

Literatur

- [1] D. L. Armacost, *Mapping properties of characters of LCA groups*, Fund. Math. 76 (1972), pp. 1-7.
- [2] L. Robertson, *Connectivity, divisibility, and torsion*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (1967), pp. 482-505.

Accepté par la Rédaction le 27. 4. 1976

On the category of commutative connected graded Hopf algebras over a perfect field

by

D. Simson and A. Skowroński (Toruń)

Abstract. Let \mathcal{H} be the category of all commutative, cocommutative, connected, graded Hopf algebras over a given perfect field k of finite characteristic p . By [13] \mathcal{H} is a locally noetherian Grothendieck category of global dimension two. Using functor category methods [10], we prove that

- (a) \mathcal{H} is semiperfect, i.e. each of its noetherian objects has a projective cover.
- (b) The endomorphism ring of any noetherian object in \mathcal{H} is a module of finite length over the ring of infinite Witt p -vectors over k .
- (c) Any flat object in \mathcal{H} is a directed union of countably generated pure flat subobjects and has the projective dimension at most 1.
- (d) Every primitively generated Hopf algebra from \mathcal{H} is a coproduct of Hopf algebras of the form $k[x]/(x^{p^i})$.

We describe local noetherian objects in \mathcal{H} .

Introduction. Let k be a perfect field of finite characteristic p and let \mathcal{H} denote the category of all commutative, cocommutative, connected, graded Hopf k -algebras. In [13] Schoeller showed that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^- \times \mathcal{H}^+$, where \mathcal{H}^- is the full subcategory of \mathcal{H} consisting of Hopf algebras generated by elements of odd degrees and \mathcal{H}^+ consists of all Hopf algebras which are zero in odd degrees. Furthermore, $\text{gl. dim } \mathcal{H}^- = 0$ and \mathcal{H}^+ is a product of a countable number of copies of a full subcategory \mathcal{H}_1 of \mathcal{H}^+ consisting of all Hopf algebras generated by elements of degrees $2p^i$ where $i = 0, 1, \dots$. Moreover, \mathcal{H}_1 has enough noetherian projective objects and therefore $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod}$, where \mathcal{P} consists of all indecomposable noetherian projective objects in \mathcal{H}_1 . Then we can apply to the study of \mathcal{H} functor category methods [10].

Section 1 contains the basic results on semiperfect functor categories needed in the paper. In Section 2 we recall some fundamental facts concerning the category \mathcal{H} . In Section 3 we define a useful $W(k)$ -category structure on \mathcal{H}_1 and on $\mathcal{P}\text{-Mod}$, where $W(k)$ is the ring of infinite Witt p -vectors. Using this fact, we show that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_1}(N, N')$ is a $W(k)$ -module of finite length for any noetherian objects N and N' in \mathcal{H}_1 . It is also proved that the category $\mathcal{P}\text{-Mod}$ is locally noetherian of global dimension two and the set of one-sided ideals in \mathcal{P} is countable.

Section 4 contains a generalization of the results in [14] and [16] concerning the projective dimension of flat objects in a functor category. As a consequence, we infer that the projective dimension of any flat object in \mathcal{H}_1 as well as of any flat \mathcal{H} -module is at most 1. In Section 5 we study primitively generated Hopf algebras from \mathcal{H} . Our main result asserts that every such Hopf algebra is a coproduct of Hopf algebras of the form $k[x]/(x^p)$, which is a generalization of well-known Milnor–Moore result in [9]. In the last section a complete description of all local noetherian objects in \mathcal{H}_1 is given.

Throughout the paper k is a perfect field of finite characteristic $p \geq 2$ and R denotes a commutative ring with an identity element. If \mathcal{A} is a locally finitely presented Grothendieck category, we denote by $\text{fp}(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. $\text{fg}(\mathcal{A})$) its full subcategory consisting of all finitely presented (resp. finitely generated) objects.

§ 1. Semiperfect functor categories. Let \mathcal{C} be a skeletally small additive category (not necessarily with coproducts). A \mathcal{C} -module is a covariant additive functor from \mathcal{C} to the category of abelian groups. The category $\mathcal{C}\text{-Mod}$ of all \mathcal{C} -modules is a Grothendieck category and \mathcal{C} -modules of the form

$$h^X = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, -), \quad X \in \mathcal{C},$$

form a set of finitely presented projective generators of $\mathcal{C}\text{-Mod}$.

A \mathcal{C} -module is free if it is isomorphic with a coproduct of modules h^X . A left ideal in \mathcal{C} is a \mathcal{C} -submodule of an h^X , $X \in \mathcal{C}$; a right ideal in \mathcal{C} is a submodule of an \mathcal{C}^{op} -module $h_X = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X)$, $X \in \mathcal{C}$. A two-sided ideal in \mathcal{C} is a subfunctor of the functor

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b.$$

If I is a two-sided ideal in \mathcal{C} , then we define the quotient category \mathcal{C}/I , which has the same objects as \mathcal{C} and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}/I}(X, Y) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)/I(X, Y).$$

The Jacobson radical of an additive category \mathcal{C} is a two-sided ideal $J(\mathcal{C})$ defined by

$$J(\mathcal{C})(A, B) = \{f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B), 1_A - gf \text{ has a two-sided inverse for every } g\}$$

(see [10]). It is not difficult to check that $J(\mathcal{C}/J(\mathcal{C})) = 0$ and that $J(\mathcal{C})(X, X)$ is the Jacobson radical of the endomorphism ring

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X)$$

for every object X from \mathcal{C} . Moreover, the following simple lemma holds:

LEMMA 1.1. *If X and Y have local endomorphism rings, then $J(\mathcal{C})(X, Y)$ is a group of all non-isomorphisms from X to Y .*

A small additive category \mathcal{C} is *semi-simple* if each \mathcal{C} -module h^X , $X \in \mathcal{C}$, is a coproduct of simple left ideals. \mathcal{C} is *regular* in the sense of von Neumann if for each of its morphisms f there exists a g such that $f = f g f$.

