

n'est pas résiduel, comme il ne coupe pas l'ensemble $R \times A$. Démontrons encore que la fonction f n'est monotone dans aucun ensemble ouvert, non vide de l'espace X . Soit $U \subset X$ un ensemble ouvert, non vide de l'espace X . Soit $(x_0, y_0) \in U$ un point tel que $x_0 \in C$. Remarquons que la coupe U_{x_0} de l'ensemble U est un ensemble ouvert dans R . La coupe $f_{x_0}(y) = f(x_0, y)$ n'est pas monotone dans l'ensemble ouvert U_{x_0} , il existe donc un nombre $z \in R$ tel que $(f_{x_0})^{-1}(z)$ n'est pas connexe. De plus, comme la fonction f_{x_0} est continue, l'ensemble $(f_{x_0})^{-1}(z)$ est fermé. Soit (α, β) une composante du complémentaire $U_{x_0} - (f_{x_0})^{-1}(z)$. L'ensemble $(f_x)^{-1}(z)$ étant non dense pour tout $x \in C$ et l'ensemble C étant dénombrable, l'ensemble $\bigcup_{x \in C} (f_x)^{-1}(z)$ est de première catégorie. Il en résulte que l'ensemble $((\alpha, \beta) \cap B) - \bigcup_{x \in C} (f_x)^{-1}(z)$ est non vide. Soit

$$y_1 \in ((\alpha, \beta) \cap B) - \bigcup_{x \in C} (f_x)^{-1}(z).$$

On a donc

$$U \cap f^{-1}(z) \cap \{(x, y) \in X: y = y_1\} = \emptyset$$

et par conséquent l'ensemble $U \cap f^{-1}(z)$ n'est pas connexe dans U , ce qui termine la démonstration.

Bibliographie

- [1] K. M. Garg, *Monotonicity, continuity and levels of Darboux functions*, Coll. Math. 28 (1973), pp. 59–68.
- [2] — *Properties of connected functions in terms of their levels*, Fund. Math. 97 (1977), pp. 17–36.
- [3] — *On nowhere monotone functions. I. Derivates at a residual set*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math. 5 (1962), pp. 173–177.
- [4] J. Oxtoby, *Mesure et catégorie* (russe), Moscou 1974.

Accepté par la Rédaction le 28. 6. 1976

The closure of the space of homeomorphisms on a manifold. The piecewise linear case

by

William E. Haver (Princeton, N. J.)

Abstract. Let $\bar{H}(M)$ denote the space of all continuous functions on a compact p.l. manifold M which can be approximated by homeomorphisms and $\text{PLH}(M)$ the space of all p.l. mappings which can be approximated by p.l. homeomorphisms. The pair $(\bar{H}(M), \text{PLH}(M))$ is studied and it is shown that $\text{PLH}(M)$ is an l_2^1 -manifold for compact p.l. manifolds M of $\dim \neq 4, 5$.

Let M be a compact piecewise linear manifold and $\text{PLH}(M)$ denote the space of all piecewise linear homeomorphisms of M onto itself. We shall study the space, $\bar{\text{PLH}}(M)$, of all piecewise linear mappings which can be approximated arbitrarily closed by elements of $\text{PLH}(M)$. All function spaces on compact manifolds will be assumed to have the supremum metric ρ ; i. e., if X and Y are manifolds with d the metric on Y and f and g are mappings from X into Y , then

$$\rho(f, g) = \sup_{x \in X} \{d(f(x), g(x))\}.$$

Note: Suppose $f_0, f_1 \in \bar{\text{PLH}}(M)$. In this topology a homotopy from f_0 to f_1 is a map $F: M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ such that $F_0 = f_0$, $F_1 = f_1$ and for each $t \in [0, 1]$, $F_t \in \bar{\text{PLH}}(M)$. In particular, it is not required that F be a p.l. map from $M \times [0, 1]$ into M .

This paper is a sequel to [12] where the author studied $\bar{H}(M)$, the space of all continuous functions on M which can be approximated by homeomorphisms of M onto itself. In many cases $\bar{H}(M)$ has been identified by Siebenmann [18] with the space of cellular maps of M onto itself.

