

COMPLEXITY OF THE CLASS OF PEANO FUNCTIONS

BY

K. OMILJANOWSKI (WROCLAW), S. SOLECKI (BLOOMINGTON, IN)
AND J. M. ZIELINSKI (ST. LOUIS, MO)

Abstract. We evaluate the descriptive set theoretic complexity of the space of continuous surjections from \mathbb{R}^m to \mathbb{R}^n .

The stimulus for our research comes from certain problems in topology concerning the homogeneity of locally compact separable metric spaces. Starting with a paper of Effros [2] several results appeared (see, for example, papers by Charatonik, Maćkowiak and Krupski [1], [5]) establishing that in certain situations homogeneity of X with respect to a family M of continuous selfmaps of X implies strong forms of homogeneity with respect to M . (Typically one tries to prove that given $a, b \in X$, for some $f \in M$ with $f(a) = b$, almost all points, in the sense of category, which are close to b are of the form $g(a)$ where $g \in M$ is close to f .) The possibility of proving such theorems without invoking strong axioms of set theory depends on the family M being Borel or analytic. Many natural families M were proved Borel by Krupski [5]. In this context, he asked (personal communication) whether the family of all continuous surjections from a locally compact, separable, metric space onto itself is Borel. We prove here that this is not the case for \mathbb{R}^2 . More generally, we evaluate the descriptive set theoretic complexity of the class

$$\text{Peano}(m, n) = \{f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \mid f \text{ is continuous and onto}\}.$$

This is a subset of $C(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n)$, the space of all continuous function from \mathbb{R}^m to \mathbb{R}^n , which is given the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets, also called the compact-open topology. This topology is Polish.

For a class Γ of subsets of Polish spaces which is closed under taking continuous preimages, a set $A \subseteq X$, X Polish, is called Γ *hard* if for any zero-dimensional Polish space Y and any $B \subseteq Y$, $B \in \Gamma$, there exists a continuous function $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$, called a *reduction*, such that $y \in B$ if, and only if, $\phi(y) \in A$ for $y \in Y$. Moreover, A is said to be Γ *complete* if $A \in \Gamma$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 03E15, 54H05, 26A21.

and A is Γ hard. Note that to show that A is Γ complete it is enough to find a set B which is known to be Γ complete and a continuous reduction of B to A . Note also that since there are co-analytic sets which are not analytic (or, equivalently, not Borel) a co-analytic complete set is necessarily not analytic and so not Borel. Similarly a G_δ complete set is not F_σ .

For a compact space X we denote by $K(X)$ the compact space of all compact nonempty subsets of X with the Vietoris topology (see [4, Section 4F]). By \mathcal{C} we denote the Cantor set $2^\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$. We identify it, via the usual construction, with a subset of the interval $[0, 1]$. For a countable set X , by identifying $\mathcal{P}(X)$ with $2^X = \{0, 1\}^X$ we can endow $\mathcal{P}(X)$ with the product topology. If X is infinite, this space is homeomorphic to \mathcal{C} , hence Polish. We can thus also assign a topology to the space $\text{Tr}(\mathbb{N})$ of all trees on \mathbb{N} since $\text{Tr}(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}}$. (A set $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$ is a *tree* if for each $t \in T$ each initial segment of t belongs to T .) Since $\text{Tr}(\mathbb{N})$ is closed in $2^{\mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}}$, this topology is Polish. A tree $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$ is *well-founded* if there is no $x \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ with $x|n \in T$ for each n . We will use the standard result that: *the set WF of all well-founded trees is co-analytic complete.* (For a review of Polish spaces and descriptive set theory, including Borel, analytic, and co-analytic sets, see [4].)

THEOREM 1. (i) $\text{Peano}(m, 1)$ is G_δ complete.
(ii) For $n \geq 2$, $\text{Peano}(m, n)$ is co-analytic complete.

Proof. By definition,

$$\text{Peano}(m, n) = \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n) \mid \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n \exists x \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{ such that } f(x) = y\}.$$

Thus $\text{Peano}(m, n)$ is co-analytic being the co-projection of the countable union of closed sets S_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$S_k = \{(f, y) \in C(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists x \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{ such that } |x| \leq k \text{ and } f(x) = y\}.$$

Now we show that $\text{Peano}(m, 1)$ is G_δ . For a continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$f \in \text{Peano}(m, 1) \Leftrightarrow \forall k \in \mathbb{N} (\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^m f(x) > k \text{ and } \exists x \in \mathbb{R}^m f(x) < -k).$$

The condition $f(x) > k$ defines an open set in $C(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^m$. Since projections preserve open sets, $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^m f(x) > k$ defines an open set in $C(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ as does $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^m f(x) < -k$. So $\text{Peano}(m, 1)$ is G_δ .

