\}.$$

$\mathcal{D}^p(\pi) = \{D \subset E \times F; \text{for each } p \in N \text{ there is a countable closed covering } (F_r)_r \text{ of } D \text{ such that } D \cap F_r \in \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\pi, p) \text{ for each } r \in N\}$ .

LEMMA 18. Let  $A$  be an analytic subset of  $E \times F$ . Then for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}$  the set  $\Gamma^\alpha(\pi)(A) = \{L \in \underline{K}(E \times F); A \cap L \notin \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\pi)\}$  is a member of  $\mathcal{D}^*$ .

Proof. We proceed by induction on  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . Let  $(O_n)_n$  be a decreasing sequence of open sets containing  $B_\pi$  such that  $B_\pi = \bigcap_n \bar{O}_n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^0(\pi)(A) &= \{L \in \underline{K}(E \times F); \overline{A \cap L \cap B_\pi} \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= \bigcap_n \{L \in \underline{K}(E \times F); L \cap A \cap O_n \neq \emptyset\} \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $\mathcal{D}$ -analytic by Lemma 6. Let now the property be established for all  $\alpha < \beta$ . Using the definition of  $\mathcal{D}^\beta(\pi)$ , we obtain that  $\Gamma^\beta(\pi)(A) = \bigcup_p \{L \in \underline{K}(E \times F); A \cap L$  can not be covered by countably many closed sets not belonging to  $\bigcap_{\alpha < \beta} \Gamma^\alpha(\pi, p)(A)\}$ . Since by induction hypothesis  $\bigcap_{\alpha < \beta} \Gamma^\alpha(\pi, p)(A) \in \mathcal{D}^*$ , it follows from Lemma 10 that also  $\Gamma^\beta(\pi)(A) \in \mathcal{D}^*$ . This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 19. *If  $A$  is analytic in  $E \times F$ , then for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and  $\pi \in \mathcal{R}$  the set  $\{x \in E; \{x\} \times A(x) \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\pi)\}$  is coanalytic.*

Proof. It is the same as that of Corollary 11.

For each  $\pi \in \mathcal{R}$ , take  $B(\pi) = \bigcup_{\pi < \nu} \bigcap_k B_{\nu|k}$ . We pass to the first step in the proof of Theorem 5.

LEMMA 20. *Let  $A$  be an analytic subset of  $E \times F$  and assume that there exist  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and  $\pi \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $\{x\} \times A(x) \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\pi)$  for each  $x \in E$ . Then  $A$  can be separated from  $B(\pi)$  by a member of  $C_{\sigma\delta}$ .*

Proof. If  $\alpha = 0$ , then  $\bar{A}(x) \cap B_\pi(x) = \emptyset$  for all  $x \in E$ . Hence, by Lemma 4, there exists a set  $D$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  so that  $A \subset D$  and  $D \cap B_\pi = \emptyset$ . Let the property be true for all  $\alpha < \beta$  and assume  $\{x\} \times A(x) \in \mathcal{D}^\beta(\pi)$  for each  $x \in E$ . Take  $p \in \mathcal{N}$  fixed. The set  $\{x\} \times A(x)$  can be covered by countably many closed sets  $(F_r)_r$  with  $A \cap F_r \in \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\pi, p)$  or  $F_r \notin \Gamma_p = \bigcap_{\alpha < \beta} \Gamma^\alpha(\pi, p)(A)$  for each  $x \in E$ . Because  $\Gamma_p \in \mathcal{D}^*$  by Lemma 18, we obtain by Theorem 3 a sequence  $(A_r^p)_r$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_p)$  with  $A \subset \bigcup_r A_r^p$ . Let  $r \in \mathcal{N}$  be also fixed. Since for each  $x \in E$ , the set  $\{x\} \times A_r^p(x) \notin \Gamma_p$ , there exists  $\alpha < \beta$  such that  $\{x\} \times (A_r^p \cap A)(x) \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\pi, p)$ . Hence, using Corollary 19, the sets  $C(r, p, \alpha) = \{x \in E; \{x\} \times (A_r^p \cap A)(x) \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\pi, p)\}$  are coanalytic and they cover  $E$ . Therefore there is a sequence  $(B(r, p, \alpha))_{\alpha < \omega_1}$  of disjoint Borel sets satisfying  $B(r, p, \alpha) \subset C(r, p, \alpha)$  and  $E = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} B(r, p, \alpha)$ . For each  $\alpha < \beta$ , we introduce the set  $A_{rpx} = A_r^p \cap A \cap (B(r, p, \alpha) \times F)$ , which is still analytic. Because  $\{x\} \times A_{rpx}(x) \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\pi, p)$  for each  $x \in E$ , the induction hypothesis applies. Thus we obtain a member  $D_{rpx}$  of  $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma\delta}$  separating  $A_{rpx}$  from  $B(\pi, p)$ .

