

## STRONG AND WEAK STABILITY OF SOME MARKOV OPERATORS

BY

RYSZARD RUDNICKI (KATOWICE)

*To the memory of Anzelm Iwanik*

**Abstract.** An integral Markov operator  $P$  appearing in biomathematics is investigated. This operator acts on the space of probabilistic Borel measures. Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be probabilistic Borel measures. Sufficient conditions for weak and strong convergence of the sequence  $(P^n\mu - P^n\nu)$  to 0 are given.

**1. Introduction.** Many biological and physical processes can be modelled by means of randomly perturbed dynamical systems. Such systems are generally of the form

$$(1.1) \quad X_{n+1} = S(X_n, \xi_{n+1}),$$

where  $(\xi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of independent random variables (or elements) with the same distribution, and the initial value of the system  $X_0$  is independent of the sequence  $(\xi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ . Studying systems of the form (1.1) we are often interested in the behaviour of the sequence of measures  $(\mu_n)$  defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \mu_n(A) = \text{Prob}(X_n \in A).$$

The evolution of these measures can be described by a Markov operator  $P$  given by  $\mu_{n+1} = P\mu_n$ . The operator  $P$  is defined on the space of probability measures. If the distribution of the random variables  $\xi_n$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and the partial derivative  $\frac{\partial S}{\partial \xi}$  exists and  $\frac{\partial S}{\partial \xi}(x, \xi) \neq 0$  a.e., then  $P$  is given by a stochastic kernel, i.e.

$$(1.3) \quad P\mu(A) = \int_A \left( \int_X k(x, y) \mu(dy) \right) dx.$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 47B38, 47B65, 47G10; Secondary 60J05, 92D25.

*Key words and phrases*: Markov operators, biomathematics, weak and strong convergence of measures.

In this case the measure  $P\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and  $P$  can be defined on  $L^1$  by

$$(1.4) \quad Pf(x) = \int_X k(x, y)f(y) dy.$$

The general theory of such operators is given in [4, 5].

Asymptotic behaviour of the sequences  $(P^n\mu)$  has been examined by many authors (see e.g. [1, 7, 9]). Most of the results are devoted to the problem of existence and stability of invariant measures. For example, a conservative Markov operator given by a stochastic kernel always has an invariant absolutely continuous (possibly infinite) measure (see [3, Chap. VI]). But a lot of systems of the form (1.1) have no invariant probability measures, e.g.  $X_{n+1} = X_n + \xi_{n+1}$ . In this case we can still ask if the system is stable in the following sense: for any probability measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  the sequence  $(P^n\mu - P^n\nu)$  converges to zero. If  $P$  is of the form (1.3) then the measures  $P^n\mu$  and  $P^n\nu$  have densities. Then the strong convergence of all sequences  $(P^n\mu - P^n\nu)$  to zero is equivalent to the convergence of the sequences  $(P^n f - P^n g)$  to zero in  $L^1$  for all densities  $f$  and  $g$ . This condition means that the trajectory  $(P^n f)$  is asymptotically independent of the initial density  $f$ . This property of Markov operators is also called *completely mixing* [12] and some general results concerning this notion are given in [3, 14, 15].

In this paper we study some randomly perturbed dynamical system which plays an important role in mathematical models of the cell cycle ([9, 17, 18, 19, 20]) and in a model of the electrical activity of neurons [11]. We give sufficient conditions for weak and strong stability of this system. The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we define our system and formulate the main results concerning its asymptotic behaviour. The proofs of the results are given in Section 3.

**2. Main results.** Our main object is the following randomly perturbed dynamical system:

$$(2.1) \quad X_{n+1} = \lambda^{-1}\{Q^{-1}[Q(X_n) + \xi_{n+1}]\}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

We assume that  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots$  are independent and identically distributed random variables with values in  $[0, \infty)$ . We also assume that  $X_0$  is a random variable with values in  $[0, \infty)$  and  $X_0$  is independent of the sequence  $(\xi_n)$ . By  $H$  we denote the distribution function of  $\xi_n$ . We assume that  $H$  is absolutely continuous and let  $h = H'$ . Assume that the functions  $Q$  and  $\lambda$  satisfy the following condition:  $Q : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\lambda : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  are non-decreasing locally absolutely continuous functions,  $Q(0) = \lambda(0) = 0$ , and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} Q(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(x) = \infty$ .

