

## ON TUBES FOR BLOCKS OF WILD TYPE

BY

KARIN ERDMANN (OXFORD)

**Abstract.** We show that any block of a group algebra of some finite group which is of wild representation type has many families of stable tubes.

The Auslander–Reiten quiver is an important homological invariant of a finite-dimensional algebra. We are interested in the Auslander–Reiten quiver of a block  $B$  of a group algebra  $kG$  where  $G$  is a finite group, and  $k$  is any field of characteristic  $p > 0$ ; especially when the block  $B$  has wild representation type. By [6] (and [10]), any component of the stable Auslander–Reiten quiver of  $B$  is either of the form  $\mathbb{Z}A_\infty$  or a tube.

Here we are concerned with the existence of tubes; one can deduce from [3] that any block of infinite type has at least one tube. In the present paper we show that the stable Auslander–Reiten quiver of a block of wild type has a large number of tubes. We exhibit a family of tubes parametrized as  $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$ , where  $\lambda \in k^s$  for  $s = p - 1$  if  $p > 2$ , and  $s \geq 2$  if  $p = 2$ , consisting of absolutely indecomposable modules. We note that for blocks of tame type, there are only 1-parameter tube families.

This is in contrast with other classes of finite-dimensional algebras. For example, let  $A$  be a connected algebra which is hereditary of infinite type. Then the stable Auslander–Reiten quiver of  $A$  has tubes if and only if  $A$  is tame. Further results may be found in [12].

For general results on algebras, we refer to [2]; for general properties of group representations, see [7].

**1. Preliminaries.** Assume that  $G$  is a finite group and  $k$  is a field of characteristic  $p$ . We work with  $kG$ -modules. Recall that  $kG$  is a symmetric algebra and therefore the Auslander–Reiten translation  $\tau$  is isomorphic to  $\Omega^2$ . We denote the Auslander–Reiten sequence  $0 \rightarrow \tau(X) \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$  by  $\mathcal{A}(X)$ , and the *quasi-length* of a module is the number of the row of the component to which it belongs if this has tree class  $A_\infty$ .

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 20C20, 16G70, 16G60.

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . If  $M$  is a  $kH$ -module then we denote the induced module  $M \otimes_{kH} kG$  by  $M^G$ , and if  $W$  is a  $kG$ -module then the restriction of  $W$  to  $kH$  is written as  $W \downarrow_H$ . Recall that a  $G$ -module  $W$  is  $H$ -projective ( $G$  arbitrary,  $H$  any subgroup) if there is some  $kH$ -module  $X$  such that  $W$  is a direct summand of  $X^G$ . We also recall that a  $kG$ -module  $M$  is *absolutely indecomposable* if for all finite extension fields  $F$  of  $k$ , the  $FG$ -module  $M \otimes_k F$  is indecomposable.

The following is now well known; see [11], Cor. 9.4, p. 155.

**1.1. LEMMA.** *Let  $W$  be an indecomposable non-projective  $kG$ -module, and let  $W$  have Auslander–Reiten sequence*

$$\mathcal{A}(W) : 0 \rightarrow \tau(W) \rightarrow X \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0.$$

*If  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  then  $\mathcal{A}(W) \downarrow_H$  splits if and only if  $W$  is not  $H$ -projective.*

**1.2.** If  $W$  is indecomposable then a *vertex* of  $W$  is defined to be a minimal subgroup  $H$  such that  $W$  is  $H$ -projective. It is well known that vertices of  $W$  are unique up to conjugation and that they are  $p$ -subgroups.

If  $B$  is a block of  $kG$  recall that a *defect group* of the block is a subgroup  $D$  of  $G$  which is minimal such that all modules in  $B$  are  $D$ -projective. Then  $D$  is unique up to conjugation and it is a  $p$ -group.

The block is of wild representation type if and only if a defect group  $D$  is not cyclic or dihedral, semidihedral, or quaternion [5]. We shall use the fact that then  $D$  has a subgroup which is either elementary abelian of order  $p^2$  (if  $p > 2$ ), or non-cyclic abelian of order 8 (if  $p = 2$ ).

**1.3.** Assume that  $H = \langle x, y \rangle$ , elementary abelian of order  $p^2$ . We will construct a  $k^{p-1}$ -family of periodic modules of dimension  $p$  and  $\tau$ -period one.