A Grothendieck category is *semiperfect* (resp. *F-semiperfect*) if each of its finitely generated (resp. finitely presented) objects has a projective cover (see [1], [8], [16] and [23]).

Recall that an object is called *local* if it has a unique maximal proper subobject [22].

For a functor category we have the following results:

THEOREM 1.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a skeletally small additive category. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\mathcal{C}\text{-Mod}$ is semiperfect.
- (b) Every simple \mathcal{C} -module has a projective cover.
- (c) $\mathcal{C}/J(\mathcal{C})$ is semi-simple and idempotents can be lifted modulo $J(\mathcal{C})$.
- (d) Every finitely generated projective (free) \mathcal{C} -module has a semiperfect endomorphism ring.
- (e) Any \mathcal{C} -module h^X , $X \in \mathcal{C}$, is a finite coproduct of local left ideals generated by idempotents.
- (f) Any projective \mathcal{C} -module P is a coproduct of local left ideals generated by idempotents.

(a'), (b'), (d'), (e'), (f') for $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod}$ and right ideals.

Proof. The equivalences (a) \leftrightarrow (c) \leftrightarrow (d) are proved in [16], Theorem 5.6 and (a) \leftrightarrow (b) \leftrightarrow (e) may be proved as in Theorem 2.1 in [18]. Since (f) \rightarrow (e) is trivial and since (c) is left-right symmetric, it remains to show that (e) implies (f).

Assume (e) and let P be a projective \mathcal{C} -module. Then there exists a Q such that $P \oplus Q = \bigoplus_{i \in I} L_i$, where L_i are local left ideals generated by idempotents.

By (d) the endomorphism ring of any L_i is semiperfect and hence it is local because L_i is indecomposable. Then (f) is a consequence of the following theorem:

THEOREM 1.3. *Suppose that M is an object of a Grothendieck category which is a coproduct of countably generated objects M_i , $i \in I$, each with a local endomorphism ring. Then*

- (a) any two such decompositions are isomorphic.
- (b) a direct summand of M is again a coproduct of subobjects, each isomorphic to one of the original summands M_i .

Proof. See [4], Th. 4.2, [12], Th. 1.3, [19], Th. 1 and [20], Th. 7.

COROLLARY 1.4. *If $\mathcal{C}\text{-Mod}$ is semiperfect, then any projective \mathcal{C} -module is a coproduct of local left ideals generated by idempotents and any two such decompositions are isomorphic.*

Generally, we can prove the following:

THEOREM 1.5. *If $\mathcal{C}\text{-Mod}$ is F-semiperfect, then any projective \mathcal{C} -module is a coproduct of left ideals generated by idempotents.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 5.6 in [16] the theorem may be proved as Theorem 3 in [21].



An object M in a Grothendieck category is hollow if the equality $M = X + Y$ implies either $X = M$ or $Y = M$ (see [6]). It is clear that any local noetherian object is hollow. If M is noetherian and hollow, then M is also local. In fact, the family of all proper subobjects of M has a maximal element which is a unique maximal subobject of M .

LEMMA 1.6. *Let P be a projective finitely generated object of a semiperfect category \mathcal{A} . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) P is indecomposable;
- (b) P is hollow;
- (c) P is a projective cover of a hollow object.

Proof. First we remark that if $f: M \rightarrow N$ is an essential epimorphism, then M is hollow if and only if N is hollow. So (b) \leftrightarrow (c). Since (b) \rightarrow (a) is trivial, it remains to prove (a) \rightarrow (b).

Suppose that $P = M_1 + M_2$, where M_1, M_2 are proper subobjects of P . Then the natural morphism $v: P \rightarrow P/M_1 \oplus P/M_2$ is an epimorphism. The non-zero objects $P/M_1, P/M_2$ are finitely generated; let $r_1: P' \rightarrow P/M_1, r_2: P'' \rightarrow P/M_2$ be their projective covers. Then $P' \oplus P'' \xrightarrow{r_1 \oplus r_2} P/M_1 \oplus P/M_2$ is also the projective cover and hence there exists an epimorphism $P \rightarrow P' \oplus P''$. But this is impossible because P is indecomposable.

§ 2. Preliminary results on graded Hopf algebras. Recall that \mathcal{H} is a locally noetherian Grothendieck category and $H \in \mathcal{H}$ is a noetherian object if H is finitely generated as a k -algebra (see [9] and [13]). Denote by \mathcal{N} the full subcategory of \mathcal{H} consisting of all noetherian objects.