As  $\lambda$  can be a non-invertible function we adhere to the convention that  $\lambda^{-1}(y) = \max\{x : \lambda(x) = y\}$ . In a similar way we define  $Q^{-1}$ . Let  $F_n(x) = \text{Prob}(X_n < x)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n+1}(x) &= \text{Prob}(X_{n+1} < x) = \text{Prob}(\lambda^{-1}\{Q^{-1}[Q(X_n) + \xi_{n+1}]\} < x) \\ &= \text{Prob}(Q(X_n) + \xi_{n+1} < Q(\lambda(x))) = SF_n(x), \end{aligned}$$

where the operator  $S$  is defined on the space  $L^\infty[0, \infty)$  by

$$(2.2) \quad SF(x) = \int_0^{\lambda(x)} Q'(y)h(Q(\lambda(x)) - Q(y))F(y) dy.$$

If  $F$  is an absolutely continuous function and  $f = F'$  then  $SF$  is also absolutely continuous and  $(SF)' = Pf$ , where  $P$  is the operator defined on  $L^1[0, \infty)$  by

$$(2.3) \quad Pf(x) = \lambda'(x)Q'(\lambda(x)) \int_0^{\lambda(x)} h(Q(\lambda(x)) - Q(y))f(y) dy.$$

Let  $L^1 = L^1[0, \infty)$  and denote by  $D$  the set of all densities, i.e.

$$D = \{f \in L^1 : f \geq 0, \|f\| = 1\},$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  stands for the norm in  $L^1$ . From the definition of  $P$  it follows immediately that  $P$  is a Markov operator, i.e.  $P : L^1 \rightarrow L^1$  is linear and  $P(D) \subset D$ .

Asymptotic properties of the iterates of the operator (2.3) depend on the function  $\alpha(x) = Q(\lambda(x)) - Q(x)$ . In [2, 6] it was proved that if  $h(x) > 0$  and  $\alpha(x) > \int_0^\infty th(t) dt$  for sufficiently large  $x$ , then there exists a density  $f_*$  such that

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P^n f - f_*\| = 0 \quad \text{for } f \in D.$$

In [13] it was shown that if  $h(x) = e^{-x}$  and  $\alpha(x) \leq 1$  for sufficiently large  $x$ , then  $P$  is *sweeping*, i.e.

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^c P^n f(x) dx = 0$$

for  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $c > 0$ .

REMARK 1. The property of sweeping is also known as *zero type*. Generally, a Markov operator  $P$  on a measure space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  is called *sweeping* from a set  $A \in \Sigma$  if for every density  $f$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A P^n f(x) \mu(dx) = 0.$$

Some sufficient conditions for sweeping are given in [8, 17]. It is clear that if a Markov operator is sweeping from sets of finite measure then it has no invariant density. But even a Markov operator given by a strictly positive stochastic kernel and which has no invariant density can be non-sweeping from sets of finite measure (see [17, Remark 7]). Also dissipativity does not imply sweeping (see [8, Example 1]). It is interesting that a Markov operator given by (2.3) can be sweeping from bounded sets but can be no sweeping from some set of finite Lebesgue measure (see [17, Remark 3]).

In [16] it was proved that if  $h(x) = e^{-x}$  and  $\alpha(x) \geq c$  for all  $x \geq 0$  and some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P^n f - P^n g\| = 0 \quad \text{for } f, g \in D.$$

Our aim is to prove the following theorems.

**THEOREM 1.** *Assume that the functions  $Q$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $h$  satisfy the following condition:*

$$(C) \quad \int_0^\infty xh(x) dx < \infty \text{ and } Q(\lambda(x)) \geq Q(x) + c \text{ for all } x \geq 0 \text{ and some } c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Let  $F$  and  $G$  be the distribution functions of some probability measures on  $[0, \infty)$ . If the support of  $h$  has infinite Lebesgue measure then the sequence  $(S^n F - S^n G)$  is uniformly convergent to zero.*

The next theorem generalizes the result from [16].