Take a  $p$ -dimensional vector space; we define a representation on this space by specifying two commuting matrices  $X, Y$  of size  $p \times p$  such that  $X^p = 0$  and  $Y^p = 0$  (where  $X, Y$  represent  $x - 1, y - 1$  respectively). For  $X$  we take the indecomposable Jordan block with eigenvalue 0. Then for  $Y$  we can take any polynomial in  $X$  with zero constant term. Take  $Y$  to be a polynomial in  $X$  of degree  $\leq p - 1$  with constant term zero. We label this as  $M_\lambda$  where  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{p-1}) \in k^{p-1}$  if  $Y = \sum \lambda_i X^i$ . Then  $M_\lambda \cong M_\mu$  if and only if  $\lambda = \mu$ . By considering the restriction to  $\langle x \rangle$  it is clear that any such module is absolutely indecomposable.

We claim that  $\tau(M_\lambda) \cong M_\lambda$ . This can be seen by general theory of varieties (the support variety of the module is a line; and for group algebras of abelian groups, all periodic modules have  $\Omega$ -period at most two).

Alternatively, it is easy to prove it directly. Let  $\zeta \in kH$  be the element

$$\zeta = Y - \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \lambda_i X^i$$

(with  $\lambda_0 = 1$ ). One checks that  $\zeta$  generates the annihilator of  $M_\lambda$ , i.e. we can identify  $\Omega(M_\lambda)$  with  $\zeta kH$ . Moreover,  $\zeta^{p-1} kH \cong M_\lambda$  and  $\zeta^{p-1}$  generates the annihilator of  $\zeta kH$ . So  $\Omega^2(M_\lambda) \cong M_\lambda$ .

**1.4.** Now assume that  $p = 2$  and  $H = \langle x, y, z \rangle$  is elementary abelian of order 8. We will similarly construct a family of absolutely indecomposable modules with  $\tau(M) \cong M$  of dimension 4.

We start off by ensuring that the action of  $\langle x, y \rangle$  is free (then  $M$  is absolutely indecomposable and will have  $\tau$ -period 1, similarly to 1.3). So let  $X, Y$  be the matrices

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & J \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

where  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $I$  is the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix. Then to get a representation of  $H$  we need a matrix  $Z$  with square zero which commutes with  $X$  and  $Y$ . Take  $Z = \lambda_1 X + \lambda_2 Y + \lambda_3 XY$  for  $\lambda_i \in k$ , and denote the module by  $M_\lambda$  where  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ . As in 1.3 the isomorphism type is parametrized by  $\lambda \in k^3$ . The module has  $\Omega$ -period one and lies in a 1-tube and is absolutely indecomposable.

**1.5.** Now assume that  $p = 2$  and  $H$  is a group isomorphic to  $C_2 \times C_4$ , say  $H = \langle x, y \rangle$  with  $x$  of order 2 and  $y$  of order 4. We will construct a similar family of modules with  $\tau$ -period one. Take a space of dimension four. We let  $y$  act freely, so we take for  $Y$  (representing  $y - 1$ ) the indecomposable Jordan block of size 4 with eigenvalue 0. Then we let  $X$  act as  $\lambda_1 Y^2 + \lambda_2 Y^3$ , and  $X$  represents  $x - 1$ . This is a representation and the isomorphism types are parametrized by  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in k^2$ . The module has  $\Omega$ -period one and lies therefore in a 1-tube, and it is absolutely indecomposable.

**2. The  $p$ -group case.** Assume that  $G$  is a  $p$ -group. Then the group algebra  $kG$  is indecomposable, hence is a block. We assume that it is of wild representation type, so  $G$  is not cyclic or dihedral, semidihedral, or generalized quaternion (since  $G$  is a defect group). In this situation the trivial module, the only simple module, is not periodic; this is well known for example from group cohomology. Hence all tubes are stable.

The advantage of working in this situation is that Green's Theorem is available. Namely, if  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  and  $M$  is an absolutely indecomposable  $kH$ -module then the induced module  $M^G$  is absolutely indecomposable (see [7], Ch. VII). Note that if some indecomposable module in a tube

is absolutely indecomposable then so are all modules in this tube. Therefore we can assume in this section that the field is algebraically closed.

Recall that  $kG$  is free as a module over  $kH$ , which implies that inducing commutes with  $\Omega$  and then also with  $\tau$ .

