

Table des matières du tome C, fascicule 2

	Pages
C. R. Borges, Direct sums of stratifiable spaces	97-99
K. P. Połowicki and M. Ziegler, Stable graphs	101-107
Р. Г. Гуревич, Общая точка зрения на λ -дендрониды и теоремы о неподвижных точках	109-118
H. Bell, A fixed point theorem for plane homeomorphisms	119-128
R. Pol, Note on decompositions of metrizable spaces II	129-143
A. Kanamori, Some combinatorics involving ultrafilters	145-155
P. Simon, An example of maximal connected Hausdorff space	157-163
Z. Balogh, Relative compactness and recent common generalizations of metric and locally compact spaces	165-177

Les FUNDAMENTA MATHEMATICAE publient, en langues des congrès internationaux, des travaux consacrés à la *Théorie des Ensembles, Topologie, Fondements de Mathématiques, Fonctions Réelles, Théorie Descriptive des Ensembles, Algèbre Abstraite*

Chaque volume paraît en 3 fascicules

Adresse de la Rédaction:

FUNDAMENTA MATHEMATICAE, Śniadeckich 8, 00-950 Warszawa (Pologne)

Adresse de l'Échange:

INSTITUT MATHÉMATIQUE, ACADÉMIE POLONAISE DES SCIENCES
Śniadeckich 8, 00-950 Warszawa (Pologne)

Tous les volumes sont à obtenir par l'intermédiaire de

ARS POLONA, Krakowskie Przedmieście 7, 00-068 Warszawa (Pologne)

Correspondence concerning editorial work and manuscripts should be addressed to:
FUNDAMENTA MATHEMATICAE, Śniadeckich 8, 00-950 Warszawa (Poland)

Correspondence concerning exchange should be addressed to:

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, Exchange
Śniadeckich 8, 00-950 Warszawa (Poland)

The Fundamenta Mathematicae are available at your bookseller or at
ARS POLONA, Krakowskie Przedmieście 7, 00-068 Warszawa (Poland)

© Copyright by Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warszawa, 1978

DRUKARNIA UNIwersYTETU Jagiellońskiego w Krakowie

Direct sums of stratifiable spaces

by

Carlos R. Borgès (Davis, Cal.)

Abstract. We prove that any direct sum of stratifiable spaces, with the box topology, is stratifiable. This answers a question of E. K. van Douwen.

Our main task is to prove that a direct sum of stratifiable spaces, with the box topology, is stratifiable. This answers a recent question of E. K. van Douwen [2].

1. Introduction. If $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is a family of spaces, we let $X = \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$. For each cardinal m , we let $P(m)$ be the topology on X for which the set $\{\prod_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha \mid U_\alpha \text{ is open in } X_\alpha \text{ and } \text{card}(\{\alpha \mid U_\alpha \neq X_\alpha\}) < m\}$ is a base. We let $X(m)$ denote X with the topology $P(m)$. In case $m > \text{card } A$, we denote $P(m)$ and $X(m)$ by $P(\infty)$ and $X(\infty)$, respectively. Clearly $P(\aleph_0)$ is the Tychonoff product topology and $P(\infty)$ is the box product topology.

For each $p \in X$, let $\Sigma_p = \{x \in X \mid x_\alpha \neq p_\alpha \text{ for at most finitely many } \alpha\}$. Let $\Sigma_p(m)$ denote Σ_p as a subspace of $X(m)$.

Recently M. E. Rudin [4] proved that, assuming the *continuum hypothesis*, the box product of countably many σ -compact metrizable spaces is paracompact. Subsequently, E. K. van Douwen [2] proved that the box product of countably many copies of the *irrationals* is not a normal space, while $\Sigma_p(\infty)$ is stratifiable for any family of *metrizable* spaces.

2. The main result. Before answering E. K. van Douwen's question, we need a new characterization of stratifiable spaces, which generalizes the concept of Nagata spaces of Ceder [1].