If we define  $D_{rp} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} [D_{rpx} \cap (B(r, p, \alpha) \times F)]$ , it is easily seen that  $D_{rp}$  is also  $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma\delta}$ ,  $D_{rp} \supset A_r^p \cap A$  and  $D_{rp} \cap B(\pi, p) = \emptyset$ . The set

$$D_p = \bigcup_r A_r^p \cap \bigcap_r [(E \times F) \setminus A_r^p] \cup D_{rp}$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma\delta}$ ,  $D_p \supset A$  and  $D_p \cap B(\pi, p) = \emptyset$ . We only have to take  $D = \bigcap_p D_p$ .

The proof of Theorem 5 will be complete if the following property holds:

LEMMA 21. *If  $A$  is an analytic subset of  $E \times F$ , then one of the following 2 alternatives must occur:*

1. There exists  $\alpha < \omega_1$  such that  $\{x\} \times A(x) \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\varphi)$  for all  $x \in E$ .
2. There exists  $x \in E$  such that no  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -subset of  $F$  separates  $A(x)$  from  $B(x)$ .

Proof. There is a compact metric space  $G$  and a  $G_\delta$ -subset  $H$  of  $E \times F \times G$  so that  $A = \pi(H)$ , where  $\pi: E \times F \times G \rightarrow E \times F$  is the projection. Take a countable base  $(U_n)_n$  for the topology of  $E \times F \times G$ . Let  $(c_k)_k$  be the standard ordering of  $\mathcal{R}$ . We will again make use of Lemma 5. We introduce for each  $k \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $\alpha < \omega_1$  a subset  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  of  $[N \times \underline{F}(H)]^k$ :

$\mathcal{S}_1^\alpha$  consists of the elements  $(p_\varphi, K_\varphi)$  of  $N \times \underline{F}(H)$  such that:

1.  $K_\varphi \neq \emptyset$ .
2. There is some  $x \in E$  with  $K_\varphi \subset \{x\} \times F \times G$ .
3. If  $U$  is open in  $E \times F \times G$  and  $U \cap K_\varphi \neq \emptyset$  then

$$\overline{\pi(U \cap K_\varphi) \cap A} \notin \mathcal{D}^\alpha(p_\varphi).$$

If  $c_k = (d, n)$  with  $d \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ , then  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  consists of the elements  $(p_{c_1}, K_{c_1})_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  of  $[N \times \underline{F}(H)]^k$  such that:

1.  $U_n \cap K_d \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow K_{dn} \neq \emptyset$ .
2.  $\pi(K_{dn}) \subset \pi(K_d \cap U_n)$ .
3. If  $U$  is open in  $E \times F \times G$  and  $U \cap K_{dn} \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$\overline{\pi(U \cap K_{dn}) \cap A} \notin \mathcal{D}^\alpha(p_\varphi, \dots, p_d, p_{dn}).$$

We claim that the conditions 1, 2, 3 of Lemma 5 are satisfied:

1. In fact  $\mathcal{S}_k$  is closed in  $[N \times \underline{F}(H)]^k$ .
2. Is obviously satisfied.
3. Assume  $\sigma = (p_{c_1}, K_{c_1})_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  an element of  $[N \times \underline{F}(H)]^k$  with  $\sigma|l \in \mathcal{S}_l^{\alpha+1}$  for each  $l = 1, \dots, k$ . Now  $c_{k+1} = (c_1, n)$  for some  $l = 1, \dots, k$  and  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ . If  $U_n \cap K_{c_1} = \emptyset$ , let  $i \in [N \times \underline{F}(H)]^{k+1}$  be given by  $i|k = \sigma$  and  $i_{k+1} = (p, \varphi)$ , where  $p \in \mathcal{N}$  is chosen arbitrarily. Clearly  $i \in \mathcal{S}_{k+1}^\alpha$ . Assume now  $U_n \cap K_{c_1} \neq \emptyset$ . Because  $\sigma|l \in \mathcal{S}_l^{\alpha+1}$ , we get  $\overline{\pi(U_n \cap K_{c_1}) \cap A} \notin \mathcal{D}^{\alpha+1}(p_\varphi, \dots, p_{c_1})$ . Therefore there must be some  $p \in \mathcal{N}$  such that there is no countable closed covering  $(F_r)_r$  of  $\overline{\pi(U_n \cap K_{c_1}) \cap A}$  with  $\overline{\pi(U_n \cap K_{c_1}) \cap A \cap F_r} \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(p_\varphi, \dots, p_{c_1}, p)$  for all  $r \in \mathcal{N}$ . Remark that  $\overline{\pi(U_n \cap K_{c_1}) \cap A}$  is the image of  $\pi^{-1}(\overline{\pi(U_n \cap K_{c_1}) \cap A}) \cap H$  by  $\pi$ . A standard argument yields us a nonempty closed subset  $Y$  of  $\pi^{-1}(\overline{\pi(U_n \cap K_{c_1}) \cap A}) \cap H$  so that

$$\overline{\pi(U \cap Y) \cap A} \notin \mathcal{D}^\alpha(p_\varphi, \dots, p_{c_1}, p),$$

whenever  $U$  is open in  $E \times F \times G$  and  $U \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ . It is clear that  $\bar{Y} \in \underline{F}(H)$ . Let  $i \in [N \times \underline{F}(H)]^{k+1}$  be given by  $i|_k = \sigma$  and  $i_{k+1} = (p, \bar{Y})$ , which is in  $\mathcal{S}_{k+1}^x$ .

