

ELLIS GROUPS OF QUASI-FACTORS OF MINIMAL FLOWS

BY

JOSEPH AUSLANDER (COLLEGE PARK, MD)

Dedicated to the memory of Anzelm Iwanik

Abstract. A quasi-factor of a minimal flow is a minimal subset of the induced flow on the space of closed subsets. We study a particular kind of quasi-factor (a “joining” quasi-factor) using the Galois theory of minimal flows. We also investigate the relation between factors and quasi-factors.

In this paper, we combine two important themes in topological dynamics. Quasi-factors, which are minimal subsets on the space of closed subsets of a flow, were introduced by Glasner ([G]). The Ellis groups ($[A]$, $[E]$) are invariants which are the basis of the “Galois” theory of minimal flows. Here we consider the Ellis groups of a certain kind of quasi-factor.

A *flow* is a right topological action of a (discrete) group T on a compact Hausdorff space X : $(x, t) \mapsto xt$, $(x \in X, t \in T)$. The flow is *minimal* if every orbit is dense: $\overline{xT} = X$ for all $x \in X$.

If X is a compact Hausdorff space, then 2^X denotes the space of non-empty closed subsets of X , provided with the Hausdorff topology. An action of the group T on X induces an action of T on 2^X by $At = [at \mid a \in A]$ ($A \in 2^X$, $t \in T$.) A *quasi-factor* of (X, T) is a minimal subset of the flow $(2^X, T)$.

In what follows, we suppose that (X, T) is a minimal flow, and focus on a particular kind of quasi-factors of X , namely one which arises as a “representation” of another minimal flow.

Such a quasi-factor is obtained by projecting the minimal flow (Y, T) on 2^X as follows. Let $X \vee Y$ be the “join” of X and Y , that is, the orbit closure of an almost periodic point (x, y) of the product flow $(X \times Y, T)$ (so the join depends on the choice of x and y). Let $K = [x' \in X \mid (x', y) \in X \vee Y]$. Equivalently, if $\pi_1 : X \vee Y \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_2 : X \vee Y \rightarrow Y$ are the projections, then $K = \pi_1(\pi_2^{-1}(y))$. Then $K \in 2^X$, and the *representation* of Y on X , written \mathcal{X}_Y , is defined to be the (unique) minimal subset of \overline{KT} ([AG]). Another

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 54H20.

description of such quasi-factors (also called “joining” quasi-factors) will be given below.

For our analysis of quasi-factors, we require two brief digressions: the algebraic theory of minimal flows, and maximal highly proximal flows and generators.

For details of the algebraic theory, see [A] and [E]. Whenever the (discrete) group acts on a compact Hausdorff space, there is an induced action of βT , the Stone–Čech compactification of T . (If $p \in \beta T$ with $t_i \rightarrow p$, then $xp = \lim xt_i$, for $x \in X$.) Moreover, T acts on βT , and the map $p \mapsto xp$ (for $p \in \beta T$ and $x \in X$) defines a flow homomorphism from $(\beta T, T)$ to (X, T) . The group operation on T extends to a semigroup structure on βT ; the maps $p \mapsto qp$ are continuous. The minimal subsets of the flow $(\beta T, T)$ (all are isomorphic) coincide with the minimal right ideals of the semigroup βT . These are universal minimal flows—every minimal flow is a homomorphic image. We fix a universal minimal flow (M, T) , and let $J(M)$ denote the set of idempotents in M . Then $J(M)$ is non-empty; indeed, if (X, T) is a minimal flow and $x \in X$, there is a $u \in J(M)$ such that $xu = x$.

Now fix $u \in J(M)$, and let $G = Mu$. Then G is a group (with respect to the semigroup operation on M) which can be identified with the group of flow automorphisms of (M, T) via left multiplication. G can be provided with a compact T_1 (but not Hausdorff) topology, with respect to which multiplication is (separately) continuous, and inversion is continuous.