For some years now there has been considerable interest in the question of whether $H(M)$, the space of homeomorphisms of M onto itself, is locally homeomorphic to l_2 , the Hilbert space of square summable sequences. See [9] for a summary of what is known about $H(M)$ and the pair $(H(M), \text{PLH}(M))$. In the appendix of this note we make an observation of a new criterion for determining if $H(M^n)$ is an l_2 -manifold. The major portion of this note is devoted to proving the following:

THEOREM 1. *Let M^n be a compact p.l. n -manifold, $n \neq 4$; if $n = 5$, $\partial M^n = \emptyset$. Then given an open cover \mathcal{U} of $\bar{H}(M^n)$, there exists a homeomorphism of $\bar{H}(M^n)$ onto itself which is limited by \mathcal{U} and carries $\text{PLH}(M^n)$ onto $\bar{\text{PLH}}(M^n)$.*

Let l_2^f be the (dense, incomplete) linear subspace of l_2 consisting of those sequences having only finitely many nonzero entries. A space that is separable, metrizable and locally homeomorphic to l_2^f is called an l_2^f -manifold. Keesling and Wilson [14] have shown, using results of Geoghegan [8], Toruńczyk [19] and Haver [11] that $\text{PLH}(M)$ is an l_2^f -manifold. We therefore have the following corollary to our theorem.

COROLLARY 1. $\overline{\text{PLH}}(M)$ is an l_2^f -manifold and hence an ANR.

COROLLARY 2. Given an open cover \mathcal{U} of $\overline{\text{PLH}}(M)$, there is a map $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}: \overline{\text{PLH}}(M) \rightarrow \text{PLH}(M)$ that is limited by \mathcal{U} ; i. e., piecewise linear cellular maps can be canonically approximated by piecewise linear homeomorphisms.

We note that the statements in the topological category analogous to Corollaries 1 and 2 are unresolved. The statement corresponding to Corollary 1 is discussed in [12] and Corollary 2 in [8].

The author would like to thank H. Toruńczyk for reading an earlier version of this paper and making suggestions which resulted in a substantial shortening of the exposition. In addition to suggesting the general outline of the current version he suggested the use and proof of Lemma 6.

NOTATION. Let $A \subset X$; $X \setminus A$ will denote the complement of A in X ; this complement will also be denoted \bar{A} when there is no possibility of confusion; 1_A will denote the inclusion of A in X .

If M is a manifold, ∂M will denote the boundary of M . π_i is the projection of $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ onto X_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Let \mathcal{U} be a collection of open subsets of X and $f: X \rightarrow X$ a function; f is limited by \mathcal{U} if for each $x \in X$, $f(x) = x$ or there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $\{x\} \cup \{f(x)\} \subset U$.

We start our proof of the theorem with two lemmas concerning properties of function spaces on manifolds. Throughout this paper we assume that M is a compact p.l. manifold of dimension $n \neq 4$ and if $n = 5$, then $\partial M = \emptyset$. Let $H^*(M)$ be the subset of $H(M)$ consisting of those homeomorphisms which are isotopic to p.l. homeomorphisms. Let $\overline{H^*}(M)$ be the space of all continuous functions on M which can be approximated by elements of $H^*(M)$.

LEMMA 1. a) $H(M)$ is uniformly locally contractible and hence $\overline{H}(M)$ is LC^∞ ;

b) each of $\text{PLH}(M)$ and $\overline{\text{PLH}}(M)$ is the countable union of finite dimensional compacta;

c) $\text{PLH}(M)$ is an l_2^f -manifold;

d) $\text{PLH}(M)$ is dense in $H^*(M)$ and hence $\overline{\text{PLH}}(M)$ is dense in $\overline{H^*}(M)$.

Proof. a) In Edwards-Kirby [6] and Chernavskii [5] it is shown that $H(M)$ is uniformly locally contractible. It then follows from Eilenberg and Wilder [7] that $\overline{H}(M)$ is LC^∞ (see also [12]). b) Was shown by Geoghegan in [8]. c) Was proved in [14]. Part d) is proved in detail in [9]; for an indication of proof, see Remark 2 at the end of this paper. The dimension restrictions are necessary only for part d).

