

*ADDITIVE PROPERTIES AND UNIFORMLY
COMPLETELY RAMSEY SETS*

BY

ANDRZEJ NOWIK (GDAŃSK)

Abstract. We prove some properties of uniformly completely Ramsey null sets (for example, every hereditarily Menger set is uniformly completely Ramsey null).

1. Introduction. The notion of UCR_0 sets was considered in [Da] where it was proved that every UCR_0 set has the Marczewski s_0 property. The main problem concerning these sets is whether one can prove the existence of such a set of size continuum without any extra axioms (see [Da], Question 1). We are still unable to give a complete answer to this problem. However, in Section 4 we will show that every hereditarily Menger set belongs to the class of UCR_0 sets.

2. Notation. \exists_n^∞ and \forall_n^∞ stand for “there exists infinitely many n ” and “for all but finitely many n ” respectively. We use ω^{ω^\uparrow} to denote the family of all strictly increasing functions from ω^ω . In ω^{ω^\uparrow} we define the order \prec in the standard way:

$$x \prec y \Leftrightarrow \exists_{n < \omega} \forall_{k > n} x(k) \leq y(k).$$

Using the characteristic function, we can view $[\omega]^\omega$ as a subset of 2^ω . So we will look at 2^ω as the union $[\omega]^\omega \cup [\omega]^{<\omega}$. Sometimes we identify $[\omega]^\omega$ with the space ω^{ω^\uparrow} via the standard homeomorphism.

If $U \in [\omega]^\omega$, $F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ and $\max(F) < \min(U)$ then $[F, U]$ denotes $\{A \in [\omega]^\omega : F \subseteq A \subseteq F \cup U\}$. We call such a set an *Ellentuck set*.

3. Definitions. Let us define the main notions of this article.

A set $X \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is *Ramsey* iff there exists $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that either $[A]^\omega \subseteq X$ or $[A]^\omega \cap X = \emptyset$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 03E05; Secondary 04A20, 54D20.

Key words and phrases: QN sets, uniformly completely Ramsey sets, Ramsey null sets.

Research partially supported by the KBN grant 2 P03A 047 09.

We say that a set $X \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is *Ramsey null* (or for short X is CR_0) iff for every Ellentuck set $[F, V]$ there exists an Ellentuck set $[F, U] \subseteq [F, V]$ such that $[F, U] \cap X = \emptyset$.

A set $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ is *uniformly completely Ramsey null* iff for every continuous function $F : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ and every $Y \subseteq X$, $F^{-1}(Y)$ is Ramsey. We then write $X \in \text{UCR}_0$.

We say that a sequence of functions $f_k : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ *converges quasinormally* to f ($f_k \xrightarrow{\text{QN}} 0$) if there is a sequence $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that for each x there is k_0 such that $|f(x) - f_k(x)| < \varepsilon_k$ for all $k > k_0$.

A subset $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ is a *QN set* if for each sequence of continuous functions $f_k : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $(f_k \rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow (f_k \xrightarrow{\text{QN}} 0)$; and X is a *wQN set* if for each sequence of continuous functions $f_k : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f_k \rightarrow 0$ there is a subsequence k_l such that $f_{k_l} \xrightarrow{\text{QN}} 0$. The last two notions were introduced in [BRR].

We say that $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ has the *Menger property* iff every continuous image $f(X)$ of X in ω^ω is a *nondominating family*, which means that there exists $g \in \omega^\omega$ such that $\forall x \in X \forall n \exists m > n g(m) > f(x)(m)$. We say that X is a *hereditarily Menger set* iff every subspace of X has the Menger property. We say that $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ has the *Hurewicz property* iff every continuous image of X in ω^ω is a bounded family. It is evident that if X has the Hurewicz property then it has the Menger property.

A tree $S \subseteq \omega^{<\omega^\uparrow}$ is *superperfect* iff $\forall t \in S \exists s \supseteq t \exists \infty_{n < \omega} s \frown \langle n \rangle \in S$. If $T \subseteq \omega^{<\omega^\uparrow}$ is a tree then we define $[T] = \{x \in \omega^{\omega^\uparrow} : \forall_n x \upharpoonright n \in T\}$; moreover, $\text{stem}(T)$ is the unique $s \in T$ with $\forall t \in T s \subseteq t \vee t \subseteq s$ and $|\{n \in \omega : s \frown \langle n \rangle \in T\}| \geq 2$.

