

On shape of hyperspaces

*Dedicated to professor Kiiti Morita
for his 60th birthday*

by

Y. Kodama (Ibaragi), S. Spież (Warszawa) and T. Watanabe (Tokyo)

Abstract. For a compact space X denote by 2^X the hyperspace consisting of all non empty closed subsets of X and by $C(X)$ the hyperspace consisting of all non empty connected closed subsets of X with finite topology. Then it is proved that $\text{Sh}(2^X) = \text{Sh}(2^{\square X})$ and $\text{Sh}(C(X)) = \text{Sh}(\square X)$, where $\square X$ is the decomposition space of X consisting of all components. As a consequence, if X is connected then $\text{Sh}(2^X)$ and $\text{Sh}(C(X))$ are trivial. Also for any compact spaces X and Y such that both $\square X$ and $\square Y$ are countably infinite, we have $\text{Sh}(2^X) = \text{Sh}(2^Y)$. If $X(n)$ denotes the n th symmetric product of X , then it is proved that $\text{Sh}(X) \geq \text{Sh}(Y)$ means $\text{Sh}(X(n)) \geq \text{Sh}(Y(n))$. Hence if X is an ASR(ANSP) so is $X(n)$.

§ 1. Introduction. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. We denote by 2^X the hyperspace with finite topology consisting of all non empty closed subsets of X and by $C(X)$ the hyperspace with finite topology consisting of all non empty closed connected subsets of X . Let $\square X$ be the decomposition space of X consisting of all components. In this paper we shall prove that $\text{Sh}(2^X) = \text{Sh}(2^{\square X})$ and $\text{Sh}(C(X)) = \text{Sh}(\square X)$. Here by $\text{Sh}(X)$ is meant the shape of X (cf. Borsuk [2], Mardešić and Segal [8, 9] and Mardešić [11]). As a consequence the following corollaries are obtained.

- (1) If X is connected, then $\text{Sh}(2^X)$ and $\text{Sh}(C(X))$ are trivial.
- (2) If $\square X$ and $\square Y$ are metrizable and infinite, then $\text{Sh}(2^X) \equiv \text{Sh}(2^Y)$. (Here we mean by $\text{Sh}(A) \equiv \text{Sh}(B)$ that both the relations $\text{Sh}(A) \leq \text{Sh}(B)$ and $\text{Sh}(A) \geq \text{Sh}(B)$ hold.)

- (3) If both $\square X$ and $\square Y$ are countably infinite, then $\text{Sh}(2^X) = \text{Sh}(2^Y)$.
For a positive integer n , let $X(n)$ be the n th symmetric product of X . We shall show that if $\text{Sh}(X) = \text{Sh}(Y)$ then $\text{Sh}(X(n)) = \text{Sh}(Y(n))$.

Throughout this paper all of spaces are Hausdorff and maps are continuous. By an AR-space and an ANR-space we mean always those for metric spaces.

§ 2. Hyperspaces of the inverse limit space. Let X be a space. We denote by 2^X the set of all nonempty closed subsets of X , by $C(X)$ the set of all non empty closed connected subsets of X and by $X(n)$, n a positive integer, the set of all non empty subsets consisting of at most n points. We consider $C(X)$ and $X(n)$ as subsets of 2^X .

Let $\{U_j: j = 1, \dots, k\}$ be a finite collection of open sets of X . Denote by $\langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle$ the set $\{F \in 2^X: F \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i \text{ and } F \cap U_j \neq \emptyset \text{ for each } j\}$. The finite topology of 2^X is the one generated by collections of the form $\langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle$ with U_1, \dots, U_k open sets of X . (See Michael [13, Def. 1.7].) Throughout this paper we assume that 2^X has the finite topology and $C(X)$ and $Y(n)$ are subspaces of 2^X .

Let X and Y be compact spaces and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map. Define $f_*: 2^X \rightarrow 2^Y$ by $f_*(F) = f(F)$ for $F \in 2^X$. Then by [13, 5.10] f_* is continuous and $f_*(C(X)) \subset C(Y)$ and $f_*(X(n)) \subset Y(n)$. We say that f_* is a map induced by f .

LEMMA 1. Let $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$ be maps of a compact space X into a space Y . If $f \simeq g$ then $f_* \simeq g_*$, $f_*|C(X) \simeq g_*|C(X)$ in $C(Y)$ and $f_*|X(n) \simeq g_*|X(n)$ in $Y(n)$.

