

APPROXIMATION BY LINEAR COMBINATION  
OF SZÁSZ–MIRAKIAN OPERATORS

BY

H. S. KASANA (PATIALA) AND P. N. AGRAWAL (ROORKEE)

**Introduction.** To approximate continuous functions on the interval  $[0, \infty)$ , O. Szász and G. Mirakian generalized the Bernstein polynomials as follows:

$$S_n(f; x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n,\nu}(x) f(\nu/n),$$

where

$$\phi_{n,\nu}(x) = e^{-nx} (nx)^\nu / \nu!, \quad f \in \mathcal{C}[0, \infty).$$

Singh [8] has obtained an estimate for bounded continuous functions in *simultaneous approximation* involving higher derivatives by these operators. Sun [9] has tried to extend this estimate to functions of bounded variation with  $O(t^{\alpha t})$  growth of the *derivatives* and has remarked that unfortunately, for continuous derivatives his estimate does not include the case  $f' \in \text{Lip } 1$  on every finite subinterval of  $[0, \infty)$ . In this case he only obtains

$$S_n^{(r)}(f; x) - f^{(r)}(x) = O(\log n/n), \quad r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

This degree is worse than the usual degree  $1/n$ . He put up the question of whether a unified approach can be developed which may improve this estimate for the class  $f' \in \text{Lip } 1$  on every finite subinterval of  $[0, \infty)$ .

In this paper we present a unified approach which improves the estimate of Sun [9] for continuous functions and moreover, it makes the results of Singh [8] applicable to unbounded functions.

In the sequel  $\langle a, b \rangle$  denotes an open interval in  $[0, \infty)$  containing the closed interval  $[a, b]$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{[a,b]}$  means the sup norm on the space  $\mathcal{C}[a, b]$ .

The  $m$ th moment of the Szász–Mirakian operator is defined as

$$V_{n,m}(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n,\nu}(x) \left( \frac{\nu}{n} - x \right)^m, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 41A25; Secondary 41A28.

Let  $d_0, d_1, \dots, d_k$  be arbitrary but fixed distinct positive integers. Then, following Kasana and Agrawal [5], the *linear combinations*  $S_n(f, k, x)$  of  $S_{d_j n}(f; x)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$ , are introduced as

$$S_n(f, k, x) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{vmatrix} S_{d_0 n}(f; x) & d_0^{-1} & d_0^{-2} & \dots & d_0^{-k} \\ S_{d_1 n}(f; x) & d_1^{-1} & d_1^{-2} & \dots & d_1^{-k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ S_{d_k n}(f; x) & d_k^{-1} & d_k^{-2} & \dots & d_k^{-k} \end{vmatrix},$$

where  $\Delta$  is the *Vandermonde determinant* obtained by replacing the operator column of the determinant by the entries 1. On simplification this is reduced to

$$S_n(f, k, x) = \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) S_{d_j n}(f; x),$$

where

$$C(j, k) = \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^k \frac{d_j}{d_j - d_i}, \quad k \neq 0, \quad C(0, 0) = 1;$$

and this is the form of linear combinations considered by May [7].

1. To prove the main theorem we need the following auxilliary results.

LEMMA 1.1. *For  $V_{n,m}(x)$ , we have the recurrence relation*

$$nV_{n,m+1}(x) = xV'_{n,m}(x) + mxV_{n,m-1}(x), \quad m \geq 1.$$

Gröf [2] has proved that:

- (a)  $V_{n,0} = 1, V_{n,1} = 0$ ;
- (b)  $V_{n,m}(x)$  is a polynomial in  $x$  of degree  $[m/2]$  and in  $n^{-1}$  of degree  $m - 1, m > 1$ ;
- (c) for all finite  $x, V_{n,m}(x) = O(n^{-(m+1)/2})$ .

LEMMA 1.2. *Let  $f(t) = O(t^{\alpha t})$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  with  $\alpha > 0$ , and  $\delta$  be a positive number. Then*

$$\sum_{|\nu/n-x|>\delta} \phi_{n,\nu}(x) f(\nu/n) = O(e^{-\gamma n}),$$

where  $\gamma$  is a constant depending on  $f, x$  and  $\delta$ .

This lemma is due to Hermann [3]. A better estimate can also be found in [1].

COROLLARY 1.3. *For  $\delta > 0$  and  $s = 0, 1, \dots$ , we have*

$$\left\| \sum_{|\nu/n-x|>\delta} \phi_{n,\nu}(x) (\nu/n)^{\alpha \nu/n} \right\|_{[a,b]} \leq K_s n^{-s},$$

where  $K_s$  is a constant depending on  $s$ .