The Ellis groups, which are subgroups of G , are important invariants of minimal flows. If (X, T) is a minimal flow, and $x \in X$ is such that $xu = x$, the *Ellis group* of (X, T) (with respect to the basepoint x) is $\mathcal{G}(X) = [\alpha \in G \mid x\alpha = x]$. (Equivalently, if $\gamma : M \rightarrow X$ is the homomorphism $\gamma(p) = xp$ then $\mathcal{G}(X) = [\alpha \in G \mid \gamma\alpha = \gamma]$.) The groups $\mathcal{G}(X)$ are closed (and every closed subgroup of G is the Ellis group of some flow). This association of flows to groups is functorial—if (Y, T) is a factor of (X, T) , then $\mathcal{G}(X) \subset \mathcal{G}(Y)$. The Ellis groups are proximal invariants of minimal flows. That is, two minimal flows are proximally equivalent (they have a common proximal extension) if and only if they have the same Ellis groups.

The action of T on 2^X extends to an action of βT . This is described by the “circle” operation: if $K \in 2^X$ and $p \in \beta T$, we write $K \circ p$ for the action of p on K . Note that $y \in K \circ p$ if and only if there are nets $\{x_n\}$ in K and $\{t_n\}$ in T with $t_n \rightarrow p$ and $x_n t_n \rightarrow y$. In general, $Kp = [xp \mid x \in K]$ is a proper subset of $K \circ p$.

The circle operation can be used to define the topology on G . This is accomplished by a closure operator: if F is a subset of G , the closure of F is $F \circ u \cap G$.

We use the circle operation, together with homomorphisms from M , to obtain an alternate description of representation quasi-factors.

Let (X, T) and (Y, T) be minimal flows, with $x \in X, y \in Y$ such that $xu = x, yu = y$. Let $\gamma : M \rightarrow X, \delta : M \rightarrow Y$ be the homomorphisms defined by $\gamma(p) = xp, \delta(p) = yp$. Then \mathcal{X}_Y is the orbit closure in 2^X of $\gamma(\delta^{-1}(y)) \circ u$. Thus $\mathcal{X}_Y = [\gamma(\delta^{-1}(y) \circ p) \mid p \in M]$. (To see that our two descriptions of \mathcal{X}_Y are the same, define $\theta : M \rightarrow X \vee Y$ by $\theta(p) = (x, y)p$. Then $\gamma = \pi_1\theta$ and $\delta = \pi_2\theta$ so $[\gamma\delta^{-1}(y) \circ p \mid p \in M] = [\pi_1\pi_2^{-1}(y) \circ p \mid p \in M]$ and the latter is easily seen to be \mathcal{X}_Y .)

The notion of high proximality was introduced in [AG] and further developed in [AW]. A homomorphism (extension) of minimal flows $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *highly proximal* if every fiber can be shrunk uniformly to a point: $\pi^{-1}(y)(t_n)(y) \rightarrow \{x\}$ for some net $\{t_n\}$ in T . Equivalent formulations are: every non-empty open subset of X contains a fiber, and for some (equivalently every) $y \in Y$ and every $p \in M, \pi^{-1}(y) \circ p = \{xp\}$ where $\pi(x) = y$. Clearly, a highly proximal extension is proximal, and in case X and Y are metric spaces, π is highly proximal if and only if it is an almost 1 : 1 extension ([AG]).

A minimal flow is said to be *maximally highly proximal* if it has no non-trivial highly proximal extension. Every minimal flow has a maximally highly proximal extension. These can be described in terms of maximal highly proximal (MHP) generators: sets $C \in 2^M$ such that $u \in C$ and $C \circ p = C$ for all $p \in C$. The minimal flow \overline{CT} is maximally highly proximal, and every MHP flow can be so represented. The MHP generator C can be decomposed as $C = BK$ where B is a closed subgroup of G and $K \subset J(M)$. It follows easily that the Ellis group of the minimal flow \overline{CT} is B . It can also be shown that the sets $\{C \circ q\} (q \in M)$ constitute a decomposition of M .