Proof. Let $H: X \times I \rightarrow Y$ be a homotopy connecting f and g . Define $H': 2^X \times I \rightarrow 2^Y$ by $H'(F, t) = H(F \times \{t\})$ for $F \in 2^X$ and $t \in I$. It is easy to show that H' is continuous, $H'(C(X) \times I) \subset C(Y)$ and $H'(X(n) \times I) \subset Y(n)$. Since $H'(F, 0) = f_*(F)$ and $H'(F, 1) = g_*(F)$ for $F \in 2^X$, the lemma is proved.

Let $\{X_\alpha, \pi_\alpha^\beta, \Omega\}$ be an inverse system consisting of compact spaces X_α and projections $\pi_\alpha^\beta: X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$, $\alpha < \beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$, where Ω is a directed set. Then $\{2^{X_\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha*}^\beta, \{C(X_\alpha), \pi_{\alpha*}^\beta|C(X_\beta)\}$ and $\{X_\alpha(n), \pi_{\alpha*}^\beta|X_\beta(n)\}$ form inverse systems over Ω , where $\pi_{\alpha*}^\beta: 2^{X_\beta} \rightarrow 2^{X_\alpha}$ is induced by π_α^β . The following lemma was essentially proved by Segal [19].

LEMMA 2. Let $X = \varprojlim X_\alpha$. Then $2^X = \varprojlim 2^{X_\alpha}$, $C(X) = \varprojlim C(X_\alpha)$ and $X(n) = \varprojlim X_\alpha(n)$.

Proof. Let $\pi_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \Omega$, be the projection. Consider the maps $\pi_{\alpha*}: 2^X \rightarrow 2^{X_\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \Omega$. Since $\pi_\alpha^\beta \pi_\beta = \pi_\alpha$ for $\alpha < \beta$, $\pi_{\alpha*} \pi_{\beta*} = \pi_{\alpha*}$ and hence the collection of maps $\{\pi_{\alpha*}, \alpha \in \Omega\}$ defines uniquely a continuous map $\pi_*: 2^X \rightarrow \varprojlim 2^{X_\alpha}$. Obviously $\pi_*(C(X)) \subset \varprojlim C(X_\alpha)$ and $\pi_*(X(n)) \subset \varprojlim X_\alpha(n)$. Let $x = \{F_\alpha: F_\alpha \subset X_\alpha, \alpha \in \Omega\}$ be a point of $\varprojlim 2^{X_\alpha}$. Then $\pi_{\alpha*}^\beta(F_\beta) = F_\alpha$ so that $\pi_\alpha^\beta(F_\beta) = F_\alpha$ for each $\beta > \alpha$. Since $\{F_\alpha, \pi_\alpha^\beta|F_\beta\}$ forms an inverse system of compact sets with onto bonding maps, $F_x = \varprojlim F_\alpha \in 2^X$ and $\pi_\alpha(F_x) = F_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \Omega$. If $x \in \varprojlim C(X_\alpha)$ (resp. $x \in \varprojlim X_\alpha(n)$) then $F_x \in C(X)$ (resp. $F_x \in X(n)$). Obviously $\pi_*(F_x) = x$. Thus π_* is onto. Similarly it is proved π_* is one-to-one. The lemma is obtained by the compactness of 2^X , $C(X)$ and $X(n)$.

LEMMA 3. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map from a compact space X into a space Y . Let $A = \{y_j: j = 1, \dots, k\}$ be a finite set of Y . Then $f_*^{-1}(A) = \bigcap_{j=1}^k 2^{f^{-1}(y_j)}$.

The lemma follows from the definition of the map f_* induced by f .

The following lemmas were obtained by Wojdysławski [20, Théorème II and II_m] and Ganea [3, Korollar].

LEMMA 4 (Wojdysławski). If X is a compact connected ANR-space then 2^X and $C(X)$ are compact AR-spaces.

LEMMA 5 (Ganea). If X is a finite dimensional compact ANR, then $X(n)$ is a compact ANR-space.

§ 3. Shape of hyperspaces. For a space X , we mean by $\text{Sh}(X)$ the shape of X defined by Mardešić [11]. By Mardešić [11, Th. 6.8 and § 7] this shape is equal to one defined by Borsuk [2] if spaces are compact metric and one defined by Mardešić and Segal [8] if spaces are compact. For a space X , $\square X$ denotes the decomposition space defined by the decomposition consisting of all components of X . If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a map, then a map $\square f: \square X \rightarrow \square Y$ satisfying $\pi_Y \circ f = \square f \circ \pi_X$ is uniquely defined, where π_X is the decomposition (quotient) map from X onto $\square X$.