Throughout this paper we assume that k is a perfect field of characteristic $p \geq 2$. Let $k[X] = k[X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n, \dots]$ be the polynomial algebra on variables $X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $\deg X_n = 2p^n$ and the comultiplication given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(X_0) &= X_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_0, \\ \Delta(X_1) &= X_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_1 + \frac{1}{p} [X_0^p \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_0^p - (\Delta X_0)^p], \\ &\dots \\ \Delta(X_n) &= X_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_n + \frac{1}{p} [X_{n-1}^p \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_{n-1}^p - (\Delta X_{n-1})^p] + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{p^2} [X_{n-2}^{p^2} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_{n-2}^{p^2} - (\Delta X_{n-2})^{p^2}] + \dots \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{p^n} [X_0^{p^n} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_0^{p^n} - (\Delta X_0)^{p^n}] \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

Next denote by ${}^n P$ the Hopf subalgebra of $k[X]$ generated by X_0, \dots, X_n and consider the Hopf algebra map

$$j_{mn}: {}^n P \rightarrow {}^m P$$

defined as follows: If $m \geq n$, then j_{mn} is the natural injection, and if $m < n$, we put

$$j_{mn}(X_r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } r < n - m, \\ X_{r-n+m} & \text{for } r \geq n - m. \end{cases}$$

Finally, let ${}^n S = k[X]/(X^p)$, where $\deg X = 2p^n$ and $\Delta(X) = 1 \otimes X + X \otimes 1$.

By $W(k)$ we denote the ring of infinite Witt p -vectors over k and by $W_n(k)$ we denote the ring of Witt p -vectors of length n (see [5], [7] and [24]).

We recall that $W(k)$ is a discrete valuation ring with the unique maximal ideal (p) , $W(k)/(p) \approx k$ and $W_n(k) \cong W(k)/(p^n)$.

It follows from [13] that

(H1) $\text{gl.dim } \mathcal{H} = \text{gl.dim } \mathcal{H}_1 = 2$.

(H2) ${}^1 S, {}^2 S, {}^3 S, \dots$ is a complete list of simple objects in \mathcal{H}_1 , ${}^n P$ is a projective cover of ${}^n S$, and ${}^1 P, {}^2 P, {}^3 P, \dots$ is a family of generators of \mathcal{H}_1 .

(H3) $\text{End } k[X] \cong W(k)$ and $\text{End } {}^n P \cong W_{n+1}(k)$.

It follows from (H2) that there is an equivalence $\mathcal{H}_1 \approx \mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod}$, where \mathcal{P} is the full subcategory of \mathcal{H}_1 consisting of all ${}^n P$ (see [13] p. 152). Henceforth we identify \mathcal{H}_1 and $\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod}$.

In view of (H3) the results from Section 1 yield

COROLLARY 2.1. (a) \mathcal{H}_1 is a semiperfect locally noetherian category.

(b) Any indecomposable projective object of \mathcal{H}_1 is isomorphic with a certain ${}^n P$.

(c) Any projective object in \mathcal{H}_1 is a coproduct of indecomposable ones and any two such decompositions are isomorphic.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.1 we get

COROLLARY 2.2.

$$J(\mathcal{P})({}^n P, {}^m P) = \begin{cases} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}({}^n P, {}^m P) & \text{for } n \neq m, \\ {}^p W_{n+1}(k) & \text{for } n = m. \end{cases}$$

Remark. The statement (d) of the theorem on page 140 in [13] is false. In fact, by (H3) $k[X]$ is indecomposable, and in view of Corollary 2.1 it is not projective.

§ 3. On $\mathcal{P}\text{-Mod}$ and $\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod}$. We now make some observations on the categories $\mathcal{P}\text{-Mod}$ and $\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod}$. We start with some general facts.

Let R be a commutative ring. Recall that an additive category \mathcal{C} is an R -category if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ is an R -module for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ in such a way that the morphism composition is R -bilinear (see [2] and [10]). A functor $T: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ between R -categories is an R -functor if the natural morphism $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}'}(TX, TY)$ given by $f \mapsto T(f)$ is a homomorphism of R -modules for each $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$. If \mathcal{C} is an R -category and F is a \mathcal{C} -module, then $F(X)$ is, in a natural way, an R -module for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Moreover, if $f: X \rightarrow X'$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C} , then $F(f)$ is an R -homomorphism. It follows that $\mathcal{C}\text{-Mod}$ is equivalent to the category of all R -functors from \mathcal{C} to $R\text{-Mod}$ (see [2], § 1).

An R -category \mathcal{C} is called *hom-finite* if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ is an R -module of finite length for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$.

An example of a hom-finite R -category is any finite R -variety in the sense of [2] where R is an artinian ring.

In what follows we need the following result:

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let R be a commutative ring and let \mathcal{C} be an R -category. Then there is a unique R -category structure on $\mathcal{C}\text{-Mod}$ such that the Yoneda embedding is an R -functor. Furthermore, if \mathcal{C} is hom-finite, then so is $\text{fg}(\mathcal{C}\text{-Mod})$.*

The proof is straightforward and it is left to the reader.

The following useful lemma gives us an important example of a $W(k)$ -category.

LEMMA 3.2. *\mathcal{P} is a hom-finite $W(k)$ -category such that for any pair n, m $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}({}^mP, {}^nP)$ is a cyclic $W(k)$ -module generated by j_{mn} and isomorphic with $W(k)/(p^{s+1})$ where $s = \min(n, m)$.*

Proof. Since $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}({}^mP, {}^nP)$ is, in a natural way, a right $\text{End}({}^nP)$ -module as well as a left $\text{End}({}^mP)$ -module and by (H3) $\text{End}({}^sP) = W_{s+1}(k)$, in virtue of Proposition on page 151 in [13] a $W(k)$ -module structure on $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}({}^mP, {}^nP)$ is given by the formula

$$(3.3) \quad wf = w_m f = f w_n,$$

where $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}({}^nP, {}^mP)$, $w \in W(k)$ and w_s denotes the image of w by the natural projection $W(k) \rightarrow W_{s+1}(k)$. Using (3.3), it is easy to check that the morphism composition is $W(k)$ -bilinear. Then in view of the proposition on page 151 in [13] the lemma follows.