A tree $S \subseteq \omega^{<\omega^\uparrow}$ is called a *Laver tree* iff $\forall s \in S$ if $\text{stem}(S) \subseteq s$ then $\exists \infty_{n < \omega} s \frown \langle n \rangle \in S$.

We say that $X \subseteq \omega^{\omega^\uparrow}$ is an m_0 set iff for every superperfect tree $T \subseteq \omega^{<\omega^\uparrow}$ one can find a superperfect tree $S \subseteq T$ such that $[S] \cap X = \emptyset$; and X is an l_0 set iff for every Laver tree $T \subseteq \omega^{<\omega^\uparrow}$ one can find a Laver tree $S \subseteq T$ such that $[S] \cap X = \emptyset$.

4. Results. We start this section with the following simple but useful characterization of UCR_0 sets:

THEOREM 1. *Let $X \subseteq 2^\omega$. Then X is UCR_0 iff for every continuous function $F : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ there exists $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that*

$$|F(P(A)) \cap X| \leq \omega.$$

Proof. \Rightarrow Let $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ be UCR_0 and let $F : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ be a continuous function. By the definition of UCR_0 one can find $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $[A]^\omega \subseteq F^{-1}(X) \vee [A]^\omega \cap F^{-1}(X) = \emptyset$. Consider the following two cases:

CASE 1: $[A]^\omega \subseteq F^{-1}(X)$. By [Da], Theorem 3, X is (s_0) . Thus there is no uncountable analytic subset of X . As $F([A]^\omega)$ is an analytic set this

implies that $|F([A]^\omega)| \leq \omega$. So we have shown that $|F(P(A))| \leq \omega$ and finally $|F(P(A)) \cap X| \leq \omega$.

CASE 2: $[A]^\omega \cap F^{-1}(X) = \emptyset$. First note that $F(P(A)) \subseteq F([A]^\omega) \cup F([\omega]^{<\omega})$ and $X \cap F([A]^\omega) = \emptyset$. This implies that

$$X \cap F(P(A)) \subseteq X \cap (F([A]^\omega) \cup F([\omega]^{<\omega})) \subseteq F([\omega]^{<\omega}).$$

Thus $|X \cap F(P(A))| \leq \omega$.

\Leftarrow Suppose that $F : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ is a continuous function and $Y \subseteq X$. By assumption, there exists $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $|F(P(A)) \cap X| \leq \omega$. Note first that $Y \cap F(P(A))$ is a Borel set, since it is countable. Then, by the classical Galvin–Prikry Theorem (see [Ke], Theorem 19.11) applied to the set $Y \cap F(P(A))$ and the space $P(A)$ which is homeomorphic to 2^ω , there exists $B \in [A]^\omega$ such that either

$$F([B]^\omega) \subseteq Y \cap F(P(A)) \quad \text{or} \quad F([B]^\omega) \cap Y \cap F(P(A)) = \emptyset.$$

If $F([B]^\omega) \subseteq Y \cap F(P(A))$ then we are done. If $F([B]^\omega) \cap Y \cap F(P(A)) = \emptyset$ then $F([B]^\omega) \cap Y = \emptyset$, and the assertion is also proved in this case. ■

In addition to Theorem 1 we record the following simple but useful observation:

OBSERVATION 1. *Suppose that $X \in \text{UCR}_0$, $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ and $F : P(A) \rightarrow 2^\omega$ is a continuous function. Then there exists $B \in [A]^\omega$ such that $|F(P(B)) \cap X| \leq \omega$.*

PROOF. Fix any bijection $g : \omega \rightarrow A$. For $Z \subseteq \omega$ define $G(Z) := g(Z)$. It is clear that $G : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^A$. It is also easy to see that G is a homeomorphism. Applying Theorem 1 to the function $F \circ G$ shows that there exists $C \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $|(F \circ G)(P(C)) \cap X| \leq \omega$. But $G(P(C)) = P(B)$, where $B = g(C)$ and $B \in [A]^\omega$, so we have $|F(P(B)) \cap X| \leq \omega$. ■

THEOREM 2. *Let $X \subseteq 2^\omega$. Then X is UCR_0 iff for every continuous function $h : [\omega]^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2^\omega$ there exists $B \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $|\overline{h([B]^{<\omega})} \cap X| \leq \omega$.*

PROOF. \Rightarrow Take any continuous function $h : [\omega]^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2^\omega$. One can find a G_δ set, say G , and a continuous function $h^* : G \rightarrow 2^\omega$ such that $[\omega]^{<\omega} \subseteq G$ and $h^*|_{[\omega]^{<\omega}} = h$.