LEMMA 1.4. If  $C(j, k)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$ , are defined as in the previous section then

$$\sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) d_j^{-m} = \begin{cases} 1, & m = 0, \\ 0, & m = 1, \dots, k. \end{cases}$$

May [7] has proved this lemma using Lagrange polynomials. A simpler exposition can be seen as Lemma 2 of Kasana [4].

LEMMA 1.5. There exist polynomials  $T_{p,q,r}(x)$  independent of  $n$  and  $\nu$  such that

$$x^r \frac{d^r}{dx^r} \phi_{n,\nu}(x) = \sum_{\substack{2p+q \leq r \\ p,q \geq 0}} n^p (\nu - nx)^q T_{p,q,r}(x) \phi_{n,\nu}(x).$$

This can be proved by induction; for a detailed proof we refer the reader to Kasana *et al.* [6].

2. We state and prove our main result as follows.

THEOREM. Let  $f$  be bounded on every finite subinterval of  $[0, \infty)$  and  $f(t) = O(t^{\alpha t})$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , for some  $\alpha > 0$ . If  $f^{(r+1)} \in \mathcal{C}\langle a, b \rangle$ , then, for  $n$  sufficiently large,

$$\|S_n^{(r)}(f, k, \cdot) - f^{(r)}\|_{[a,b]} \leq C_1 n^{-1/2} \omega(f^{(r+1)}; n^{-1/2}) + C_2 n^{-(k+1)},$$

where  $C_1 = C_1(k, r)$ ,  $C_2 = C_2(k, r, f)$  and  $\omega(f^{(r+1)}; \delta)$  is the modulus of continuity of  $f^{(r+1)}$  on  $\langle a, b \rangle$  defined as

$$\omega(f^{(r+1)}; \delta) = \sup_{x \in \langle a, b \rangle} \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} |\Delta_h f^{(r+1)}(x)|.$$

PROOF. Write

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} (t-x)^i + \frac{f^{(r+1)}(\xi) - f^{(r+1)}(x)}{(r+1)!} (t-x)^{r+1} \chi(t) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon(t, x)(1 - \chi(t)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi$  lies between  $t$  and  $x$  and  $\chi(t)$  is the characteristic function of  $\langle a, b \rangle$ . As

$$S_n^{(r)}(f, k, x) = \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) S_{d_j n}^{(r)}(f; x)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{d_j n}^{(r)}(f; x) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \phi_{d_j n, \nu}^{(r)}(x) f\left(\frac{\nu}{d_j n}\right) \\
&= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \phi_{d_j n, \nu}^{(r)}(x) \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} \left(\frac{\nu}{d_j n} - x\right)^i \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{f^{(r+1)}(\xi) - f^{(r+1)}(x)}{(r+1)!} \left(\frac{\nu}{d_j n} - x\right)^{r+1} \chi\left(\frac{\nu}{d_j n}\right) \\
&\quad \left. + \varepsilon\left(\frac{\nu}{d_j n}, x\right) \left(1 - \chi\left(\frac{\nu}{d_j n}\right)\right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_n^{(r)}(f, k, x) &= \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} C(j, k) \phi_{d_j n, \nu}^{(r)}(x) \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} \left(\frac{\nu}{d_j n} - x\right)^i \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{f^{(r+1)}(\xi) - f^{(r+1)}(x)}{(r+1)!} \left(\frac{\nu}{d_j n} - x\right)^{r+1} \chi\left(\frac{\nu}{d_j n}\right) \\
&\quad \left. + \varepsilon\left(\frac{\nu}{d_j n}, x\right) \left(1 - \chi\left(\frac{\nu}{d_j n}\right)\right) \right], \\
&= I_{n,1} + I_{n,2} + I_{n,3} \quad (\text{say}).
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{n,1} &= \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \phi_{d_j n, \nu}^{(r)}(x) \left(\frac{\nu}{d_j n} - x\right)^i \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} \sum_{l=0}^i \binom{i}{l} (-x)^{i-l} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \phi_{d_j n, \nu}^{(r)}(x) \left(\frac{\nu}{d_j n}\right)^l \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} \sum_{l=0}^i \binom{i}{l} (-x)^{i-l} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} S_{d_j n}^{(r)}(t^l; x).
\end{aligned}$$