As an immediate consequence we get

COROLLARY 3.4. *The hom-finite $W(k)$ -category structure on \mathcal{P} defined by (3.3) may be uniquely extended to a $W(k)$ -category structure on $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod}$ and on $\mathcal{P}\text{-Mod}$ such that the corresponding Yoneda embeddings are $W(k)$ -functors. Moreover, $\text{fp}(\mathcal{P}\text{-Mod})$ and the full subcategory \mathcal{N}_1 of \mathcal{H}_1 consisting of all noetherian objects in \mathcal{H}_1 are both hom-finite $W(k)$ -categories.*

COROLLARY 3.5. (a) *Any indecomposable object in \mathcal{N}_1 has an artinian local endomorphism ring.*

(b) *Every object of \mathcal{N}_1 is a finite coproduct of indecomposables and any two such decompositions are isomorphic.*

(c) *A pure-projective object in \mathcal{H}_1 is a coproduct of indecomposable noetherian objects.*

Proof. Apply the results of Section 1.

We are also able to prove

THEOREM 3.6. *The category $\mathcal{P}\text{-Mod}$ is locally noetherian.*

Proof. Fix n and let M be a \mathcal{P} -submodule of h^{np} . It follows that, for any m , $M({}^mP)$ is a submodule of the cyclic $W(k)$ -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}({}^mP, {}^nP)$. Hence $M({}^mP)$

is also cyclic and is generated by $p^r j_{mn}$ for a certain $r \leq \min(m, n)$. Let $r(m)$ be a such minimal r . Then we have

$$1^\circ M({}^mP) = p^{r(m)} W(k) j_{mn},$$

$$2^\circ r(m) \geq r(s) \text{ provided } m \leq s.$$

In fact, if $m \leq s$ then the natural injection $j_{sm}: {}^mP \rightarrow {}^sP$ induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M({}^mP) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Hom}({}^nP, {}^mP) \\ M(j_{sm}) \downarrow & & \downarrow (j_{sm})_* \\ M({}^sP) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Hom}({}^nP, {}^sP) \end{array}$$

Hence $\text{Im } M(j_{sm}) = (p^{r(m)} j_{ms})$ is a $W(k)$ -submodule of $M({}^sP) = (p^{r(s)} j_{ns})$ and therefore $r(m) \geq r(s)$.

Choose such a $t \geq n$ that $r(m) = r(t)$ for $m \geq t$ (this is possible by 2°) and assume $M = \bigcup_{i \in I} M_i$ with I directed. Then $M({}^mP) = \bigcup_{i \in I} M_i({}^mP)$ for every m and each $M_i({}^mP)$ is a submodule of the $W(k)$ -module $M({}^mP)$. Since by $1^\circ M({}^mP)$ is finitely generated, there exists an $i_0 \in I$ such that $M({}^mP) = M_{i_0}({}^mP)$ for $m = 0, \dots, t$. Now if $m > t$ then the $W(k)$ -bilinearity of the morphism composition in \mathcal{P} yields

$$p^{r(m)} j_{mn} = p^{r(t)} j_{mt} j_{tn} = j_{m(t)} (p^{r(t)} j_{tn}) \in M_{i_0}({}^mP)$$

because M_{i_0} is a left ideal in \mathcal{P} and $p^{r(t)} j_{tn} \in M({}^tP) = M_{i_0}({}^tP)$. It follows by 1° that $M({}^mP) = M_{i_0}({}^mP)$. Consequently $M = M_{i_0}$ and the proof is finished.

In view of Theorem 3.6 and (H1) we obtain

COROLLARY 3.7.

$$\text{gl.dim } \mathcal{P}\text{-Mod} = \text{w.gl.dim } \mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod} = \text{gl.dim } \mathcal{H}_1 = 2.$$

COROLLARY 3.8. *The set of one-sided ideals in \mathcal{P} is countable.*

Proof. By assertions 1° and 2° in the proof of Theorem 3.6 with any left ideal $M \subseteq h^{np}$ the sequence $r(1) \geq r(2) \geq \dots \geq r(m) \geq \dots$ of natural numbers is associated; it is in fact finite. This defines an injection of the set of left ideals contained in h^{np} into the set of finite sequences of natural numbers. The proof for right ideals is similar.

§ 4. On flat \mathcal{C} -modules. We recall that a \mathcal{C} -module M is flat if $\text{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{C}}(X, M) = 0$ for every \mathcal{C}^{op} -module X . By $\text{fl.d}(\mathcal{C})$ we denote $\text{suppd } M$ where M runs through all flat \mathcal{C} -modules [16]. Finally, let \aleph be an infinite cardinal number. An R -module is \aleph -noetherian if each of its submodules is generated by at most \aleph elements.

The main result of this section is the following

THEOREM 4.1. *Let R be a commutative \aleph_n -noetherian ring, $n \geq 0$, and let \mathcal{C} be an R -category such that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, C')$ is an \aleph_n -generated R -module for any $C, C' \in \mathcal{C}$. If \mathcal{C} has at most \aleph_n finitely generated right ideals, then $\text{fl.d}(\mathcal{C}) \leq n + 1$.*

Observe that the theorem is a generalization of [14] and Corollaries 3.7 and 3.13 in [16].