We will frequently use the following well-known lemma:

LEMMA 1. *Given a G_δ set $H' \supseteq [\omega]^{<\omega}$, $H' \subseteq 2^\omega$ one can find $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $P(A) \subseteq H'$.*

Applying this lemma to G yields a set $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $P(A) \subseteq G$. Applying Observation 1 to the set A and to the function $h^* : P(A) \rightarrow 2^\omega$ we obtain $B \in [A]^\omega$ such that $|h^*(P(B)) \cap X| \leq \omega$. Obviously, $P(B)$ is compact.

Thus $h^*(P(B))$ is closed and of course

$$h^*(P(B)) \supseteq h([B]^{<\omega}).$$

Hence

$$\overline{h([B]^{<\omega})} \subseteq h^*(P(B)).$$

From this it easily follows that $|\overline{h([B]^{<\omega})} \cap X| \leq \omega$.

\Leftarrow Let $F : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ be continuous. By Theorem 1 it is sufficient to find $B \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $F(P(B)) \cap X$ is countable. Take the restriction $F|[\omega]^{<\omega}$ for h . Then there exists $B \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that

$$|\overline{h([B]^{<\omega})} \cap X| \leq \omega.$$

However, $h([B]^{<\omega})$ is dense in $F(P(B))$, so $\overline{h([B]^{<\omega})} \supseteq F(P(B))$. Thus $F(P(B)) \cap X$ is countable. ■

In the sequel we will show that every hereditarily Menger set is UCR_0 . We start with the following lemma:

LEMMA 2. *Let $F : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ be a continuous function and $B : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ a Borel function. Then there exists $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that the restriction of B to $F([A]^\omega) \setminus F([\omega]^{<\omega})$ is continuous.*

PROOF. We use the following classical result (see [Ke], Exercise 19.19):

LEMMA 3. *If $D : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ is a Borel function then there exists $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $D|([A]^\omega)$ is continuous.*

From this lemma, there exists $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $(B \circ F)|([A]^\omega)$ is continuous on $[A]^\omega$. We now show that this A works. Fix any closed set $K \subseteq 2^\omega$. Then $F^{-1}(B^{-1}(K)) \cap [A]^\omega$ is closed in $[A]^\omega$. Pick a closed $L \subseteq P(A)$ such that

$$L \cap [A]^\omega = F^{-1}(B^{-1}(K)) \cap [A]^\omega.$$

Let us verify that

$$F(L) \cap (F([A]^\omega) \setminus F([\omega]^{<\omega})) = B^{-1}(K) \cap (F([A]^\omega) \setminus F([\omega]^{<\omega})),$$

which will prove that B is continuous after restriction to $F([A]^\omega) \setminus F([\omega]^{<\omega})$.

Let $a \in F(L) \cap (F([A]^\omega) \setminus F([\omega]^{<\omega}))$. Then $F(l) = a$ for some $l \in L$. Note that $B(a) = B(F(l))$ and $l \notin [\omega]^{<\omega}$, since $a = F(l) \notin F([\omega]^{<\omega})$. Thus $l \in L \setminus [\omega]^{<\omega} \subseteq [A]^\omega$. But $l \in L \cap [A]^\omega \subseteq F^{-1}(B^{-1}(K))$ so $B(a) = B(F(l)) \in K$.

Conversely, if $a \in B^{-1}(K) \cap (F([A]^\omega) \setminus F([\omega]^{<\omega}))$ then there exists $l \in [A]^\omega$ such that $F(l) = a$. Since clearly $B(a) \in K$ we see that $B(F(l)) = B(a) \in K$. Observe that

$$l \in F^{-1}(B^{-1}(K)) \cap [A]^\omega \subseteq L,$$

which implies $a = F(l) \in F(L)$.

This proves that $B|F([A]^\omega) \setminus F([\omega]^{<\omega})$ is continuous. ■

THEOREM 3. *If $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ is a hereditarily Menger set then X is UCR_0 .*

PROOF. Suppose $F : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ is continuous. First we define a Borel function $B : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ by

$$B(x) = \begin{cases} \Omega(F^{-1}(x)) & \text{if } F^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset \wedge F^{-1}(x) \subseteq [\omega]^\omega, \\ \underline{0} & \text{if } F^{-1}(x) = \emptyset \vee F^{-1}(x) \not\subseteq [\omega]^\omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\forall_k \underline{0}(k) = 0$ and $\Omega(K)(k)$ denotes $\max\{x(k) : x \in K\}$ for every nonempty compact $K \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ (recall that we treat K as a subset of ω^{ω^\uparrow}).