**2.1.** For the rest of Section 2 we assume that  $H \triangleleft G$ , that is,  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $H \neq G$ . If  $M$  is any  $kH$ -module and  $g \in G \setminus H$  then the conjugate  $M^g := M \otimes g \subset M^G$  is an  $H$ -module. Let  $I(M)$  be the stabilizer of  $M$ , that is,  $I(M) = \{g \in G : M^g \cong M\}$ . Then we have

$$M^G \downarrow_H = (M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_s)^a$$

where the  $M_i$  are the pairwise non-isomorphic conjugates, and where  $sa = [G : H]$  and  $s = [G : I(M)]$ . (For any module  $X$  we denote by  $X^a$  the direct sum of  $a$  copies of  $X$ ). We say that  $M$  is  $G$ -invariant if  $I(M) = G$ . The group also acts by conjugation on the Auslander–Reiten components of  $H$ , which induces a graph automorphism. Clearly, if  $M$  belongs to a tube then  $I(M)$  is also the stabilizer of the component.

**2.2. LEMMA** ([4], (1.7)). *Let  $k$  be algebraically closed, let  $H$  be a normal subgroup of a  $p$ -group  $G$  and assume that  $M$  is an indecomposable non-projective  $H$ -module which is  $G$ -invariant. Then*

$$\mathcal{A}(M^G) \downarrow_H \cong \mathcal{A}(M) \oplus \mathcal{E}$$

where  $\mathcal{E}$  is split. In particular,  $\mathcal{A}(M^G) \neq \mathcal{A}(M)^G$ .

Let  $M$  be an indecomposable  $kH$ -module such that  $I(M) = H$ , the other extreme. Then we have  $\mathcal{A}(M^G) = \mathcal{A}(M)^G$ , by [4], (2.1); and then the same holds for all modules in the component of  $M$ .

**2.3.** We shall frequently use the following properties of some indecomposable  $G$ -module  $X$ .

(1) If  $X \downarrow_H$  is not a direct sum of  $[G : H]$  conjugates of some module then  $X$  is not  $H$ -projective. For otherwise there is some indecomposable  $H$ -module  $Y$  such that  $X = Y^G$  and then the restriction of  $X$  to  $H$  is a summand of  $Y^G \downarrow_H$  which is a sum of  $[G : H]$  conjugates.

By similar arguments one has:

(2) Suppose  $X \downarrow_H = \oplus M$  where  $M$  is indecomposable. If  $X$  is  $H$ -projective then  $X \cong M^G$ .

Assume  $\Theta$  is a component of  $H$  whose stable part consists of modules of  $\tau$ -period one. If  $H$  is cyclic, let  $U_s \in \Theta$  have dimension  $s$ , for  $1 \leq s \leq |H|$ . Then  $U_{|H|}$  is projective. Otherwise  $\Theta$  is a stable 1-tube. Let  $U_t$  have quasi-length  $t$ , for  $t \geq 1$  (cf. [9]). (Note that if  $H$  is quaternion then the only unstable component is a 2-tube.)

Let  $M = U_s$  be non-projective. Then  $M^G$  is indecomposable, with  $\tau(M^G) \cong M^G$ . Since  $G$  is not cyclic the component  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $M^G$  is a 1-tube. So let  $E_t$  be the module in the component of  $M^G$  which has quasi-length  $t$ . If  $M^G = E_r$  then the AR-sequence of  $M^G$  is of the form

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow M^G \rightarrow E_{r+1} \oplus E_{r-1} \rightarrow M^G \rightarrow 0$$

(with  $E_{r-1} = 0$  if  $r = 1$ ).

**2.4. PROPOSITION.** *Assume  $H \triangleleft G$  and  $\Theta$  is a component of  $H$  whose stable part consists of absolutely indecomposable modules with  $\tau$ -period one. If  $M \in \Theta$  then  $M^G$  lies in a 1-tube. Moreover, one of the following holds:*

- (a) *The component of  $M^G$  contains all modules  $(U_s)^G$  with  $U_s \in \Theta$ , and  $\text{ql}(U_s)^G = rs$  where  $1 < r \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . The component  $\Theta$  is a tube, and  $H$  is not cyclic.*
- (b) *For any  $M$  in  $\Theta$ ,  $M^G$  has quasi-length which properly divides the index  $[G : H]$ . No two modules  $M^G$  for  $M$  in  $\Theta$  lie in the same component. No further module in any of these components is  $H$ -projective.*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed. Let  $M = U_s$  and  $M^G = E_r$ , with the above notation. By 2.2 and (\*) we have  $(E_{r-1} \oplus E_{r+1}) \downarrow_H \cong U \oplus M^d$  and  $d = 2[G : H] - 2 = 2p^m - 2$ . We set

$$E_{r-1} \downarrow_H = U' \oplus M^a, \quad E_{r+1} \downarrow_H = U'' \oplus M^{d-a}$$

with  $U' \oplus U'' \cong U$  (where  $U'$  could be zero), and  $0 \leq a \leq d$ .