Consistent with our previous notation, let $H(\overline{H}(M))$ denote the space of all

homeomorphisms of $\overline{H}(M)$ onto itself, under the compact open topology. In [12] the author proved that $\overline{H}(M)$ is homogeneous. The following lemma is a parametrized version of that result and can easily be proved by the same methods with the following modifications:

1) In the proof of Lemma 2.5 of [12] we are given positive numbers b and c and $g \in \overline{H}(M)$ with $\varrho(g, 1_M) < b$. Then $h \in H(M)$ is chosen with $\varrho(h, g) < \min(b, c)$ and $\varrho(h, 1_M) < b$. Using Lemma 1a) it is possible to make the choice of h depend continuously on g . To be more precise: let b and c be positive numbers and D be a finite-dimensional compactum. Then if $g: D \rightarrow \overline{H}(M)$ is a mapping with $\varrho(g(d), 1_M) < b$ there exists a mapping $h: D \rightarrow H(M)$ with $\varrho(h(d), g(d)) < \min(b, c)$ and $\varrho(h(d), 1_M) < b$ for all $d \in D$.

2) In the proof of Lemma 2.4 of [12] let the map H depend continuously on h (see Lemma 2.2 of [12] and the paragraph preceding its statement).

LEMMA 2 (parametrized homogeneity). Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if D is a finite-dimensional compacta and if $f: D \rightarrow \overline{H}(M)$ satisfies $\varrho(f(d), 1_M) < \delta$ for all $d \in D$, then there is a map $F: D \rightarrow H(\overline{H}(M))$ so that $F(d)(f(d)) = 1_M$ and for all $d \in D$, if $g \in \overline{H}(M)$ with $\varrho(g, 1_M) \geq \varepsilon$, then $F(d)(g) = g$. If $\varepsilon = \infty$, δ can be taken to be ∞ .

Remark. We will use only the case $\varepsilon = \infty$ in the following.

LEMMA 3. Let E denote l_2 or l_2^f and Y be an E -stable space (i. e., $Y \approx Y \times E$) with D an arbitrary finite-dimensional compactum in Y . Then

a) there is a homeomorphism $\mu: Y \rightarrow Y \times E$ with $\mu(D) \subset Y \times \{0\}$;

b) given compacta $D_0 \subset D$ and $f: D \rightarrow Y$ there is a sequence $\{f_n: D \rightarrow Y\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that f_n converges to f and for each n , $f_n|D_0 = f|D_0$, f_n is injective on $D \setminus D_0$ and $f_n(D \setminus D_0) \cap f_n(D_0) = \emptyset$.

Proof. a) Follows immediately from the special case where $Y = E$ which is well known (cf. [1]); to obtain b), let $\mu: Y \rightarrow Y \times E$ be a homeomorphism with $\mu(D) \subset Y \times \{0\}$ and let $\varphi: D \rightarrow \{t = (t_i) \in E \mid t_1 = 1\}$ be an embedding. Then define $g_n: D \rightarrow Y \times E$ by

$$g_n(x) = (\pi_1 \mu f(x), (1/n \varphi(x, D_0)) \varphi(x)).$$

Then for each n , $f_n = \mu^{-1} g_n$ is the desired map.

The following is a special case of a theorem of Toruńczyk that is formulated in a manner convenient for our purposes. For a proof see Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.1 of Chapter IV of [3] (see also [20, 21]).

LEMMA 4 (Toruńczyk). Let X be a complete metric space and $W = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty W_n$ where each W_n is a finite-dimensional compactum in X . Suppose that given a finite-dimensional compactum $A \subset X$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and open $V \supset A$,

a) there exists a homeomorphism $F: X \rightarrow X$ such that $F|V = 1_V$, $\varrho(F, 1_M) < \varepsilon$ and $F(A) \cap A = \emptyset$,

b) there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $f: A \rightarrow X$ satisfies $\varrho(f, 1_A) < \delta$, there exists a homeomorphism $F: X \rightarrow X$ such that $F|_A = f$, $\varrho(F, 1_M) < \varepsilon$ and $F|_{\bar{V}} = 1_{\bar{V}}$, and

c) given an integer m there exists an embedding $f: A \rightarrow W$ such that

$$\varrho(f, 1_A) < \varepsilon, \quad f|_A \cap W_m = 1_{A \cap W_m}.$$

Then if $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is any collection of finite-dimensional compacta, and \mathcal{U} is any open cover of X , there is a homeomorphism $F: X \rightarrow X$ such that $F(W) = W \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n$ and F is limited by \mathcal{U} .

