104 K. KRZYŻEWSKI

COROLLARY. Let f be  $D_*$ -integrable on [a, b]. In order that for every function  $\varphi$  which is  $ACG_*$  on an interval [c, d] and such that  $\varphi[[c, d]] \subset [a, b]$ , the function  $f(\varphi)\varphi'$  be  $D_*$ -integrable on [c, d] and (1) hold, it is necessary and sufficient that an indefinite  $D_*$ -integral of f on [a, b] be the function  $LG_*$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Karták, Věta o substituci pro Denjoyovy integrály, Časopis pro pěstování matematiky 81 (1956), p. 410-419.
  - [2] S. Saks, Theory of the integral, Warszawa-Lwów 1937.
- [3] Sur certaines classes de fonctions continues, Fundamenta Mathematicae 17 (1931), p. 124-151.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 22, 9, 1960



# COLLOQUIUM MATHEMATICUM

VOL. IX 1962 FASC. 1

### ON A RECURRENCE RELATION

BY

M. KUCZMA (CRACOW)

In the present paper we shall consider the recurrence relation

$$(1) x_{n+1} + x_n = b_n,$$

in which the sequence  $b_n$  is given and  $x_n$  is to be determined. Of course, the sequence  $x_n$  can be found in infinitely many ways. We may choose arbitrarily the term  $x_0$  and then the whole sequence  $x_n$  will be uniquely determined by relation (1). However, we shall prove that under suitable assumptions there exists only one sequence  $x_n$  fulfilling relation (1) and an additional condition. In what follows all occurring sequences are supposed to be real.

For an arbitrary sequence  $a_n$  we denote (as usual) by  $\Delta a_n$  the difference

$$\Delta a_n \stackrel{\mathrm{df}}{=} a_{n+1} - a_n.$$

Further, we define the successive iterates of the operator  $\varDelta$  by the relations

$$\Delta^0 a_n \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} a_n, \quad \Delta^{\nu+1} a_n \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \Delta \Delta^{\nu} a_n, \quad \nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Of course, the operator  $\Delta^1$  coincides with the operator  $\Delta$ .

The purpose of the present note is to prove the following

Theorem. If (for a certain  $r \geqslant 1$ ) the terms  $\Delta^{r+1}$   $b_n$  have a constant sign, and for a certain positive integer  $p \leqslant r$ 

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \varDelta^p b_n = 0,$$

then there exists exactly one sequence  $x_n$  such that the terms  $\Delta^r x_n$  have a constant sign, and relation (1) holds. This sequence is given by the formula

(3) 
$$x_n = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^r}{2^{r+1}} \Delta^r b_n + \frac{(-1)^p}{2^p} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \Delta^p b_{n+r}.$$

A · RECURRENCE RELATION

107

The proof of the above theorem will be based on some lemmas. Lemma I. For an arbitrary sequence  $a_n$  we have

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{p} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{2^{r+1}} \{ \varDelta^{r} a_{n+1} + \varDelta^{r} a_{n} \} = a_{n} - \frac{(-1)^{p+1}}{2^{p+1}} \varDelta^{p+1} a_{n}, \ p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. The proof will be by induction. For p=0 formula (4) is evident. Assuming its validity for  $p-1\geqslant 0$  we have for p

$$\sum_{r=0}^{p} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{2^{r+1}} \{ \varDelta^{r} a_{n+1} + \varDelta^{r} a_{n} \} = a_{n} - \frac{(-1)^{p}}{2^{p}} \varDelta^{p} a_{n} + \frac{(-1)^{p}}{2^{p+1}} \{ \varDelta^{p}_{a_{n+1}} + \varDelta^{p}_{a_{n}} \}$$

$$=a_n-\frac{(-1)^{p+1}}{2^{p+1}}\left\{\Delta^p a_{n+1}-\Delta^p a_n\right\}=a_n-\frac{(-1)^{p+1}}{2^{p+1}}\Delta^{p+1}a_n,$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

The two following lemmas guarantee the uniqueness of the sequence fulfilling relation (1) and some additional conditions.

