

Note on category in Cartesian products of metrizable spaces

by

R. Pol (Warszawa)

Abstract. We characterize the pairs X, Y of metrizable spaces such that if $A \subset X$ is of second category in X and $B \subset Y$ is of second category in Y then $A \times B$ is of second category in $X \times Y$.

Throughout this note *all spaces are assumed to be metrizable.*

A classical theorem of Kuratowski and Ulam [9] asserts that if X and Y are spaces such that at least one of them is separable and if $A \subset X$ is of second category in X and $B \subset Y$ is of second category in Y , then $A \times B$ is of second category in $X \times Y$; the assumption of separability can be replaced here by local separability, as was pointed out by Sikorski [13] (cf. also [10]).

Recently, Krom [5] showed that under continuum hypothesis there exist metrizable spaces X and Y of second category whose product $X \times Y$ is of first category, and quite recently Fleissner [4] constructed such spaces X and Y without any additional axioms of set theory (see Added in proof (1)).

In this note we characterize the pairs of spaces X, Y which satisfy the assertion of the theorem of Kuratowski and Ulam.

The inspiring source for our reasonings has been the idea of Fleissner [4]; however, our approach is different and, in particular, it yields a relatively simple construction of two spaces of second category whose product is of first category.

Our terminology follows [3] and [6]. The weight of a space X is denoted by $w(X)$, ω_1 stands for the set of all countable ordinals and N is the set of natural numbers. We say that a space X is *non-separable* at a point $x \in X$ provided that every neighbourhood of x is non-separable. For a space X the letter q denote a metric compatible with the topology of X and $B(M, \varepsilon) = \{x: q(x, M) < \varepsilon\}$, where $M \subset X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. The product of countably many copies of the discrete space of cardinality m is denoted by $B(m)$ (cf. [3]).

LEMMA 1. Let spaces X and Y be the unions of increasing sequences $X_1 \subset \dots \subset X_\xi \subset \dots$ and $Y_1 \subset \dots \subset Y_\xi \subset \dots$ of type ω_1 of closed and boundary subsets of X and Y , respectively. Let us put

$$G_\xi = X_\xi \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha < \xi} X_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad H_\xi = Y_\xi \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha < \xi} Y_\alpha.$$

Then the set

$$E = \bigcup \{G_\xi \times H_\eta : \xi \neq \eta, \xi, \eta < \omega_1\}$$

is an F_σ -set of first category in the product $X \times Y$.

Proof. Let us put for each $\xi < \omega_1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$U_\xi^n = B(X_\xi, 1/n), \quad W_\xi^n = B(Y_\xi, 1/n),$$

$$X_\xi^n = X \setminus U_\xi^n, \quad Y_\xi^n = Y \setminus W_\xi^n,$$

$$E_\xi^n = (X_\xi^n \times Y_\xi^n) \cup (X_\xi^n \times Y_\xi), \quad E_n = \bigcup \{E_\xi^n : \xi < \omega_1\}.$$

We have then $E = \bigcup_n E_n$.

Obviously, $E_\xi^n \subset E$ for each ξ and n . Conversely, let $(x, y) \in E$, i.e., $x \in G_\xi$ and $y \in H_\eta$ for $\xi \neq \eta$. Assume for example that $\xi < \eta$. Then $x \in X_\xi$ and $y \notin Y_\xi$, and therefore $y \notin W_\xi^n$ for some n ; this gives $(x, y) \in X_\xi^n \times Y_\xi^n \subset E_n$.

It is enough now to show that each E_n is an F_σ -set of first category in $X \times Y$. To this end let us consider the open covering $\{U_\xi^n \times W_\xi^n : \xi < \omega_1\}$ of the product $X \times Y$. For each $\xi < \omega_1$ the set $(U_\xi^n \times W_\xi^n) \cap E_n = (U_\xi^n \times W_\xi^n) \cap \bigcup \{E_\alpha^n : \alpha < \xi\}$ is the countable union of closed and boundary subsets of $X \times Y$, and hence it is an F_σ -set of first category in $X \times Y$. Thus E_n is locally an F_σ -set of first category in $X \times Y$ and our conclusion follows from a Montgomery's theorem and the Banach localization principle, respectively (cf. [6; § 30, X and § 10, III]).