In view of Lemma 2.15 and Theorem 2.12(c) in [16], Theorem 4.1 is a consequence of the following proposition:

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let R be a commutative m -noetherian ring where m is an infinite cardinal number. Assume also that \mathcal{C} is an R -category such that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, C')$ is m -generated for all $C, C' \in \mathcal{C}$. If \mathcal{C} has at most m finitely generated right ideals, then any flat \mathcal{C} -module is an m -directed union of m -presented pure submodules.*

To prove the proposition we need some definitions and technical lemmas. Let $F_I = \{F_i, f_{ij}\}_{i,j \in I}$ be a direct system over a directed set I . A set $J \subseteq I$ is called F_I -closed if

$$\bigcup_{\substack{k \geq j \\ k \in I}} \ker f_{kj} = \bigcup_{\substack{s \geq j \\ s \in J}} \ker f_{sj} \quad \text{for any } j \in J.$$

LEMMA 4.3. *Let F_I be a direct system in a locally finitely presented Grothendieck category. If $J \subseteq I$ is F_I -closed, then the natural morphism $\text{colim } F_J \rightarrow \text{colim } F_I$ is injective.*

Proof. See Lemma 3.5 in [16].

LEMMA 4.4. *Let I be a directed set. If $G_I^\gamma = \{G_i^\gamma, g_{ij}^\gamma\}_{i,j \in I}, \gamma \in \Delta, |\Delta| \leq m$, are direct systems consisting of m -noetherian R -modules, then every $J \subseteq I$ with $|J| \leq m$ is contained in a directed subset J' of I such that J' is G_I^γ -closed for every $\gamma \in \Delta$.*

Proof. By our assumption for every $i \in I$ there exists a subset I_i of I with $|I_i| \leq m$ such that

$$\bigcup_{\substack{s \geq i \\ s \in I}} \ker g_{si}^\gamma = \bigcup_{\substack{k \geq i \\ k \in I_i}} \ker g_{ki}^\gamma \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Delta.$$

Since the set $L = \bigcup_{j \in J} I_j$ has a cardinality at most m , by Lemma 1 in [3] there exists a directed set $J_1 \subseteq I$ such that $L \subseteq J_1$ and $|J_1| \leq m$. Continuing this procedure, we define directed sets $J \subseteq J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq \dots$ with $|J_i| \leq m$, such that $J' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} J_i$ satisfies the required conditions.

Proof of Proposition 4.2. Let M be a flat \mathcal{C} -module. By Theorem 10.1 in [10] $M = \text{colim } F_I$ for a certain direct system $F_I = \{F_i, f_{ij}\}_{i,j \in I}$ consisting of finitely generated free \mathcal{C} -modules. To prove the proposition it is sufficient to show that every subset J of I with $|J| \leq m$ is contained in a directed set $J' \subseteq I$ with $|J'| \leq m$ such that the natural map $\varphi: \text{colim } F_{J'} \rightarrow \text{colim } F_I$ is a pure monomorphism.

Since $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod} \times \mathcal{C}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R\text{-Mod}$ is an R -functor (see [10], p. 52), by our assumptions $h_X/N \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} F_i \cong F_i(X)/N(X)$ is an m -generated R -module for every finitely generated right ideal $N \subseteq h_X, X \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $J \subseteq I, |J| \leq m$. Since \mathcal{C} has at most m finitely generated right ideals $N \subseteq h_X$, it follows from Lemma 4.4 that there exists a directed set $J' \subseteq I$ containing J such that $|J'| \leq m$ and J' is $[(h_X/N) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} F_I]$ -closed for each finitely generated right ideal $N \subseteq h_X$. Then the required result follows from Lemma 4.3 because the natural morphism $\varphi: \text{colim } F_{J'} \rightarrow \text{colim } F_I$ is a pure mono-

morphism if $(h_X/N) \otimes \varphi$ is a monomorphism for any finitely generated right ideal $N \subseteq h_X, X \in \mathcal{C}$.

COROLLARY 4.5. *Every flat object in \mathcal{H}_1 as well as every flat \mathcal{P} -module is an \aleph_0 -directed union of \aleph_0 -presented pure flat subobjects.*

Proof. In view of Corollary 3.8 the corollary is a consequence of Proposition 4.2.

COROLLARY 4.6. $\text{fl.d}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{fl.d}(\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}) = 1$.

Proof. Together with Corollary 3.8, Theorem 4.1 gives $\text{fl.d}(\mathcal{P}) \leq 1$ and $\text{fl.d}(\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}) \leq 1$. Then, by Theorem 5.4 in [16], to prove the corollary it is sufficient to show that the Jacobson radical $J(\mathcal{P})$ is neither left nor right T -nilpotent. For this purpose we consider two sequences from $J(\mathcal{P})$ (see Corollary 2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} 1P &\xrightarrow{j_{21}} 2P \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow nP \xrightarrow{j_{n+1,n}} (n+1)P \rightarrow \dots \\ 1P &\xrightarrow{j_{12}} 2P \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow nP \xleftarrow{j_{n,n+1}} (n+1)P \leftarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

which are non- T -nilpotent because the first is a sequence of monomorphisms and for the second one we have $j_{12} j_{23} \dots j_{n,n+1}(X_n) = X_0^n$.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.6 in [16] and Corollary 4.5 we have:

COROLLARY 4.7. *Any direct system as well as any inverse system consisting of finitely generated projective objects in \mathcal{H}_1 are \aleph_0 -factorizable (in the sense of [16, § 3]).*

COROLLARY 4.8. *An inverse limit of flat objects in \mathcal{H}_1 is flat.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{H}_1 \cong P^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod}$ and $\mathcal{P}\text{-Mod}$ are locally noetherian categories of global dimension two, the corollary follows from Corollary 4.5 in [11].

