

ON NONSTATIONARY MOTION OF A FIXED MASS OF A VISCOUS
COMPRESSIBLE BAROTROPIC FLUID BOUNDED
BY A FREE BOUNDARY

BY

EWA ZADRZYŃSKA AND
WOJCIECH M. ZAJĄCZKOWSKI (WARSZAWA)

1. Introduction. In this paper we consider the global motion of a drop of a viscous barotropic fluid in the general case, i.e. without assuming any conditions on the form of the pressure $p = p(\varrho)$. Here $\varrho = \varrho(x, t)$ (where $x \in \Omega_t$, $t \in [0, T]$, $\Omega_t \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded domain of the drop at time t) is the density of the drop.

Next, let $v = v(x, t)$ ($v = (v_i)_{i=1,2,3}$) denote the velocity of the fluid, $f = f(x, t)$ the external force field per unit mass, μ and ν the constant viscosity coefficients, and p_0 the external (constant) pressure. Then the motion of the drop is described by the following system of equations (see [2, Chs. 1, 2]):

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \varrho[v_t + (v \cdot \nabla)v] - \operatorname{div} \mathbb{T}(v, p) &= \varrho f && \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}^T, \\ \varrho_t + \operatorname{div}(\varrho v) &= 0 && \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}^T, \\ \mathbb{T}\bar{n} &= -p_0\bar{n} && \text{on } \tilde{S}^T, \\ v \cdot \bar{n} &= -\frac{\phi_t}{|\nabla\phi|} && \text{on } \tilde{S}^T, \\ \varrho|_{t=0} &= \varrho_0, \quad v|_{t=0} = v_0 && \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\Omega}^T = \bigcup_{t \in (0, T)} \Omega_t \times \{t\}$, $\tilde{S}^T = \bigcup_{t \in (0, T)} S_t \times \{t\}$, $S_t = \partial\Omega_t$, $\phi(x, t) = 0$ describes S_t (at least locally), \bar{n} is the unit outward vector normal to the boundary, i.e. $\bar{n} = \nabla\phi/|\nabla\phi|$, and $\Omega = \Omega_t|_{t=0} = \Omega_0$. In (1.1), $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}(v, p) = \{T_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,2,3} = \{-p\delta_{ij} + \mu(v_{ix_j} + v_{jx_i}) + (\nu - \mu)\delta_{ij} \operatorname{div} v\}_{i,j=1,2,3}$ is the stress tensor. Moreover, we assume $\nu > \frac{1}{3}\mu > 0$.

Let the domain Ω be given. Then by (1.1)₄, $\Omega_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x = x(\xi, t), \xi \in \Omega\}$, where $x = x(\xi, t)$ is the solution of the Cauchy problem

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35A05, 35R35, 76N10.

Key words and phrases: free boundary, compressible viscous barotropic fluid, global existence.

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = v(x, t), \quad x|_{t=0} = \xi \in \Omega, \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3).$$

Hence, we obtain the following relation between the Eulerian x and the Lagrangian ξ coordinates of the same fluid particle:

$$(1.3) \quad x = \xi + \int_0^t u(\xi, t') dt' \equiv X_u(\xi, t),$$

where $u(\xi, t) = v(X_u(\xi, t), t)$. Moreover, by (1.1)₄, $S_t = \{x : x = x(\xi, t), \xi \in S = \partial\Omega\}$.

By the continuity equation (1.1)₂ and the kinematic condition (1.1)₄ the total mass is conserved, i.e.

$$(1.4) \quad \int_{\Omega_t} \varrho(x, t) dx = \int_{\Omega} \varrho_0(\xi) d\xi = M,$$

where M is a given constant.

In [15] the local existence of a unique solution is proved for a problem analogous to (1.1), but describing the motion of a drop of a viscous heat-conducting fluid.

Let $u = u(\xi, t)$, $\eta = \eta(\xi, t)$ denote v and ϱ written in Lagrangian coordinates. In the same way as in [15] (see Theorem 4.2 of [15]) one can prove the local existence of a unique solution (v, ϱ) of problem (1.1) such that $u \in \mathcal{A}_{T, \Omega}$, $\eta \in \mathcal{B}_{T, \Omega}$, where $\mathcal{A}_{T, \Omega} \equiv \mathcal{A}_{T, \Omega_{0T}}$, $\mathcal{B}_{T, \Omega} \equiv \mathcal{B}_{T, \Omega_{0T}}$ and

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{B}_{T, \Omega_{iT}} = \{f \in C(iT, (i+1)T; H^2(\Omega_{iT})) : \\ f_t \in C(iT, (i+1)T; H^1(\Omega_{iT})) \cap L_2(iT, (i+1)T; H^2(\Omega_{iT})), \\ f_{tt} \in C(iT, (i+1)T; L_2(\Omega)) \cap L_2(iT, (i+1)T; H^1(\Omega_{iT}))\},$$

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{A}_{T, \Omega_{iT}} = \mathcal{B}_{T, \Omega_{iT}} \cap L_2(iT, (i+1)T; H^3(\Omega_{iT})),$$

$i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $T \leq T_*$, where $T_* > 0$ is a certain constant.

The aim of this paper is to prove the existence of a global-in-time solution of problem (1.1) near a constant state. Consider the equation

$$(1.7) \quad p(\varrho) = p_0,$$

where $\varrho \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $p \in C^3(\mathbb{R}_+)$, and $p' > 0$.

We introduce the following definition of a constant state.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let $f = 0$. Then by a *constant (equilibrium) state* we mean a solution (v, ϱ) of problem (1.1) such that $v = 0$, $\varrho = \varrho_e$, and $\Omega_t = \Omega_e$ for $t \geq 0$, where ϱ_e is a solution of (1.7) and $|\Omega_e| = M/\varrho_e$ ($|\Omega_e| = \text{vol } \Omega_e$).

First, in Section 2 we derive a differential inequality (2.58) which enables extending the local solution of (1.1) step by step from the interval $[0, T]$ to $[0, \infty)$. To prove the global existence we also use Lemma 2.1, which gives an energy estimate (2.8), and Lemmas 3.3–3.4. The above lemmas yield in particular global estimates for $\|v\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2$ and $\|p_\sigma\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2$ (where $p_\sigma = p - p_0$),

which are used in the proofs of Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.9, the main result of the paper.

The global motion of a fluid described by (1.1) has been considered earlier in papers [7] and [17].

In [17] the global existence for problem (1.1) is proved for a special form of $p = p(\varrho)$:

$$(1.8) \quad p = a_0 \varrho^\alpha,$$

where $a_0 > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ are constants. The global solution obtained in [17] is more regular than the one obtained in this paper.

A result analogous to that of [17] is proved (under assumption (1.8)) in [18] for the fluid bounded by a free boundary the shape of which is governed by surface tension.

Paper [7] of V. A. Solonnikov and A. Tani is concerned with problem (1.1) with the boundary condition $\mathbb{T}\bar{n} - \sigma H\bar{n} = 0$ (where H is the double mean curvature of S_t , and $\sigma > 0$ is the constant coefficient of surface tension). In [7] the existence of a solution is proved in some anisotropic Sobolev–Slobodetskiĭ spaces; it is a little less regular than ours. To prove the local existence the authors of [7] apply potential techniques.

Both in [17] and in [7] the energy conservation law is used in order to derive a global estimate for $\|v\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2$.

Papers [8]–[10] are concerned with the free boundary problem for a viscous barotropic self-gravitating fluid with p of the form (1.8).

Next, papers [11]–[14] are devoted to the free boundary problem for a viscous heat-conducting fluid under the assumption that the internal energy ε has a special form:

$$\varepsilon = a_0 \varrho^\alpha + h(\varrho, \theta),$$

where $a_0 > 0$, $\alpha > 0$, $h(\varrho, \theta) \geq h_* > 0$; a_0 , α and h_* are constants.

The free boundary problem for a viscous incompressible fluid was examined by V. A. Solonnikov in [3]–[6].

Finally, we present the notation used in the paper. We denote by $\|\cdot\|_{l,Q}$ (where $l \geq 0$, $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^3$) the norms in the Sobolev spaces $H^l(Q)$, and by $\Gamma_k^l(Q)$ ($l > 0$, $k \geq 0$, $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^3$) the space of functions $u = u(x, t)$ ($x \in Q$, $t \in (0, T)$, $T > 0$) with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\Gamma_k^l(Q)} = \sum_{i \leq l-k} \|\partial_t^i u\|_{l-i, Q} \equiv |u|_{l,k, Q}.$$

2. Differential inequality. Assume that the existence of a sufficiently smooth local solution of problem (1.1) has been proved and let

$$(2.1) \quad f = 0.$$

In this section we obtain a special differential inequality which enables us to prove the global existence. To get the inequality we consider the motion near the constant state. Let

$$(2.2) \quad p_\sigma = p - p_0, \quad \varrho_\sigma = \varrho - \varrho_e,$$

where ϱ_e is introduced in Definition 1.1. Then problem (1.1) takes the form

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \varrho[v_t + (v \cdot \nabla)v] - \operatorname{div} \mathbb{T}(v, p_\sigma) &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega_t, \quad t \in (0, T), \\ \varrho_{\sigma t} + \operatorname{div}(\varrho v) &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega_t, \quad t \in (0, T), \\ \mathbb{T}(v, p_\sigma)\bar{n} &= 0 && \text{on } S_t, \quad t \in (0, T), \\ \varrho_\sigma|_{t=0} = \varrho_{\sigma 0} = \varrho_0 - \varrho_e, \quad v|_{t=0} &= v_0, && \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel we use the following Taylor formula for p_σ :

$$(2.4) \quad p_\sigma = (\varrho - \varrho_e) \int_0^1 p'(\varrho_e + s(\varrho - \varrho_e)) ds = p_1 \varrho_\sigma,$$

where the function p_1 is positive.

Now, let ϱ_* and ϱ^* be positive constants such that

$$(2.5) \quad \varrho_* < \varrho < \varrho^* \quad \text{for } x \in \bar{\Omega}_t, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

In the lemmas below we denote by ε small constants, by $c_0 < 1$ a positive constant depending on μ, ν , and by c a positive constants depending on T (the time of local existence), $\varrho_*, \varrho^*, \int_0^t \|v\|_{3, \Omega_{t'}}^2 dt', \|S\|_{5/2}$, on the parameters which guarantee the existence of the inverse transformation to $x = x(\xi, t)$ and on the constants of imbedding theorems and Korn inequalities. We do not distinguish different ε 's or c 's.