For each  $t$  define  $c(t) \geq 0$  to be the integer such that  $E_t \downarrow_H \cong W \oplus M^{c(t)}$  and that  $W$  does not have a summand isomorphic to  $M$ .

(i) By 2.3,  $E_{r+1}$  cannot be  $H$ -projective and hence  $\mathcal{A}(E_{r+1}) \downarrow_H$  splits. We deduce that

$$(E_{r+2} \oplus E_r) \downarrow_H = (U'')^2 \oplus M^{2(d-a)}$$

and since  $E_r \downarrow_H = M^{p^m}$  we have

$$E_{r+2} \downarrow_H = (U'')^2 \oplus M^{2(d-a)-p^m},$$

that is,  $c(r+2) = 2(d-a) - p^m$ . If  $c(r+2) \neq 0$  then  $E_{r+2}$  cannot be  $H$ -projective and by the same argument we get  $E_{r+3} \downarrow_H = (U'')^3 \oplus M^{c(r+3)}$  where  $c(r+3) = 3(d-a) - 2p^m$ , and so on, and inductively if  $E_{r+s}$  is not  $H$ -projective for  $s = 1, \dots, t-1$  then

$$E_{r+t} \downarrow_H = (U'')^t \oplus M^{c(r+t)} \quad \text{with } c(r+t) = t(d-a) - (t-1)p^m.$$

(ii) Similarly, if  $E_{r-s}$  is not  $H$ -projective for  $s = 1, \dots, t-1$  then

$$E_{r-t} \downarrow_H = (U')^t \oplus M^{c(r-t)}, \quad c(r-t) = ta - (t-1)p^m.$$

**CASE 1.** Assume first that  $E_{r-1} = 0$ , that is,  $r = 1$ . Then  $a = 0$  and in (i) we have  $c(1+t) = t(p^m - 2) + p^m > 0$  for all  $t \geq 1$  and  $E_t$  is not

$H$ -projective for all  $t > 1$ , hence the component of  $M^G$  does not contain any other module from  $\Theta$ , proving part of (b).

CASE 2. Assume  $U' \neq 0$ . Then  $E_{r-1}$  is not  $H$ -projective, and we use (ii) to study decreasing quasi-length; the process in (ii) must stop. So let  $t$  be the first integer such that  $E_{r-t}$  is  $H$ -projective. Then  $E_{r-t} = (U')^G$ , so  $U'$  is indecomposable, and hence  $t = [G : H] = p^m$  and  $c(r - t) = 0$ . We get  $a = p^m - 1$ . By dimensions  $U' = U_{s-1}$ ; note also that  $E_{r-t+1} \downarrow_H = (U')^{p^m-1} \oplus M$ .

So if we repeat the argument with  $U'$  instead of  $M$  we find, for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  as long as  $r - kp > 0$ , that  $E_{r-kp} = (U_{s-k})^G$ . Consider the case  $k = s - 1$ ; then  $E_{r-(s-1)p-1} \downarrow = U_1^{p^m-1}$  and hence we get  $E_1 \downarrow_H = U_1$ .

Now if we study increasing quasi-length then since  $d - a = a$  here, we get  $c(r + p^m) = 0$  and then it follows that  $E_{r+p^m} = (U'')^G$  and so on. We see that the component contains  $(U_b)^G$  for all  $b$ . Note also that in this case  $\Theta$  must be infinite, so  $H$  must be non-cyclic.

CASE 3. Let  $E_{r-1} \neq 0$  but  $U' = 0$ . Then we deduce from (i), since  $c(r - t) = 0$  for  $t = r$ , that

$$ra = (r - 1)p^m$$

and  $r = p^b > 1$ ,  $a = p^{m-b}(p^b - 1)$ .