MHP generators are obtained via homomorphisms defined on M . Let (X, T) be a minimal flow, let $x \in X$ with $xu = x$, and let $\gamma : M \rightarrow X$ be a homomorphism. Then $C = \gamma^{-1}(x) \circ u$ is an MHP generator, and \overline{CT} is the maximally highly proximal extension of X .

From this it follows that all representation quasi-factors are of the form \overline{xCT} , where C is an MHP generator. (Let $C = \delta^{-1}(y) \circ u$. Then $xC = \gamma(\delta^{-1}(y)) \circ u$.)

The following is an intrinsic characterization of the sets in 2^X of the form xC .

THEOREM 1. *Let (X, T) be a minimal flow, and let $x \in X$ be such that $xu = x$. Let $L \in 2^X$ be such that $x \in L$ and $L \circ u = L$. Let $\gamma : M \rightarrow X$ and $\delta : M \rightarrow \overline{YT}$ be the homomorphisms $\gamma(p) = xp, \delta(p) = L \circ p$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $L = xC$ where C is an MHP generator.
- (ii) $L = \gamma\delta^{-1}(L)$. (That is, $L = [xq \mid q \in M \text{ such that } L \circ q = L]$.)
- (iii) $L = \gamma\delta^{-1}(L) \circ u$.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). First note that $\gamma\delta^{-1}(L) \subset L$ since if $p \in \delta^{-1}(L)$, then $\gamma(p) = xp \in L \circ p = L$. Now, if $p \in C$, we have $L \circ p = xC \circ p = xC = L$, so $C \subset \delta^{-1}(L)$. Then $L = \gamma(C) \subset \gamma\delta^{-1}(L) \subset L$, so $L = \gamma\delta^{-1}(L)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). $L = L \circ u = \gamma\delta^{-1}(L) \circ u$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). $C = \delta^{-1}(L) \circ u$ is an MHP generator.

The next lemma will be used in our analysis of quasi-factors.

LEMMA 2. *Let $C = BK$ be an MHP generator, and let $p \in M$. Then $C \circ p = BpK_p$ where $K_p \subset J(M)$ is defined by $K_p = [w \in J(M) \mid rw = r$ for some $r \in C \circ p]$.*

PROOF. Let $r \in C \circ p$ so $ru \in C \circ pu$ and $ru(pu)^{-1} \in C \circ u \cap G = C \cap G = B$ so $ru \in Bpu$. If $w \in J(M)$ is such that $rw = r$, then $r \in Bpuw = Bpw$. That is, $r \in BpK_p$ so $C \circ p \subset BpK_p$. Now let $v \in K_p$. We show that $C \circ pv = C \circ p$. There is an $r \in C \circ p$ such that $rv = r$. Then $r = rv \in C \circ pv$. Since the sets $\{C \circ q\}$ form a decomposition of M , it follows that $C \circ pv = C \circ p$. Now let $b \in B$. Then $bpv \in C \circ pv = C \circ p$.

The main thrust of this paper is the study of the Ellis groups of representation quasi-factors. We first obtain some of the elementary properties of these quasi-factors. Recall that the minimal flows (X, T) and (Y, T) are *disjoint* ($X \perp Y$) if the product flow $(X \times Y, T)$ is minimal. In fact, the next theorem indicates that representation quasi-factors are a measure of "non-disjointness" of two minimal flows.

THEOREM 3. *Let (X, T) and (Y, T) be minimal flows.*

(i) *(X, T) and (Y, T) are disjoint if and only if $\mathcal{X}_Y = \{X\}$ (the one-point flow).*

(ii) *If Y is a factor of X , then \mathcal{X}_Y is a highly proximal extension of Y .*

(iii) *If X is a factor of Y , then $\mathcal{X}_Y = X$.*

(iv) *All common factors of X and Y are factors of \mathcal{X}_Y .*

PROOF. For this proof, let $\gamma : M \rightarrow X$ and $\delta : M \rightarrow Y$ be the homomorphisms such that $\gamma(p) = xp$ and $\delta(p) = yp$, where $xu = x$ and $yu = y$.