We underline that all the estimates below are obtained under the assumption that there exists a local-in-time solution of problem (1.1), so all the quantities $\varrho_*, \varrho^*, T, \int_0^t \|v\|_{3, \Omega_{t'}}^2 dt', \|S\|_{5/2}$ are estimated by the data functions. Moreover, the existence of the inverse transformation to $x = x(\xi, t)$ is guaranteed by the estimates for the local solution (see [15]).

Now, assume the relations

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{\Omega_t} \varrho v \, dx = 0,$$

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{\Omega_t} \varrho v \cdot \eta \, dx = 0,$$

where $\eta = a + b \times x$ and a and b are arbitrary vectors.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let (v, ϱ_σ) be a sufficiently smooth solution of (2.3). Then*

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} \left(\varrho v^2 + \frac{p_1}{\varrho} \varrho_\sigma^2 \right) dx + c_0 \|v\|_{1, \Omega_t}^2 \leq c X_1^2 (1 + X_1),$$

where $X_1 = \|v\|_{2, \Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_\sigma\|_{2, \Omega_t}^2$.

Proof. Multiplying (2.3)₁ by v , integrating over Ω_t and using the continuity equation (2.3)₂, boundary condition (2.3)₄ and (2.4) we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} \varrho v^2 dx + \frac{\mu}{2} E_{\Omega_t}(v) + (\nu - \mu) \|\operatorname{div} v\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2 - \int_{\Omega_t} p_1 \varrho_\sigma \operatorname{div} v dx = 0,$$

where $E_{\Omega_t}(v) = \int_{\Omega_t} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 (v_{ix_j} + v_{jx_i})^2 dx$.

In [13] it is proved that

$$\frac{\mu}{2} E_{\Omega_t}(v) + (\nu - \mu) \|\operatorname{div} v\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2 \geq c E_{\Omega_t}(v),$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant.

Next, by the continuity equation (2.3)₂ we have

$$(2.10) \quad - \int_{\Omega_t} p_1 \varrho_\sigma \operatorname{div} v dx = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{p_1 \varrho_\sigma^2}{\varrho} dx + J,$$

where

$$(2.11) \quad |J| \leq \varepsilon (\|\varrho_{\sigma t}\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2 + \|v\|_{1,\Omega_t}^2) + c X_1^2 (1 + X_1).$$

Moreover, in view of assumptions (2.6) and (2.7), Lemma 5.2 of [17] yields

$$(2.12) \quad \|v\|_{1,\Omega_t}^2 \leq c (E_{\Omega_t}(v) + \|\varrho_\sigma\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2 \|v\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2)$$

and by the continuity equation (2.3)₂,

$$(2.13) \quad \|\varrho_{\sigma t}\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2 \leq c \|v\|_{1,\Omega_t}^2 + c \|v\|_{1,\Omega_t}^2 \|\varrho_\sigma\|_{2,\Omega_t}^2.$$

Taking into account (2.9)–(2.13) we get estimate (2.8). ■

LEMMA 2.2. *Let (v, ϱ_σ) be a sufficiently smooth solution of (2.3). Then*

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} \left(\varrho v_t^2 + \frac{p \varrho_\sigma}{\varrho} \varrho_{\sigma t}^2 \right) dx + c_0 (\|v_t\|_{1,\Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_{\sigma t}\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2) \\ \leq c \|v\|_{1,\Omega_t}^2 + c Y_1^2 (1 + X_2),$$

where

$$(2.15) \quad X_2 = \|v\|_{2,0,\Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_\sigma\|_{2,0,\Omega_t}^2 + \int_0^t \|v\|_{3,\Omega_{t'}}^2 dt',$$

$$(2.16) \quad Y_1 = X_2 - \int_0^t \|v\|_{3,\Omega_{t'}}^2 dt'.$$

Proof. Differentiating (2.3)₁ with respect to t , multiplying by v_t and integrating over Ω_t yields

$$(2.17) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} \varrho v_t^2 dx + \frac{\mu}{2} E_{\Omega_t}(v_t) + (\nu - \mu) \|\operatorname{div} v_t\|_{0, \Omega_t}^2 - \int_{\Omega_t} p_{\sigma \varrho} \varrho_{\sigma t} \operatorname{div} v_t dx \leq c Y_1^2 (1 + X_2),$$

where we have used the boundary condition (2.3)₄.

By Lemma 5.3 of [17] we have the following Korn type inequality:

$$(2.18) \quad \|v_t\|_{1, \Omega_t}^2 \leq c [E_{\Omega_t}(v_t) + Y_1^2 (1 + Y_1)].$$

Finally, using the continuity equation (2.3)₃ we get

$$(2.19) \quad - \int_{\Omega_t} p_{\sigma \varrho} \varrho_{\sigma t} \operatorname{div} v_t dx = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{p_{\sigma \varrho}}{\varrho} \varrho_{\sigma t}^2 dx + J,$$

where

$$(2.20) \quad |J| \leq \varepsilon (\|v_t\|_{1, \Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_{\sigma t}\|_{0, \Omega_t}^2) + c Y_1^2 (1 + Y_1).$$

In view of inequalities (2.17)–(2.20) and (2.13) we obtain (2.14). ■

Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 yield

LEMMA 2.3. *Let (v, ϱ_σ) be a sufficiently smooth solution of (2.3). Then*

$$(2.21) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} \left[\varrho (v^2 + v_t^2) + \frac{p_1}{\varrho} \varrho_\sigma^2 + \frac{p_{\sigma \varrho}}{\varrho} \varrho_{\sigma t}^2 \right] dx + c_0 (\|v\|_{1, \Omega_t}^2 + \|v_t\|_{1, \Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_{\sigma t}\|_{0, \Omega_t}^2) \leq c Y_1^2 (1 + X_2),$$

where X_2 and Y_1 are given by (2.15) and (2.16), respectively.

Next, we obtain

LEMMA 2.4. *Let v, ϱ_σ be a sufficiently smooth solution of (2.3). Then*

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} \left(\varrho v_{tt}^2 + \frac{p_{\sigma \varrho}}{\varrho} \varrho_{\sigma tt}^2 \right) dx + c_0 (\|v_{tt}\|_{1, \Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_{\sigma tt}\|_{0, \Omega_t}^2) \leq c (\|v\|_{1, \Omega_t}^2 + \|v_t\|_{1, \Omega_t}^2) + c X_2 Y_2 (1 + X_2^2),$$

where X_2 is given by (2.15) and

$$(2.22) \quad Y_2 = \|v\|_{3,1, \Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_\sigma\|_{2, \Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_{\sigma t}\|_{2, \Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_{\sigma tt}\|_{1, \Omega_t}^2.$$

The above lemma can be proved in the same way as Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. To estimate $E_{\Omega_t}(v_{tt})$ we use here Lemma 5.4 of [17].

In order to obtain estimates for derivatives with respect to x we rewrite problem (2.3) in Lagrangian coordinates. We have

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta u_{it} - \nabla_{u_j} T_{uij}(u, p_\sigma) &= 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3) && \text{in } \Omega^T \equiv \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \eta_{\sigma t} + \eta \nabla_u \cdot u &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega^T, \\ \mathbb{T}_u(u, p_\sigma) \bar{n}_u &= 0 && \text{on } S^T \equiv S \times (0, T), \\ u|_{t=0} &= v_0, \quad \eta_\sigma|_{t=0} = \varrho_{\sigma 0}, && \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta(\xi, t) = \varrho(X_u(\xi, t), t)$, $u(\xi, t) = v(X_u(\xi, t), t)$ (X_u is given by (1.3)), $\eta_\sigma = \eta - \varrho_e$, $\varrho_{\sigma 0} = \varrho_0 - \varrho_e$, $\mathbb{T}_u(u, p_\sigma) = \{T_{uij}(u, p_\sigma)\}_{i,j=1,2,3} = \{-p_\sigma \delta_{ij} + \mu(\partial_{x_i} \xi_k \partial_{\xi_k} u_j + \partial_{x_j} \xi_k \partial_{\xi_k} u_i) + (\nu - \mu) \delta_{ij} \operatorname{div}_u u\}_{i,j=1,2,3}$, $\operatorname{div}_u u = \nabla_u \cdot u = \partial_{x_i} \xi_k \partial_{\xi_k} u_i$, $\nabla_u = (\xi_{kx_i} \partial_{\xi_k})_{i=1,2,3}$, $\nabla_{u_j} = \xi_{kx_j} \partial_{\xi_k}$, $\partial_{x_i} \xi_k$ are the elements of the matrix ξ_x which is inverse to $x_\xi = I + \int_0^t u_\xi(\xi, t') dt'$, $I = \{\delta_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,2,3}$ is the unit matrix, $\bar{n}_u = \bar{n}(X_u(\xi, t), t) = \nabla_x \phi(x, t) / |\nabla_x \phi(x, t)|_{x=X_u(\xi, t)}$ (S_t is determined at least locally by the equation $\phi(x, t) = 0$) and summation over repeated indices is assumed.

By (2.4) we have $p_\sigma = p_1 \eta_\sigma$, where $p_1 = p_1(\eta)$.

Now, introduce a partition of unity $(\{\tilde{\Omega}_i\}, \{\zeta_i\})$, $\Omega = \bigcup_i \tilde{\Omega}_i$. Let $\tilde{\Omega}$ be one of the $\tilde{\Omega}_i$'s and $\zeta(\xi) = \zeta_i(\xi)$ be the corresponding function. If $\tilde{\Omega}$ is an interior subdomain then let $\tilde{\omega}$ be a set such that $\tilde{\omega} \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ and $\zeta(\xi) = 1$ for $\xi \in \tilde{\omega}$. Otherwise, we assume that $\tilde{\Omega} \cap S \neq \emptyset$, $\tilde{\omega} \cap S \neq \emptyset$, $\tilde{\omega} \subset \tilde{\Omega}$. Take any $\beta \in \tilde{\omega} \cap S = \tilde{S}$ and introduce local coordinates $\{y\}$ associated with $\{\xi\}$ by

$$(2.24) \quad y_k = \alpha_{kl}(\xi_l - \beta_l), \quad \alpha_{3k} = n_k(\beta), \quad k = 1, 2, 3,$$

where $\{\alpha_{kl}\}$ is a constant orthogonal matrix such that \tilde{S} is determined by the equation $y_3 = F(y_1, y_2)$, $F \in H^{5/2}$ and

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \{y : |y_i| < d, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad F(y') < y_3 < F(y') + d, \quad y' = (y_1, y_2)\}.$$

Next, we introduce u' , η' , η'_σ by

$$\begin{aligned} u'_i(y) &= \alpha_{ij} u_j(\xi)|_{\xi=\xi(y)} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), & \eta'(y) &= \eta(\xi)|_{\xi=\xi(y)}, \\ \eta'_\sigma(y) &= \eta'(y) - \varrho_e, \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi = \xi(y)$ is the inverse transformation to (2.24).