Remark 1. For every $m > \aleph_0$ the space $X = B(m)$ is the union of a sequence $X_1 \subset \dots \subset X_\xi \subset \dots$ of type ω_1 of closed and boundary subsets. Indeed, let $X = S^N$, where S is the discrete space of cardinality m and let $S = \bigcup_{\xi < \omega_1} S_\xi$, where $S_1 \subset \dots \subset S_\xi \subset \dots$ and $S_{\xi+1} \setminus S_\xi \neq \emptyset$; then the sets $X_\xi = S_\xi^N$ have the required properties.

LEMMA 2 (Štěpánek, Vopěnka [14]). *Every space X which is non-separable at each point is the union of an increasing sequence $X_1 \subset \dots \subset X_\xi \subset \dots$ of type ω_1 of closed and boundary subsets.*

Since the proof given in [14] is indirect, let us sketch a simple proof of this fact.

Let \mathcal{X} be the class of all spaces X which have the required property. Then, as we have shown in Remark 1,

$$(1) \quad B(m) \in \mathcal{X} \quad \text{for} \quad m > \aleph_0.$$

It is easy to verify that (notice, that the union of an increasing sequence of type ω_1 of closed subsets of a space Z is closed in Z)

$$(2) \quad \text{if } Y \subset Z \text{ and } \bar{Y} = Z, \text{ then } (Y \in \mathcal{X} \text{ iff } Z \in \mathcal{X}).$$

By (2) we can restrict ourselves to the case of complete spaces. We finish the proof showing that each complete space Z satisfying the assumption of Lemma 2 contains a dense subspace $Y = \bigoplus_{\text{top } t \in T} B(m_t)$, where $m_t > \aleph_0$, and then using (1) and again (2).

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U \subset Z : U \text{ is an open non-empty set such that for every open and non-empty set } V \subset U \text{ we have } w(V) = w(U)\}$. Let \mathcal{V} be a maximal disjoint subfamily of \mathcal{U} ; since each non-empty open set in Z contains a member of \mathcal{U} we have $\bigcup \mathcal{V} = Z$. Let $\mathcal{T} = \{V_t : t \in T\}$ and let $w(V_t) = m_t$; let G_t be a dense, strongly zero-dimensional, G_δ -subspace of V_t . Then each non-empty open subset of the space G_t is of weight m_t , and hence, by A. H. Stone's result [15; 2.3], we infer that $G_t = B(m_t)$. One can take now $Y = \bigoplus_{\text{top } t \in T} G_t$.

PROPOSITION. *Let X and Y be spaces of second category non-separable at each point. Then there exist sets $A \subset X$ and $B \subset Y$ of second category in X and Y respectively, such that the product $A \times B$ is of first category in $X \times Y$.*

Proof (cf. [6; § 10, VI]). Let X_ξ, Y_ξ, G_ξ and H_ξ be as in Lemma 1 (the existence of X_ξ and Y_ξ follows from Lemma 2). Let us put for each $L \subset \omega_1$

$$X(L) = \bigcup \{G_\xi : \xi \in L\}, \quad Y(L) = \bigcup \{H_\xi : \xi \in L\},$$

and let

$$\mathcal{I} = \{L \subset \omega_1 : X(L) \text{ is of first category in } X\}.$$

Then \mathcal{I} is a proper σ -ideal in the algebra of all subsets of ω_1 containing all one-point sets. From the Banach-Kuratowski-Ulam theorem on non-measurability of \aleph_1 [2], [17] we infer that \mathcal{I} is not a prime ideal (see [8]), i.e., there exists a disjoint decomposition $\omega_1 = L_0 \cup L_1$ with $L_1 \notin \mathcal{I}$. Each $X(L_i)$ is of second category in X . We have $Y(L_0) \cup Y(L_1) = Y$; let for example $Y(L_1)$ be of second category in Y . Since $X(L_0) \times Y(L_1) \subset E$, where E is the set defined in Lemma 1, we infer from this lemma that the sets $A = X(L_0)$ and $B = Y(L_1)$ satisfy the required conditions.