(i) Note that X and Y are disjoint if and only if $\gamma\delta^{-1}(y) = [xp \mid p \in M \text{ with } yp = y] = X$. Thus if X and Y are disjoint, $\gamma(\delta^{-1}(y)) \circ u = X \circ u = X$, and $\mathcal{X}_Y = 1$. As for the converse, note that $\delta^{-1}(y) \circ u \subset \delta^{-1}(y)$ so $\gamma(\delta^{-1}(y)) \circ u \subset \gamma(\delta^{-1}(y))$. Hence if X and Y are not disjoint, $\gamma(\delta^{-1}(y)) \circ u \neq X$ so $\mathcal{X}_Y \neq \{X\}$.

(ii) In this case, let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a homomorphism, so $\delta = \pi\gamma$, and $\delta^{-1} = \gamma^{-1}\pi^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{X}_Y = [\pi^{-1}(y) \circ p \mid p \in M]$, which is a highly proximal extension of Y ([AG]).

(iii) Let $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a homomorphism, with $\psi(y) = x$. Then $\gamma = \psi\delta$, $\gamma\delta^{-1}(y) = \psi(y) = x$, so $\mathcal{X}_Y = [\psi(y) \mid p \in M] = [xp \mid p \in M] = X$.

(iv) Let (Z, T) be a common factor of (X, T) and (Y, T) , and let $\pi : X \rightarrow Z$, $\psi : Y \rightarrow Z$ be homomorphisms with $\pi\gamma = \psi\delta$ and $\pi(x) = \psi(y) = z$. Let $C = \delta^{-1}(y) \circ u$ so $\mathcal{X}_Y = \overline{xCT}$. We define the homomorphism σ from \mathcal{X}_Y to Z by $\sigma(xC \circ p) = zp$. To see that σ is well defined, it is sufficient to show that each element of \mathcal{X}_Y is contained in a fiber of π , and for this note that $C \subset \delta^{-1}(y)$, so $xC \subset \pi^{-1}(z)$. It follows that $xC \circ p \subset \pi^{-1}(z) \circ p \subset \pi^{-1}(zp)$.

Let F be a subset of G . Define $\Delta(F) = [g \in G \mid Fg = F]$.

LEMMA 4. (i) *If F is a non-empty closed subset of G , then $\Delta(F)$ is a closed subgroup of G .*

(ii) *If A and B are closed subgroups of G , then $\Delta(AB)$ is the largest closed subgroup of G containing B which is contained in AB .*

(iii) $\Delta(AB) = (\Delta(AB) \cap A)B$.

PROOF. (i) For any non-empty subset F of G , $\Delta(F)$ is a subgroup of G . Now, suppose F is closed, and let $g \in \Delta(F)$. Then $F\Delta(F) = F$ so $F\Delta(F) = \overline{F} = F$. Therefore $Fg \subset F$. But also (by the continuity of inversion) $g^{-1} \in \Delta(F)$ so $Fg^{-1} \subset F$. Therefore $Fg = F$ and $g \in \Delta(F)$.

(ii) Let C be a closed subgroup of G with $B \subset C \subset AB$. If $c \in C$, then $c = ab$ for some $a \in A, b \in B$. Hence $a = cb^{-1} \in C$. Thus $Ba \subset Ca \subset C$, so $ABc = ABAb \subset ACB \subset AAB = AB$. Therefore $ABc \subset AB$, and since C is a subgroup, $ABc^{-1} \subset AB$ so $c \in \Delta(AB)$.

The easy proof of (iii) is omitted.

From now on, we will write $\mathcal{G}(xC)$ for the Ellis group of the quasi-factor \overline{xCT} . The next theorem is our main result on the Ellis group of a representation quasi-factor.

THEOREM 5. *Let (X, T) be minimal, let $x \in X$ with $xu = x$, and let $A = \mathcal{G}(X)$. Let $C = BK$ be an MHP generator.*

(i) $B \subset \mathcal{G}(xC)$.