Next, we introduce new variables by

$$z_i = y_i \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad z_3 = y_3 - \tilde{F}(y), \quad y \in \tilde{\Omega},$$

which will be denoted by $z = \Phi(y)$ (where $\tilde{F} \in H^3$ is an extension of F). Let

$$(2.25) \quad \hat{\Omega} = \Phi(\tilde{\Omega}) = \{z : |z_i| < d, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad 0 < z_3 < d\} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{S} = \Phi(\tilde{S}).$$

Define

$$\hat{u}(z) = u'(y)|_{y=\Phi^{-1}(z)}, \quad \hat{\eta}(z) = \eta'(y)|_{y=\Phi^{-1}(z)}, \quad \hat{\eta}_\sigma(z) = \hat{\eta}(z) - \varrho_e.$$

Set $\widehat{\nabla}_k = \xi_{lx_k z_i \xi_i} \nabla_{z_i} |_{\xi=\chi^{-1}(z)}$, where $\chi(\xi) = \Phi(\psi(\xi))$ and $y = \psi(\xi)$ is described by (2.24). We also introduce the following notation:

$$\tilde{u}(\xi) = u(\xi)\zeta(\xi), \quad \tilde{\eta}(\xi) = \eta(\xi)\zeta(\xi), \quad \tilde{\eta}_\sigma(\xi) = \eta_\sigma(\xi)\zeta(\xi)$$

for $\xi \in \tilde{\Omega}$, $\tilde{\Omega} \cap S = \emptyset$ and

$$\tilde{u}(z) = \hat{u}(z)\widehat{\zeta}(z), \quad \tilde{\eta}(z) = \hat{\eta}(z)\widehat{\zeta}(z), \quad \tilde{\eta}_\sigma(z) = \hat{\eta}_\sigma(z)\widehat{\zeta}(z)$$

for $z \in \widehat{\Omega} = \Phi(\tilde{\Omega})$, $\widehat{\Omega} \cap S \neq \emptyset$, where $\widehat{\zeta}(z) = \zeta(\xi)|_{\xi=\chi^{-1}(z)}$.

Using the above notation we rewrite problem (2.23) in the following form in an interior subdomain:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta \tilde{u}_{it} - \nabla_{u_j} T_{uij}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{p}_\sigma) &= -\nabla_{u_j} B_{uij}(u, \zeta) - T_{uij}(u, p_\sigma) \nabla_{u_j} \zeta \equiv k_1, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \tilde{\eta}_{\sigma t} + \eta \nabla_u \cdot \tilde{u} &= \eta u \cdot \nabla_u \zeta \equiv k_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{p}_\sigma = p_\sigma \zeta$ and $\mathbb{B}_u(u, \zeta) = \{B_{uij}(u, \zeta)\}_{i,j=1,2,3} = \{\mu(u_i \nabla_{u_j} \zeta + u_j \nabla_{u_i} \zeta) + (\nu - \mu) \delta_{ij} u \cdot \nabla_u \zeta\}_{i,j=1,2,3}$.

In boundary subdomains we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\eta} \tilde{u}_{it} - \widehat{\nabla}_j \widehat{T}_{ij} &= -\widehat{\nabla}_j \widehat{B}_{ij}(\hat{u}, \widehat{\zeta}) - \widehat{T}_{ij}(\hat{u}, p_\sigma) \widehat{\nabla}_j \widehat{\zeta} \equiv k_{3i}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \widehat{\eta}_{\sigma t} + \hat{\eta} \widehat{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{u} &= \hat{\eta} \hat{u} \cdot \widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\zeta} \equiv k_4, \\ \widehat{\mathbb{T}}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{p}_\sigma) \hat{n} &= k_5, \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

where $k_{5i} = \widehat{B}_{ij}(\hat{u}, \widehat{\zeta}) \hat{n}_j$, $\widehat{\nabla} = (\widehat{\nabla}_j)_{j=1,2,3}$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{B}}$ indicate that the operator ∇_u is replaced by $\widehat{\nabla}$.

In Lemmas 2.5–2.7 below we denote z_1, z_2 , by τ , i.e. $\tau = (z_1, z_2)$, and z_3 by n .

LEMMA 2.5. *Let (v, ϱ_σ) be a sufficiently smooth solution of (2.3). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.27) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} \left(\varrho v_x^2 + \frac{p_\sigma \varrho}{\varrho} \varrho_{\sigma x}^2 \right) dx + c_0 (\|v\|_{2, \Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_{\sigma x}\|_{0, \Omega_t}^2) \\ & \leq c (\|v\|_{1, \Omega_t}^2 + \|v_t\|_{1, \Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_{\sigma t}\|_{0, \Omega_t}^2 + \|p_\sigma\|_{0, \Omega_t}) + c X_2^2 (1 + X_2), \end{aligned}$$

where X_2 is given by (2.15), $v_x^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 v_{ix_j}^2$, and $\varrho_{\sigma x}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 \varrho_{\sigma x_i}^2$.

Proof. First, we consider the following elliptic problem:

$$\begin{aligned} (2.28) \quad & \mu \nabla_u^2 u + \nu \nabla_u \nabla_u \cdot u - p_{\sigma \eta} \nabla_u \eta = \eta u_t \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ & \operatorname{div}_u u = \operatorname{div}_u u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ & \mathbb{T}_u(u, p_\sigma) \bar{n}_u = 0 \quad \text{on } S. \end{aligned}$$

Since the complementarity condition for (2.28) is satisfied we can apply to problem (2.28) the Agmon–Douglis–Nirenberg theory (see [1]). Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.29) \quad & \|u\|_{2, \Omega}^2 + \|\eta_\sigma\|_{1, \Omega}^2 \leq c (\|\eta u_t\|_{0, \Omega}^2 + \|\operatorname{div}_u u\|_{1, \Omega}^2) \\ & \leq c (\|u_t\|_{0, \Omega}^2 + \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{1, \Omega}^2 + c X_2^2(\Omega) (1 + X_2(\Omega))), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\|\operatorname{div}_u u - \operatorname{div} u\|_{1,\Omega}^2 \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{2,\Omega}^2$ ($\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small), and

$$(2.30) \quad X_2(\Omega) = |u|_{2,0,\Omega}^2 + |\eta_\sigma|_{2,0,\Omega}^2 + \int_0^t \|u\|_{3,\Omega}^2 dt'.$$

In view of (2.29) we see that in order to obtain inequality (2.27) it remains to get appropriate estimates for $\|\operatorname{div} u\|_{1,\Omega}^2$ and for $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} (\rho v_x^2 + (p_{\sigma\rho}/\rho)\rho_{\sigma x}^2) dx$. To do this, consider first boundary subdomains. Differentiate (2.26)₁ with respect to τ , multiply the result by $\tilde{u}_\tau J$ (J is the Jacobian of the transformation $x = x(z)$) and integrate over $\hat{\Omega}$. Hence using the Korn inequality and equation (2.26)₂ we obtain

$$(2.31) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\hat{\Omega}} \hat{\eta} \tilde{u}_\tau^2 J dz + c_0 \|\tilde{u}_\tau\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2 \\ & - \int_{\hat{S}} (\hat{\mathbb{T}}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{p}_\sigma) \hat{n})_{,\tau} \tilde{u}_\tau J dz - \int_{\hat{\Omega}} \tilde{p}_{\sigma\tau} \nabla \cdot \tilde{u}_\tau J dz \\ & \leq \varepsilon (\|\hat{\eta}_\sigma\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\tilde{u}_\tau\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2) + c (\|\hat{u}\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|p_\sigma\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2) + c X_2^2(\hat{\Omega}) (1 + X_2(\hat{\Omega})), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.32) \quad X_2(\hat{\Omega}) = |\hat{u}|_{2,0,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + |\hat{\eta}_\sigma|_{2,0,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \int_0^t \|\hat{u}\|_{3,\hat{\Omega}}^2 dt', \quad \tilde{u}_\tau^2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \tilde{u}_{iz_j}.$$

Using the boundary condition (2.26)₃ we have

$$(2.33) \quad \begin{aligned} & - \int_{\hat{S}} (\hat{\mathbb{T}}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{p}_\sigma) \hat{n})_{,\tau} \tilde{u}_\tau J d\tau = - \int_{\hat{S}} (\hat{B}_{ij}(\hat{u}, \hat{\zeta}) \hat{n}_j)_{,\tau} \tilde{u}_{i\tau} J d\tau \\ & = \int_{\hat{S}} \partial_\tau^{1/2} (\hat{B}_{ij}(\hat{u}, \hat{\zeta}) \hat{n}_j) \partial_\tau^{1/2} (\tilde{u}_{i\tau} J) d\tau \leq \varepsilon \|\tilde{u}_\tau\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\hat{u}\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + c X_2^2(\hat{\Omega}), \end{aligned}$$

where to use the derivative $\partial_\tau^{1/2}$ we have to apply the Fourier transformation.