**THEOREM 2.** *Assume that condition (C) holds. Suppose that  $h(x) = 0$  for  $x \leq \bar{x}$  and  $h(x) = \exp(-\varphi(x))$  for  $x > \bar{x}$ , where  $\bar{x} \geq 0$  and  $\varphi$  is a twice differentiable function such that  $\varphi''(x) \geq 0$ . Then the operator  $P$  satisfies (2.6).*

**3. Proofs.** We split the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 into six lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** *For every  $a > 0$  there exists a positive number  $\delta(a)$  such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S^n \mathbf{1}_{[0,a]}(x) \leq \delta(a) \quad \text{for } x \geq 0.$$

**PROOF.** From the definition of  $S$  it follows that

$$S \mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)}(x) = H(Q(\lambda(x))) \leq \mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)}(x) - (1 - H(Q(\lambda(a)))) \mathbf{1}_{[0,a]}(x)$$

and generally

$$S^n \mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)}(x) \leq \mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)}(x) - (1 - H(Q(\lambda(a)))) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S^k \mathbf{1}_{[0,a]}(x).$$

Since  $S^n \mathbf{1}_{[0, \infty)}(x) \geq 0$  we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S^k \mathbf{1}_{[0, a]}(x) \leq \delta(a)$$

for  $\delta(a) = (1 - H(Q(\lambda(a))))^{-1}$ . ■

LEMMA 2. Let  $\gamma > Q(a) - c$ . If  $Q(x) \geq \gamma n$  and  $n \geq 1$  then

$$(3.2) \quad S^n \mathbf{1}_{[0, a]}(x) \leq (\gamma - Q(a) + c)^{-1} \int_0^{\infty} y dH(y).$$

Proof. Let  $(X_n)$  be the sequence given by (2.1) such that  $X_0 = a$ . From (2.1) it follows that  $Q(\lambda(X_{n+1})) = Q(X_n) + \xi_{n+1}$  for  $n \geq 0$ . Since  $Q(\lambda(x)) \geq Q(x) + c$  for  $x \geq 0$ , we have

$$(3.3) \quad Q(X_{n+1}) \leq Q(X_n) + \xi_{n+1} - c \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

Consequently,

$$(3.4) \quad Q(X_n) \leq Q(a) - cn + \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Let  $g_n(x) = Q(x) - Q(a) + cn$ . As  $Q$  is a non-decreasing function from (3.4) we obtain

$$\text{Prob}(X_n < x) \geq \text{Prob}(Q(X_n) < Q(x)) \geq \text{Prob}(\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n < g_n(x)).$$

Since  $\mathbf{1}_{(a, \infty)}(x)$  is the distribution function of the random variable  $X_0$ , the function  $S^n \mathbf{1}_{(a, \infty)}(x)$  is the distribution function of  $X_n$ . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} S^n \mathbf{1}_{[0, a]}(x) &= S^n \mathbf{1}_{[0, \infty)}(x) - S^n \mathbf{1}_{(a, \infty)}(x) \\ &\leq 1 - \text{Prob}(X_n < x) \leq \text{Prob}(\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n \geq g_n(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Chebyshev inequality we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad S^n \mathbf{1}_{[0, a]}(x) \leq \frac{nE\xi_1}{g_n(x)}.$$

If  $\gamma > Q(a) - c$  and  $Q(x) > \gamma n$  from (3.5) it follows that

$$S^n \mathbf{1}_{[0, a]}(x) \leq \frac{nE\xi_1}{Q(x) - Q(a) + cn} \leq \frac{E\xi_1}{\gamma - Q(a) + c}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 2 immediately yields

COROLLARY 1. For every  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$  there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that

$$(3.6) \quad S^n \mathbf{1}_{[0, a]}(x) < b \quad \text{if } Q(x) \geq \gamma n \text{ and } n \geq 1.$$

Let  $m$  denote the Lebesgue measure on  $[0, \infty)$ .