(ii) $g \in \mathcal{G}(xC)$ if and only if $g \in \Delta(AB)$ and $xbK = xbK_g$ for all $b \in B$.

PROOF. (i) Since the quasi-factor determined by xC is a factor of \overline{CT} whose Ellis group is B , we have $B \subset \mathcal{G}(xC)$.

(ii) Let $g \in \mathcal{G}(xC)$, let $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Then $xabg = xbg \in xC \circ g = xC$ so $xabg \in xCu = xB$, $xabg = x\beta$ for some $\beta \in B$, $abg\beta^{-1} \in A$, $abg \in AB$. This shows that $ABg \subset AB$, and since $g^{-1} \in \mathcal{G}(xC)$ we have $abg^{-1} \in AB$ so $g \in \Delta(AB)$.

Let $b \in B$ and $v \in K$. Then $xBK = xC = xC \circ g = xBgK_g$ (Lemma 2), so $xbv = x\beta gw$ for some $\beta \in B$ and $w \in K_g$. Since $g \in \Delta(AB)$ we have $\beta g = \alpha\beta'$, where $\alpha \in A$ and $\beta' \in B$. Then $x\beta gw = x\alpha\beta'w = x\beta'w$. Thus $xbv = x\beta'w$ so $xb = x\beta'$, and $xbv = x\beta'w = xbw \in xBK_g$. That is, $xbK \subset xBK_g$. As for the opposite inclusion, let $w \in K_g$. Then, since $B \subset ABg$,

there are $b' \in B$, $a \in A$ such that $xbw = xab'gw = xb'gw = x\beta v$ (where $\beta \in B$, $v \in K$). Then $xb = x\beta$ so $xbw = x\beta v = xbv \in xbK$.

Conversely, suppose $g \in \Delta(AB)$ and $xbK = xbK_g$ for all $b \in B$. Then $xC \circ g = xBgK_g = xABgK_g = xABK_g = xBK_g = xBK = xC$.

Using Theorem 5, we can determine $\mathcal{G}(xC)$ in a number of cases.

COROLLARY 6. *Let (X, T) be a distal minimal flow. Then $\mathcal{G}(xC) = \Delta(AB)$.*

PROOF. Since (X, T) is distal, $x'v = x'$ for all $x' \in X$ and all $v \in J(M)$. Hence $xbK = \{xb\} = xbK_g$.

The minimal flow (X, T) is said to be *regular* if all almost periodic points of $(X \times X, T)$ are on graphs of automorphisms. That is, if (x, x') is almost periodic, there is an automorphism φ of (X, T) such that $x' = \varphi(x)$.

COROLLARY 7. *Let (X, T) be a regular minimal flow, and let $g \in G$. Then $g \in \mathcal{G}(xC)$ if and only if $g \in \Delta(AB)$ and $xK = xK_g$.*

PROOF. Note that if $g \in G$, then (x, xg) is an almost periodic point. Then if $b \in B$, there is an automorphism φ of (X, T) such that $xb = \varphi(x)$, so if $xK = XK_g$ then $xbK = \varphi(x)K = \varphi(xK) = \varphi(xK_g) = xbK_g$.

COROLLARY 8. *If $a^{-1}C \circ a = C$ for all $a \in A$, then $\mathcal{G}(xC) = AB$.*

PROOF. It is sufficient to show that $A \subset \mathcal{G}(xC)$. Let $a \in A$. Then $xC \circ a = xa(a^{-1}C \circ a) = xaC = xC$.