§ 5. Primitively generated Hopf algebras. One of the main theorems of this section is the following generalization of a well-known Milnor-Moore result [9]:

THEOREM 5.1. *Every primitively generated Hopf algebra from \mathcal{H} is a tensor product of Hopf subalgebras of the form $k[X]/(X^{p^r}), 0 \leq r \leq \infty$ ⁽¹⁾, and any two such decompositions are isomorphic.*

It follows from the remarks in Section 2 that it is sufficient to prove the theorem for primitively generated Hopf algebras from \mathcal{H}_1 .

Let \mathcal{L} be the full subcategory of \mathcal{H}_1 consisting of all primitively generated Hopf algebras. It follows from [9] that \mathcal{L} is locally noetherian Grothendieck category and each of its objects of finite type is a coproduct of objects $K_n = k[X]/(X^{p^n})$, where $\text{deg } X = 2p^n, 1 \leq n \leq \infty, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ Let us denote by \mathcal{K} the full subcategory of \mathcal{L} consisting of all objects K_n .

We start with the following simple lemma.

(1) $\infty = 0$.

LEMMA 5.2. For any $n, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $1 \leq r, l \leq \infty$ we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(K_{nr}, K_{ml}) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } 0 \leq n-m < l \leq r+n-m, \\ 0 & \text{in the opposite case.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $f: K_{nr} \rightarrow K_{ml}$ be an arbitrary morphism in \mathcal{H} . It is clear that $f = 0$ whenever $n < m$, and $f(\bar{X}) = a\bar{X}^{p^{r-m}}$, $a \in k$, in the opposite case. Hence $0 = f(\bar{X}^p) = a\bar{X}^{p^{r+n-m}}$ and the lemma follows because f is uniquely determined by $f(\bar{X})$.

Recall that a Grothendieck category is *pure semi-simple* if each of its objects is a coproduct of finitely presented subobjects (see [15]–[17]).

It follows from the above-mentioned Milnor–Moore result that Theorem 5.1 is a consequence of the following

THEOREM 5.3. \mathcal{L} is a pure semi-simple category.

Proof. By Theorem 6.3 in [16] \mathcal{L} is pure semi-simple if and only if the category $\text{fp}(\mathcal{L})^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod} \cong \mathcal{H}^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod}$ is perfect, or equivalently, if the endomorphism ring of any object in $\text{fp}(\mathcal{L})$ is left artinian and the Jacobson radical $J(\mathcal{H})$ is right T -nilpotent. But in virtue of Corollary 3.4 it is sufficient to prove the last statement. For this purpose consider a sequence

$$K_{n_1 r_1} \xrightarrow{f_1} K_{n_2 r_2} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow K_{n_s r_s} \xrightarrow{f_s} K_{n_{s+1} r_{s+1}} \rightarrow \dots$$

where each f_i belongs to $J(\mathcal{H})$. It follows from Lemmas 1.1 and 5.2 that $J(\mathcal{H})(K_{nr}, K_{ml}) \neq 0$ if and only if either (i) $n = m$ and $r > 1$ or (ii) $0 < n-m < l \leq r+n-m$. Assume that each $f_i \neq 0$. Then $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq n_3 \geq \dots$ and there exists an s such that $n_s = n_{s+1} = \dots$. It follows that $r_s > r_{s+1} > r_{s+2} > \dots$ and we get a contradiction. Consequently $f_m = 0$ for a suitable m and the proof is complete.

As a consequence of Corollary 2.4 in [17] and Theorem 5.1 we have

COROLLARY 5.4. Every primitively generated Hopf algebra from \mathcal{H} has an F -semi-perfect endomorphism ring.

We end this section with

COROLLARY 5.5. $\text{fl.d}(\mathcal{H}) = 1$.

Proof. It is not hard to check that \mathcal{H} has at most \aleph_0 principal (and so finitely generated) left ideals. Then by Theorem 4.1 $\text{fl.d}(\mathcal{H}) \leq 1$ and to prove the equality it is sufficient to show that $J(\mathcal{H})$ is not left T -nilpotent. For this purpose we consider a non- T -nilpotent sequence of natural projections

$$k[X]/(X^2) \leftarrow k[X]/(X^3) \leftarrow k[X]/(X^4) \leftarrow \dots$$

with $\text{deg } X = 2p$.

§ 6. Noetherian local objects in \mathcal{H}_1 . It follows from Lemma 1.6 that a noetherian object in \mathcal{H}_1 is local if and only if it is a quotient object of an object ${}^n P$. Then the next theorem gives a complete description of all noetherian local objects in \mathcal{H}_1 .

THEOREM 6.1. Every Hopf subalgebra of ${}^n P$ has the form $(X_0^{p^0}, \dots, X_n^{p^n})$, $0 \leq r_0 \leq r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_n \leq \infty$, i.e. it is generated as a k -algebra by elements $X_0^{p^0}, \dots, X_n^{p^n}$.

Proof. Let H be a non-zero Hopf subalgebra of ${}^n P$. If $n = 0$, then H is primitively generated because so is ${}^0 P$. Since every primitive element of ${}^0 P$ has the form $tX_0^{p^0}$, $t \in K$, then $H = (X_0^{r_0})$, where $r_0 \geq 0$ is a minimal number such that $X_0^{p^0} \in H$.