Next,

$$(2.34) \quad - \int_{\hat{\Omega}} \tilde{p}_{\sigma\tau} \nabla_u \cdot \tilde{u}_\tau J dz = - \int_{\hat{\Omega}} p_{\sigma\hat{\eta}} \hat{\eta}_{\sigma\tau} \hat{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{u}_\tau J dz + J_1,$$

where $|J_1| \leq \varepsilon \|\tilde{u}_\tau\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + c \|p_\sigma\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2$ and

$$(2.35) \quad - \int_{\hat{\Omega}} p_{\sigma\hat{\eta}} \hat{\eta}_{\sigma\tau} \hat{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{u}_\tau J dz = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\hat{\Omega}} \frac{p_{\sigma\hat{\eta}}}{\hat{\eta}} \tilde{\eta}_{\sigma\tau}^2 J dz + J_2,$$

where

$$(2.36) \quad |J_2| \leq \varepsilon \|\tilde{\eta}_{\sigma\tau}\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + c \|\hat{u}\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + c X_2^2(\hat{\Omega}).$$

Taking into account (2.31), (2.33)–(2.36) and assuming that ε is sufficiently small we obtain

$$(2.37) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\widehat{\Omega}} \left(\widehat{\eta} \widetilde{u}_\tau^2 + \frac{p_{\sigma\widehat{\eta}}}{\widehat{\eta}} \widetilde{\eta}_{\sigma\tau}^2 \right) J dz + c_0 \|\widetilde{u}_\tau\|_{1,\widehat{\Omega}}^2 \\ \leq \varepsilon \|\widehat{\eta}_{\sigma\tau}\|_{0,\widehat{\Omega}}^2 + c(\|\widehat{u}\|_{1,\widehat{\Omega}}^2 + \|p_\sigma\|_{0,\widehat{\Omega}}^2) + cX_2^2(\widehat{\Omega})(1 + X_2(\widehat{\Omega})).$$

Now, applying the operator $(\mu + \nu)\nabla_{z_i}$ to (2.26)₂, dividing the result by $\widehat{\eta}$, adding to (2.26)₁ and multiplying both sides of the result by $p_{\sigma\widehat{\eta}}$ gives

$$(2.38) \quad \frac{\mu + \nu}{\widehat{\eta}} p_{\sigma\widehat{\eta}} \nabla_{z_i} \widetilde{\eta}_{\sigma t} + p_{\sigma\widehat{\eta}}^2 \nabla_{z_i} \widetilde{\eta}_\sigma \\ = p_{\sigma\widehat{\eta}}^2 \widehat{\eta}_\sigma \nabla_{z_i} \widehat{\zeta} - p_1 p_{\sigma\widehat{\eta}} \widehat{\eta}_\sigma \nabla_{z_i} \widehat{\zeta} + p_{\sigma\widehat{\eta}} k_{3i} + \mu p_{\sigma\widehat{\eta}} (\widehat{\nabla}^2 \widetilde{u}_i - \widehat{\nabla}_i \widehat{\nabla} \cdot \widetilde{u}) \\ + (\mu + \nu) p_{\sigma\widehat{\eta}} (\widehat{\nabla}_i - \nabla_{z_i}) \widehat{\nabla} \cdot \widetilde{u} + \frac{\mu + \nu}{\widehat{\eta}} p_{\sigma\widehat{\eta}} \nabla_{z_i} (\widehat{\eta} \widehat{u} \cdot \widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\zeta}) \\ - p_{\sigma\widehat{\eta}} \widehat{\eta} \widetilde{u}_{it} - \frac{\mu + \nu}{\widehat{\eta}} p_{\sigma\widehat{\eta}} \nabla_{z_i} \widehat{\eta} \widehat{\nabla} \cdot \widetilde{u}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Multiplying the normal component of (2.38) by $\eta_{\sigma n} J$ and integrating over $\widehat{\Omega}$ we obtain

$$(2.39) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\widehat{\Omega}} \frac{p_{\sigma\widehat{\eta}}}{\widehat{\eta}} \widetilde{\eta}_{\sigma n}^2 J dz + c_0 \|\widetilde{\eta}_{\sigma n}\|_{0,\widehat{\Omega}}^2 \\ \leq (\varepsilon + cd) \|\widetilde{u}_{nn}\|_{0,\widehat{\Omega}}^2 + \varepsilon \|\widetilde{\eta}_{\sigma n}\|_{0,\widehat{\Omega}}^2 \\ + c(\|\widetilde{u}_{z\tau}\|_{0,\widehat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\widehat{u}\|_{1,\widehat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\widetilde{u}_t\|_{0,\widehat{\Omega}}^2 + \|p_\sigma\|_{0,\widehat{\Omega}}^2) + cX_2^2(\widehat{\Omega})(1 + X_2(\widehat{\Omega})),$$

where d is from formula (2.25).

Now, we write (2.26)₁ in the form

$$(2.40) \quad \widehat{\eta} \widetilde{u}_{it} - \mu \Delta \widetilde{u}_i - \nu \nabla_{z_i} \nabla \cdot \widetilde{u} = \widehat{\nabla}_i \widetilde{p}_\sigma + k_{3i} - k_{6i},$$

where $k_{6i} = (\mu \Delta \widetilde{u}_i + \nu \nabla_{z_i} \nabla \cdot \widetilde{u}) - (\mu \widehat{\nabla}^2 \widetilde{u}_i + \nu \widehat{\nabla}_i \widehat{\nabla} \cdot \widetilde{u})$.

Multiplying the third component of (2.40) by $\widetilde{u}_{3nn} J$ and integrating over $\widehat{\Omega}$ yields

$$(2.41) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\widehat{\Omega}} \widehat{\eta} \widetilde{u}_{3n}^2 J dz + c_0 \|\widetilde{u}_{3nn}\|_{0,\widehat{\Omega}}^2 \\ \leq (\varepsilon + cd) \|\widetilde{u}_{nn}\|_{0,\widehat{\Omega}}^2 + c(\|\widetilde{u}_{z\tau}\|_{0,\widehat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\widehat{u}\|_{1,\widehat{\Omega}}^2 \\ + \|\widetilde{u}_t\|_{1,\widehat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\widetilde{\eta}_{\sigma n}\|_{0,\widehat{\Omega}}^2 + \|p_\sigma\|_{0,\widehat{\Omega}}^2) + cX_2^2(\widehat{\Omega})(1 + X_2(\widehat{\Omega})).$$

For an interior subdomain the following estimate is obtained in the same way as (2.37):

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.42) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \left(\eta \tilde{u}_\xi^2 + \frac{p_{\sigma\eta}}{\eta} \tilde{\eta}_{\sigma\xi}^2 \right) A \, d\xi + c_0 \|\tilde{u}\|_{2,\tilde{\Omega}}^2 \\
& \leq \varepsilon (\|\tilde{\eta}_{\sigma\xi}\|_{0,\tilde{\Omega}}^2 + \|\tilde{u}_{\xi\xi}\|_{0,\tilde{\Omega}}^2) \\
& \quad + c (\|u\|_{1,\tilde{\Omega}}^2 + \|p_\sigma\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2) + cX_2^2(\tilde{\Omega})(1 + X_2(\tilde{\Omega})),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.43) \quad X_2(\tilde{\Omega}) = |u|_{2,0,\tilde{\Omega}}^2 + |\eta_\sigma|_{2,0,\tilde{\Omega}}^2 + \int_0^t \|u\|_{3,\tilde{\Omega}}^2 \, dt'$$

and A is the Jacobian of the transformation $x = x(\xi)$.

Finally, we have

$$(2.44) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \eta u_\xi^2 A \, d\xi \leq c (\|u\|_{1,\tilde{\Omega}}^2 + \|u_t\|_{1,\tilde{\Omega}}^2),$$

where we have used (2.23)₁.

Going back to the old variables ξ in estimates (2.37), (2.39), (2.41) and summing them and (2.42) over all neighbourhoods of the partition of unity, using (2.29) and (2.44), assuming that ε and d are sufficiently small and passing to the variables x we obtain (2.27). ■

LEMMA 2.6. *Let (v, ϱ_σ) be a sufficiently smooth solution of (2.3). Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} \left(\varrho v_{xt}^2 + \frac{p_{\sigma\varrho}}{\varrho} \varrho_{xt}^2 \right) dx + c_0 (\|v_t\|_{2,\Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_{\sigma t}\|_{1,\Omega_t}^2) \\
& \leq c (\|v\|_{1,\Omega_t}^2 + \|v_t\|_{1,\Omega_t}^2 + \|v_{tt}\|_{1,\Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_{\sigma t}\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2 + \|p_\sigma\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2) \\
& \quad + cX_2Y_2(1 + X_2^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where X_2 is given by (2.15) and Y_2 is given by (2.22).

PROOF. Differentiating problem (2.28) with respect to t we get the following elliptic problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mu \nabla_u^2 u_t + \nu \nabla_u \nabla_u \cdot u_t - p_{\sigma\eta} \nabla_u \eta_{\sigma t} = \eta_{\sigma t} u_t + \eta u_{tt} - \nu (\nabla_u \nabla_u)_{,t} \cdot u \\
& \quad - \mu (\nabla_u^2)_{,t} u + p_{\sigma\eta\eta} \eta_{\sigma t} \nabla_u \eta_\sigma + p_{\sigma\eta} (\nabla_u)_{,t} \eta_\sigma \equiv K_1 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
& \operatorname{div}_u u_t = \operatorname{div}_u u_t \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
& \mathbb{T}_u(u_t, p_{\sigma t}) \bar{n}_u = -(\mathbb{T}_u)_{,t}(u, p_\sigma) \bar{n}_u - \mathbb{T}_u(u, p_\sigma) (\bar{n}_u)_{,t} \equiv K_2 \quad \text{on } S.
\end{aligned}$$

By the Agmon–Douglis–Nirenberg theory (see [1]) we have the estimate

$$\|u_t\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \|\eta_{\sigma t}\|_{1,\Omega}^2 \leq c (\|K_1\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \|K_2\|_{1/2,S}^2 + \|\operatorname{div}_u u_t\|_{1,\Omega}^2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\|K_1\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \|K_2\|_{1/2,S}^2 & \leq c (\|\eta_{\sigma t}\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \|u_{tt}\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \|p_\sigma\|_{0,\Omega}^2) \\
& \quad + X_2(\Omega)Y_2(\Omega)(1 + X_2^2(\Omega)),
\end{aligned}$$

with $X_2(\Omega)$ given by (2.30) and

$$(2.45) \quad Y_2(\Omega) = |u|_{3,1,\Omega}^2 + \|\eta_\sigma\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \|\eta_{\sigma t}\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \|\eta_{\sigma t t}\|_{1,\Omega}^2.$$