Since the graph of F is compact, the definition of B shows that B is Borel. Also note that $D \prec B(F(D))$ provided $F(D) \notin F[[\omega]^{<\omega}]$.

Apply Lemma 2 with the functions F and B to find $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $B|Z$ is continuous, where $Z = F([A]^\omega) \setminus F([\omega]^{<\omega})$.

Since $X \cap Z$ has the Menger property, we conclude that $B[X \cap Z]$ is a nondominating family in $[\omega]^\omega$ (where $[\omega]^\omega$ is treated as ω^{ω^\uparrow}). Fix $f \in \omega^{\omega^\uparrow}$ such that $f \in [A]^\omega$ and

$$(\dagger) \quad \forall_{g \in B(X \cap Z)} f \not\prec g.$$

We will show that $F([f]^\omega) \cap X \subseteq F([\omega]^{<\omega})$.

Assume that for some $D \in [f]^\omega$,

$$F(D) \in X \setminus F([\omega]^{<\omega}).$$

Since we know that $D \in [f]^\omega$ we conclude that $f \prec D$. Moreover, $D \prec B(F(D))$, so $f \prec B(F(D))$. Hence

$$F(D) \in Z = F([A]^\omega) \setminus F([\omega]^{<\omega})$$

and $F(D) \in X$, so

$$B(F(D)) \in B(X \cap Z),$$

which contradicts (\dagger) . We have thus proved that

$$F([f]^\omega) \cap X \subseteq F([\omega]^{<\omega}),$$

which ends the proof of Theorem 3. ■

For the next conclusion we will introduce the notion of D^\ddagger set (see [PR]). We say a subset X of 2^ω is D^\ddagger iff every Borel image of X in ω^ω is a non-dominating family.

CONCLUSION 1. *Every D^\ddagger set is UCR_0 .*

CONCLUSION 2. $\text{non}(\text{UCR}_0) \geq \text{d}$.

THEOREM 4. *Every QN set is UCR_0 .*

PROOF. By Theorem 3 it is sufficient to show that every QN set has the hereditary Hurewicz property.

Let $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ be a QN set, $Y \subseteq X$ and let $f : Y \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ be continuous. Note that we can extend the domain of f to a G_δ subset of X . Thus the

proof will be completed if we show that every continuous function f defined on a G_δ subset of X with values in ω^ω is bounded.

Since every QN set is a σ set (see [Rec]) we see that every G_δ subset of X is also an F_σ subset. From the results of [BRR] it follows that every F_σ subset of X is a QN set and every QN set has the Hurewicz property. Therefore f is bounded. ■

It is natural to formulate the following problem:

PROBLEM 1. *Is every wQN set UCR_0 ?*

Note that every wQN set $X \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is bounded in the space ω^{ω^\uparrow} (recall from the preliminary section that we identify $[\omega]^\omega$ with the space ω^{ω^\uparrow} via the standard homeomorphism). It follows that every such set is Ramsey null. However, it is not clear whether every wQN set $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ is Ramsey null. We can also state a weak form of Problem 1:

PROBLEM 2. *Is every wQN set $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ Ramsey null?*

It is known (see [Br]) that not every Ramsey null set is an m_0 set, and not every m_0 set is Ramsey null. However, we will prove that every UCR_0 set is both an m_0 set and an l_0 set.

THEOREM 5. *Every UCR_0 set is an m_0 set.*

PROOF. Suppose $X \subseteq [\omega]^\omega \subseteq 2^\omega$ is UCR_0 . Let $T \subseteq \omega^{<\omega^\uparrow}$ be a superperfect tree. For every $s \in T$ we fix $t_s \supseteq s$, $t_s \in T$ such that $\exists_n^\infty t_s \frown \langle n \rangle \in T$. Fix $k_0^{(s)} < k_1^{(s)} < k_2^{(s)} < \dots$ such that

$$\forall_{i \in \omega} t_s \frown \langle k_i^{(s)} \rangle \in T.$$

We define by induction the function $F : \omega^{<\omega^\uparrow} \rightarrow \omega^{<\omega^\uparrow}$ in the following way:

1. $F(\emptyset) = s$, where s is any fixed member of $\text{stem}(T)$.
2. If we have already defined $F(s)$ for $|s| = n$, then for $i > \max \text{ran}(s)$ we put

$$F(s \frown \langle i \rangle) = t_{F(s)} \frown k_{i - \max \text{ran } F(s) - 1}^{(F(s))}.$$

It is clear that F is strictly monotonic, which means that if $s \subset t$ then $F(s) \subset F(t)$.