Peano functions were first studied with a compact domain and range, like the closed unit interval and square. The proof above shows that in such a setting, the class of all Peano functions is closed.

To complete the proof of (i), we will continuously reduce to $\text{Peano}(m, 1)$ the set

$$N_2 = \{x \in \mathcal{C} \mid x(n) = 1 \text{ for infinitely many } n\},$$

which is known to be G_δ complete [4, p. 179]. We will carry this out only for Peano(1, 1), as the extension to higher dimensions is straightforward. To do so, we define $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ which sends any $x \in \mathcal{C}$ to the unique function f such that

1. for all negative integers z , $f(z) = z$,
2. for all nonnegative integers n , $f(n) = n \cdot x(n)$,
3. f is linear between successive integers.

Clearly, $x \in N_2 \Leftrightarrow \phi(x) \in \text{Peano}(1, 1)$. The map ϕ is continuous, since if $(x_n) \rightarrow x$ in \mathcal{C} , then for any compact interval I in \mathbb{R} , $\phi(x_n)|I = \phi(x)|I$ for large enough n .

To finish the proof we only need to show that for all $n \geq 2$, Peano(m, n) is co-analytic hard. To simplify notation we will carry it out only for Peano(1, 2). We will present two arguments for it. In the first one we will reduce WF to Peano(1, 2). The reduction we will produce will not be continuous but merely Borel. However, Kechris [3] has recently shown that if a set is co-analytic complete with respect to Borel reductions, then it is co-analytic complete with respect to continuous reductions. Moreover, one can easily see directly that a co-analytic set complete with respect to Borel reductions is not Borel. The second argument will continuously reduce the so-called Hurewicz set.

ARGUMENT 1

LEMMA 1. *The set $\mathcal{A} = \{(K_n) \in K(\mathcal{C})^\mathbb{N} \mid \bigcup_n K_n = \mathcal{C}\}$ is co-analytic hard.*

PROOF. This seems to be a folklore result in descriptive set theory, yet it is so directly relevant to our work that we sketch a proof.

For X a set of finite sequences of 0's and 1's, i.e., $X \in 2^{2^{<\mathbb{N}}}$, let

$$G(X) = \{c \in \mathcal{C} \mid (c(0), \dots, c(n-1)) \in X \text{ for infinitely many } n\}.$$

First we need to see that the set $\mathcal{B} = \{X \in 2^{2^{<\mathbb{N}}} \mid G(X) = \emptyset\}$ is co-analytic hard. Let 0^n be the sequence consisting of n zeros. For any finite sequence $a \in \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of length n let $\phi(a) = 0^{a(0)}10^{a(1)}1 \dots 0^{a(n-1)}1$ (in particular, $\phi(\emptyset) = \emptyset$). The function $\tilde{\phi} : \text{Tr}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow 2^{2^{<\mathbb{N}}}$ which continuously reduces WF to \mathcal{B} is now defined by $\tilde{\phi}(T) = \{\phi(t) : t \in T\}$.

Now we will find a Borel reduction of \mathcal{B} to \mathcal{A} . For all $X \subset 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$K_X^k = \{c \in \mathcal{C} \mid (c(0), \dots, c(n-1)) \in X \text{ for at most } k \text{ } n\text{'s}\}.$$

It is easy to see that each K_X^k is compact. We now define $\psi : 2^{2^{<\mathbb{N}}} \rightarrow K(\mathcal{C})^\mathbb{N}$ by

$$\psi(X) = (K_X^k).$$

It is straightforward to check that ψ reduces \mathcal{B} to \mathcal{A} . Showing that ψ is Borel is also not difficult and we leave it to the reader.