LEMMA 3. If  $m(\text{supp } h) = \infty$ , then for every  $a > 0$  the sequence  $(S^n \mathbf{1}_{[0, a]})$  is uniformly convergent to 0.

PROOF. Let  $F_n(x) = S^n \mathbf{1}_{[0,a]}(x)$  and  $\beta_n = \sup\{F_n(x) : x \geq 0\}$ . Since  $F_n(x) \leq \beta_n$  and

$$S^{n+1} \mathbf{1}_{[0,a]}(x) = SF_n(x) \leq \beta_n S \mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)}(x) \leq \beta_n,$$

the sequence  $(\beta_n)$  is decreasing. Let  $\beta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n$ . We show that  $\beta = 0$ . Suppose, by contradiction, that  $\beta > 0$ . Let

$$\eta(y) = \sup \left\{ \int_A h(x) dx : m(A) \leq y, A \text{ measurable} \right\}$$

and

$$A_n = \{x \in [0, \infty) : F_n(x) \geq \beta/2\}, \quad A'_n = [0, \infty) \setminus A_n.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n+1}(x) &\leq \beta_n \int_0^{\lambda(x)} Q'(y) h(Q(\lambda(x)) - Q(y)) \mathbf{1}_{A_n}(y) dy \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_0^{\lambda(x)} Q'(y) h(Q(\lambda(x)) - Q(y)) \mathbf{1}_{A'_n}(y) dy \\ &\leq (\beta_n - \beta/2) \eta(m(Q(A_n))) + \beta/2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\beta_{n+1} \leq (\beta_n - \beta/2) \eta(m(Q(A_n))) + \beta/2$$

and consequently

$$\eta(m(Q(A_n))) \geq \frac{\beta_{n+1} - \beta/2}{\beta_n - \beta/2}.$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta(m(Q(A_n))) = 1.$$

Since  $m(\text{supp } h) = \infty$ , we have  $\eta(y) < 1$  for every  $y > 0$ . From (3.7) it follows that

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(Q(A_n)) = \infty.$$

Now, according to Corollary 1, there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that

$$F_n(x) < \beta/2 \quad \text{if } Q(x) \geq \gamma n, n \geq 1.$$

Let  $x_n$  be a positive constant such that  $Q(x_n) = \gamma n$ . Then

$$F_k(x) < \beta/2 \quad \text{if } x \geq x_n \text{ and } k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Thus  $A_k \subset [0, x_n]$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $\mathbf{1}_{A_k} \leq (2/\beta) F_k \mathbf{1}_{[0, x_n]}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , from (3.1) it follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \int_{A_k} Q'(t) dt \leq \frac{2}{\beta} \int_0^{x_n} Q'(t) \left( \sum_{k=1}^n F_k(t) \right) dt = \frac{2\delta(a)}{\beta} Q(x_n) = \frac{2\gamma\delta(a)n}{\beta}.$$

This implies that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n m(Q(A_k)) \leq \frac{2\gamma\delta(a)}{\beta},$$

which contradicts (3.8). ■

Now observe that Theorem 1 is a simple consequence of the following lemma.

LEMMA 4. *Assume that  $m(\text{supp } h) = \infty$ . If  $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function such that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = 0$ , then  $(S^n F)$  is uniformly convergent to 0.*

PROOF. Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = 0$ , there exist  $m > 0$  and  $a_\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $|F(x)| < \varepsilon$  for  $x \geq a_\varepsilon$  and  $|F(x)| \leq m$  for  $x \geq 0$ . From (2.2) it follows that  $S$  is a positive operator such that  $S\mathbf{1}_{[0, \infty)} \leq \mathbf{1}_{[0, \infty)}$ . Since

$$|F(x)| \leq m\mathbf{1}_{[0, a_\varepsilon]}(x) + \varepsilon\mathbf{1}_{[a_\varepsilon, \infty)}(x),$$

we have

$$|S^n F(x)| \leq S^n \mathbf{1}_{[0, a_\varepsilon]}(x) + \varepsilon.$$

Lemma 3 implies that  $(S^n F)$  is uniformly convergent on  $[0, \infty)$ . ■

Now we give the proof of Theorem 2. Let  $L_0^1 = \{f \in L^1 : \int_0^\infty f(x) dx = 0\}$ . Since  $P^n$  is a linear operator, condition (2.6) is equivalent to  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P^n f\| = 0$  for  $f \in L_0^1$ . Denote by  $M$  the subset of  $L_0^1$  which contains all functions satisfying the following condition:

- there exists  $x_0 > 0$  such that  $f(x) \geq 0$  for  $x \leq x_0$  and  $f(x) \leq 0$  for  $x > x_0$ .

LEMMA 5. *The set  $M$  is linearly dense in  $L_0^1$ .*

PROOF. It is sufficient to show that each  $f \in L_0^1$  is a difference of two functions from  $M$ . Let  $f_+ = \max(f, 0)$ ,  $f_- = \max(-f, 0)$  and  $x_0 > 0$  be a constant such that  $\int_0^{x_0} |f(x)| dx = \|f\|/2$ . Then the functions  $f_1 = f_+ \mathbf{1}_{[0, x_0]} - f_- \mathbf{1}_{(x_0, \infty)}$  and  $f_2 = f_- \mathbf{1}_{[0, x_0]} - f_+ \mathbf{1}_{(x_0, \infty)}$  satisfy  $f_1 \in M$ ,  $f_2 \in M$  and  $f = f_1 - f_2$ . ■

LEMMA 6. *We have  $P(M) \subset M$ .*

PROOF. Let  $f \in M$ . Let  $x_0 > 0$  be such that  $f(x) \geq 0$  for  $x \leq x_0$  and  $f(x) \leq 0$  for  $x > x_0$ . Let  $y_0$  be such that  $\lambda(y_0) = x_0$ . Then  $Pf(x) \geq 0$  for  $x \leq y_0$ . Let  $z_0 > y_0$  be such that  $Pf(z_0) = 0$  and  $Pf(x) \geq 0$  for  $x \leq y_0$ . Let  $a = Q^{-1}(Q(\lambda(z_0)) - \bar{x})$ . Since

$$(3.9) \quad Pf(x) \leq \lambda'(x) Q'(\lambda(x)) \int_0^a e^{-\varphi(Q(\lambda(x)) - Q(y))} f(y) dy$$

for  $x \geq z_0$  it is sufficient to check that

$$(3.10) \quad \int_0^a e^{-\varphi(Q(\lambda(x))-Q(y))} f(y) dy \leq 0$$

for  $x \geq z_0$ . Define an auxiliary function

$$(3.11) \quad g(t) = \int_0^a e^{-\varphi(\bar{x}+Q(a+t)-Q(y))} f(y) dy.$$

Then

$$(3.12) \quad g'(t) = -Q'(a+t) \int_0^a \varphi'(\bar{x}+Q(a+t)-Q(y)) e^{-\varphi(\bar{x}+Q(a+t)-Q(y))} f(y) dy.$$

Since  $\varphi'$  is non-decreasing and  $f(x) \geq 0$  for  $x \leq x_0$  and  $f(x) \leq 0$  for  $x > x_0$  from (3.12) it follows that

$$(3.13) \quad g'(t) \leq -Q'(\bar{x} + a + t) \int_0^a \varphi'(\bar{x} + Q(a + t) - Q(x_0)) e^{-\varphi(\bar{x} + Q(a + t) - Q(y))} f(y) dy.$$

Set  $\psi(t) = -Q'(a + t)\varphi'(\bar{x} + Q(a + t) - Q(x_0))$ . Then  $g(t)$  satisfies the differential inequality

$$g'(t) \leq \psi(t)g(t)$$

and  $g(0) = 0$ . This implies that  $g(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \geq 0$ . Consequently, inequality (3.10) holds. ■