The remaining part of the proof is analogous to that in Lemma 2.5. ■

LEMMA 2.7. *Let (v, ϱ_σ) be a sufficiently smooth solution of (2.3). Then*

$$(2.46) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} \left(\varrho v_{xx}^2 + \frac{p_{\sigma\varrho}}{\varrho} \varrho_{\sigma xx}^2 \right) dx + c_0 (\|v\|_{3,\Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_{\sigma x}\|_{1,\Omega_t}^2) \\ \leq c (\|v\|_{2,\Omega_t}^2 + \|v_t\|_{1,\Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_{\sigma x}\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2 + \|p_\sigma\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2) \\ + \varepsilon \|v_t\|_{2,\Omega_t}^2 + c X_2 Y_2 (1 + X_2^2), \end{aligned}$$

where X_2 and Y_2 are given by (2.15) and (2.22), respectively, and

$$v_{xx}^2 = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 v_{ix_j x_k}^2, \quad \varrho_{\sigma xx}^2 = \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \varrho_{\sigma x_j x_k}^2.$$

Proof. First, we consider problem (2.28). By the Agmon–Douglis–Nirenberg theory (see [1]) we have

$$(2.47) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u\|_{3,\Omega}^2 + \|\eta_\sigma\|_{2,\Omega}^2 \leq c (\|u_t\|_{1,\Omega}^2 + \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{2,\Omega}^2) \\ + c X_2(\Omega) Y_2(\Omega) (1 + X_2^2(\Omega)), \end{aligned}$$

where $X_2(\Omega)$ and $Y_2(\Omega)$ are given by (2.30) and (2.45), respectively. Thus, to obtain (2.46) we have to estimate $\|\operatorname{div} u\|_{2,\Omega}^2$ and $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} (\varrho v_{xx}^2 + \frac{p_{\sigma\varrho}}{\varrho} \varrho_{\sigma xx}^2) dx$. To do this, consider first boundary subdomains. Differentiate (2.26)₁ twice with respect to τ , multiply the result by $\tilde{u}_{\tau\tau} J$ and integrate over $\hat{\Omega}$. Using the Korn inequality, the continuity equation (2.26)₂, and the boundary condition (2.26)₃ we get

$$(2.48) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\hat{\Omega}} \left(\hat{\eta} \tilde{u}_{\tau\tau}^2 + \frac{p_{\sigma\hat{\eta}}}{\hat{\eta}} \hat{\eta}_{\sigma\tau\tau}^2 \right) J dz + c_0 \|\tilde{u}_{\tau\tau}\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2 \\ \leq \varepsilon (\|\hat{\eta}_{\sigma\tau\tau}\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\tilde{u}_{\tau\tau}\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2) + c (\|\hat{u}\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\hat{\eta}_{\sigma z}\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2) \\ + c X_2(\hat{\Omega}) Y_2(\hat{\Omega}) (1 + X_2^2(\hat{\Omega})), \end{aligned}$$

where $X_2(\hat{\Omega})$ is given by (2.32) and

$$Y_2(\hat{\Omega}) = |\hat{u}|_{3,1,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\hat{\eta}_\sigma\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\hat{\eta}_{\sigma t}\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\hat{\eta}_{\sigma t t}\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2.$$

In the same way we obtain the following estimate in an interior subdomain:

$$(2.49) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \left(\tilde{\eta} \tilde{u}_{\xi\xi}^2 + \frac{p_{\sigma\tilde{\eta}}}{\tilde{\eta}} \tilde{\eta}_{\sigma\xi\xi}^2 \right) A d\xi + c_0 \|\tilde{u}\|_{3,\tilde{\Omega}}^2 \\ \leq \varepsilon (\|\tilde{\eta}_{\sigma\xi\xi}\|_{0,\tilde{\Omega}}^2 + \|\tilde{u}_{\xi\xi}\|_{0,\tilde{\Omega}}^2) \\ + c (\|u\|_{2,\tilde{\Omega}}^2 + \|\eta_{\sigma\xi}\|_{0,\tilde{\Omega}}^2 + \|p_\sigma\|_{0,\tilde{\Omega}}^2) + c X_2(\tilde{\Omega}) Y_2(\tilde{\Omega}) (1 + X_2^2(\tilde{\Omega})), \end{aligned}$$

where $X_2(\tilde{\Omega})$ is given by (2.43) and

$$Y_2(\tilde{\Omega}) = |u|_{3,1,\tilde{\Omega}}^2 + \|\eta_\sigma\|_{2,\tilde{\Omega}}^2 + \|\eta_{\sigma t}\|_{2,\tilde{\Omega}}^2 + \|\eta_{\sigma t t}\|_{1,\tilde{\Omega}}^2.$$

Now, differentiate the third component of (2.38) in τ , multiply the result by $\tilde{\eta}_{\sigma n \tau} J$ and integrate over $\hat{\Omega}$ to get

$$(2.50) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\hat{\Omega}} \frac{p_{\sigma \hat{\eta}}}{\hat{\eta}} \tilde{\eta}_{\sigma n \tau}^2 J dz + \int_{\hat{\Omega}} p_{\sigma \hat{\eta}}^2 \tilde{\eta}_{\sigma n \tau}^2 J dz \\ \leq \varepsilon \|\tilde{\eta}_{\sigma n \tau}\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + c(\|\hat{u}\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\hat{u}_t\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\hat{\eta}_{\sigma z}\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|p_\sigma\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2) \\ + cd\|\tilde{u}\|_{3,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + c\|\tilde{u}_{z\tau\tau}\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + cX_2(\hat{\Omega})Y_2(\hat{\Omega})(1 + X_2^2(\hat{\Omega})),$$

where d is from formula (2.25).

In the same way we obtain

$$(2.51) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\hat{\Omega}} \frac{p_{\sigma \hat{\eta}}}{\hat{\eta}} \tilde{\eta}_{\sigma n n}^2 J dz + \int_{\hat{\Omega}} p_{\sigma \hat{\eta}}^2 \tilde{\eta}_{\sigma n n}^2 J dz \\ \leq \varepsilon \|\tilde{\eta}_{\sigma n n}\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + c(\|\hat{u}\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\hat{u}_t\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\hat{\eta}_{\sigma z}\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|p_\sigma\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2) \\ + cd\|\tilde{u}\|_{3,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + c\|\tilde{u}_{zn\tau}\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + cX_2(\hat{\Omega})Y_2(\hat{\Omega})(1 + X_2^2(\hat{\Omega})).$$

Next, differentiating the third component of (2.40) in τ , multiplying by $\tilde{u}_{3nn\tau} J$ and integrating over $\hat{\Omega}$ we have

$$(2.52) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\hat{\Omega}} \tilde{\eta} \tilde{u}_{3n\tau}^2 J dz + c_0 \|\tilde{u}_{3nn\tau}\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2 \\ \leq \varepsilon \|\tilde{u}_{3nn\tau}\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \varepsilon \|\tilde{u}_t\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + c(\|\tilde{u}\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\tilde{u}_t\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\tilde{u}_{z\tau\tau}\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2 \\ + \|\hat{\eta}_{\sigma n \tau}\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\hat{\eta}_{\sigma z}\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|p_\sigma\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2) + cd\|\hat{u}\|_{3,\hat{\Omega}}^2 \\ + cX_2(\hat{\Omega})Y_2(\hat{\Omega})(1 + X_2^2(\hat{\Omega})).$$

In order to estimate $\|(\operatorname{div} \tilde{u})_{,nn}\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2$ rewrite equation (2.26)₁ in the form

$$(2.53) \quad (\nu + \mu) \nabla_{z_i} \operatorname{div} \tilde{u} = -\mu(\Delta \tilde{u}_i - \nabla_{z_i} \operatorname{div} \tilde{u}) + \hat{\eta} \tilde{u}_{it} - k_{3i} \\ + (\mu \Delta \tilde{u}_i + \nu \nabla_{z_i} \operatorname{div} \tilde{u} - \mu \hat{\nabla}^2 \tilde{u}_i - \nu \hat{\nabla}_i \hat{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{u}) \\ + p_1 \hat{\eta}_\sigma \hat{\nabla}_i \hat{\zeta} + \hat{\zeta} p_{\sigma \hat{\eta}} \hat{\nabla}_i \hat{\eta}_\sigma, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Differentiating the third component of (2.53) with respect to n gives

$$(2.54) \quad \|(\operatorname{div} \tilde{u})_{,nn}\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2 \leq cd\|\tilde{u}_{nnn}\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + c(\|\tilde{u}_\tau\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\hat{u}\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\tilde{u}_t\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2 \\ + \|\hat{\eta}_{\sigma n}\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|p_\sigma\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2) + cX_2(\hat{\Omega})Y_2(\hat{\Omega}).$$

To obtain an estimate for $\|\tilde{u}_\tau\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2$ consider the following elliptic problem:

$$(2.55) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu \widehat{\nabla}^2 \tilde{u} + \nu \widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{u} - p_{\sigma\hat{\eta}} \hat{\eta}_\sigma &= \hat{\eta} \tilde{u}_t + (p_1 - p_{\sigma\hat{\eta}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma \widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\zeta} \\ &+ \widehat{\nabla} \cdot \widehat{\mathbb{B}}(\hat{u}, \widehat{\zeta}) + \widehat{\mathbb{T}}(\hat{u}, p_\sigma) \cdot \widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\zeta}, \\ \widehat{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{u} &= \widehat{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{u}, \\ \widehat{\mathbb{T}}(\tilde{u}, p_\sigma) \hat{n} &= k_5, \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{\nabla} \cdot \widehat{\mathbb{B}}(\hat{u}, \widehat{\zeta}) = \{\widehat{\nabla}_j \widehat{\mathbb{B}}_{ij}(\hat{u}, \widehat{\zeta})\}_{i=1,2,3}$, $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}(\hat{u}, p_\sigma) \cdot \widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\zeta} = \{\widehat{T}_{ij}(\hat{u}, p_\sigma) \widehat{\nabla}_j \widehat{\zeta}\}_{i=1,2,3}$.