OBSERVATION 2. *The function F extends to a continuous $F^* : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$.*

To see this, simply define

$$F^*(x) = \begin{cases} F(x) & \text{iff } x \in \omega^{<\omega^\uparrow}, \\ \bigcup_{n < \omega} F(x|n) & \text{iff } x \in \omega^{\omega^\uparrow}. \end{cases}$$

Since X is UCR_0 , we can find (by Theorem 1) a set $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that

$$|F^*(P(A)) \cap X| \leq \omega.$$

It is easy to see that $F^*(P(A)) \cap [\omega]^\omega$ is equal to $[S_A]$ for some superperfect tree $S_A \subseteq T$. But $|[S_A] \cap X| \leq \omega$ so we can find a superperfect tree $S \subseteq S_A$ such that $[S] \cap X = \emptyset$. The proof of Theorem 5 is therefore complete. ■

CONCLUSION 3. *If X is hereditarily Menger then X is an m_0 set.*

Note that the same argument as in Theorem 5 yields the following result:

THEOREM 6. *Every UCR_0 set is an l_0 set.*

THEOREM 7. *Let $F : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ be a continuous function and $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ a UCR_0 set. Assume also that to every $x \in X$ we have assigned a set $Z_x \subseteq F^{-1}(\{x\})$ which is also UCR_0 . Then*

$$Z = \bigcup_{x \in X} Z_x$$

is a UCR_0 set.

PROOF. Let $G : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ be continuous. By Theorem 1 the proof of our theorem will be completed if we show that $\exists B \in [\omega]^\omega |G(P(B)) \cap Z| \leq \omega$.

Since X is a UCR_0 set, we conclude from Theorem 1 that there exists $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that

$$|F(G(P(A))) \cap X| \leq \omega.$$

Then

$$W = \bigcup_{x \in F(G(P(A))) \cap X} Z_x \in UCR_0,$$

hence (again from Theorem 1) there exists $B \in [A]^\omega$ such that

$$|G(P(B)) \cap W| \leq \omega.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} G(P(B)) \cap Z &= G(P(B)) \cap \bigcup_{x \in X} Z_x = G(P(B)) \cap \bigcup_{x \in F(G(P(A))) \cap X} Z_x \\ &= G(P(B)) \cap W. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $|G(P(B)) \cap Z| \leq \omega$, which shows that $Z \in UCR_0$. ■

As an easy consequence we obtain the following corollary:

COROLLARY 1. *Let $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ and let $Y \subseteq 2^\omega$ be a UCR_0 set. Then the set $X \times Y$ (contained in the space $2^\omega \times 2^\omega$ homeomorphic to 2^ω) is also UCR_0 .*

CONCLUSION 4. *Assuming MA there exists a UCR_0 set $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ and a continuous function $F : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ such that $F(X) = 2^\omega$.*

PROOF. Take a generalized Luzin set $L \subseteq 2^\omega$ such that $L+L = 2^\omega$. From [Da], Theorem 12, we know that under MA every generalized Luzin set is UCR_0 . Put $X = L \times L$ and define $F : 2^\omega \times 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ by $F(x, y) = x + y$. Clearly, these X and F work. ■

It is well known (see [Da], Theorem 9) that every Sierpiński and every Luzin set is UCR_0 . We will prove the following intriguing fact:

THEOREM 8. *Let $L \subseteq 2^\omega$ be a Luzin set and $S \subseteq 2^\omega$ a Sierpiński set. Assume that $F : 2^\omega \times 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ is a continuous function such that for every $y \in 2^\omega$, $F^{-1}(\{y\})$ is of measure zero. Then $F(L \times S)$ is UCR_0 .*

Proof. Fix a continuous $G : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$.

LEMMA 4. *There exists $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $F^{-1}(G(P(A)))$ has measure zero.*

Proof. One can easily find a G_δ set, say H , such that the (countable) set $G([\omega]^{<\omega})$ is included in H and $F^{-1}(H)$ has measure zero. Applying Lemma 1 to the G_δ set $G^{-1}(H)$ yields $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $P(A) \subseteq G^{-1}(H)$. Then $G(P(A)) \subseteq H$ and so $F^{-1}(G(P(A))) \subseteq F^{-1}(H)$. Thus $F^{-1}(G(P(A)))$ has measure zero.