The following lemma makes use of a technique for extending homeomorphisms due originally to Klee.

LEMMA 5. If $D \subset \bar{H}(M)$ is a finite-dimensional compactum, then there exists a homeomorphism $g: \bar{H}(M) \rightarrow \bar{H}(M) \times I_2$ with $g(D) \subset 1_M \times I_2$.

Proof. In [10] it was shown that $\bar{H}(M)$ is I_2 -stable. Therefore by Lemma 3a) there is a homeomorphism $\mu: \bar{H}(M) \rightarrow \bar{H}(M) \times I_2$ with $\mu(D) \subset \bar{H}(M) \times \{0\}$. Let $\alpha: \pi_1 \mu(D) \rightarrow I_2$ be an embedding with $\alpha(\pi_1 \mu(D))$ contained in a subset B of I_2 that is homeomorphic to a finite-dimensional cube. We shall construct homeomorphisms g_1 and g_2 of $\bar{H}(M) \times I_2$ such that $g_2 g_1(\mu(D)) \subset 1_M \times I_2$. Then $g = g_2 g_1 \mu$ will be the required homeomorphism.

Since I_2 is an AR we can choose a mapping $\beta: \bar{H}(M) \rightarrow I_2$ extending $\alpha: \pi_1 \mu(D) \rightarrow I_2$. Then define the homeomorphism $g_1: \bar{H}(M) \times I_2 \rightarrow \bar{H}(M) \times I_2$ by $g_1(x, y) = (x, y + \beta(x))$.

Since $\alpha(\pi_1 \mu(D))$ is contained in a finite-dimensional cube B and $\bar{H}(M)$ is uniformly LC $^\infty$ there exists a map $f: B \rightarrow \bar{H}(M)$ extending α^{-1} . Then by Lemma 2, there is a map $F: B \rightarrow H(\bar{H}(M))$ with $F(b)(f(b)) = 1_M$ for all $b \in B$. Let r be any retraction of I_2 onto B and define the homeomorphism $g_2: \bar{H}(M) \times I_2 \rightarrow \bar{H}(M) \times I_2$ by $g_2(x, y) = (F(r(y))(x), y)$. We check that for $d \in D$, $g_2 g_1 \mu(d) \in 1_M \times I_2$:

$$\begin{aligned} g_2 g_1 \mu(d) &= g_2 g_1(\pi_1 \mu(d), 0) = g_2(\pi_1 \mu(d), \alpha \pi_1 \mu(d)) \\ &= (F(\alpha \pi_1 \mu(d))(\pi_1 \mu(d)), \alpha \pi_1 \mu(d)) \\ &= (F(\alpha \pi_1 \mu(d))(f \alpha \pi_1 \mu(d)), \alpha \pi_1 \mu(d)) = (1_M, \alpha \pi_1 \mu(d)) \in 1_M \times I_2. \end{aligned}$$

In the following let $st^n(\mathcal{U})$ denote the n th star of \mathcal{U} (cf. [2]).

LEMMA 6. Let A be a finite-dimensional compactum and let $h = (h_i): A \times I \rightarrow \bar{H}(M)$ be a homotopy such that each of h_0 and h_1 is an embedding and h is limited by a given open (in $\bar{H}(M)$) cover \mathcal{U} of $h(A \times I)$. Then

a) there is a homeomorphism $f: \bar{H}(M) \rightarrow \bar{H}(M)$ which is limited by $st^8(\mathcal{U})$ and satisfies $fh_0 = h_1$, and

b) if $h|_{A \times (0, 1)}$ is 1-1, then the f above can be chosen to be limited by $st^4(\mathcal{U})$.

Proof. We shall first prove b). If $h|_{A \times (0, 1)}$ is 1-1, then the dimension of $h(A \times I) = h_0(A) \cup h_1(A) \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} h(A \times [1/n, 1 - 1/n])$ is bounded by $1 + \dim A$ and

hence by Lemma 5 there is a homeomorphism $g: \bar{H}(M) \rightarrow \bar{H}(M) \times I_2$ with $gh(A \times I) \subset 1_M \times I_2$. Passing to a refinement if necessary, we may assume that $g(\mathcal{U})$ is of the form $\{N_\varepsilon(1_M) \times U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}'\}$ where \mathcal{U}' is an open cover of $\pi_2 gh(A \times I)$ in I_2 and $N_\varepsilon(1_M)$ is a ball in $\bar{H}(M)$ of a positive radius ε centered at 1_M .