*Proof of Theorem 2.* According to Lemma 5 it is sufficient to check that the sequence  $(P^n f)$  converges to zero in  $L^1$  for  $f \in M$ . Let  $f \in M$ . From Lemma 6 we have  $P^n f \in M$  for  $n \geq 1$  and, consequently, there exists a sequence  $(x_n)$  such that  $P^n f(x) \geq 0$  for  $x \leq x_n$  and  $P^n f(x) \leq 0$  for  $x > x_n$ . This implies that

$$\|P^n f\| = 2 \int_0^{x_n} P^n f(t) dt = S^n F(x_n),$$

where  $F(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$ . From Lemma 4 it follows that the sequence  $S^n F$  converges uniformly to zero. ■

**Acknowledgements.** This research was supported by the State Committee for Scientific Research (Poland) Grant No. 2 P03A 010 16.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. F. Barnsley, *Fractals Everywhere*, Acad. Press, New York, 1988.
- [2] K. Baron and A. Lasota, *Asymptotic properties of Markov operators defined by Volterra type integrals*, Ann. Polon. Math. 58 (1993), 161–175.



- [3] C. J. K. Batty, Z. Brzeźniak and D. A. Greenfield, *A quantitative asymptotic theorem for contraction semigroups with countable unitary spectrum*, *Studia Math.* 121 (1996), 167–183.
- [4] S. R. Foguel, *The Ergodic Theory of Markov Processes*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1969.
- [5] —, *Harris operators*, *Israel J. Math.* 33 (1979), 281–309.
- [6] H. Gacki and A. Lasota, *Markov operators defined by Volterra type integrals with advanced argument*, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 51 (1990), 155–166.
- [7] A. Iwanik, *Baire category of mixing for stochastic operators*, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl.* 28 (1992), 201–217.
- [8] T. Komorowski and J. Tyrcha, *Asymptotic properties of some Markov operators*, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.* 37 (1989), 221–228.
- [9] A. Lasota and M. C. Mackey, *Chaos, Fractals and Noise. Stochastic Aspects of Dynamics*, *Appl. Math. Sci.* 97, Springer, New York, 1994.
- [10] —, —, *Global asymptotic properties of proliferating cell populations*, *J. Math. Biol.* 19 (1984), 43–62.
- [11] A. Lasota, M. C. Mackey and J. Tyrcha, *The statistical dynamics of recurrent biological events*, *ibid.* 30 (1992), 775–800.
- [12] M. Lin, *Mixing for Markov operators*, *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* 19 (1971), 231–242.
- [13] K. Loskot and R. Rudnicki, *Sweeping of some integral operators*, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.* 37 (1989), 229–235.
- [14] J. van Neerven, *The Asymptotic Behaviour of a Semigroup of Linear Operators*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996.
- [15] E. Nummelin, *General Irreducible Markov Chains and Non-Negative Operators*, *Cambridge Tracts in Math.* 83, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1984.
- [16] R. Rudnicki, *Stability in  $L^1$  of some integral operators*, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 24 (1996), 320–327.
- [17] —, *On asymptotic stability and sweeping for Markov operators*, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.* 43 (1995), 245–262.
- [18] J. Tyrcha, *Asymptotic stability in a generalized probabilistic/deterministic model of the cell cycle*, *J. Math. Biol.* 26 (1988), 465–475.
- [19] J. J. Tyson, *Mini review: Size control of cell division*, *J. Theoret. Biol.* 120 (1987), 381–391.
- [20] J. J. Tyson and K. B. Hannsgen, *Global asymptotic stability of the size distribution in probabilistic models of the cell cycle*, *J. Math. Biol.* 22 (1985), 61–68.
- [21] —, —, *Cell growth and division: A deterministic/probabilistic model of the cell cycle*, *ibid.* 23 (1986), 231–246.

Institute of Mathematics  
Polish Academy of Sciences  
Bankowa 14  
40-007 Katowice, Poland

Institute of Mathematics  
Silesian University  
Bankowa 14  
40-007 Katowice, Poland  
E-mail: rudnicki@ux2.math.us.edu.pl

*Received 27 July 1999;*  
*revised 14 January 2000*

(3797)