Let $n \geq 1$ and suppose that the theorem is proved for $n-1$. Then $H' = H \cap {}^{n-1} P = (X_0^{p^0}, \dots, X_{n-1}^{p^{n-1}})$ for certain $0 \leq r_0 \leq r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_{n-1} \leq \infty$. If $H = H'$, we put $r_n = \infty$. In the opposite case choose a homogeneous element $c \in H \setminus H'$ of smallest degree and put $r_n = m-n$ where $2p^m = \text{deg } c$. We shall show that $H = (X_0^{p^0}, \dots, X_n^{p^n})$.

First we prove that $r_n \geq r_{n-1}$. For this purpose we observe that each element d of ${}^n P$ of degree $2p^k$ may be uniquely expressed in the form

$$d = \sum d_{i_0, \dots, i_n} X_0^{i_0} X_1^{i_1} \dots X_n^{i_n},$$

where the sum is taken over all natural numbers i_0, \dots, i_n such that $i_0 + p i_1 + \dots + p^n i_n = p^k$. In particular the element c has the form

$$c = lX_n^{p^n} + a + b,$$

where

$$l = c_{0, \dots, 0, p^n, n},$$

$$a = \sum_{\substack{i_0 + \dots + i_{n-1} > 0 \\ i_n > 0}} c_{i_0, \dots, i_n} X_0^{i_0} \dots X_n^{i_n},$$

$$b = \sum_{\substack{i_0 + \dots + i_{n-1} > 0 \\ i_n = 0}} c_{i_0, \dots, i_n} X_0^{i_0} \dots X_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}.$$

We now prove that $a = 0$. Note that

$$(H \otimes H)_{2p^m} = H_{2p^m} \otimes k \oplus k \otimes H_{2p^m} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2p^m-1} H_i' \otimes H_{2p^m-i}'$$

because $H_i = H_i'$ for $i < 2p^m$ by the minimality of $\text{deg } c$. Recall also that $\Delta(X_k) = X_k + Y_k + \varphi_k$, where on the right side X_k and Y_k denote elements $X_k \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes X_k$ in ${}^n P \otimes {}^n P$, and φ_k is a polynomial of variables $X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}, Y_0, \dots, Y_{n-1}$ (see § 2).

Assume, on the contrary, that $a \neq 0$, and denote by N_a^{n+1} the set of all tuples $\langle i_n, \dots, i_0 \rangle$ such that the coefficient c_{i_0, \dots, i_n} is non-zero and occurs in the expression of the element a above. Clearly N_a^{n+1} is non-empty. If $\langle j_n, \dots, j_0 \rangle$ is its maximal element in the lexicographical order, then it is easy to check that the summand c' of

$$\Delta(c) \text{ which belongs to } \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2p^m-1} H_i \otimes H_{2p^m-i} \text{ has the form } c' = c_{j_0, \dots, j_n} X_n^{j_n} Y_{n-1}^{j_{n-1}} \dots Y_0^{j_0} + f,$$

where f contains no monomials of the form $eX_n^{j_n} Y_{n-1}^{j_{n-1}} \dots Y_0^{j_0}$, $e \in K$. On the other hand, c' is a polynomial of $X_{n-1}^{p^{n-1}}, \dots, X_0^{p^0}, Y_{n-1}^{p^{n-1}}, \dots, Y_0^{p^0}$ because it belongs to $H' \otimes H'$. It follows that $c_{j_0, \dots, j_n} = 0$ and we get a contradiction. Consequently $a = 0$ and hence $l \neq 0$. Thus without loss of generality one can suppose $l = 1$.

To prove $r_n \geq r_{n-1}$ we consider the summand c'' of $\Delta(c)$ which belongs to the direct summand $H_{2p^m-i}' \otimes H_{2p^m-i}'$ of $(H \otimes H)_{2p^m}$. In view of the equality

$$\Delta(X_n^{p^n}) = X_n^{p^n} + Y_n^{p^n} + (-X_{n-1} Y_{n-1}^{p-1})^{p^n} + \dots$$

it is easy to check that

$$c'' = (-1)^{p^n} X_{n-1}^{p^n} Y_{n-1}^{p^n(p-1)} + g,$$

where g contains no monomials of the form $eX_{n-1}^{p^n} Y_{n-1}^{p^n(p-1)}$, $e \in K$. Since $c'' \in H' \otimes H'$, it is a polynomial of $X_{n-1}^{p^n-1}, \dots, X_0^{p^n}, Y_{n-1}^{p^n-1}, \dots, Y_0^{p^n}$. Hence we conclude that $r_n \geq r_{n-1}$.

We now prove that $X_n^{p^n} \in H$. Consider the element $h = X_n^{p^n} + b'$, where b' is the sum of all monomials $c_{i_0, \dots, i_{n-1}, 0} X_0^{j_0} \dots X_{n-1}^{j_{n-1}}$ in the expression of the element b such that some exponent i_i is not divisible by p^i . It is sufficient to show that $b' = 0$. Assume the contrary, i.e. that $b' \neq 0$, and consider a non-empty set $N_{b'}^n$ of all tuples $\langle i_{n-1}, \dots, i_0 \rangle$ such that $c_{i_0, \dots, i_{n-1}, 0} \neq 0$ and occurs in the expression of b' . Let $\langle j_{n-1}, \dots, j_0 \rangle$ be the maximal element of $N_{b'}^n$ in the lexicographical order and fix j_i which is not divisible by p^i . By our assumptions $\varphi_n^{p^n} \in H' \otimes H'$ and therefore $\Delta(h) - \varphi_n^{p^n} \in (H \otimes H)_{2p^{r_n}}$. Furthermore, an easy computation shows that the summand h' of $\Delta(h) - \varphi_n^{p^n}$ which belongs to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{2p^{r_n-1}} H_i \otimes H_{2p^{r_n-i}}$ has the form