By Theorem 4.2 of [2] there is an isotopy $(f_t): I_2 \times I \rightarrow I_2$ which is limited by $st^4(\mathcal{U}')$ and satisfies $f_0 \pi_2 gh_0 = \pi_2 gh_1$ and $f_t = 1_{I_2}$ for $t \geq \varepsilon$. We define $f': \bar{H}(M) \times I_2 \rightarrow \bar{H}(M) \times I_2$ by $f'(x, y) = (x, f_\varepsilon(x, 1_M)(y))$. Then $f = g^{-1} f' g: \bar{H}(M) \rightarrow \bar{H}(M)$ is the desired homeomorphism.

Proof of a). Since $\bar{H}(M)$ is I_2 -stable, it follows from Lemma 3b) that there exists a homotopy $h': A \times I \rightarrow \bar{H}(M)$ with $h' = h$ on $A \times \{0, 1\}$, $h'|_{A \times (0, 1)}$ is injective and h' is limited by $st(\mathcal{U})$. Thus part a) follows from b) applied to h' and $st(\mathcal{U})$.

LEMMA 7. Let $A \subset \bar{H}^*(M)$ be a finite-dimensional compactum, $A_0 \subset A$ be closed with $A_0 \subset \text{PLH}(M)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists an embedding $f: A \rightarrow \text{PLH}(M)$ with $\varrho(f, 1_A) < \varepsilon$ and $f|_{A_0} = 1_{A_0}$.

Proof. By Lemma 1 $\text{PLH}(M)$ is a dense uniformly locally contractible subspace of $\bar{H}^*(M)$ and hence [7] there is a map $f': A \rightarrow \text{PLH}(M)$ with $\varrho(f', 1_A) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ and $f'|_{A_0} = 1_{A_0}$. Since $\text{PLH}(M)$ is an I_2^1 -manifold, by Lemma 3b) there is an embedding $f: A \rightarrow Y$ with $\varrho(f', f) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ and $f|_{A_0} = 1_{A_0}$. Then f has the required properties.

The proof of the main theorem now follows easily.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since $\bar{H}^*(M)$ is a separable metric space, we can apply Lemma 4, letting $W = \text{PLH}(M)$. By Lemma 1b), $\text{PLH}(M)$ is the union of finite-dimensional compacta. Condition a) is satisfied trivially since $\bar{H}^*(M)$ is I_2 -stable ([10]). Lemma 6 implies that condition b) is satisfied since two sufficiently close maps of a finite-dimensional compacta into a locally contractible space are homotopic. Finally, Lemma 7 shows that condition c) is satisfied. Since $\overline{\text{PLH}}(M)$ contains $\text{PLH}(M)$ and is the countable union of finite-dimensional compacta, given a cover $\mathcal{U}' = \{U \cap \bar{H}^*(M) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}'\}$ of $\bar{H}^*(M)$ there is a homeomorphism $F': \bar{H}^*(M) \rightarrow \bar{H}^*(M)$ limited by \mathcal{U}' and taking $\text{PLH}(M)$ onto $\overline{\text{PLH}}(M)$. Finally, extend F' to a homeomorphism $F: \bar{H}(M) \rightarrow \bar{H}(M)$ by $F/\bar{H}(M) \setminus H^*(M) = 1_{\bar{H}(M) \setminus H^*(M)}$.

The proofs of Lemmas 2, 5 and 6 follow exactly the same if $\bar{H}(M)$ is replaced by $H(M)$. In [9] it was shown that $\text{PLH}(M)$ has the "finite-dimensional compact absorption property" in $H^*(M)$ and hence that $(H^*(M), \text{PLH}(M))$ is an (I_2, I_2^1) -manifold pair if and only if $H(M)$ is an I_2 -manifold. The following corollary is a strengthening of the main result of [9]. It follows immediately from the suggested modifications of Lemma 6 and Lemma 7.