LEMMA II. If a sequence  $x_n$  satisfies relation (1) and (for a fixed integer  $p \ge 1$ ) fulfills the condition

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\Delta^p x_n=0,$$

then it must have form (3).

Proof. Applying the operation  $\Delta^p$  to both sides of relation (1) we obtain

$$\Delta^p x_{n+1} + \Delta^p x_n = \Delta^p b_n.$$

Putting  $y_n \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \Delta^p x_n$  and  $c_n \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \Delta^p b_n$ , we have evidently  $\Delta^p x_{n+1} = y_{n+1}$ , and thus the above relation may be written as

$$y_{n+1} + y_n = c_n.$$

According to (5)  $\lim y_n = 0$  whence, on account of the relation

$$y_n = \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^r c_{n+r} + (-1)^{k+1} y_{n+k+1}$$

(easily obtainable by induction) we have

$$y_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{\nu} c_{n+\nu},$$

i. e.

(6) 
$$\Delta^{p} x_{n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r} \Delta^{p} b_{n+r}.$$

Further, on account of (1) and of the definition of the operators  $\varDelta^i$  we have for  $0 \leqslant i < p$ 

$$\Delta^{i} x_{n+1} + \Delta^{i} x_{n} = \Delta^{i} b_{n}, \quad \Delta^{i} x_{n+1} - \Delta^{i} x_{n} = \Delta^{i+1} x_{n},$$

whence

(7) 
$$\Delta^{i} x_{n} = \frac{1}{2} \{ \Delta^{i} b_{n} - \Delta^{i+1} x_{n} \}.$$

Using successively formula (7) for i = 0, 1, ..., p-1, and taking into account relation (6), we obtain formula (3), which was to be proved.

LEMMA III. If for a fixed integer  $p \ge 1$  relation (2) holds, then a sequence  $x_n$  satisfying relation (1) and such that the terms  $\Delta^p x_n$  have a constant sign, must have form (3).

Proof. Replacing n by n+k in relation (1) and then applying to both sides of (1) the operation  $\Delta^p$  we obtain

$$\Delta^p x_{n+k+1} + \Delta^p x_{n+k} = \Delta^p b_{n+k},$$

whence we have by (2)

(8) 
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \{ \Delta^p x_{n+k+1} + \Delta^p x_{n+k} \} = 0.$$

Since the terms  $\Delta^p x_{n+k}$  have a constant sign, it follows from (8) that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\Delta^p x_n=0,$$

whence on account of lemma  $\Pi$  we obtain formula (3). This completes the proof.

Now we proceed to prove the theorem formulated in the beginning of this paper.

Proof of the theorem. Since  $\Delta^{r+1}b_n$  have a constant sign and  $r \geqslant p \geqslant 1$ , the terms  $\Delta^{p+1}b_n$  have a constant sign for n sufficiently large, and consequently, the sequence  $\Delta^p b_n$  is monotonic for n sufficiently large. Thus the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{\nu} \Delta^{p} b_{n+\nu}$$

converges, since it is an alternating series.

Consequently formula (3) actually defines a sequence  $x_n$ . We have

----

whence

$$\begin{split} \varDelta & a_n = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^r}{2^{r+1}} \varDelta^{r+1} b_n + \frac{(-1)^p}{2^p} \Big\{ \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left[ (-1)^{r-1} - (-1)^r \right] \varDelta^n b_{n+r} \Big\} - \frac{(-1)^p}{2^p} \varDelta^p b_n \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^r}{2^{r+1}} \varDelta^{r+1} b_n + \frac{(-1)^{p-1}}{2^{p-1}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \varDelta^p b_{n+r} - \frac{(-1)^{p-1}}{2^p} \varDelta^p b_n \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{p-2} \frac{(-1)^r}{2^{r+1}} \varDelta^{r+1} b_n + \frac{(-1)^{p-1}}{2^{p-1}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \varDelta^p b_{n+r}. \end{split}$$