In the next part of our proof of Theorem 8 we use the following interesting fact observed by J. Pawlikowski (private communication):

LEMMA 5. *Let $A \subseteq 2^\omega \times 2^\omega$ be a co-null G_δ set. Then there exists a co-meager set $B \subseteq 2^\omega$ and co-null set $C \subseteq 2^\omega$ such that $B \times C \subseteq A$.*

We leave it to the reader to verify this lemma.

It is easy to see that the set $(2^\omega \times 2^\omega) \setminus F^{-1}(G(P(A)))$ satisfies the assumption of Lemma 5. Indeed, from our previous results we know that $F^{-1}(G(P(A)))$ has measure zero. Also $F^{-1}(G(P(A)))$ is closed (because $P(A) \subseteq 2^\omega$ is compact). Consequently, let $B \subseteq 2^\omega$ be a co-meager set and $C \subseteq 2^\omega$ be a co-null set such that

$$B \times C \subseteq (2^\omega \times 2^\omega) \setminus F^{-1}(G(P(A))).$$

This can be written as

$$(1) \quad (B \times C) \cap F^{-1}(G(P(A))) = \emptyset.$$

Then we have

$$(L \times S) \setminus (B \times C) \subseteq [(L \setminus B) \times S] \cup [L \times (S \setminus C)],$$

where $L_1 = L \setminus B$ and $S_1 = S \setminus C$ are countable. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} F(L \times S) &= F((L \times S) \setminus (B \times C)) \cup F(B \times C) \\ &\subseteq F(L_1 \times S) \cup F(L \times S_1) \cup F(B \times C). \end{aligned}$$

From (1) we know that $F(B \times C) \cap G(P(A)) = \emptyset$. Thus

$$F(L \times S) \cap G(P(A)) \subseteq [F(L_1 \times S) \cup F(L \times S_1)] \cap G(P(A)).$$

However, $F(L_1 \times S) \cup F(L \times S_1)$ has the UCR_0 property. Indeed, $L_1 \times S$ as a countable sum of Sierpiński sets is also a Sierpiński set, so $F(L_1 \times S)$ is UCR_0 . Analogously, $F(L \times S_1)$ is also UCR_0 .

Choose $B \in [A]^\omega$ such that

$$|G(P(B)) \cap [F(L_1 \times S) \cup F(L \times S_1)]| \leq \omega.$$

Since

$$F(L \times S) \cap G(P(B)) \subseteq [F(L_1 \times S) \cup F(L \times S_1)] \cap G(P(B)),$$

we finally obtain

$$|F(L \times S) \cap G(P(B))| \leq \omega,$$

which shows that $F(L \times S)$ is UCR_0 . ■

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 8 we obtain:

COROLLARY 2. *If $L \subseteq 2^\omega$ is a Luzin set and $S \subseteq 2^\omega$ is a Sierpiński set then the algebraic sum*

$$L + S = \{x + y : x \in L, y \in S\}$$

has the UCR_0 property.

REFERENCES

- [BJ] T. Bartoszyński and H. Judah, *Set Theory. On the Structure of the Real Line*, A.K. Peters, Wellesley, MA, 1995.
- [Br] J. Brendle, *Strolling through paradise*, Fund. Math. 148 (1995), 1–25.
- [BRR] L. Bukovský, I. Reclaw and M. Repický, *Spaces not distinguishing pointwise and quasinormal convergence of real functions*, Topology Appl. 41 (1991), 25–40.
- [Da] U. Darji, *On completely Ramsey sets*, Colloq. Math. 64 (1993), 163–171.
- [Ke] A. S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Grad. Texts in Math. 156, Springer, 1995.
- [PR] J. Pawlikowski and I. Reclaw, *Parametrized Cichoń's diagram and small sets*, Fund. Math. 147 (1995), 135–155.
- [Rec] I. Reclaw, *Metric spaces not distinguishing pointwise and quasinormal convergence of real functions*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. 45 (1997), 287–289.

Institute of Mathematics
 University of Gdańsk
 Wita Stwosza 57
 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland
 E-mail: matan@paula.univ.gda.pl

*Received 31 July 1998;
 revised 7 June 1999*