LEMMA 2.1. *A T_1 -space X is a stratifiable space if and only if to each $x \in X$ one can assign neighborhood bases $\{S_{\alpha n x}\}, \{U_{\alpha n x}\}$ where α runs over a set D_x which satisfy*

- (i) $S_{\alpha n x} \cap S_{\beta n y} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow x \in U_{\beta n y}$ and $y \in U_{\alpha n x}$,
- (ii) $U_{\alpha n x} \supset U_{\alpha(n+1)x}$, $S_{\alpha n x} \supset S_{\alpha(n+1)x}$, for each n and $x \in X$.

Proof. The "only if" part: For each $x \in X$, let $\mathcal{N}_x = \{N_{\alpha x}\}_{\alpha \in D_x}$ be a neighborhood base for x . Also, let $U \rightarrow \{U_n\}$ be an increasing stratification for X . Then, let

$$U_{\alpha n x} = \bigcap \{V \mid V \text{ is open and } N_{\alpha x} \subset V_n\},$$

$S'_{\alpha n x} = \bigcap \{V_n \mid N_{\alpha x} \subset V_n\} - \bigcup \{U_n \mid x \notin U, U \text{ is open}\}$, $S_{\alpha n x} = \bigcap_{k=1}^n S'_{\alpha k x}$, for each n . It is clear that each $S_{\alpha n x} \subset S'_{\alpha n x} \subset U_{\alpha n x}$, $S_{\alpha n x} \supset S_{\alpha(n+1)x}$ and $U_{\alpha n x} \supset U_{\alpha(n+1)x}$ for each $\alpha \in D_x$ and n . (It is not necessarily true that $S'_{\alpha n x} \supset S'_{\alpha(n+1)x}$.)

It is also clear that $x \in (S'_{\alpha n x})^0$, and therefore $x \in S_{\alpha n x}$, for each $\alpha \in D_x$ and n , because the U_n 's are cushioned in the U 's. This makes it easy to show that $\{S_{\alpha n x}\}$ and $\{U_{\alpha n x}\}$ are neighborhood bases of each $x \in X$: Let $x \in W$, with W open. Now pick $N_{\alpha x} \subset \text{some } W_n$. Then $x \in U_{\alpha n x} \subset W$, which does the trick.

Next we show that the neighborhood bases $\{S_{\alpha n x}\}$, $\{U_{\alpha n x}\}$ satisfy condition (i): Say $y \notin U_{\alpha n x}$. Then there exists open V such that $N_{\alpha x} \subset V_n$ but $y \notin V$. Then $S'_{\alpha n x} \subset V_n \subset \bigcup \{U_n \mid y \notin U, U \text{ is open}\}$ and therefore $S_{\alpha n x} \cap S_{\beta n y} = \emptyset$, for all $\beta \in D_y$, (since $S_{\alpha n x} \cap S_{\beta n y} = \emptyset$). The "only if" part is thus proved.

The "if" part: For each open $U \subset X$ and n , let $U_n = \bigcup \{S_{\alpha n x} \mid x \in U \text{ and } U_{\alpha n x} \subset U\}$. It is easy to see that $U \rightarrow \{U_n\}$ is a stratification of X , which completes the proof.

We will also need another characterization of stratifiable spaces, which is due to R. Heath [3]:

LEMMA 2.2. *A T_1 -space X is stratifiable if and only if there is a function $g: X \times N \rightarrow \{\text{open subsets of } X\}$ such that (a) $x \in g(x, n)$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and (b) given any closed subset M of X and any point $q \in X - M$, there exists $n \in N$ such that $q \notin (\bigcup \{g(x, n) \mid x \in M\})^-$.*

THEOREM 2.2. *If $\{X_v\}_{v \in A}$ is a family of stratifiable spaces, then $\Sigma_p(\infty)$ is a stratifiable space, for each $p \in \prod_{v \in A} X_v$.*

Proof. For each $x \in \Sigma_p$, let $A(x) = \{v \in A \mid p_v \neq x_v\}$ and pick positive integer $n(x)$ such that $p_v \notin \text{some } U_{\beta v n(x)x_v}$, for each $v \in A(x)$. Then, for each positive integer n , let $g(x, n) = \Sigma_p \cap \prod_{v \in A} S_{\beta v(n(x)+n)x_v}$ with $p_v \notin U_{\beta v(n(x)+n)x_v}$ for $v \in A(x)$ and $S_{\beta v(n(x)+n)x_v}$ being arbitrarily chosen for each $v \notin A(x)$.

