

*SINGULAR INTEGRALS
WITH HIGHLY OSCILLATING KERNELS ON PRODUCT SPACES*

BY

ELENA PRESTINI (ROMA)

Abstract. We prove the $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ boundedness of the oscillatory singular integrals

$$\mathcal{P}_0 f(x, y) = \int_{D_x} \frac{e^{i(M_2(x)y' + M_1(x)x')}}{x'y'} f(x - x', y - y') dx' dy'$$

for arbitrary real-valued L^∞ functions $M_1(x), M_2(x)$ and for rather general domains $D_x \subseteq \mathbb{T}^2$ whose dependence upon x satisfies no regularity assumptions.

Introduction. Convergence properties of Fourier series are linked to singular integrals. In one dimension, the convergence relates to the Hilbert transform [1], [3], [4]. In several dimensions the theory of singular integrals on product spaces was developed in [6]–[8] and [11] having in mind some open problems of convergence a.e. of multiple Fourier series [9], [10].

In particular in [6] we proved the L^p boundedness, $1 < p < \infty$, of the following operator defined as a principal value:

$$\sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \psi_h(y') \sum_{2^{-k} \leq r(h, x)} \psi_k(x') * f(x, y)$$

for any measurable $0 < r(h, x) \leq 1$, where $\psi_k(x') = 2^k \psi(2^k x')$, $\psi_h(y') = 2^h \psi(2^h y')$ with $\psi(x')$ a C^∞ function supported on $\{|x'| \leq 2\pi\}$ such that $1/x' = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_k(x')$, for $|x'| \leq \pi$. Here $(x, y) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ and $\mathbb{T} = [0, 2\pi]$. The operator norm turned out to be independent of the choice of $r(h, x)$.

Moreover in [8] we proved the L^2 boundedness of the operator

$$(1) \quad \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} e^{iM(x)y'} \psi_h(y') \sum_{2^{-k} \leq r(h, x)} \psi_k(x') * f(x, y)$$

for any bounded real-valued $M(x)$. The operator norm is independent of the choice of $r(h, x)$ and furthermore of the choice of $M(x)$ and its L^∞ norm.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 32A55.

The purpose of this paper is twofold. First we point out that the L^2 boundedness holds for operators more general than (1). Indeed we shall prove the following

THEOREM. *Let $M_1(x)$ and $M_2(x)$ be bounded real-valued functions. Then the operator*

$$\mathcal{P}_0 f(x, y) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} e^{iM_2(x)y'} \psi_h(y') \sum_{2^{-k} \leq r(h, x)} e^{iM_1(x)x'} \psi_k(x') * f(x, y)$$

is bounded from $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ to itself with norm independent of any measurable $0 < r(h, x) \leq 1$ and of $M_1(x), M_2(x)$ and their L^∞ norms. Moreover the maximal operator $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0$ satisfies the following pointwise inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0 f(x, y) &= \sup_{h_0} \left| \sum_{h \leq h_0} e^{iM_2(x)y'} \psi_h(y') \sum_{2^{-k} \leq r(h, x)} e^{iM_1(x)x'} \psi_k(x') * f(x, y) \right| \\ &\leq c \{ M_{y'} \tilde{C}_{x'} f(x, y) + M_{y'} \mathcal{P}_0 f(x, y) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $M_{y'}$ denotes the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function acting on the y' variable and $\tilde{C}_{x'}$ denotes the Carleson maximal operator

$$\tilde{C}_{x'} g(x) = \sup_{k_0} \left| \sum_{k \leq k_0} e^{iM(x)x'} \psi_k(x') * g(x) \right|.$$

Equivalently \tilde{C} can be defined as the linear operator

$$\tilde{C}_{x'} g(x) = \sum_{k \leq k_0(x)} e^{iM(x)x'} \psi_k(x') * g(x)$$

with $k_0(x)$ arbitrarily depending upon x . It is known that

$$\tilde{C}_{x'} g(x) \leq M g(x) + M C g(x)$$

where C denotes the Carleson operator [5]. See also Lemma 1 of [6] and [7].

Second we wish to point out that the L^p boundedness, $1 < p < \infty$, of the operator (1) is still an open problem since the proof in [2] is inconclusive.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 1 we prove the Theorem; in Section 2, concerning the L^p theory, we summarize the known results and point out the unproven claims of [2].

1. The proof of the theorem is not significantly different from the one for the operator (1) in [8]. We sketch it below. The goal is to break up the binding between the integration in dx' and dy' given by $r(h, x)$ and to neutralize $M_2(x)$. This is obtained by an application of the Plancherel theorem on the y variable.

Proof of the Theorem. It is easy to check that $\sum_{h=0}^{\infty} |\widehat{\psi}_h(\eta)| \leq c$. Then for almost every x fixed we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\mathcal{P}_0 f(x, y)|^2 dy &= \int |\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_0 f(x, \eta)|^2 d\eta \\ &= \int \left| \sum_h \widehat{\psi}_h(\eta - M_2(x)) \left(\sum_{2^{-k} \leq r(h, x)} \psi_k(x') * f(x, \cdot) \right)^\wedge(\eta) \right|^2 d\eta \\ &\leq \int \sum_h |\widehat{\psi}_h(\eta - M_2(x))| \sup_{k_0} \left| \sum_{k \geq k_0} \psi_k(x') * \widehat{f}(x, \eta) \right|^2 d\eta \\ &\leq c \int |\widetilde{C}_{x'} \widehat{f}(x, \eta)|^2 d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Now by switching the order of integration we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \iint |\mathcal{P}_0 f(x, y)|^2 dy dx &\leq c \iint |\widetilde{C}_{x'} \widehat{f}(x, \eta)|^2 dx d\eta \\ &\leq c \iint |\widehat{f}(x', \eta)|^2 dx' d\eta \leq c \iint |f(x', y')|^2 dx' dy'. \end{aligned}$$

The role played by $\widetilde{H}_{x'}$ in [8] is now taken by $\widetilde{C}_{x'}$.