Differentiating (2.55) with respect to τ and next using the Agmon–Douglis–Nirenberg theory we get

$$(2.56) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}_\tau\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\tilde{\eta}_{\sigma\tau}\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2 \\ \leq c(\|\tilde{u}_{\tau\tau}\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\tilde{u}_{3nn\tau}\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\widehat{u}\|_{2,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|\tilde{u}_t\|_{1,\hat{\Omega}}^2 \\ + \|\hat{\eta}_{\sigma z}\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2 + \|p_\sigma\|_{0,\hat{\Omega}}^2) + cX_2(\hat{\Omega})Y_2(\hat{\Omega})(1 + X_2(\hat{\Omega})). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have

$$(2.57) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \eta u_{\xi\xi}^2 A d\xi \leq c\|u\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \varepsilon\|u_t\|_{2,\Omega}^2.$$

Going back to the old variables ξ in estimates (2.48), (2.50)–(2.52), (2.54), (2.56) and summing them and (2.49) over all neighbourhoods of the partition of unity, using (2.47) and (2.57), assuming that ε and d are sufficiently small and passing to the variables x we obtain (2.46). ■

Lemmas 2.1–2.7 and the estimates

$$\|\varrho_{\sigma t t}\|_{1,\Omega_t}^2 \leq c\|v_t\|_{2,\Omega_t}^2 + c(\|\varrho_{\sigma t}\|_{2,\Omega_t}^2 \|v\|_{2,\Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_\sigma\|_{2,\Omega_t}^2 \|v_t\|_{2,\Omega_t}^2)$$

and

$$\|\varrho_{\sigma t}\|_{2,\Omega_t}^2 \leq c\|v\|_{3,\Omega_t}^2 + cX_2Y_2(1 + X_2)$$

(which follow from equations (2.3)₂ and (2.23)₂, respectively) imply the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.8. *Let $\nu > \frac{1}{3}\mu > 0$ and let relations (2.6) and (2.7) be satisfied. Then for a sufficiently smooth solution (v, ϱ_σ) of problem (2.3) we have*

$$(2.58) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d\bar{\phi}}{dt} + c_0\bar{\Phi} \leq c_1 \left(\phi + \int_0^t \|v\|_{3,\Omega_{t'}}^2 dt' \right) \\ \cdot \left[1 + \left(\phi + \int_0^t \|v\|_{3,\Omega_{t'}}^2 dt' \right)^2 \right] \bar{\Phi} + c_2\Psi \quad \text{for } t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{\phi}(t) &= \int_{\Omega_t} \varrho \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha|+i \leq 2} |D_x^\alpha \partial_t^i v|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{p_1}{\varrho} \varrho_\sigma^2 dx \\
 &\quad + \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{p_\sigma \varrho}{\varrho} \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha|+i \leq 2} |D_x^\alpha \partial_t^i \varrho_\sigma|^2 dx, \\
 \phi(t) &= |v|_{2,0,\Omega_t}^2 + |\varrho_\sigma|_{2,0,\Omega_t}^2, \\
 \Phi(t) &= |v|_{3,1,\Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_\sigma\|_{2,\Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_{\sigma t}\|_{2,\Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_{\sigma t t}\|_{1,\Omega_t}^2, \\
 \Psi(t) &= \|p_\sigma\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.59}$$

c_i ($i = 1, 2$) are positive constants depending on ϱ_* , ϱ^* , μ , ν , $\int_0^t \|v\|_{3,\Omega_{t'}}^2 dt'$, $\|S\|_{5/2}, T$ and on the constants of imbedding theorems and Korn inequalities; $c_0 < 1$ is a positive constant depending on μ and ν ; and ϱ_σ and p_σ are given by (2.2).

3. Global existence. Assume (2.1) and rewrite problem (1.1) in Lagrangian coordinates as follows (see problem (2.23)):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \eta u_t - \mu \nabla_u^2 u - \nu \nabla_u \nabla_u \cdot u + \nabla p &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega^T, \\
 \eta_t + \eta \nabla_u \cdot u &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega^T, \\
 \mathbb{T}_u(u, p) \bar{n}_u &= -p_0 \bar{n}_u && \text{on } S^T, \\
 u|_{t=0} &= v_0, \quad \eta|_{t=0} = \varrho_0, && \text{in } \Omega.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.1}$$

The local existence of a solution of problem (3.1) can be proved by the method of successive approximations (see [15]), taking as a zero step function the solution $u^0 \in \mathcal{A}_{T,\Omega}$ ($\mathcal{A}_{T,\Omega}$ is given by (1.6)) of the following parabolic problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_t^0 - \operatorname{div} \mathbb{D}(u^0) &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega^T, \\
 \mathbb{D}(u^0) \bar{n}_0 &= (p(\varrho_0) - p_0) \bar{n}_0 && \text{on } S^T, \\
 u^0|_{t=0} &= v_0 && \text{in } \Omega,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.2}$$

where $\mathbb{D}(u^0) = \{\mu(u_{i\xi_j}^0 + u_{j\xi_i}^0) + (\nu - \mu)\delta_{ij} \operatorname{div} u^0\}_{i,j=1,2,3}$ and \bar{n}_0 is the unit outward vector normal to S .

Assume that

$$(3.3) \quad l > 0 \text{ is a constant such that } \varrho_e - l > 0 \text{ and } \varrho_1 < \varrho_0 < \varrho_2,$$

where $\varrho_1 = \varrho_e - l$, $\varrho_2 = \varrho_e + l$, and ϱ_e is given in Definition 1.1.

The function u^0 satisfies the estimate (see [15], estimate (4.3))

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad &\|u^0\|_{\mathcal{A}_{T,\Omega}}^2 \\
 &\leq C_1(T) (\|(p(\varrho_0) - p_0) \bar{n}_0\|_{3/2,S}^2 + \|v_0\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \|u_t^0(0)\|_{1,\Omega}^2 + \|u_{tt}^0(0)\|_{0,\Omega}^2) \\
 &< C_1(T) (\tilde{c} \bar{\phi}(0) + \|v_0\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \|u_t^0(0)\|_{1,\Omega}^2 + \|u_{tt}^0(0)\|_{0,\Omega}^2) \equiv A_0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1(T)$ is a positive constant; $\tilde{c} > 0$ is a constant depending on ϱ_1, ϱ_2 and on the volume and shape of Ω ; $\bar{\varphi}$ is defined in (2.59); $u_t^0(0), u_{tt}^0(0)$ are calculated from (3.2); and to obtain A_0 in (3.4) we have used (2.4).

Next, define

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} H_0 &= \frac{1}{\varrho_1} + \|\varrho_0\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \|v_0\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \|u_t(0)\|_{1,\Omega}^2 + \|u_{tt}(0)\|_{0,\Omega}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varrho_1} + \bar{c}\bar{\varphi}(0) + |\Omega|\varrho_e^2 < \tilde{H}_0, \end{aligned}$$

where $u_t(0), u_{tt}(0)$ are calculated from (3.1)₁; $\bar{c} > 0$ is a constant depending on ϱ_1, ϱ_2 ; and $\tilde{H}_0 > 0$ is a constant. Then the following theorem holds.

THEOREM 3.1. (see [15, Theorem 4.2]). *Assume that $\varrho_0, v_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$, $\varrho_0 > 0$, $u_t(0), u_{tt}^0(0) \in H^1(\Omega)$, $u_{tt}(0), u_{ttt}^0(0) \in L_2(\Omega)$ (where $u_t(0), u_{tt}(0)$ are calculated from (3.1)), $S \in H^{5/2}$, and $p \in C^3(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$. Let assumption (3.3) and the following compatibility conditions be satisfied:*

$$(3.6) \quad \mathbb{D}(v_0)\bar{n}_0 = (p(\varrho_0) - p_0)\bar{n}_0 \quad \text{on } S.$$

Assume that $A_0 < A$, where $A > 0$ is a constant depending also on \tilde{H}_0 (i.e. there exists a positive continuous increasing function $F = F(\tilde{H}_0)$ satisfying $F(\tilde{H}_0) < A$). Then there exists $T_* > 0$ (depending on A) such that for $T \leq T_*$ there exists a unique solution of (1.1) such that $u \in \mathcal{A}_{T,\Omega}$, $\eta \in \mathcal{B}_{T,\Omega}$ and

$$(3.7) \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{A}_{T,\Omega}}^2 \leq A,$$

$$(3.8) \quad \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{B}_{T,\Omega}}^2 \leq \psi_1(A),$$

where ψ_1 is a positive continuous increasing function of A ($\mathcal{A}_{T,\Omega}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{T,\Omega}$ are given by (1.6) and (1.5), respectively).

Now, we shall derive an estimate for the local solution (u, η_σ) of problem (2.23). Using (3.7) and (3.8) and the interpolation inequality we have

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|\nabla p_\sigma\|_{1,2,2,\Omega_T}^2 + \|\nabla p_{\sigma t}\|_{0,\Omega_T}^2 + \varepsilon_* \|\nabla p_{\sigma tt}\|_{0,\Omega_T}^2 \\ &\quad + \sup_t \|\nabla p_\sigma\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \|p_\sigma \bar{n}_u\|_{3/2,2,2,S^T}^2 + \|(p_\sigma \bar{n}_u)_t\|_{1/2,2,2,S^T}^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_* \|(p_\sigma \bar{n}_u)_{,tt}\|_{0,S^T}^2 + \sup_t \|p_\sigma \bar{n}_u\|_{0,S}^2 \\ &\leq \psi'(A, T)(\|\varrho_{\sigma 0}\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \|v_0\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \|u_t(0)\|_{1,\Omega}^2) \\ &\quad + (\varepsilon + T)\psi''(A, T)\|u\|_{\mathcal{A}_{T,\Omega}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where ψ' and ψ'' are positive continuous increasing functions of their arguments, and $\varepsilon_*, \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ are sufficiently small constants.

By estimate (3.9), Lemmas 3.5 and 2.3 of [15] and by Theorem 3.1 the local solution (u, η_σ) of problem (2.23) satisfies, for sufficiently small ε and T ,

$$(3.10) \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{A}_{T,\Omega}}^2 + \|\eta_\sigma\|_{\mathcal{B}_{T,\Omega}}^2 \leq \psi_2(A, T)(\|\varrho_{\sigma 0}\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \|v_0\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \|u_t(0)\|_{1,\Omega}^2 + \|u_{tt}(0)\|_{0,\Omega}^2),$$

where ψ_2 is a positive continuous function.