COROLLARY 3. Let $(A, A_0) \subset (H^*(M), \text{PLH}(M))$ be a pair of finite-dimensional compacta. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a homeomorphism $\varphi: H^*(M) \rightarrow H^*(M)$ with $\varphi(A) \subset \text{PLH}(M)$, $\varphi|_{A_0} = 1_{A_0}$ and $\varrho(\varphi(f), f) < \varepsilon$ for all $f \in H^*(M)$.

Appendix. One reason for studying $\bar{H}(M)$ and the pair $(\bar{H}(M), \overline{\text{PLH}}(M))$ is in order to gain insight into the question of whether $H(M)$ is an ANR (and hence

by results of Toruńczyk and Geoghegan an I_2 -manifold (cf. [19]). In particular, it is easy to see that if $\bar{H}(M)$ is an ANR, then so is $H(M)$ (cf. [12]). In this section we observe that for a given integer n , it suffices to study the most simple case: $H_\delta(B^n)$. (Here $H_\delta(B^n) = \{h \in H(B^n) \mid h|_{\partial B^n} = 1_{\partial B^n}\}$ and $\text{PLH}_\delta(B^n) = H_\delta(B^n) \cap \text{PLH}(B^n)$. Also $N_\delta(1_M) = \{h \in H(M) \mid \varrho(h, 1_M) < \delta\}$.) Our proof makes use of the following fact which appears in the proof of Corollary 1.3 on p. 79 of [6].

LEMMA 8 (Edwards-Kirby). *Let $\{B_1, \dots, B_p\}$ be an open cover of M^n with \bar{B}_i a closed n -ball for each i . Then there exists a $\delta > 0$ and a map*

$$\varphi: N_\delta(1_M) \rightarrow H_\delta(B_1) \times \dots \times H_\delta(B_p).$$

such that for each homeomorphism $h \in N_\delta(1_M)$, $h = [\pi_p(\varphi(h))]' \circ \dots \circ [\pi_1(\varphi(h))]'$, where for each i , $[\pi_i(\varphi(h))]' : M \rightarrow M$ is the homeomorphism defined by

$$[\pi_i(\varphi(h))]'(x) = \begin{cases} \pi_i(\varphi(h))(x) & \text{for } x \in B_i, \\ x & \text{for } x \notin B_i. \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 2. *Let n be a fixed positive integer. If $H_\delta(B^n)$ is an ANR, then $H(M^n)$ is an ANR for any compact n -manifold, M^n . Hence, if $\bar{H}_\delta(B^n)$ is an ANR, then $H(M^n)$ is an ANR for any compact n -manifold, M^n .*

Proof. Let $\{B_1, \dots, B_p\}$ be an open cover of M^n with \bar{B}_i a closed n -ball for each i . Then let $N_\delta(1_M) \subset H(M)$ and $\varphi: N_\delta(1_M) \rightarrow H_\delta(B_1) \times \dots \times H_\delta(B_p)$ be as in Lemma 8. Define $\psi: H_\delta(B_1) \times \dots \times H_\delta(B_p) \rightarrow H(M)$ by $\psi(f_1, \dots, f_p) = f_p \circ \dots \circ f_1$, where

$$f_i(x) = \begin{cases} f_i(x) & \text{for } x \in B_i, \\ x & \text{for } x \notin B_i. \end{cases}$$

Then $\psi|_{\psi^{-1}(N_\delta(1_M))}: \psi^{-1}(N_\delta(1_M)) \rightarrow N_\delta(1_M)$ is an r -map; i.e., there exists a map $\varphi: N_\delta(1_M) \rightarrow \psi^{-1}(N_\delta(1_M))$ such that $(\psi|_{\psi^{-1}(N_\delta(1_M))}) \circ \varphi: N_\delta(1_M) \rightarrow N_\delta(1_M)$ is equal to $1_{N_\delta(1_M)}$. But $\psi^{-1}(N_\delta(1_M))$ is an open subset of $H_\delta(B) \times \dots \times H_\delta(B_p)$ and hence, by assumption, is an ANR. Therefore, being the r -image of an ANR [4], $N_\delta(1_M) \subset H(M^n)$ is an ANR. But then since $H(M^n)$ is a topological group, each point has an open ANR neighborhood and hence $H(M^n)$ is an ANR.