Similarly the proof of the pointwise inequality follows the same steps of the proof of Theorem 2 in [6].

2. The L^p boundedness, $p \neq 2$, of \mathcal{P}_0 is known under additional assumptions. We list three cases.

CASE 1: The domain of integration is a rectangle. In this case \mathcal{P}_0 equals

$$\mathcal{P}_1 f(x, y) = e^{iM_2(x)y} H_{y'}(e^{-iM_2(x)y'} C_{x'} f(x, y'))(y).$$

The domain of integration might even depend arbitrarily upon x , that is, $|x'| \leq A(x)$ and $|y'| \leq B(x)$. Then \mathcal{P}_0 is equal to

$$\mathcal{P}_2 f(x, y) = e^{iM_2(x)y} H_{y'}(e^{-iM_2(x)y'} \widetilde{C}_{x'} f(x, y'))(y)$$

with $H_{y'}$ denoting a fixed truncation (depending upon x) of the Hilbert transform.

REMARK. We point out that already in the case of \mathcal{P}_1 the order of integration is crucial. Let us write \mathcal{P}_1 explicitly with the “wrong” order of integration:

$$(2) \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{iM_1(x)x'}}{x'} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{iM_2(x)y'}}{y'} f(x - x', y - y') dy' \right) dx'.$$

Only if $M_2(x)$ is a constant the operator is equal to $C_{x'} H_{y'} f(x, y)$. Otherwise it is not immediately decodable.

CASE 2: $M_2(x)$ is a constant. The proof of the boundedness of the corresponding \mathcal{P}_0 can be found in [7], p. 278, or else one can follow the steps of the proof of Theorem 3 of [6], replacing $\tilde{H}_{x'}$ by $\tilde{C}_{x'}$.

CASE 3: If $M_2(x) = M_1(x)$ and $r(h, x) = 2^{-h}$ or $M_2(x) = M_1^2(x)$ and $r(h, x) = 2^{-h}M_1(x)$ then \mathcal{P}_0 is bounded from $L^r(\mathbb{T}^2)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $1 < p < r \leq 2$ (see [11]).

Now we come to [2]. The proof is subdivided in three cases $p = 2$, $p > 2$, $p < 2$. In all of them the natural order of integration in (1), i.e. dx' first and dy' second, is reversed. The next step, in [2], is more easily seen by considering (2) with $M_1(x) = 0$ for all x and $M_2(x) = M(x)$, that is,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{x'} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{iM(x)y'}}{y'} f(x - x', y - y') dy' \right) dx'.$$

To evaluate the $L^p(dx)$ norm of the above operator, the boundedness of the Hilbert transform, acting on x' , cannot be used (the inner core—the operator acting on y' —varies arbitrarily with x , due to the phase $M(x)$, and the variable x is saturated in the integration). In [2] (line 7 from below on p. 299, line 4 from below on p. 300 and (6)), apparently, to dominate from above the $L^p(dx)$ norm of the operator (1), the phase $M(x)$ is replaced by $M(x')$ to be integrated together with $f(x', \cdot)$ in dx' (which is equivalent to keeping $M(x)$, replacing $f(x', \cdot)$ by $f(x, \cdot)$ and integrating in dx , precisely as in [2]).

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Carleson, *On convergence and growth of partial sums of Fourier series*, Acta Math. 116 (1968), 135–157.
- [2] L. K. Chen, *Singular integrals with highly oscillating kernels on product domains*, Colloq. Math. 64 (1993), 293–302.
- [3] C. Fefferman, *Pointwise convergence of Fourier series*, Ann. of Math. 98 (1973), 551–572.
- [4] R. A. Hunt, *On the convergence of Fourier series*, in: Orthogonal Expansions and their Continuous Analogues (Edwardsville, IL, 1967), Southern Illinois Univ. Press, Carbondale, IL, 1968, 235–255.
- [5] R. A. Hunt and W. S. Young, *A weighted norm inequality for Fourier series*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1974), 274–277.
- [6] E. Prestini, *Variants of the maximal double Hilbert transform*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 290 (1985), 761–771.
- [7] —, *Singular integrals on product spaces with variable coefficients*, Ark. Mat. 25 (1987), 276–287.
- [8] —, *L^2 boundedness of highly oscillatory integrals on product domains*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1988), 493–497.

- [9] E. Prestini, *A contribution to the study of the partial sums operator S_{NN^2} for double Fourier series*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 134 (1983), 287–300.
- [10] —, *Uniform estimates for families of singular integrals and double Fourier series*, Austral. J. Math. 41 (1986), 1–12.
- [11] —, *Singular integrals on product spaces related to Carleson operator*, preprint.
- [12] E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1970.

Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Roma “Tor Vergata”
Via della Ricerca Scientifica
00133 Roma, Italy
E-mail: prestini@mat.uniroma2.it

Received 19 March 1999

(3715)