But  $S_{d_j n}(t^l; x)$  is a polynomial in  $x$  of degree exactly  $l$  and the coefficient of  $x^l$  is 1. So, for  $0 \leq l < r$ ,  $S_{d_j n}(t^l; x) = 0$  and, for  $l = r$ , we have  $S_{d_j n}(t^l; x) = r!$ . Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{n,1} &= \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) \left[ f^{(r)}(x) + \frac{f^{(r+1)}(x)}{(r+1)!} \left\{ \binom{r+1}{r} (-x) S_{d_j n}^{(r)}(t^r; x) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \binom{r+1}{r+1} (-x)^0 S_{d_j n}^{(r)}(t^{r+1}; x) \right\} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= f^{(r)}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) \\
&\quad \times \left[ \frac{f^{(r+1)}(x)}{(r+1)!} \{(-x)(r+1)! + S_{d_j n}^{(r)}(t^{(r+1)}; x)\} \right] \\
&= f^{(r)}(x) + f^{(r+1)}(x) \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) \left[ -x + \frac{1}{(r+1)!} S_{d_j n}^{(r)}(t^{(r+1)}; x) \right] \\
&= f^{(r)}(x) + f^{(r+1)}(x) \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) \\
&\quad \times \left[ -x + \frac{1}{(r+1)!} \left\{ (r+1)!x + \frac{r(r+1)}{2d_j n} r! \right\} \right] \\
&= f^{(r)}(x) + f^{(r+1)}(x) \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) \left[ -x + \left\{ x + \frac{r}{2d_j n} \right\} \right] \\
&= f^{(r)}(x) + f^{(r+1)}(x) \frac{r}{2n} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{C(j, k)}{d_j n} = f^{(r)}(x),
\end{aligned}$$

since  $\sum C(j, k)/(d_j n) = 0$ , by Lemma 1.4. Thus, if  $S_n^{(r)}(f, k, x) = I_{n,1} + I_{n,2} + I_{n,3}$ , then  $S_n^{(r)}(f, k, x) - f^{(r)}(x) = I_{n,2} + I_{n,3}$ .

To estimate  $I_{n,2}$  it is sufficient to consider it without the linear combination. Let

$$I_{n,2} \equiv \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n,\nu}^{(r)}(x) \frac{f^{(r+1)}(\xi) - f^{(r+1)}(x)}{(r+1)!} \left( \frac{\nu}{n} - x \right)^{r+1} \chi \left( \frac{\nu}{n} \right).$$

Then, using Lemmas 1.5 and 1.2, we get for  $t \in \langle a, b \rangle$  and  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{n,2} &\leq \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{2p+q \leq r \\ p, q \geq 0}} n^p |\nu - nx|^q \frac{|T_{p,q,r}(x)|}{x^r} \phi_{n,\nu}(x) \\
&\quad \times \frac{|f^{(r+1)}(\xi) - f^{(r+1)}(x)|}{(r+1)!} \left| \frac{\nu}{n} - x \right|^{r+1} \chi \left( \frac{\nu}{n} \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{2p+q \leq r \\ p, q \geq 0}} n^p \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n,\nu}(x) |\nu - nx|^q \frac{|T_{p,q,r}(x)|}{(r+1)! x^r} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{|\nu/n - x|}{\delta} \right\} \omega(f^{(r+1)}; \delta) \left| \frac{\nu}{n} - x \right|^{r+1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq M_1(r)\omega(f^{(r+1)}; \delta) \sum_{\substack{2p+q \leq r \\ p, q \geq 0}} n^{p+q} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n, \nu}(x) \\ \times \left( \left| \frac{\nu}{n} - x \right|^{q+r+1} + \frac{|\nu/n - x|^{q+r+2}}{\delta} \right),$$

where

$$M_1(r) = \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} \sup_{\substack{2p+q \leq r \\ p, q \geq 0}} \frac{|T_{p, q, r}(x)|}{(r+1)!x^r}.$$

Further, using the Schwarz inequality and Lemma 1.1, we observe that

$$|I_{n,2}| \leq M_1(r)\omega(f^{(r+1)}; \delta) \sum_{\substack{2p+q \leq r \\ p, q \geq 0}} n^{p+q} \left\{ O(n^{-(q+r+1)/2}) + \frac{1}{\delta} O(n^{-(q+r+2)/2}) \right\}.$$

Choosing  $\delta = n^{-1/2}$ , we get

$$\|I_{n,2}\|_{[a,b]} \leq C_1(k, r)n^{-1/2}\omega(f^{(r+1)}; n^{-1/2}).$$

For  $t \in [0, \infty) \setminus \langle a, b \rangle$ , we can choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|t - x| > \delta$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$  and we also have  $\varepsilon(t, x) = O(f(t))$ . By the Schwarz inequality, Lemma 1.5, Lemma 1.1 and Corollary 1.3,  $I_{n,3}$  is estimated as