THEOREM 1. Let X be compact and let $\pi_X: X \rightarrow \square X$ be the decomposition map. Then each of maps $\pi_{X*}: 2^X \rightarrow 2^{\square X}$ and $\pi_{X*}: C(X) \rightarrow C(\square X) = \square X$ induces a shape equivalence. In particular, $\text{Sh}(2^X) = \text{Sh}(2^{\square X})$ and $\text{Sh}(C(X)) = \text{Sh}(\square X)$.

We need the following lemma.

LEMMA 6. If X is a compact metric ANR, then the map $(\pi_X)_*: 2^X \rightarrow 2^{\square X}$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof. Let $y = \{y^1, y^2, \dots, y^k\} \in 2^{\square X}$. Then by Lemmas 3 and 4, $(\pi_X)_*^{-1}(y) = \prod_{i=1}^k 2^{\pi_X^{-1}(y^i)}$ is a compact metric AR-space. It is easy to see that for any different $y, y' \in 2^{\square X}$, $(\pi_X)_*^{-1}(y)$ and $(\pi_X)_*^{-1}(y')$ are disjoint and $2^{\square X}$ is finite. Thus $(\pi_X)_*$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof of Theorem 1. We shall prove the first part of Theorem 1 (the proof of the second part is similar, only simpler). Let $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, \pi_\alpha^\beta, \Omega\}$ be an ANR-system associated with a compact space X . Then it is easy to prove that

$$\square X = \varprojlim \{\square X_\alpha, \square \pi_\alpha^\beta, \Omega\}.$$

By Lemma 2 we have $2^X = \varprojlim \{2^{X_\alpha}, (\pi_\alpha^\beta)_*, \Omega\}$ and $2^{\square X} = \varprojlim \{2^{\square X_\alpha}, (\square \pi_\alpha^\beta)_*, \Omega\}$. For any $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$, $\alpha \leq \beta$, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 2^{X_\alpha} & \xleftarrow{(\pi_\alpha^\beta)_*} & 2^{X_\beta} \\ (\pi_{X_\alpha})_* \downarrow & & \downarrow (\pi_{X_\beta})_* \\ 2^{\square X_\alpha} & \xleftarrow{(\square \pi_\alpha^\beta)_*} & 2^{\square X_\beta} \end{array}$$

because $\pi_{X_\alpha} \pi_\alpha^\beta = (\square \pi_\alpha^\beta) \pi_{X_\beta}$. Thus the system of maps $\{(\pi_{X_\alpha})_*\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}$ is the map from the system $\{2^{X_\alpha}, (\pi_\alpha^\beta)_*, \Omega\}$ to the system $\{2^{\square X_\alpha}, (\square \pi_\alpha^\beta)_*, \Omega\}$, which is a homotopy equivalence in the sense of Mardešić (because every $(\pi_{X_\alpha})_*$ is a homotopy equivalence). It is easy to see that the map $(\pi_X)_*: 2^X \rightarrow 2^{\square X}$ is the inverse limit of the map (of systems) $\{(\pi_{X_\alpha})_*\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}$. Thus $(\pi_X)_*$ is the shape equivalence.

Next we shall give alternative proof of Theorem 1. We start with the following lemma.

LEMMA 7. Let X be a paracompact space. Suppose that there is a closed map f from X onto a space Y with $\dim Y = 0$ such that for each $y \in Y$ $f^{-1}(y)$ is of trivial shape. Let H be an ANR-space.

(3.1) If $g: X \rightarrow H$, then there is a map $g': Y \rightarrow H$ such that $g'f \simeq g$ and the homotopy class of g' is determined uniquely by the homotopy class of g .

(3.2) Let $g, h: X \rightarrow H$. Then $g \simeq h$ if and only if $\pi_H g = \pi_H h: X \rightarrow \square H$, where $\pi_H: H \rightarrow \square H$ is the decomposition map.