If  $b = m$  then we get  $E_{r+t} \cong U^G$ ; in particular,  $U$  must be indecomposable, so  $U = U_2$  and  $M = U_1$ . We are in Case 2 with  $U$  instead of  $M$ . We deduce that the component contains all  $(U_s)^G$  for  $U_s \in \Theta$ .

On the other hand, suppose  $b < m$ ; then  $c(r + t) > 0$  for  $t = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $M^G$  is the only  $H$ -projective module in the component. So  $M$  satisfies part (b) of the statement, the quasi-length of  $M^G$  is  $p^b \geq 1$  and is a proper divisor of  $[G : H]$ .

So we have proved that either the component of  $M^G$  contains all modules induced from  $\Theta$  or just one; and if just one then it follows that for two different modules in  $\Theta$ , their inductions to  $G$  lie in different components. This completes the proof of 2.4.

**2.5.** Consider  $d_G : kG\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  defined by

$$d_G(X) = \dim \text{Hom}_{kG}(k, X).$$

Then  $d_G$  induces an additive function on any 1-tube of  $G$ . If  $M$  is a  $kH$ -module then by Frobenius reciprocity we have  $d_G(M^G) = d_H(M)$  and the following shows that any two of the modules constructed in 1.3 to 1.5 induce to different components of  $G$ , with all  $M^G$  of quasi-length one since for these we have  $d_H(M) = 1$ .

LEMMA. Let  $\Theta$  be as in 2.4. If  $\Theta$  contains a module  $X$  such that  $d_H(X)$  is not divisible by  $p$  then 2.4(b) holds for  $\Theta$  and  $\text{ql}(M^G) = 1$  for all  $M \in \Theta$ .

PROOF. We have  $d_G(E_r) = rd_G(E_1)$  if  $E_r$  has quasi-length  $r$ . Let  $X^G = E_r$ . Then  $rd_G(E_1) = d_G(X^G) = d_H(X) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and (a) in 2.4 is excluded; actually we must have (b) with  $r = 1$ .

The following will be used later to deal with arbitrary blocks.

**2.6. PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a component of  $G$  which contains  $M^G$  with  $M$  an indecomposable  $H$ -module which is  $G$ -stable, of  $\tau$ -period one, and such that no other module in  $\Delta$  is  $H$ -projective. Assume that  $\text{ql}(M^G) = 1$ , that  $d_H(M)$  is not divisible by  $p$  and that  $M$  has vertex  $H$ . Then all other modules in  $\Delta$  have vertex  $G$ .*

PROOF. We may assume  $k$  is algebraically closed. Suppose the statement fails; let  $E_s$  in  $\Delta$  for  $s > 1$  be minimal with a smaller vertex. Then there is a maximal subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  (normal, of index  $p$ ) such that  $E_s = X^G$  where  $X$  is an indecomposable  $P$ -module.

Assume first that  $X$  is  $G$ -stable. We apply 2.4 with  $P, X$ . Since  $s > 1$  and the index  $[G : P]$  is  $p$  we must have case 2.4(a). Hence  $s = p$ , and then  $E_1 \downarrow_P = X$ , from the proof of 2.4. But  $E_1 = M^G$ , so we get  $X = M^G \downarrow_P \cong (M \downarrow_{H \cap P})^P$ .

Suppose we have  $H \leq P$ . Then  $M^G \downarrow_P = M^P = X$  and

$$d_P(M^P) = d_P(X) = d_G(X^G) = sd_G(E_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p};$$

but  $d_P(M^P) = d_H(M)$  and we have a contradiction to the hypothesis. So we can only have  $H \not\leq P$  and  $P \cap H$  is a proper subgroup of  $H$ .

From the proof of 2.4, if  $U$  is the middle term of the Auslander–Reiten sequence of  $M$  then  $M$  is a direct summand of  $(E_s) \downarrow_H$ . But  $E_s = X^G$  and hence  $E_s \downarrow_H = X^G \downarrow_H = (X \downarrow_{P \cap H})^H$ . It follows that  $M = (M' \downarrow_{P \cap H})^H$  and  $M$  has vertex strictly contained in  $H$ , a contradiction.

So  $X$  is not  $G$ -stable. By 2.2, the component is induced from  $P$ , and in particular,  $M^G$  is  $P$ -projective. So  $H \leq P$  and  $\mathcal{A}(M^G) = \mathcal{A}(M_0)^G$  where  $M_0 = M^P$ . Then  $M_0$  is not  $G$ -stable. On the other hand, for  $g \in G$  we have  $M_0^g \cong (M^g)^P \cong M^P = M_0$ , a contradiction.