Clearly $\{g(x, n)\}$ is a sequence of neighborhoods of x , for each $x \in X$. We will now show that, given $x = (x_v) \notin \prod_{v \in A} U_{\mu_v n_v q_v}$, with $q = (q_v)$ and $n \geq \max\{n_v \mid v \in A(q)\}$, then $\prod_{v \in A} S_{\mu_v n_v q_v} \cap g(x, n) = \emptyset$ (note that this is equivalent to showing that the second condition of Lemma 2.2 is satisfied): Clearly some $x_\gamma \notin U_{\mu_\gamma n_\gamma q_\gamma}$. We consider two cases.

Case 1. $\gamma \in A(q)$. If $z \in \prod_{\alpha \in A} S_{\mu_\alpha n_\alpha q_\alpha} \cap g(x, n)$ then $S_{\mu_\gamma n_\gamma q_\gamma} \cap S_{\beta_\gamma n_\gamma x_\gamma} \neq \emptyset$ which implies that $S_{\mu_\gamma n_\gamma q_\gamma} \cap S_{\beta_\gamma n_\gamma x_\gamma} \neq \emptyset$, because $n \geq n_\gamma$, which implies that $x_\gamma \in U_{\mu_\gamma n_\gamma q_\gamma}$, a contradiction.

Case 2. $\gamma \notin A(q)$. Then $\gamma \in A(x)$, since $q_\gamma = p_\gamma$ and $x_\gamma \neq q_\gamma$. Therefore $z \in \prod_{\alpha \in A} S_{\mu_\alpha n_\alpha q_\alpha} \cap g(x, n)$ implies that $S_{\mu_\gamma n_\gamma p_\gamma} \cap S_{\beta_\gamma n_\gamma x_\gamma} \neq \emptyset$ which implies that $x_\gamma \in U_{\mu_\gamma n_\gamma p_\gamma}$ or $p_\gamma \in U_{\beta_\gamma n_\gamma x_\gamma}$ (this follows easily from Lemma 2.1(a), since either $n_\gamma \geq n$ or $n \geq n_\gamma$). But $p_\gamma \notin U_{\beta_\gamma n_\gamma x_\gamma}$ by the definition of $g(x, n)$. Therefore $x_\gamma \in U_{\mu_\gamma n_\gamma p_\gamma}$, a contradiction. Because of Lemma 2.2, the proof is complete.

3. **Concluding remarks.** It is quite easily seen that $\Sigma_p(\aleph_0)$ is stratifiable if and only if Σ_p is a direct sum of countably many stratifiable spaces (clearly, if $X = \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$ is a product of uncountably many spaces then each point of $\Sigma_p(\aleph_0)$ is not a G_δ -subset of $\Sigma_p(\aleph_0)$). Indeed, the preceding argument shows that $\Sigma_p(m)$ is not stratifiable whenever Σ_p is a direct sum of a collection \mathcal{C} of spaces with $\text{card } \mathcal{C} > m \geq \aleph_0$, or $\text{card } \mathcal{C} \geq m > \aleph_0$.

References

[1] J. G. Ceder, *Some generalizations of metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 11 (1961), pp. 105-126.
 [2] E. K. van Douwen, *The box product of countably many metrizable spaces need not be normal*, Fund. Math., to appear.
 [3] R. W. Heath, *An easier proof that a certain countable space is not stratifiable*, Proc. Washington State University Gen. Topology Conf. 1970.
 [4] M. E. Rudin, *The box product of countably many compact metric spaces*, J. Gen. Topology and Appl. 2 (1972), pp. 293-298.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,
 Davis, California

Accepté par la Rédaction le 31. 1. 1976