LEMMA 9. *Let (X, T) be a regular minimal flow, and let (X', T) be its maximal highly proximal extension. Then (X', T) is regular.*

PROOF. Let $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ be a homomorphism, let (x', y') be an almost periodic point in $X' \times X'$, and let $(x, y) = \pi(x', y')$. Then (x, y) is almost periodic, so by regularity of X , there is an automorphism φ such that $y = \varphi(x)$. By [AW], p. 392, there is an endomorphism φ' of X' such that $\pi\varphi' = \varphi\pi$. Now $(\varphi'(x'), y')$ is an almost periodic point, and $\pi(y') = \pi(\varphi'(x'))$. Since the homomorphism π is proximal, we must have $\varphi'(x') = y'$. Now apply the same argument to φ^{-1} , and obtain an endomorphism ψ' of X' such that $\psi'(y') = x'$. Then $\psi'\varphi'$ is the identity, so φ' is an automorphism.

COROLLARY 10. *Let (X, T) and (Y, T) be minimal flows with (Y, T) regular. Then $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X}_Y) = AB$.*

PROOF. If $\delta : M \rightarrow Y$ and $C = \delta^{-1}(y) \circ u$, then \overline{CT} is the maximal highly proximal extension of Y . By Lemma 9, \overline{CT} is regular. It follows from [AW], Theorem 2.5(4), that $g^{-1}C \circ g = C$ for all $g \in G$. The conclusion now follows from Corollary 8.

LEMMA 11. *Let B be a closed subgroup of G . Then $B \circ u$ is an MHP generator with $B \circ u = BK$.*

PROOF. If $b \in B$ then $B \circ u = Bb \circ u \subset B \circ b \circ u = B \circ b$. Since also $B \circ u \subset B \circ b^{-1}$ we have $B \circ b = B \circ u$. Now let $q \in B \circ u$, so there are nets $\{b_j\}$ in B and $\{s_j\}$ in T with $s_j \rightarrow u$ and $b_j s_j \rightarrow q$. Then $B \circ b_j s_j \rightarrow B \circ q$ and also $B \circ b_j s_j = B \circ u s_j \rightarrow B \circ u \circ u = B \circ u$. Thus $B \circ q = B \circ u$, and $B \circ u$ is an MHP generator. Since $B \circ u \cap G = B$, $B \circ u$ has “group part” B and $B \circ u = BK$.

THEOREM 12. *Let (X, T) be a minimal flow and let B be a closed subgroup of G . Then $\mathcal{G}(xB \circ u) = \Delta(AB)$.*

PROOF. It follows from Theorem 5 and Lemma 11 that $\mathcal{G}(xB \circ u) \subset \Delta(AB)$. Now let $g \in \Delta(AB)$. Then $xB = xAB = xABg = xBg \subset xB \circ g$, so $xB \circ u \subset xB \circ g$. Since $g^{-1} \in \Delta(AB)$ we have $xB \circ u \subset xB \circ g^{-1}$. It follows that $xB \circ g = xB \circ u$ so $g \in \mathcal{G}(xB \circ u)$.

Theorem 12 implies that, for every closed subgroup B of G , the group $\Delta(AB)$ occurs as the Ellis group of a representation quasi-factor.

The next corollary indicates that there is a group theoretic obstruction to a quasi-factor being a factor.

COROLLARY 13. *Suppose \mathcal{X}_Y is a factor of X . Then $\mathcal{G}(xC) = AB$ (in particular, AB is a group).*

PROOF. If \mathcal{X}_Y is a factor of X , then $A \subset \mathcal{G}(xC)$, and always $B \subset \mathcal{G}(xC)$, so we have $AB \subset \mathcal{G}(xC) \subset \Delta(AB) \subset AB$. Then $AB = \mathcal{G}(xC)$.

We conclude with two results concerning disjointness. If (X, T) and (Y, T) are minimal, they are said to be *disjoint over their common factor* (Z, T) if (for homomorphisms $\pi : X \rightarrow Z$ and $\psi : Y \rightarrow Z$) the relation $R_{\pi, \psi} = [(x, y) \mid \pi(x) = \psi(y)]$ is a minimal subset of $X \times Y$.

In the next theorem, we choose $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ such that $xu = x$, $yu = y$, and $\pi(x) = \psi(y)$.