Remarks. 1) In [16] Mason's theorem [17] that $H_\delta(B^2)$ is an ANR was used to prove that $H(M^2)$ is an ANR for every compact 2-manifold, M^2 . Theorem 2 thus provides an alternate path to this result.

2) In [15] it is shown that $\text{PLH}_\delta(B^n)$ is dense in $H_\delta(B^n)$ for $n \neq 4$. The reader can easily see that this fact, combined with Lemma 8 shows that $\text{PLH}(M^n)$ is dense in $H(M^n)$ with the proper dimensional restrictions (see [9] for details). Thus the methods of this paper provide an alternate proof of the results of [9] (see Corollary 3).

3) Theorem 2 suggests many possible methods for showing that $H(M^n)$ is an ANR. For example, since $\bar{\text{PLH}}_\delta(B^n)$ is an ANR, to show that for any n -manifold M^n , $n \neq 4$, $H(M^n)$ is an ANR it suffices to show that for a given open cover \mathcal{U} of $\bar{H}_\delta(B^n)$ there exists a map $\varphi: \bar{H}_\delta(B^n) \rightarrow \bar{\text{PLH}}_\delta(B^n)$ limited by \mathcal{U} .

References

- [1] R. D. Anderson and R. H. Bing, *A complete elementary proof that Hilbert space is homeomorphic to the countable infinite product of lines*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1968), pp. 771-792.
- [2] — and J. D. McCharen, *On extending homeomorphisms to Fréchet manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 25 (1970), pp. 283-289.
- [3] C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, *Infinite Dimensional Topology*, Warszawa 1975.
- [4] K. Borsuk, *Theory of Retracts*, Warszawa 1967.
- [5] A. V. Chernavskii, *Local contractibility of the homeomorphism group of a manifold*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 9 (1968), pp. 1171-1174.
- [6] R. D. Edwards and R. C. Kirby, *Deformations of spaces of embeddings*, Ann. of Math. 93 (1971), pp. 63-88.
- [7] S. Eilenberg and R. L. Wilder, *Uniform local connectedness and contractibility*, Amer. J. Math. 64 (1942), pp. 613-622.
- [8] R. Geoghegan, *On spaces of homeomorphisms, embeddings, and functions. (II) The piecewise linear case*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 27 (3) (1973), pp. 463-483.
- [9] — and W. E. Haver, *On the space of piecewise linear homeomorphisms of a manifold*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1976), pp. 145-151.
- [10] — and D. W. Henderson, *Stable function spaces*, Amer. J. Math. 95 (1973), pp. 461-470.
- [11] W. E. Haver, *Locally contractible spaces that are absolute neighborhood retracts*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1973), pp. 280-284.
- [12] — *The closure of the space of homeomorphisms on a manifold*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 195 (1974), pp. 401-419.
- [13] — *Topological description of the space of homeomorphisms on closed 2-manifolds*, Ill. J. Math. 19 (1975), pp. 632-635.
- [14] J. Keesling and D. Wilson, *The group of PL-homeomorphisms of a compact PL-manifold is an I_2^n -manifold*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 193 (1974), pp. 249-256.
- [15] R. Kirby, *Lectures on triangulations of manifolds*, mimeographed notes, Univ. of California, Los Angeles 1969.
- [16] R. Luke and W. Mason, *The space of homeomorphisms on a compact two-manifold is an absolute neighborhood retract*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 164 (1972), pp. 273-285.
- [17] W. K. Mason, *The space of all self-homeomorphisms of a 2-cell which fix the cell's boundary is an absolute retract*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 161 (1971), pp. 185-206.
- [18] L. C. Siebenmann, *Approximating cellular maps by homeomorphisms*, Topology 11 (1972), pp. 271-294.
- [19] H. Toruńczyk, *Absolute retracts as factors of normed linear spaces*, Fund. Math. 86 (1974), pp. 53-67.
- [20] — *(G, K)-absorbing and skeletonized sets in metric spaces*, Ph. D. thesis, Inst. of Math., Polish Acad. of Sciences, 1970.
- [21] J. E. West, *The ambient homeomorphy of an incomplete subspace of infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 34 (1970), pp. 257-268.

THE INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY
Princeton, New Jersey
THE UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE
Knoxville, Tennessee

Accepté par la Rédaction le 28. 6. 1976