$$h' = c_{j_0, \dots, j_{n-1}, 0} X_0^{j_0} Y_0^{j_0} \dots Y_{i-1}^{j_{i-1}} Y_{i+1}^{j_{i+1}} \dots Y_{n-1}^{j_{n-1}} + h'',$$

where h'' contains no monomials of the form $eX_i^{j_i} Y_0^{j_0} \dots Y_{i-1}^{j_{i-1}} Y_{i+1}^{j_{i+1}} \dots Y_{n-1}^{j_{n-1}}$, $e \in K$. On the other hand, h' belongs to $G \otimes G$, where $G = (X_0^{p^n}, \dots, X_n^{p^n})$. This is a contradiction because $c_{j_0, \dots, j_{n-1}, 0} \neq 0$ and j_i is not divisible by p^i . Consequently $X_n^{p^n} \in H$ and therefore $G = H$.

In order to prove the required equality $G = H$, suppose, on the contrary, that $G \neq H$ and choose a homogeneous element $d \in H \setminus G$ of minimal degree. If $\deg d = 2p^s$, then $s > m > n$, $H_i = G_i$ for $i < 2p^s$ and d has the form

$$[d = \sum d_{i_0, \dots, i_n} X_0^{i_0} \dots X_n^{i_n},$$

where the sum is taken over all i_0, \dots, i_n such that $i_0 + pi_1 + \dots + p^n i_n = p^s$. Let us denote by d' the sum of all monomials $d_{i_0, \dots, i_n} X_0^{i_0} \dots X_n^{i_n}$ in the expression of d such that a certain i_i is not divisible by p^i . Since $G \subset H$ and $d \notin G$, then $d' \notin G$. On the other hand, using the same type of arguments as in the previous part of the proof, one can show that $d' = 0$. We then get a contradiction. Consequently $G = H$ and the theorem is proved.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 6.1 we have:

COROLLARY 6.2. Every Hopf subalgebra of $k[X]$ is of the form $(X_0^{p^n}, \dots, X_n^{p^n}, \dots)$ with $0 \leq r_0 \leq \dots \leq r_n \leq \dots \leq \infty$.

References

[1] M. Auslander, Representation theory of Artin algebras I, Comm. in Algebra 1 (1974), pp. 177-268.
 [2] — and I. Reiten, Stable equivalence of dualizing R-varieties, Advances in Math. 12 (1974), pp. 306-366.

[3] S. Balcerzyk, On projective dimension of direct limit of modules, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 14 (1966), pp. 241-244.
 [4] P. Crawley and B. Jónsson, Refinements for infinite direct decompositions of algebraic systems, Pacific J. Math. 14 (1964), pp. 797-855.
 [5] M. Demazure et P. Gabriel, Groupes Algébriques, T. 1, Masson, Paris, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1970.
 [6] P. Fleury, Hollow modules and local endomorphism rings, Pacific J. Math. 53 (1974), pp. 379-385.
 [7] H. Hasse, Zahlentheorie, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin 1949.
 [8] M. Harada, Perfect categories, Osaka J. Math. 10 (1973), pp. 329-341.
 [9] J. Milnor and J. C. Moore, On the structure of Hopf algebras, Ann. Math. 81 (1965), pp. 211-264.
 [10] B. Mitchell, Rings with several objects, Advances in Math. 8 (1972), pp. 1-161.
 [11] U. Oberst and H. Rohrl, Flat and coherent functors, J. Algebra 14 (1970), pp. 91-105.
 [12] N. Popescu, Abelian categories with applications to rings and modules, Academic Press, London-New York 1973.
 [13] C. Schoeller, Etude de la catégorie des algèbres de Hopf commutatives connexes sur un corps, Manuscripta Math. 3 (1970), pp. 133-155.
 [14] D. Simson, A remark on projective dimension of flat modules, Math. Ann. 209 (1974), pp. 181-182.
 [15] — Functor categories in which every flat object is projective, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 22 (1974), pp. 375-380.
 [16] — On pure global dimension of locally finitely presented Grothendieck categories, Fund. Math. 96 (1977), pp. 91-116.
 [17] — On pure semi-simple Grothendieck categories, Fund. Math. 100 (1978), pp. 211-222.
 [18] H. Tachikawa, Quasi-Frobenius rings and generalizations. QF-3 and QF-1 rings, Lecture Notes in Math. 351 (1973).
 [19] R. B. Warfield, Jr., A Krull-Schmidt theorem for infinite sums of modules, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 22 (1967), pp. 460-465.
 [20] — Decompositions of injective modules, Pacific J. Math. 31 (1969), pp. 263-276.
 [21] — Exchange rings and decompositions of modules, Math. Ann. 199 (1972), pp. 31-36.
 [22] — Serial rings and finitely presented modules, J. Algebra 37 (1975), pp. 187-222.
 [23] M. Weidenfeld et G. Weidenfeld, Idéaux d'une catégories préadditive, application aux catégories semi-parfaites, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série A, 270 (1970), pp. 1569-1571.
 [24] E. Witt, Zyklische Körper und Algebren der Charakteristik p von Grad p^n , J. Reine Angew. Math. 176 (1936), pp. 126-140.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NICHOLAS COPERNICUS UNIVERSITY, Toruń

Accepté par la Rédaction le 27. 4. 1976