Proof. Let $g: X \rightarrow H$. Take any point $y \in Y$. Since $f^{-1}(y)$ is connected, $g(f^{-1}(y))$ is connected. Let H_y be the component of H containing $g(f^{-1}(y))$. Then H_y is an ANR-space. Since $f^{-1}(y)$ is of trivial shape and X is paracompact, it is easy to show that there is an open neighborhood U_y of $f^{-1}(y)$ in X and a homotopy $h_y: U_y \times I \rightarrow H_y$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad h_y(x, 0) = g(x) \text{ and } h_y(x, 1) = p_y (= a \text{ point of } H_y) \text{ for each } x \in U_y.$$

This is done by using a bridge map theorem (see for example [4, Theorem 5]). Put $V_y = Y - f(X - U_y)$, $y \in Y$. By the closedness of f Y is paracompact and $\{V_y: y \in Y\}$ forms an open cover of Y . Since $\dim Y = 0$, there is a locally finite open cover $\mathcal{W} = \{W_\alpha: \alpha \in \Omega\}$ such that order of $\mathcal{W} = 1$ and \mathcal{W} refines $\{V_y: y \in Y\}$. For each $\alpha \in \Omega$, choose a point y_α of Y such that $W_\alpha \subset V_{y_\alpha}$. Define $g': Y \rightarrow H$ by $g'(y) = p_{y_\alpha}$ for $y \in W_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \Omega$ (cf. (3.3)). Since order of $\mathcal{W} = 1$, g' is continuous. Since $\{f^{-1}(W_\alpha); \alpha \in \Omega\}$ forms a locally finite open cover of X whose order = 1, and $g'f|f^{-1}(W_\alpha) \simeq g|f^{-1}(W_\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in \Omega$ by (3.3) and the definition of g' , we know $g'f \simeq g$. This completes the proof of the first part of (3.1). Next, let us prove (3.2). Since $\square H$ is a discrete space by the local connectedness of H , it follows that $g \simeq h$ implies $\pi_H g = \pi_H h$. Suppose that $\pi_H g = \pi_H h$. Let g' and h' be maps of Y into H constructed for g and h in the proof of (3.1) respectively. Let \mathcal{W}_g and \mathcal{W}_h be locally finite open covers of Y used for the constructions of g' and h' . Take a locally finite open refinement \mathcal{W} of $\mathcal{W}_g \wedge \mathcal{W}_h$ such that order of $\mathcal{W} = 1$. From $\pi_H g = \pi_H h$ and the definition of g' and h' , we know for each $W \in \mathcal{W}$ two points $g'(W)$ and $h'(W)$ belong to the same component of H . Since each component of H is arcwise connected, $g' \simeq h'$ and hence $g \simeq g'f \simeq h'f \simeq h$. This completes the proof of (3.2). The second half of (3.1) is a consequence of (3.2).

By Lemma 7 we obtain the following theorem. In case X and Y are metrizable and X is finite dimensional, it is a consequence of [6, Theorem 1]. Note that we do not assume the finite dimensionality of X in the theorem.

THEOREM 2. Assume that X, Y and f satisfy the same hypothesis as in Lemma 7. Then the shaping $\tilde{f}: X \rightarrow Y$ induced by f (cf. [11]) is a shape equivalence. In particular $\text{Sh}(X) = \text{Sh}(Y)$.

Proof. We have to construct a shaping $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $\varphi\tilde{f} = \tilde{1}_X$ and $\tilde{f}\varphi = \tilde{1}_Y$, where $\tilde{1}_X$ and $\tilde{1}_Y$ are the shapings induced by the identities $1_X: X \rightarrow X$ and $1_Y: Y \rightarrow Y$. For a map g of X into an ANR-space K , define $\varphi(g) = g'$, where g' is a map of Y into K constructed for g in Lemma 7 (3.1). To show φ is a shaping, let L be an

ANR-space and let $\xi: K \rightarrow L$ and $h: X \rightarrow L$ be maps such that $\xi g \simeq h$. Since $\pi_L \xi \varphi(g) = \pi_L \xi g = \pi_L h = \pi_L \varphi(h)f$, we have $\xi \varphi(g)f \simeq \varphi(h)f$ by (3.2). Hence, by the uniqueness of g' in (3.1), we know $\xi \varphi(g) \simeq \varphi(h)$. This implies that φ is a shaping. It is easy to prove by (3.1) and the definition of \tilde{f} that $\varphi\tilde{f} = \tilde{1}_X$ and $\tilde{f}\varphi = \tilde{1}_Y$. This completes the proof.