Our intention now is to construct a continuous reduction

$$\phi : K(\mathcal{C})^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)$$

of \mathcal{A} to Peano(1, 2). This will finish the proof by Lemma 1. Let g be a continuous surjection from $(-\infty, -1]$ onto $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (\mathcal{C} \times \{0\})$ with $g(-1) = (-1, 1)$. For $K \in K(\mathcal{C})$ let $f_K : [-1, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be given by

$$f_K(x) = (x, \min\{|x - a| : a \in K\}) \quad \text{for } \min K \leq x \leq \max K$$

and let it be linear on $[-1, \min K]$ and $[\max K, 2]$ and such that $f_K(-1) = (-1, 1)$ and $f_K(2) = (2, 1)$.

Let $\phi((K_n)) = f_{(K_n)} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be given by

$$f_{(K_n)}(x) = \begin{cases} g(x) & \text{for } x \in (-\infty, -1], \\ f_{K_n}(x - 6n) & \text{for } x \in [6n - 1, 6n + 2], n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ (6n + 4 - x, 1) & \text{for } x \in [6n + 2, 6n + 5], n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Observe that $(\mathcal{C} \times \{0\}) \cap f_{(K_n)}[\mathbb{R}] = (\bigcup_n K_n) \times \{0\}$, so $f_{(K_n)}$ is a surjection iff $(K_n) \in \mathcal{A}$, hence ϕ is a reduction. It is not hard to verify that it is continuous.

ARGUMENT 2. This proof of co-analytic hardness of Peano(1, 2) uses co-analytic hardness of *Hurewicz's set*

$$\mathcal{H} = \{K \in K([0, 1]) : K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\}.$$

For a proof of this fact see [4, 27.4].

It is enough to construct a continuous map

$$\phi : K([0, 1]) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)$$

such that $\phi(K)$ is in Peano(1, 2) iff $K \in \mathcal{H}$.

First let us fix some auxiliary maps:

The set $W = (\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus [0, 1] \times \{0\}) \cup (\mathbb{Q} \times \{0\})$ is a countable union of locally connected continua and it is arcwise connected hence there exists a continuous surjection $g : (-\infty, -1] \rightarrow W$ with $g(-1) = (-1, 0)$. Let $h : [0, 3] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be defined by the formula $h(x) = (2 - x, |3/2 - x| - 3/2)$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $K \in K([0, 1])$ define $f_{K,n} : [-1, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$f_{K,n}(x) = (x, \max\{0, 1 - 2^n \min\{|x - a| : a \in K\}\}).$$

Roughly speaking: for fixed K and for large n the image of $f_{K,n}$ looks like an approximation of the graph of the characteristic function of K .

Finally, we define $\phi(K) = f_K : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ as follows:

$$f_K(x) = \begin{cases} g(x) & \text{for } x \in (-\infty, -1], \\ f_{K,n}(x - 6n) & \text{for } x \in [6n - 1, 6n + 2], n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ h(x - 6n - 2) & \text{for } x \in [6n + 2, 6n + 5], n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Now, it is easy to check that

$$([0, 1] \times \{0\}) \cap f_K(\mathbb{R}) = ((\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]) \cup ([0, 1] \setminus K)) \times \{0\},$$

so f_K is a surjection iff $K \subset \mathbb{Q}$. Note that the family $\{f_K\}_{K \in K([0,1])}$ is equicontinuous. It is now not hard to verify that ϕ has all the desired properties.

Acknowledgments. The third author would like to thank the Mathematics Department of Indiana University at Bloomington, especially Professors Allan Edmonds and Daniel Maki, for organizing and sponsoring the 1998 Research Experience for Undergraduates.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. J. Charatonik and T. Maćkowiak, *Around Effros' theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 298 (1986), 579–602.
- [2] E. G. Effros, *Transformation groups and C^* -algebras*, Ann. of Math. 81 (1965), 38–55.
- [3] A. S. Kechris, *On the concept of Π_1^1 -completeness*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997), 1811–1814.
- [4] —, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Springer, 1995.
- [5] P. Krupski, *Homogeneity and Cantor manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 109 (1990), 1135–1142.

Institute of Mathematics
University of Wrocław
50-384 Wrocław, Poland
E-mail: komil@math.uni.wroc.pl

Department of Mathematics
Washington University
St. Louis, MO 63130, U.S.A.
E-mail: jmzielin@artsci.wustl.edu

Department of Mathematics
Indiana University
Bloomington, IN 47405, U.S.A.
E-mail: ssolecki@indiana.edu

Received 6 January 1999;
revised 31 August 1999

(3690)