Now, let $\bar{\phi}(t)$, $\phi(t)$ and $\Phi(t)$ be defined by (2.59). Introduce the spaces

$$\mathfrak{N}(t) = \{(v, \varrho_\sigma) : \phi(t) < \infty\},$$

$$\mathfrak{M}(t) = \left\{ (v, \varrho_\sigma) : \phi(t) + \int_0^t \Phi(t') dt' < \infty \right\}.$$

Notice that $(v, \varrho_\sigma) \in \mathfrak{N}(t)$ iff $\bar{\phi}(t) < \infty$, and $(v, \varrho_\sigma) \in \mathfrak{M}(t)$ iff $\bar{\phi}(t) + \int_0^t \Phi(t') dt' \leq \infty$. Moreover,

$$(3.11) \quad c' \phi(t) \leq \bar{\phi}(t) \leq c'' \phi(t),$$

where $c', c'' > 0$ are constants depending on ϱ_* , ϱ^* given by (2.5).

From inequality (3.10) and from the definitions of $\mathfrak{N}(t)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(t)$ it follows that the local solution satisfies the estimate

$$(3.12) \quad \phi(t) + \int_0^t \Phi(t') dt' \leq c_3 \bar{\phi}(0),$$

where $c_3 > 0$ is a constant depending on the same quantities as c_1 and c_2 from Theorem 2.8.

Hence we obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $(v, \varrho_\sigma) \in \mathfrak{N}(0)$, $S \in H^{5/2}$, $u_t^0(0) \in H^1(\Omega)$, $u_{tt}^0(0) \in L_2(\Omega)$ (u^0 is the solution of problem (3.2)), and $p \in C^3(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$. Let assumption (3.3) and the compatibility condition (3.6) be satisfied. Moreover, assume*

$$(3.13) \quad \bar{\phi}(0) \leq \alpha,$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is sufficiently small. Then the local solution (v, ϱ) of problem (1.1) is such that $(v, \varrho_\sigma) \in \mathfrak{M}(t)$ for $t \leq T$, where $T > 0$ is the time of local existence, and the following estimate holds:

$$\phi(t) + \int_0^t \Phi(t') dt' \leq c_3 \alpha,$$

where $c_3 > 0$ is a constant depending on the same quantities as c_1 and c_2 from Theorem 2.8.

Next, we prove

LEMMA 3.3. *Let the assumptions of Lemma 3.2 be satisfied. Then there exist constants $\mu_1 > 1$ and $\mu_2 > 0$ (depending on the same quantities as c_1*

and c_2 from (2.58) such that

$$(3.14) \quad \bar{\phi}(t) \leq \mu_1 \bar{\phi}(0) e^{-\mu_2 t} \quad \text{for } t \leq T,$$

where $T > 0$ is the time of local existence.

Proof. Consider inequality (2.58) and assume that α from (3.13) is so small that

$$(3.15) \quad c_1 \left(\phi + \int_0^t \|v\|_{3,\Omega_{t'}}^2 dt' \right) \left[1 + \left(\phi + \int_0^t \|v\|_{3,\Omega_{t'}}^2 dt' \right)^2 \right] < \frac{c_0}{4}.$$

Then inequality (2.58) implies

$$(3.16) \quad \frac{d\bar{\phi}}{dt} + \frac{3}{4} c_0 \bar{\Phi} < c_2 \|p_\sigma\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2.$$

Applying the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 6.2 of [17] yields

$$(3.17) \quad \|p_\sigma\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2 \leq \varepsilon (\|p_{\sigma x}\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2 + \|v_{xx}\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2) + c(\varepsilon) (\|v\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2 + \|v_t\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2).$$

Since $\|p_{\sigma x}\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2 \leq c_4 \|\varrho_{\sigma x}\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2$, inequalities (3.16) and (3.17) imply, for sufficiently small ε ,

$$(3.18) \quad \frac{d\bar{\phi}}{dt} + \frac{3}{4} c_0 \bar{\Phi} < c_5 (\|v\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2 + \|v_t\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2).$$

Now, multiplying (2.21) by a constant c_6 so large that $c_0 c_6 - c_5 > 0$ and $c_6 > 1$, adding to (3.18) and using Lemma 3.2 we obtain

$$(3.19) \quad \frac{d}{dt} (\bar{\phi} + c_6 J) + \frac{3}{4} c_0 \bar{\Phi} + (c_0 c_6 - c_5) (\|v\|_{1,\Omega_t}^2 + \|v_t\|_{1,\Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_{\sigma t}\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2) < c_7 \alpha \bar{\phi},$$

where

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_t} \left[\varrho(v^2 + v_t^2) + \frac{p_1}{\varrho} \varrho_\sigma^2 + \frac{p_{\sigma \varrho}}{\varrho} \varrho_{\sigma t}^2 \right] dx.$$

Since $\bar{\phi}/c'' \leq \phi \leq \bar{\Phi}$ and $\bar{\phi} \geq J$ for sufficiently small α (so small that $c_7 \alpha < \frac{1}{4} c_0$), inequality (3.19) implies

$$(3.20) \quad \frac{d}{dt} (\bar{\phi} + c_6 J) + c_8 (\bar{\phi} + c_6 J) < 0,$$

where $c_8 = c_0/(4c''c_6)$ ($c'' > 0$ is the constant from (3.11)).

Inequality (3.20) yields (3.14) with $\mu_1 = c_6 + 1$ and $\mu_2 = c_8$. ■

By using Lemma 3.3 we prove

LEMMA 3.4. *Let the assumptions of Lemma 3.2 be satisfied. Moreover, assume*

$$(3.21) \quad C_0 \equiv \|v_0\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \|\varrho_{\sigma 0}\|_{0,\Omega}^2 \leq \delta,$$

where $\varrho_{\sigma 0} = \varrho_0 - \varrho_e$. Then

$$(3.22) \quad \|v\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_\sigma\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2 \leq c_9\alpha^2 + c_{10}c_{11}\delta \quad \text{for } t \leq T,$$

where $c_9 = \frac{c_{11}\mu_1^2}{c'\mu_2}c_3c(1+c_3\alpha)$; c' is the constant from inequality (3.11); α and c_3 are the constants from Lemma 3.2; μ_1, μ_2 are the constants from Lemma 3.3; c is the constant from Lemma 2.1 and $c_{10}, c_{11} > 0$ are constants depending on ϱ_* , ϱ^* such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c_{11}}(\|v\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_\sigma\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_t} \left(\varrho v^2 + \frac{p_1}{\varrho} \varrho_\sigma^2 \right) dx \\ &\leq c_{10}(\|v\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_\sigma\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2) \quad \text{for } t \leq T; \end{aligned}$$

and $T > 0$ is the time of local existence. Moreover,

$$(3.23) \quad \|p_\sigma\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2 \leq c_{12}(c_9\alpha^2 + c_{10}c_{11}\delta),$$

where $c_{12} > 0$ is a constant depending on p , ϱ_* , ϱ^* .

Proof. Integrating (2.8) with respect to t over $(0, t)$ ($t \leq T$) we get

$$(3.24) \quad \|v\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_\sigma\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2 \leq c_{11}c \sup_{0 \leq t' \leq t} \phi(t') \int_0^t \phi(t') dt' (1 + \sup_{0 \leq t' \leq t} \phi(t')) + c_{10}c_{11}C_0.$$

Using Lemmas 3.2–3.3 and assumption (3.21) we obtain

$$(3.25) \quad \|v\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_\sigma\|_{0,\Omega_t}^2 \leq \frac{c_{11}c\mu_1}{c'}c_3\alpha^2(1+c_3\alpha) \int_0^t e^{-\mu_2 t'} dt' + c_{10}c_{11}C_0 \leq c_9\alpha^2 + c_{10}c_{11}\delta.$$

Estimate (3.23) follows from (3.22) and (2.4). ■

REMARK 3.5. Estimate (3.12) and assumption (3.13) yield

$$(3.26) \quad \left| \int_0^t u(\xi, t') dt' \right| < c_{13}T^{1/2} \left(\int_0^T \|u\|_{2,\Omega}^2 dt' \right)^{1/2} \leq c_{13}\psi_3(A, T)T^{1/2}\alpha^{1/2} \equiv c_{14}T^{1/2}\alpha^{1/2},$$

where ψ_3 is a positive continuous function; $c_{13} > 0$ is a constant from the imbedding theorem depending on Ω . Hence, relation (1.3) implies that both the shape and the volume of Ω_t do not change much for $t \leq T$ and the constants c_i ($i = 1, \dots, 12$), μ_i ($i = 1, 2$) (from Lemma 3.3) and c (from Lemma 3.4) can be chosen independent of time for $t \leq T$.

REMARK 3.6. Under assumption (2.1) one can prove the following momentum conservation law (see [18]):

$$(3.27) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} \varrho v \cdot \eta \, dx = 0,$$

where $\eta = a + b \times x$ and a, b are arbitrary constant vectors. Moreover,

$$(3.28) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} \varrho x \, dx = \int_{\Omega_t} \varrho v \, dx.$$

Assuming

$$(3.29) \quad \int_{\Omega} \varrho_0 v_0 \cdot \eta \, d\xi = 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} \varrho_0 \xi \, d\xi = 0,$$

in view of (3.27) and (3.28) we get (2.6) and (2.7), respectively. Condition (2.6) guarantees that the barycentre of Ω_t coincides with the origin of coordinates.

Now, we can prove

LEMMA 3.7. *Let the assumptions of Lemma 3.2 and estimate (3.22) be satisfied. Then*

$$(3.30) \quad \bar{\phi}(t) \leq \alpha \quad \text{for } t \leq T,$$

where α is sufficiently small (so that (3.15) and (3.32) are satisfied), and $T > 0$ is the time of local existence.