**2.7. COROLLARY.** *Let  $D$  be a  $p$ -group with  $kD$  of wild type. Then there is a family  $(\mathcal{T}_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of 1-tubes where for all  $\lambda$ , any  $M$  in  $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$  of quasi-length  $> 1$  has vertex  $D$ , and where*

- (i)  $\Lambda = k^s$  with  $s = \max(p - 1, 2)$  if the centre  $Z(D)$  of  $D$  is not cyclic or a Klein 4-group,
- (ii)  $|\Lambda| = |Z(D)| - 1$  if  $Z(D)$  is cyclic,
- (iii)  $\Lambda = k$  if  $Z(D)$  is a Klein 4-group.

PROOF. Note first that any  $H \subset Z(D)$  is normal in  $G$  and any  $H$ -module is automatically  $D$ -stable.

If  $Z(D)$  is not cyclic then  $Z(D)$  contains  $H$  as in 1.3–1.5, and by 1.3–1.5 and 2.4, 2.6 we get (i) or (iii). (If in 1.3 or 1.4 some of the modules are induced, then replace  $H$  by the vertex.)

Now suppose  $Z(D)$  is cyclic, and take  $H = Z(D)$ ; this has one component with  $|H| - 1$  indecomposable non-projective modules, and by 2.4 and 2.6 we get (ii).

**3. The general block.** Now let  $G$  be arbitrary, and let  $B$  be a block of  $kG$  which is of wild type. Let  $D$  be a defect group of  $B$ ; this is a  $p$ -group and  $kD$  is of wild type. We now show that the 1-tubes constructed for  $kD$  in 2.7 give rise to finitely many tubes of  $B$ .

**3.1. THEOREM.** *Let  $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$  be a family of 1-tubes of  $kD$  such that any  $M \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda$  of quasi-length  $> 1$  has vertex  $D$ . Then for each  $\lambda$  there are finitely many tubes  $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda,i}$  of  $B$  such that almost all modules of  $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda,i}$  are induced from  $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$ . Moreover, for  $\lambda \neq \mu$  the tubes  $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda,i}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu,j}$  are distinct.*

*Proof.* This is a standard reduction.

Assume first that  $D$  is normal in  $G$ . Let  $C = DC_G(D)$ ; this is then a normal subgroup of  $G$ . It is well known (see for example [1], (2.9)) that there is a block  $b$  of  $C$  with defect group  $D$  having the following properties. If  $e$  is the block idempotent of  $b$  and  $T = \{g \in G : e^g = e\}$  then  $e$  is also a block idempotent of  $T$ . Moreover, the block  $ekT$  of  $T$  is Morita-equivalent to  $B$  (see for example [5], V.2.12), and vertices are preserved.

So without loss of generality,  $G = T$ , that is,  $B = ekG$ . Note that if  $M$  is a  $b$ -module then  $M^G$  is a  $B$ -module since  $M = Me$  and therefore  $M^T e = Me^T = M^T$ , as  $e$  is central in  $kT$ .

By [6], (4.2), we know that the block  $b$  is Morita-equivalent to  $kD$  and the equivalence described there is vertex-preserving. So the results in §2 give an appropriate family of tubes for  $b$ .

It remains to induce the modules in this tube from  $b$  to  $kT$ . It is important that the index of  $C$  in  $T$  is not divisible by  $p$  (cf. [1]). So vertices are preserved; and for any indecomposable non-projective  $kC$ -module  $M$  if  $M^T = \bigoplus_i W_i$  with indecomposable summands  $W_i$  then the  $W_i$  are not projective (consider the restriction to  $C$ ), and by [8] we deduce

$$\mathcal{A}(M)^T \cong \bigoplus_i \mathcal{A}(W_i).$$

Now,  $\tau(M^T) \cong \tau(M)^T$ , so if  $\tau(M) \cong M$  then  $\tau$  induces a permutation of the  $W_i$ , and each orbit gives rise to one tube of  $B$ . Call the tube containing the module in the  $j$ th orbit  $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda,j}$ .