EXAMPLE 1. Consider the following sets in the plane R^2 : $A_0 = \{(0, 0)\}$,

$$A_i = \{(x, y): x \geq 0, (x-1)^2 + y^2 = (1+1/i)^2\},$$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, X = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i; Y = \{(0, 0)\} \cup \{(0, 1/i); i = 1, 2, \dots\}$. We define $f: X \rightarrow Y$ by $f(A_0) = (0, 0)$ and $f(A_i) = (0, 1/i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$ Next, let

$$A'_0 = \{(x, y): x \neq 2, (x-1)^2 + y^2 = 1\}$$

and put $X' = A'_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$. Define $g: X' \rightarrow Y$ by $g(A_i) = (0, 1/i)$, $i > 0$ and $g(A'_0) = (0, 0)$. Then f and g are continuous and open maps and for each $y \in Y$ $f^{-1}(y)$ and $g^{-1}(y)$ are a point or an open interval or a closed interval. However, since $\check{H}^1(X)$ and $\check{H}^1(X')$ are both infinite groups and $\check{H}^1(Y) = 0$, each of \tilde{f} and \tilde{g} is not a shape equivalence, where \check{H}^* is the integral Čech cohomology. We know that X' is locally compact. These examples are shown that we can not replace the closedness of a map f in Theorem 2 by the condition (i) or (ii):

- (i) f is open and for each $y \in Y$ $f^{-1}(y)$ is compact;
- (ii) X is locally compact and f is open.

(Note that if two conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied then f becomes a closed map.)

Alternative proof of Theorem 1. Since X is compact, $\square X$ is a compact space and $\dim \square X = 0$ by Ponomarev [16]. Hence $2^{\square X}$ is compact and $\dim 2^{\square X} = 0$. Therefore by Theorem 2, it is enough to prove that for each point y of $2^{\square X}$ or $C(\square X) = \square X, (\pi_X)_*^{-1}(y)$ is of trivial shape. However it is easily proved by Lemmas 2 and 6.

There are several corollaries of Theorem 1. The first concerns an absolute shape retract (ASR) and an absolute neighborhood shape retract (ANSR) (see [10] for the definitions.)

COROLLARY 1. Let X be compact. Then:

(3.4) 2^X and $C(X)$ are ASR (equivalently of trivial shape [10, Theorem 4]) if and only if X is connected.

(3.5) 2^X and $C(X)$ are ANSR if and only if X has a finite number of components.

Proof. The if part is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 because $\square X$ is a singleton or a finite set. Next, let us prove the only if part of (3.5). Then by Mardesić [10, Corollary 2] there exists an ANR (compact) Y such that $\text{Sh}(2^X) \leq \text{Sh}(Y)$ or

$\text{Sh}(C(X)) \leq \text{Sh}(Y)$. Since for compact spaces A and B $\text{Sh}(A) \leq \text{Sh}(B)$ implies $\text{Sh}(\square A) \leq \text{Sh}(\square B)$, we have $\text{Sh}(\square 2^X) \leq \text{Sh}(\square Y)$ or $\text{Sh}(\square C(X)) \leq \text{Sh}(\square Y)$. Also it is easy to know that $\text{Sh}(\square 2^X) = \text{Sh}(2^{\square X})$ and $\text{Sh}(\square C(X)) = \text{Sh}(C(\square X))$. By Theorem 1 we have $\text{Sh}(2^{\square X}) \leq \text{Sh}(\square Y)$ or $\text{Sh}(\square X) \leq \text{Sh}(\square Y)$. Since Y is an ANR (compact), $\square Y$ is a finite set. Since $\dim 2^{\square X} = \dim \square X = 0$, by Mardešić and Segal [8, Theorem 20] we can conclude $\square X$ is a finite set. The proof of (3.4) is similar.

COROLLARY 2. For every compact space X , 2^X and $C(X)$ are movable.

Proof. By Theorem 1 we know that each of 2^X and $C(X)$ has the same shape type as a 0-dimensional compact space. The corollary follows from Mardešić and Segal [7, Example 2].

COROLLARY 3. Let X and Y be compact spaces. If $\text{Sh}(X) \geq \text{Sh}(Y)$ (resp. $\text{Sh}(X) = \text{Sh}(Y)$), then $\text{Sh}(2^X) \geq \text{Sh}(2^Y)$ and $\text{Sh}(C(X)) \geq \text{Sh}(C(Y))$ (resp. $\text{Sh}(2^X) = \text{Sh}(2^Y)$ and $\text{Sh}(C(X)) = \text{Sh}(C(Y))$).