Remark 2. One can verify, using the reasonings similar to that given in [11; the proof of (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) in Theorem 1], that the sets L_i obtained in the proof are stationary, i.e., each L_i intersects each closed, cofinal set in ω_1 . Thus in the case $X = Y = B(\aleph_1)$ and $X_\xi = Y_\xi$ described in Remark 1, where $S = \omega_1$ and $S_\xi = \{\alpha : \alpha < \xi\}$, our approach yields the spaces $M(L_i)$ defined by Fleissner [4] (cf. also [12; 3.1, 3.2]).

Remark 3. The theorem we have used in the proof yields in fact a disjoint decomposition of ω_1 into \aleph_1 sets which do not belong to \mathcal{I} ; one can also consider instead of \mathcal{I} the σ -ideal $\mathcal{I}_m = \{L \subset \omega_1 : X(L)^m \text{ is of first category in } X^m\}$, where m is a natural number (verification that \mathcal{I}_m is a σ -ideal bases on Lemma 1).

Remark 4. Let A and B be as in Proposition and let $A^* = A \cap D(A)$ and $B^* = B \cap D(B)$, where $D(M)$ is the set of all points at which M is of second category in X or Y , respectively (see [6; § 10, V]). Then A^* and B^* are Baire spaces (i.e., they are of second category at each point) whose product is a space of first category.

To formulate our main result we need the following notion introduced by A. H. Stone [16].

The *nowhere-locally separable kernel* $K(X)$ of a space X is the largest closed subspace of X which is non-separable at each of its points; the reader is referred to [16] for the properties of $K(X)$.

THEOREM. For a pair of spaces X, Y the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) if $A \subset X$ is of second category in X and $B \subset Y$ is of second category in Y , then $A \times B$ is of second category in $X \times Y$;
- (b) at least one of the nowhere-locally separable kernels $K(X)$ and $K(Y)$ is of first category in X or Y , respectively.

Proof. Assume (b). Let, for example, the kernel $K(X)$ be of first category in X , and let $A \subset X$ and $B \subset Y$ be of second category in X or Y , respectively. By A. H. Stone's theorem [16; Theorem 4'] we have $X \setminus K(X) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$, where each F_n is a locally separable and closed subspace of X ; put $U_n = \text{Int} F_n$. There exists n such that $U_n \cap A = C$ is of second category in X . By the theorem mentioned at the beginning of this note we infer from local separability of U_n that $C \times B$ is of second category in the space $U_n \times Y$, and hence in $X \times Y$, as U_n is open in X . Thus (b) implies (a).

Conversely, if (b) does not hold, then the negation of (a) follows immediately from the proposition applied to the spaces $\text{Int} K(X)$ and $\text{Int} K(Y)$.

Remark 5. Let us consider the following situation (more general than the "product problem"):

assume that $f: T \rightarrow X$ is an open mapping of a space T onto a space X of second category such that each fiber $f^{-1}(x)$ is a space of second category.

In this case T can be a space of first category, even if X is compact and each space $f^{-1}(x)$ is completely metrizable. To construct a correspondent example let us choose in the space $B(c^+)^{(1)}$ a family of open sets U_{rn} , where r runs over the unit interval I and $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that each family $\{U_{rn}: r \in I\}$ is discrete and each set $U_r = \bigcup_n U_{rn}$ is dense in $B(c^+)$. This can be done as follows: let E_n be a $(1/n)$ -net in $B(c^+)$; split the set $E = \bigcup_n E_n$ dense in $B(c^+)$ into c disjoint dense sets $E_r, r \in I$, (cf. [8; Ch. VII, § 8, Theorem 10]) and put $U_{rn} = B(E_r \cap E_n, 1/3n)$. Now, let us take $T = \bigcup \{\{r\} \times U_r: r \in I\} \subset I \times B(c^+)$ and let f be the restriction to the space T of the projection of $I \times B(c^+)$ into $I = X$. The mapping f is open, as $f^{-1}(r)$ is dense in $B(c^+)$. The space T is of first category, as $T = \bigcup_n \bigcup_r \{r\} \times U_{rn}$ and each set $\{r\} \times U_{rn}$ is of first category in T .