$$|I_{n,3}(x)| = \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{|\nu/(d_j n) - x| > \delta} \sum_{\substack{2p+q \leq r \\ p, q \geq 0}} |C(j, k)|(d_j n)^p |\nu - d_j n x|^q \\ \times \phi_{d_j n, \nu}(x) \frac{|T_{p, q, r}(x)|}{x^r} O\left(f\left(\frac{\nu}{d_j n}\right)\right) \\ \leq M_2(r, f) \sum_{j=0}^k |C(j, k)| \sum_{\substack{2p+q \leq r \\ p, q \geq 0}} (d_j n)^{p+q} \\ \times \sum_{|\nu/(d_j n) - x| > \delta} \phi_{d_j n, \nu}(x) \left| \frac{\nu}{d_j n} - x \right|^q \left(\frac{\nu}{d_j n}\right)^{\alpha\nu/(d_j n)} \\ \leq M_2(r, f) \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{\substack{2p+q \leq r \\ p, q \geq 0}} |C(j, k)|(d_j n)^{p+q} \\ \times \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \phi_{d_j n, \nu}(x) \left(\frac{\nu}{d_j n} - x\right)^{2q} \right. \\ \left. \times \sum_{|\nu/(d_j n) - x| > \delta} \phi_{d_j n, \nu}(x) \left(\frac{\nu}{d_j n}\right)^{2\alpha\nu/(d_j n)} \right)^{1/2},$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{n,3}\|_{[a,b]} &\leq M_2(r, f) \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{\substack{2p+q \leq r \\ p, q \geq 0}} |C(j, k)| \\ &\quad \times (d_j n)^{p+q} O((d_j n)^{-q/2}) O((d_j n)^{-s/2}) \\ &= M_3(r, f) \sum_{j=0}^k |C(j, k)| (d_j n)^{-(s-r)/2} \\ &= C_2(k, r, f) n^{-(k+1)} \quad \text{if } s \geq 2k + r + 2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates of  $I_{n,1}$ ,  $I_{n,2}$  and  $I_{n,3}$ , we obtain the required result.

**COROLLARY.** *If, in addition to the hypothesis of the above theorem,  $f^{(r+1)} \in \text{Lip}_M \beta$  for some  $M > 0$  and  $0 < \beta \leq 1$  on the interval  $\langle a, b \rangle$ , then*

$$\|S_n^{(r)}(f, k, \cdot) - f^{(r)}\|_{[a,b]} \leq C_3 n^{-(\beta+1)/2} + C_2 n^{-(k+1)},$$

where  $C_3 = MC_1$ .

Further, for  $k = 0$  and  $\beta = 1$ , this is reduced to the desired estimate

$$S_n^{(r)}(f; x) - f^{(r)}(x) = O(1/n)$$

on every finite subinterval of  $[0, \infty)$ .

**Acknowledgements.** 1. Thanks are accorded to Prof. Matts Essén, Uppsala University, Sweden for useful discussions on the problem.

2. The authors are grateful to the referee for offering useful suggestions leading to the better presentation of the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. H. Cheng, *On the rate of convergence of the Szász–Mirakian operator for functions of bounded variation*, J. Approx. Theory 40 (1984), 226–241.
- [2] J. Gröf, *A Szász Ottó-felé operator approximációs tulajdonságairól* [On the approximation properties of the operators of O. Szász], Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Fiz. Oszt. Közl. 20 (1971), 35–44 (in Hungarian).
- [3] T. Hermann, *On the Szász–Mirakian operators*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 32 (1978), 163–173.
- [4] H. S. Kasana, *On approximation of unbounded functions by linear combinations of modified Szász–Mirakian operators*, *ibid.* 61 (1993), 281–288.
- [5] H. S. Kasana and P. N. Agrawal, *On sharp estimates and linear combinations of modified Bernstein polynomials*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Sér. B 40 (1988), 61–71.
- [6] H. S. Kasana, G. Prasad, P. N. Agrawal and A. Sahai, *On modified Szász operators*, in: *Mathematical Analysis and its Applications* (Kuwait, 1985), Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1988, 29–41.

- [7] C. P. May, *Saturation and inverse theorems for combinations of a class of exponential operators*, *Canad. J. Math.* 28 (1976), 1224–1250.
- [8] S. P. Singh, *On the degree of approximation by Szász operators*, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 24 (1981), 221–225.
- [9] X. H. Sun, *On the simultaneous approximation of functions and their derivatives by the Szász–Mirakian operators*, *J. Approx. Theory* 55 (1988), 279–288.

Department of Mathematics  
Thapar Institute of Engineering and Technology  
Patiala 147 001, Punjab, India  
E-mail: kas@tietp.ren.nic.in

Department of Mathematics  
University of Roorkee  
Roorkee 247 667, Uttar Pradesh, India

*Received 24 February 1998;  
revised 17 September 1998*