Proof. By the proof of Lemma 6 and Mardešić and Segal [8, Theorem 20], we know that any shaping $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ determines uniquely a continuous map $f_\varphi: \square X \rightarrow \square Y$ such that $f_\varphi \tilde{\pi}_X = \tilde{\pi}_Y \varphi$, where \tilde{g} denotes the shaping determined by a map g . If $\psi: Y \rightarrow X$ is a shaping such that $\psi \varphi = 1_X$, where 1_X is the identity of X , then the map $f_{\psi \varphi} = f_\psi f_\varphi: \square X \rightarrow \square X$ is the identity. Thus $f_{\psi \varphi} = f_\psi \circ f_\varphi: 2^{\square X} \rightarrow 2^{\square X}$ is the identity so that $\text{Sh}(2^{\square X}) \leq \text{Sh}(2^{\square Y})$. The corollary follows from Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 4. If $|\square X| = |\square Y| = \aleph_0$, then $\text{Sh}(2^X) = \text{Sh}(2^Y)$, where $|Z|$ denotes the cardinality of Z .

Proof. Since $\square X$ and $\square Y$ are compact, it follows from Arhangel'skiĭ [1] that $\square X$ and $\square Y$ are metrizable. Since both $\square X$ and $\square Y$ have dense sets of isolated points, $2^{\square X}$ and $2^{\square Y}$ are homeomorphic by Pelczyński [15]. Thus $\text{Sh}(2^X) = \text{Sh}(2^{\square X}) = \text{Sh}(2^{\square Y}) = \text{Sh}(2^Y)$.

Denote by \mathcal{M} the class of all compact spaces X such that $\square X$ is metrizable. We note that the hypothesis of Corollary 4 can be replaced by the following: $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ and both $\square X$ and $\square Y$ have countable infinite dense sets of isolated points (cf. Pelczyński [15]).

COROLLARY 5. If $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\text{Sh}(2^X) \geq \text{Sh}(2^Y)$ or $\text{Sh}(2^X) \leq \text{Sh}(2^Y)$ and also $\text{Sh}(C(X)) \geq \text{Sh}(C(Y))$ or $\text{Sh}(C(X)) \leq \text{Sh}(C(Y))$. Moreover, if both $\square X$ and $\square Y$ are infinite, then $\text{Sh}(2^X) = \text{Sh}(2^Y)$, that is, $\text{Sh}(2^X) \geq \text{Sh}(2^Y)$ and $\text{Sh}(2^X) \leq \text{Sh}(2^Y)$.

Proof. Since $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$, $\square X$ and $\square Y$ are 0-dimensional compact metric spaces. If $|\square X| \geq \aleph_1$, then $\square X$ contains a Cantor discontinuum. Hence $\square Y$ is embedded into $\square X$ so that $\square Y$ is a retract of $\square X$ (see for example [5, Theorem 4]) and $2^{\square Y}$ is a retract of $2^{\square X}$. Thus $\text{Sh}(\square X) \geq \text{Sh}(\square Y)$ and $\text{Sh}(2^{\square X}) \geq \text{Sh}(2^{\square Y})$. Therefore $\text{Sh}(C(X)) \geq \text{Sh}(C(Y))$ and $\text{Sh}(2^X) \geq \text{Sh}(2^Y)$ by Theorem 1. If $|\square X| \leq \aleph_0$ and $|\square Y| \leq \aleph_0$, then $\square X$ and $\square Y$ are homeomorphic to ordered compacta by Mazurkiewicz and Sierpiński [12, Théorème, p. 21] and hence it holds that there is an embedding: $\square X \rightarrow \square Y$ or $\square Y \rightarrow \square X$. This completes the proof of the first part of

the corollary. Next, let $\square X$ and $\square Y$ be infinite sets. By Pelczyński [15] both 2^X and 2^Y contain Cantor discontinua. Hence there are embeddings: $2^{\square X} \rightarrow 2^{\square Y}$ and $2^{\square Y} \rightarrow 2^{\square X}$ so that both the relations $\text{Sh}(2^{\square X}) \geq \text{Sh}(2^{\square Y})$ and $\text{Sh}(2^{\square X}) \leq \text{Sh}(2^{\square Y})$ hold. The corollary is a consequence of Theorem 1.

EXAMPLE 2. Let X be a Cantor discontinuum and let Y be a countably infinite compact set. Then $\text{Sh}(2^X) = \text{Sh}(2^Y)$ by Corollary 5. However $\text{Sh}(2^X) \neq \text{Sh}(2^Y)$ because 2^X has no isolated points by Michael [13, 4.13.4] and on the other hand 2^Y has isolated points (every isolated point of Y is isolated in 2^Y). This example shows that we can not replace the relation $\text{Sh}(2^X) = \text{Sh}(2^Y)$ in Corollary 5 by $\text{Sh}(2^X) = \text{Sh}(2^Y)$.

The following example shows that the hypothesis $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ in Corollary 5 is essential.