It is worth while to notice two restrictions on the mapping f each of which guarantees in our case that T is of second category:

- (a) each fiber $f^{-1}(x)$ is separable;
- (b) there exists a metric q compatible with the topology of T such that all fibers $f^{-1}(x)$ are complete with respect to q (i.e., the fibers of f are "uniformly complete").

The statement (a) slightly improves a theorem of K. Kuratowski [7], where X is assumed to be separable; a result similar to (b) was obtained independently by E. K. van Douwen (see also [1]).

(1) c^+ stands for the cardinal next after the continuum c .

Added in proof.

- (1) The first example of (metrizable) Baire spaces X and Y whose product $X \times Y$ is of first category, without additional axioms for set theory, due to P. E. Cohen, *Products of Baire spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1976), pp. 119–124.
- (2) The result of preprint [4] are included into a joint paper of W. Fleissner and K. Kunen, *Barely Baire spaces*, Fund. Math. 101 (1978), pp. 229–240.
- (3) Some examples stronger than that in Remark 5 were given by the author in a paper *On category-raising and dimension-raising open mappings with discrete fibers*, Coll. Math.

References

- [1] J. M. Aarts and D. J. Lutzer, *Pseudo-completeness and the product of Baire spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 48 (1973), pp. 1–10.
- [2] S. Banach and K. Kuratowski, *Sur une généralisation du problème de la mesure*, Fund. Math. 14 (1929), pp. 127–131.
- [3] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Warszawa 1977.
- [4] W. G. Fleissner, *Barely Baire spaces* (a first draft, preprint).
- [5] M. R. Krom, *Cartesian products of metric Baire spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 42 (1974), pp. 588–594.
- [6] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, vol. I, New York–London–Warszawa 1966.
- [7] — *Some invariance problems connected with open mappings and the Baire property*, Math. Structures — Computational Math. — Math. Modelling, Sofia (1975), pp. 337–341.
- [8] — and A. Mostowski, *Set Theory*, Amsterdam 1967.
- [9] — and S. Ulam, *Quelques propriétés topologiques du produit combinatoire*, Fund. Math. 19 (1932), pp. 247–251.
- [10] J. C. Oxtoby, *Cartesian products of Baire spaces*, Fund. Math. 49 (1961), pp. 157–166.
- [11] R. Pol, *Note on decompositions of metrizable spaces I*, Fund. Math. 95 (1977), pp. 95–103.
- [12] — *Note on decompositions of metrizable spaces II*, Fund. Math. 100 (1978), pp. 129–143.
- [13] R. Sikorski, *On the Cartesian product of metric spaces*, Fund. Math. 34 (1947), pp. 288–292.
- [14] R. Štěpánek and P. Vopěnka, *Decomposition of metric spaces into nowhere dense sets*, Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae 8 (1967), pp. 357–404.
- [15] A. H. Stone, *Non-separable Borel sets*, Dissertationes Math. 28 (1962).
- [16] — *Kernel constructions and Borel sets*, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. 107 (1963), pp. 58–70.
- [17] S. Ulam, *Zur Masstheorie in der allgemeinen Mengenlehre*, Fund. Math. 16 (1930), pp. 140–150.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, WARSAW UNIVERSITY
WYDZIAŁ MATEMATYKI I MECHANIKI, UNIwersYTET WARSZAWSKI

Accepté par la Rédaction le 5. 7. 1976