Repeating this procedure applied successively for  $\varDelta x_n,\ \varDelta^{z}x_n,$  etc. p-times, we obtain finally

$$\Delta^p w_n = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \Delta^p b_{n+r}.$$

Applying the operation  $\Delta^{r-p}$  to both sides of the above equality we get

$$\Delta^r x_n = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \Delta^r b_{n+r}.$$

The series occurring on the right-hand side of the above relation may be also written in the form

$$-\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \{ \Delta^r b_{n+2r+1} - \Delta^r b_{n+2r} \} = -\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \Delta^{r+1} b_{n+2r}.$$

Since the terms  $\Delta^{r+1}b_{n+2r}$  have a constant sign, the terms  $\Delta^r x_n$  also have a constant sign. Moreover, we have by (3) and (9)

$$x_{n+1} + x_n = \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} rac{(-1)^s}{2^{s+1}} \{ arDelta^s b_{n+1} + arDelta^s b_n \} + rac{(-1)^p}{2^p} arDelta^p b_n,$$

whence, according to lemma I,

$$x_{n+1}+x_n=b_n.$$

Consequently, the sequence  $x_n$  defined by formula (3) actually has all the desired properties. The uniqueness of such a sequence follows from lemma III in view of the fact that condition (2) and the inequality  $r \geqslant p$  imply the relation

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \Delta^r b_n = 0.$$

This completes the proof.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 24. 9. 1960; en version modifiée le 21. 2. 1961



## COLLOQUIUM MATHEMATICUM

VOL. IX

1962

FASC. 1

### SUR QUELQUES GÉNÉRALISATIONS DES NOMBRES PSEUDOPREMIERS

PAR

### A. ROTKIEWICZ (VARSOVIE)

Soient a>0 et b>0 des entiers tels que a>b et (a,b)=1. Considérons une fonction f(n) à valeurs entières positives, définie pour tout entier n>0 et assujettie à la condition

$$(1) \qquad (p-1, f(n))|f(np)|$$

pour tout p premier tel que p 
min. On a alors les théorèmes suivants:

THÉORÈME 1. S'il existe un  $n_0$  premier tel que  $2 < f(n_0) \ge n_0$ ,  $n_0 \mid a^{l(n_0)} - b^{l(n_0)}$  et  $f(n) \ge n-1$  pour  $n > n_0$ , il existe aussi, pour tout entier s > 1, un n composé, produit de s nombres premiers distincts, et tel que

$$(2) n \mid a^{f(n)} - b^{f(n)}.$$

Théorème 2. S'il existe un  $n_0$  pair tel que  $f(n_0)>2$  et  $f(n)\geqslant n-1$  pour  $n\geqslant n_0$ , et qui satisfait à l'une des conditions

(3) 
$$n_0 | a^{f(n_0)+1}b - ab^{f(n_0)+1}.$$

$$(4) n_0 | a^{f(n_0)} - b^{f(n_0)}.$$

il existe aussi une infinité de nombres pairs satisfaisant à (3) ou à (4) respectivement.

On a le théorème (T) suivant (1):

(T) Si a > 0, b > 0 et m > 2 sont des entiers tels que a > b et (a,b) = 1, alors, sauf le cas où a = 2, b = 1 et m = 6, le nombre  $a^m - b^m$  a un diviseur p premier (dit p rimitif) tel que m|p-1 et que p ne divise le nombre  $a^k - b^k$  pour aucun k = 1, 2, ..., m-1.

LEMME. Sous les mêmes hypothèses, il existe un p premier tel que

$$p|a^m-b^m$$
 et  $m|p-1$ .

<sup>(1)</sup> Cf. [2], p. 386. Ce théorème a été démontré par Birkhoff et Vandiver [1].