Thus Lemma 5 applies and we have to distinguish 2 cases:

Case I. There is  $\eta < \omega_1$  with  $\mathcal{S}_1^\eta = \emptyset$ .

Take  $\alpha = \eta + 1$  and assume the existence of  $x \in E$  with  $\{x\} \times A(x) \notin \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\varphi)$ . Since  $\{x\} \times A(x) = \pi(\{x\} \times F \times G \cap H)$ , an integer  $p_\varphi$  and a nonempty closed subset  $Y$  of  $(\{x\} \times F \times G) \cap H$  can be found with  $(\{x\} \times A(x)) \cap \overline{\pi(Y \cap \bar{U})} \notin \mathcal{D}^\alpha(p_\varphi)$  whenever  $U$  is open in  $E \times F \times G$  and  $U \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that  $(p_\varphi, \bar{Y}) \in \mathcal{S}_1^\eta$ , a contradiction.

Case II. There is  $\xi \in [N \times \underline{F}(H)]^N$  such that  $\xi|_k \in \mathcal{S}_k^0$  for each  $k \in N$ .

Let  $\xi = (p_c, K_c)_{c \in \mathcal{A}}$  and take  $x \in E$  such that  $K_\varphi \subset \{x\} \times F \times G$ . It is clear that  $\xi$  satisfies the following properties:

1.  $\forall c \in \mathcal{A}: K_c \subset \{x\} \times F \times G$ .
2.  $K_\varphi \neq \emptyset$ .
3.  $\forall c \in \mathcal{A}, \forall n \in N: \pi(K_{c_n}) \subset \pi(K_c \cap \bar{U}_n)$ .
4.  $\forall c \in \mathcal{A}, \forall n \in N: U_n \cap K_c \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow B_{p_\varphi, \dots, p_{c_n}, p_{c_n}} \cap \pi(K_{c_n}) \neq \emptyset$ .

It is shown in [3] that under this hypothesis, no  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -subset of  $F$  separates  $A(x)$  from  $B(x)$ .

**Acknowledgment.** I am indebted to M. Talagrand, who brought [13] to my attention and suggested a similar result in the  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  situation.

#### References

- [1] V. Ja. Arsenin, A. A. Liapunov, and E. A. Cegolkov, *Arbeiten zur deskriptiven Mengenlehre*, Mathematische Forschungsberichte, Berlin 1955.
- [2] J. Bourgain, *Decompositions in the product of a measure space and a Polish space*, Fund. Math. 105 (1979), pp. 61–71.
- [3] —  *$F_{\sigma\delta}$ -sections of Borel sets*, Fund. Math. 107 (1980), pp. 129–133.
- [4] J. P. R. Christensen, *Topology and Borel Structures*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1974, p. 133.
- [5] M. M. Coban, *On  $B$ -measurable sections*, Soviet Math. Doklady, 13 (1972), pp. 1473–1477.
- [6] C. Dellacherie, *Ensembles analytiques. Capacités. Mesures de Hausdorff*, Lecture Notes in Math. 295, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York 1972.
- [7] — *Ensembles analytiques: Théorèmes de séparation et applications*, Lecture Notes in Math. 465, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York 1975.
- [8] — *Une démonstration du théorème de Souslin–Lusin*, Lecture Notes in Math. 321, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York 1973.
- [9] — *Les dérivations en théorie descriptive des ensembles et le théorème de la borne*, to appear.
- [10] F. Hausdorff, *Set Theory*, New York 1962.
- [11] Hoffman and J. Jørgensen, *The theory of analytic sets*, Aarhus Universitet Mathematic Inst., Various Publication Series 10 (1970).

- [12] P. S. Novikov, *Generalization of the second separation theorem*, Doklady Akad. Nauk USSR 4 (1934), pp. 8–11.
- [13] J. Saint-Raymond, *Boréliens à coupes  $K_\sigma$* , Bull. Soc. Math. France 104 (1976), pp. 389–400.
- [14] W. Sierpiński, *Les ensembles projectifs et analytiques*, Mémoires des Sciences Mathématiques 112, Paris 1950.

VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL

Accepté par la Rédaction le 6. 10. 1977