Now let  $G$  be arbitrary and let  $N = N_G(D)$ . For any tube  $\mathcal{T}$  in which all modules of quasi-length  $> 1$  have vertex  $D$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{T}'$  the infinite



connected translation subquiver which is obtained by deleting the modules of quasi-length one. Then by [10] the Green correspondence induces a graph isomorphism between  $\mathcal{T}'$  for a tube  $\mathcal{T}$  in the family for  $N$  and some infinite part of a tube for  $G$ . Since only one  $\tau$ -orbit is left out this induces a 1-1 correspondence between such tubes of  $N$  and a tube family of  $G$ . Moreover, it is well known that there is a unique block  $b$  of  $N$  such that  $M \in b$  if and only if  $gM \in B$ , and  $b$  has defect group  $D$  and is therefore also of wild type. By the first part the statement holds for  $b$  and by this correspondence it follows for  $B$  as well.

**3.2.** Let  $R = k[T_1, \dots, T_s]$  be the polynomial ring in  $s$  variables. For  $\lambda \in k^s$  let  $S_\lambda$  be the corresponding simple  $R$ -module.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $B$  be a block of wild type with defect group  $D$  such that  $Z(D)$  is not cyclic or a Klein 4-group. Let  $s = \max(p - 1, 2)$ . Then there is an  $R$ - $kD$ -bimodule  $W$  which is finitely generated and free as an  $R$ -module such that*

- (i)  $S_\lambda \otimes_R W$  lies in a 1-tube  $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$ , and
- (ii) there is a family of 1-tubes  $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda,1}$  of  $B$  such that for every  $M$  in  $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$  of quasi-length  $> 1$ , the induced module  $M^G$  has a summand in  $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda,1}$  and for  $\lambda \neq \mu$  the tubes  $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda,1}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu,1}$  are distinct.

**Proof.** By the hypothesis,  $Z(D)$  contains a subgroup  $H$  as in 1.3–1.5. The modules defined there are of the form  $S_\lambda \otimes_R M$  where  $M$  is an  $R$ - $kH$ -bimodule. Take  $W = M \otimes_{kH} kD$ . Since  $H$  is central in  $D$ ,  $M$  is  $D$ -invariant and  $S_\lambda \otimes_R M = M_\lambda$  is absolutely indecomposable. Apply 2.4; this shows that the component of  $S_\lambda \otimes_R W = (M_\lambda)^D$  contains only one  $H$ -projective module. So for  $\lambda \neq \mu$  the modules  $S_\lambda \otimes_R W$  and  $S_\mu \otimes_R W$  lie in different tubes. Take for  $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$  the component of  $S_\lambda \otimes_R W$ . Then apply 3.1, and take  $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda,1}$  as in 3.1.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. L. Alperin and M. Broué, *Local methods in block theory*, Ann. of Math. 110 (1979), 143–157.
- [2] M. Auslander, I. Reiten and S. Smalø, *Representation Theory of Artin Algebras*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 36, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1994.
- [3] K. Erdmann, *On modules with cyclic vertices in the Auslander–Reiten quiver*, J. Algebra 104 (1986), 289–300.
- [4] —, *On the vertices of modules in the Auslander–Reiten quiver of  $p$ -groups*, Math. Z. 203 (1990), 321–334.
- [5] —, *Blocks of Tame Representation Type and Related Algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1428, Springer, 1990.
- [6] —, *On Auslander–Reiten components for group algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 104 (1995), 149–160.

- [7] W. Feit, *The Representation Theory of Finite Groups*, North-Holland, 1982.
- [8] J. A. Green, *Functors on categories of finite group representations*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 37 (1985), 265–298.
- [9] D. Happel, U. Preiser and C. M. Ringel, *Vinberg’s characterization of Dynkin diagrams using subadditive functions with applications to DTr-periodic modules*, in: Representation Theory II, Lecture Notes in Math. 832, Springer, 1981, 280–294.
- [10] S. Kawata, *Module correspondences in Auslander–Reiten quivers for finite groups*, Osaka J. Math. 26 (1989), 671–678.
- [11] P. Landrock, *Finite Group Algebras and Their Modules*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 84, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1984.
- [12] I. Reiten and A. Skowroński, *Sincere stable tubes*, preprint (Bielefeld 99-011).

Mathematical Institute  
24-29 St. Giles  
Oxford OX1 3LB, UK  
E-mail: erdmann@maths.ox.ac.uk

*Received 27 May 1999;*  
*revised 14 June 1999*