EXAMPLE 3. Let X' be a discrete space whose cardinality $|X'| = \aleph_1$ and let X be a one point compactification of X' . Next, let D be a set consisting of exactly two points and let $Y = \prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} D_\alpha$, where $|\Omega| = \aleph_1$ and each D_α is a copy of D . Then both X and Y are 0-dimensional compact spaces with an infinite number of points and hence $C(X) = X$ and $C(Y) = Y$. Since Y has no isolated points, there is no embedding of Y into X so that $\text{Sh}(X) \not\leq \text{Sh}(Y)$. Suppose that $\text{Sh}(X) \leq \text{Sh}(Y)$. Then there is an embedding $i: X \rightarrow Y$ and a retraction $r: Y \rightarrow i(X)$ by Mardešić and Segal [8, Theorem 20]. Since Y has Souslin property (= the countable chain condition) by Šanin [18], $i(X)$ must have Souslin property. This contradiction means $\text{Sh}(X) \not\leq \text{Sh}(Y)$. Finally, suppose $\text{Sh}(2^X) \leq \text{Sh}(2^Y)$. Then there is an embedding $i: 2^X \rightarrow 2^Y$ and a retraction $r: 2^Y \rightarrow i(2^X)$. Note that, by the definition of the finite topology, if Z is separable then 2^Z is separable. Since Y is separable by Ross and Stone [17], 2^Y is separable so that 2^X must be separable. However it is easy to see that each point of X' is isolated in 2^X and hence 2^X is not separable. This contradiction shows that $\text{Sh}(2^X) \neq \text{Sh}(2^Y)$.

THEOREM 3. Let n be a positive integer. If X and Y are compact, then $\text{Sh}(X) \leq \text{Sh}(Y)$ (resp. $\text{Sh}(X) = \text{Sh}(Y)$) implies $\text{Sh}(X(n)) \leq \text{Sh}(Y(n))$ (resp. $\text{Sh}(X(n)) = \text{Sh}(Y(n))$).

Proof. Let $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, \pi_\alpha^\beta, \Omega\}$ and $\underline{Y} = \{Y_\gamma, \mu_\gamma^\delta, \Gamma\}$ be ANR-systems consisting of finite dimensional compact ANR's X_α and Y_γ , associated with X and Y respectively. Suppose that $\text{Sh}(X) \leq \text{Sh}(Y)$. There are maps $f: \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$ and $g: \underline{Y} \rightarrow \underline{X}$ such that $gf \simeq 1_{\underline{X}}$. (See Mardešić and Segal [8] for notations.) Let $\underline{f} = \{f_\gamma, \Gamma\}$ and $\underline{g} = \{g_\alpha, \Omega\}$. For each $\alpha \in \Omega$ there is an index $\alpha' \in \Omega$ such that $\alpha' > fg(\alpha)$, α and

$$(3.6) \quad g_\alpha f_{g(\alpha)} \pi_{fg(\alpha)}^{\alpha'} \simeq \pi_\alpha^{\alpha'}: X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha.$$

Consider the systems $\underline{X}(n) = \{X_\alpha(n), \pi_\alpha^\beta, \Omega\}$ and $\underline{Y}(n) = \{Y_\gamma(n), \mu_\gamma^\delta, \Gamma\}$, where $\pi_\alpha^\beta = \pi_{\alpha\beta}^\beta | X_\beta(n)$ and $\mu_\gamma^\delta = \mu_{\gamma\delta}^\delta | Y_\delta(n)$. By Lemmas 5 and 2, $\underline{X}(n)$ and $\underline{Y}(n)$ are ANR-systems associated with $X(n)$ and $Y(n)$ respectively. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, define

$f_{\gamma}(n): X_{f(\alpha)}(n) \rightarrow Y_{\gamma}(n)$ by $f_{\gamma}(n) = f_{\gamma*}|X_{f(\gamma)}(n)$ and similarly define $g_{\alpha}(n): Y_{g(\alpha)}(n) \rightarrow X_{\alpha}(n)$, $\alpha \in \Omega$, by $g_{\alpha}(n) = g_{\alpha*}|Y_{g(\alpha)}(n)$. By (3.6) and Lemma 1 it holds that

$$(3.7) \quad g_{\alpha}(n) f_{g(\alpha)}(n) \tilde{\pi}_{f_{g(\alpha)}}^{\alpha'} \simeq \tilde{\pi}_{g_{\alpha}}^{\alpha'}: X_{\alpha'}(n) \rightarrow X_{\alpha}(n).$$