THEOREM 14. *Suppose the minimal flows (X, T) and (Y, T) are disjoint over (Z, T) . Then $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X}_Y) = \mathcal{G}(X)\mathcal{G}(Y)$.*

PROOF. Let $z = \pi(x) = \psi(y)$. We first show that $\pi^{-1}(z) = \gamma(\delta^{-1}(y))$. Always $\gamma(\delta^{-1}(y)) \subset \pi^{-1}(z)$. Let $x' \in \pi^{-1}(z)$, and let $r \in M$ be such that $x' = xr$. Now $\psi(yr) = \pi(xr) = z = \pi(x) = \psi(y)$, so $(x, y), (xr, y) \in R_{\pi, \psi}$. Since $R_{\pi, \psi}$ is minimal, $(xr, y) = (x, y)q$ for some $q \in M$. That is, $x' = xr = xq$ and $yq = y$, so $x' \in \gamma(\delta^{-1}(y))$.

Let $C = \delta^{-1}(y) \circ u$, so $\mathcal{X}_Y = \overline{xC T}$, and $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X}_Y) = \mathcal{G}(xC)$. Let $A = \mathcal{G}(X)$. It is sufficient (Theorem 5) to show that $A \subset \mathcal{G}(xC)$. Let $\alpha \in A$. Then $z\alpha = z$ and it follows easily that $\pi^{-1}(z) \circ \alpha \subset \pi^{-1}(z)$ so $\pi^{-1}(z) \circ \alpha \subset \pi^{-1}(z) \circ u$. Since

also $z\alpha^{-1} = z$ we have $\pi^{-1}(z) \circ \alpha = \pi^{-1}(z) \circ u$. But $xC = \gamma(\delta^{-1}(y)) = \pi^{-1}(z)$ so $xC \circ \alpha = xC$, and $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}(xC)$.

It is elementary that disjoint flows cannot have a common (non-trivial) factor, but it is not known whether they can have a common quasi-factor. We rule this out in a special case. (Recall that a flow (X, T) cannot be disjoint from a non-trivial quasi-factor \mathcal{Q} , since $[(x, Q) \in X \times \mathcal{Q} \mid x \in Q]$ is a closed invariant proper subset of $X \times \mathcal{Q}$.)

THEOREM 15. *Let (X, T) and (Y, T) be disjoint minimal flows, with (Y, T) distal and regular. Let $C = BK$ and $C' = B'K'$ be MHP generators. Then $\overline{xC'T}$ and $\overline{yC'T}$ are not proximally equivalent (unless they are trivial).*

PROOF. Let $A = \mathcal{G}(X)$ and $F = \mathcal{G}(Y)$. Since X and Y are disjoint, we have $AF = G$. Now $\mathcal{G}(yC') = \Delta(FB') = FB'$. (The last equality holds since (Y, T) is regular, so F is normal in G .) If $\overline{xC'T}$ and $\overline{yC'T}$ are proximally equivalent, then $\mathcal{G}(xC) = \mathcal{G}(yC')$, and $A\mathcal{G}(xC) = A\mathcal{G}(yC') = AFB' = GB' = G$. Thus X is disjoint from its quasi-factor $\overline{xC'T}$, which is a contradiction unless the latter is trivial.

REFERENCES

- [A] J. Auslander, *Minimal Flows and their Extensions*, North-Holland Math. Stud. 153, North-Holland, 1988.
- [AG] J. Auslander and S. Glasner, *Distal and highly proximal extensions of minimal flows*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 26 (1977), 731–749.
- [AW] J. Auslander and J. van der Woude, *Maximal highly proximal generators of minimal flows*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 1 (1981), 389–412.
- [E] R. Ellis, *Lectures in Topological Dynamics*, W. A. Benjamin, 1969.
- [G] S. Glasner, *Compressibility properties in topological dynamics*, Amer. J. Math. 97 (1975), 148–171.

University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742-4015
U.S.A.
E-mail: jna@math.umd.edu

*Received 3 August 1999;
revised 4 January 2000*

(3807)