Also Lemma 1 shows that $f(n) = \{f_{\gamma}(n), \Gamma\}$ and $g(n) = \{g_{\alpha}(n), \Omega\}$ are maps of $\underline{X}(n)$ into $\underline{Y}(n)$ and of $\underline{Y}(n)$ into $\underline{X}(n)$ respectively. Since (3.7) implies $g(n) f(n) \simeq \underline{1}_{\underline{X}}$, $\text{Sh}(X(n)) \leq \text{Sh}(Y(n))$. That $\text{Sh}(X) = \text{Sh}(Y)$ implies $\text{Sh}(X(n)) = \text{Sh}(Y(n))$ is proved similarly.

COROLLARY 6. *For a positive integer n and a compact space X , the followings hold.*

- (i) *If X is an ASR, then $X(n)$ is an ASR.*
- (ii) *If X is an ANSR, then $X(n)$ is an ANSR.*
- (iii) *If X is movable [7], then $X(n)$ is movable.*
- (iv) *If X is uniform movable [14], then $X(n)$ is uniform movable.*

Proof. Suppose that X is an ANSR. By Mardešić [10, Theorem 6] there is a finite dimensional compact ANR-space Y such that $\text{Sh}(X) \leq \text{Sh}(Y)$. From Theorem 3 it follows that $\text{Sh}(X(n)) \leq \text{Sh}(Y(n))$. Since by Lemma 5 $Y(n)$ is a compact ANR-space, by applying Mardešić [10, Theorem 6] again we know $X(n)$ is an ANSR. The proof of (i) is similar. The assertions (iii) and (iv) are proved by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.

References

- [1] A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ, *An addition theorem for weight of sets lying in bicompecta*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 126 (1959), pp. 239–241 (Russian).
- [2] K. Borsuk, *Theory of shape*, Lecture Note Series 28, Math. Inst. Aarhus Univ. 1971.
- [3] T. Ganea, *Symmetrische Potenz topologischer Räume*, Math. Nachr. 11 (1954), pp. 305–316.
- [4] Y. Kodama, *Mappings of a fully normal space into an absolute neighborhood retract*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Koiku Daigaku 5 (1955), pp. 37–47.
- [5] — *On LC^c metric spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad. 33 (1957), pp. 79–83.
- [6] — *Decomposition spaces and shape of Fox*, Fund. Math. 97 (1977), pp. 199–208.
- [7] S. Mardešić and J. Segal, *Movable compacta and ANR-systems*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 18 (1970), pp. 649–654.
- [8] — — *Shapes of compacta and ANR-systems*, Fund. Math. 72 (1971), pp. 41–59.
- [9] — — *Equivalence of Borsuk and the ANR-system approach to shapes*, Fund. Math. 72 (1971), pp. 61–68.
- [10] — *Retracts in shape theory*, Glasnik Math. 6 (1971), pp. 153–163.
- [11] — *Shapes for topological spaces*, Gen. Topology and Appl. 3 (1973), pp. 265–282.
- [12] S. Mazurkiewicz and W. Sierpiński, *Contribution à la topologie des ensembles dénombrables*, Fund. Math. 1 (1920), pp. 17–27.
- [13] E. Michael, *Topologies on spaces of subsets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1951), pp. 152–181.
- [14] M. Moszyńska, *Uniformly movable compact spaces and their algebraic properties*, Fund. Math. 77 (1972), pp. 125–144.
- [15] A. Pełczyński, *A remark on spaces 2^X for zero-dimensional X* , Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 13 (1965), pp. 85–89.

- [16] V. I. Ponomarev, *On continuous decompositions of bicompecta*, Uspehi Math. Nauk (N. S.) 12 (1957), 4 (76), pp. 335–340; Amer. Math. Soc. Translation, Ser. 2, 30 (1963), pp. 235–240.
- [17] K. A. Ross and A. H. Stone, *Products of separable spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly 71 (1964), pp. 398–403.
- [18] N. H. Šanin, *On intersection of open subsets in the product of topological spaces*, C. R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS (N. S.) 53 (1946), pp. 499–501 (Russian).
- [19] J. Segal, *Hyperspaces of the inverse limit spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1959), pp. 706–709.
- [20] M. Wojdysławski, *Rétractes absolus et hyperspaces des continus*, Fund. Math. 32 (1939), pp. 184–192.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA
Ibaragi

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW
Warszawa

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION
Tokyo

Accepté par la Rédaction le 9. 2. 1976