PROOF. For α so small that (3.15) is satisfied, the differential inequality (2.58) implies (3.16). Hence by estimate (3.23) of Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\frac{d\bar{\phi}}{dt} + \frac{3}{4}c_0\bar{\phi} < c_2c_{12}(c_9\alpha^2 + c_{10}c_{11}\delta).$$

Therefore, since $\bar{\phi}/c'' \leq \Phi$ (where c'' is the constant from inequality (3.11)) we obtain

$$(3.31) \quad \frac{d\bar{\phi}}{dt} + \frac{3}{4}\frac{c_0}{c''}\bar{\phi} < c_2c_{12}(c_9\alpha^2 + c_{10}c_{11}\delta).$$

Now, assume that $t_* = \inf\{t \in [0, T] : \bar{\phi}(t) > \alpha\}$ and consider (3.31) in the interval $(0, t_*)$. From the definition of t_* we have $\bar{\phi}(t_*) = \alpha$. Therefore (3.31) yields

$$\frac{d\bar{\phi}}{dt}(t_*) < -\frac{3}{4}\frac{c_0}{c''}\alpha + c_2c_{12}(c_9\alpha^2 + c_{10}c_{11}\delta).$$

Let α and δ be so small that

$$(3.32) \quad c_2c_{12}(c_9\alpha^2 + c_{10}c_{11}\delta) < \frac{3}{4}\frac{c_0}{c''}\alpha.$$

Then $(d\bar{\phi}/dt)(t_*) < 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, (3.30) holds. ■

Lemma 3.7 suggests that the solution can be continued to the interval $[T, 2T]$. However, to do this we also need the analogous lemma for the solution of (3.2), to have the sum on the right-hand side of (3.4) with initial condition at T estimated by A .

Set

$$\phi_1(t) = |u^0(t)|_{2,0,\Omega}^2, \quad \Phi_1(t) = |u^0(t)|_{3,1,\Omega}^2 - \|u^0(t)\|_{3,\Omega}^2,$$

where u^0 is the solution of (3.2).

LEMMA 3.8. *Let the assumptions of Lemma 3.7 and (3.21) be satisfied. Moreover, assume that $\phi_1(0) \leq \alpha_1$, where $\alpha_1 > 0$ is a constant. Then if the constants δ from Lemma 3.4 and α are sufficiently small we have*

$$(3.33) \quad \phi_1(t) \leq \alpha_1 \quad \text{for } t \leq T.$$

Proof. First, we shall obtain a differential inequality similar to (2.58). Multiplying (3.2)₁ by u^0 , integrating over Ω and using the boundary condition (3.2)₂ and (2.4) (where $p_1 = p_1(\varrho_0)$) we get

$$(3.34) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (u^0)^2 d\xi + \frac{\mu}{2} E_{\Omega}(u^0) + \int_S p_1 \varrho_{\sigma 0} \bar{\pi}_0 u^0 d\xi_s = 0,$$

where $E_{\Omega}(u^0) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 (u_{ix_j}^0 + u_{jx_i}^0)^2 d\xi$.

In view of assumptions (3.29), Lemma 5.2 of [14] and the interpolation inequality, equality (3.34) yields

$$(3.35) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (u^0)^2 d\xi + c_0 \|u^0\|_{1,\Omega}^2 \\ \leq c \|\varrho_{\sigma 0}\|_{0,\Omega}^2 \|u^0\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \varepsilon \|\varrho_{\sigma 0}\|_{1,\Omega}^2 + c(\varepsilon) \|\varrho_{\sigma 0}\|_{0,\Omega}^2, \quad \text{where } \varepsilon \in (0, 1).$$

Next, differentiating (3.2)₁ with respect to t , multiplying by u_t^0 , integrating over Ω and using the Korn inequality we get

$$(3.36) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (u_t^0)^2 d\xi + c_0 \|u_t^0\|_{1,\Omega}^2 \leq c \|u_t^0\|_{0,\Omega}^2$$

and from (3.2)₁ we obtain

$$(3.37) \quad \|u_t^0\|_{0,\Omega}^2 \leq \varepsilon \|u_t^0\|_{1,\Omega}^2 + \varepsilon \|\varrho_{\sigma 0}\|_{1,\Omega}^2 + c(\varepsilon) \|\varrho_{\sigma 0}\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + c \|u^0\|_{1,\Omega}^2.$$

By (3.36) and (3.37) we have

$$(3.38) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (u_t^0)^2 d\xi + c_0 \|u_t^0\|_{1,\Omega}^2 \leq \varepsilon \|\varrho_{\sigma 0}\|_{1,\Omega}^2 + c(\varepsilon) \|\varrho_{\sigma 0}\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + c \|u^0\|_{1,\Omega}^2.$$

In the same way we obtain

$$(3.39) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (u_{tt}^0)^2 d\xi + c_0 \|u_{tt}^0\|_{1,\Omega}^2 \leq c \|u_t^0\|_{1,\Omega}^2.$$

Now, consider the elliptic problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div} \mathbb{D}(u^0) &= -u_t^0, \\ \mathbb{D}(u^0)\bar{n}_0 &= (p(\varrho_0) - p_0)\bar{n}_0. \end{aligned}$$

By the Agmon–Douglis–Nirenberg theory (see [1])

$$(3.40) \quad \|u^0\|_{2,\Omega}^2 \leq c(\|u_t^0\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \|u^0\|_{0,\Omega}^2) + \varepsilon\|\varrho_{\sigma 0}\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + c(\varepsilon)\|\varrho_{\sigma 0}\|_{0,\Omega}^2.$$

Moreover,

$$(3.41) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (u_{\xi}^0)^2 d\xi \leq c(\|u^0\|_{1,\Omega}^2 + \|u_t^0\|_{1,\Omega}^2).$$

Using the same argument we get the estimates

$$(3.42) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (u_{t\xi}^0)^2 d\xi + c_0\|u_t^0\|_{2,\Omega}^2 \leq c(\|u_t^0\|_{1,\Omega}^2 + \|u_{tt}^0\|_{1,\Omega}^2),$$

$$(3.43) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (u_{\xi\xi}^0)^2 d\xi \leq c(\|u^0\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \|u_t^0\|_{2,\Omega}^2).$$

Now, estimates (3.35) and (3.38)–(3.43) yield the following differential inequality:

$$(3.44) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \phi_1(t) + c_0\Phi_1(t) \leq c_{15}\|\varrho_{\sigma 0}\|_{0,\Omega}^2\Phi_1(t) + \varepsilon\|\varrho_{\sigma 0}\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + c_{16}\|\varrho_{\sigma 0}\|_{0,\Omega}^2.$$

By using the same argument as in Lemma 3.7, inequality (3.44) and assumptions (3.13) and (3.21) yield (3.33) for sufficiently small ε , δ and α . ■

Now, we prove the main result of the paper.

THEOREM 3.9. *Let $\nu > \frac{1}{3}\mu > 0$, $f = 0$, and $p \in C^3(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with $p' > 0$. Let $(v, \varrho_{\sigma}) \in \mathfrak{N}(0)$, $S \in H^{5/2}$, $u_t^0(0) \in H^1(\Omega)$, $u_{tt}^0(0) \in L_2(\Omega)$ (u^0 is a solution of (3.2)) and let the following compatibility condition be satisfied:*

$$[\mathbb{D}(v_0) - (p(\varrho_0) - p_0)]\bar{n}_0 = 0 \quad \text{on } S.$$

Moreover, let the following assumptions be satisfied:

$$(3.45) \quad \bar{\phi}(0) \leq \alpha;$$

$$(3.46) \quad \|v_0\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \|\varrho_{\sigma 0}\|_{0,\Omega}^2 \leq \delta, \quad \text{where } \varrho_{\sigma 0} = \varrho_0 - \varrho_e;$$

$$(3.47) \quad l > 0 \text{ is a constant such that } \varrho_e - l > 0 \text{ and } \varrho_1 < \varrho_0 < \varrho_2, \\ \text{where } \varrho_1 = \varrho_e - l, \varrho_2 = \varrho_e + l;$$

$$(3.48) \quad \int_{\Omega} \varrho_0 v_0 \cdot \eta d\xi = 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} \varrho_0 \xi d\xi = 0,$$

where $\eta = a + b \times x$ and a, b are arbitrary constant vectors;

$$(3.49) \quad \int_{\Omega} \varrho_0 d\xi = M.$$

Then for sufficiently small constants α and δ there exists a global solution of (1.1) such that $(v, \varrho_\sigma) \in \mathfrak{M}(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^1$, $S_t \in H^{5/2}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^1$ and

$$(3.50) \quad \bar{\phi}(t) \leq \alpha \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}_+^1.$$

Proof. The theorem is proved step by step using the local existence in a fixed interval. In order to extend the solution to the interval $[T, 2T]$ we first prove that

$$(3.51) \quad \varrho_1 < \varrho(x, t) < \varrho_2 \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}_t, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

By (3.10) and assumption (3.45) we have

$$(3.52) \quad \|u(t)\|_{2, \Omega}^2 + \|\eta_\sigma(t)\|_{2, \Omega}^2 \leq \psi_2(A, T)\alpha.$$

Hence

$$(3.53) \quad |u|_{\infty, \Omega^T}^2 + |\eta_\sigma|_{\infty, \Omega^T}^2 \leq \alpha c(\Omega)\psi_2(A, T),$$

where $c(\Omega) > 0$ is a constant from the imbedding lemma.

Assume now that α is so small that

$$(3.54) \quad [\alpha c(\Omega)\psi_2(A, T)]^{1/2} < l,$$

where l is the constant from assumption (3.47). Then by (3.53) we obtain (3.51) and this means that $\varrho_* = \varrho_1$ and $\varrho^* = \varrho_2$. Thus, the assumptions of the theorem and Lemmas 3.4, 3.7 yield

$$(3.55) \quad \bar{\phi}(t) \leq \alpha \quad \text{for } t \leq T,$$

where α and δ are so small that (3.15) and (3.32) are satisfied (with constants $c_1, c_2, c_8, c_9, c_{10}, c_{11}, c_{12}$ and c'' depending on $\Omega, \varrho_1, \varrho_2$). Hence, in view of Theorem 3.1, Lemma 3.8 and estimates (3.4)–(3.5) (with initial conditions at T) for A so large that

$$(3.56) \quad C_1(T)(\tilde{c}\bar{\phi}(0) + \alpha) < A$$

and for α sufficiently small (so that (3.56) and (3.5) hold with $\bar{\phi}(0)$ replaced by α) there exists a local solution of (1.1) in the interval $[T, 2T]$ and

$$(3.57) \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{A}_{T, \Omega_T}}^2 + \|\eta_\sigma\|_{\mathcal{B}_{T, \Omega_T}}^2 \leq \psi_2(A, T)(\|\varrho_\sigma(T)\|_{2, \Omega_T}^2 + \|u(T)\|_{2, \Omega_T}^2 \\ + \|u_t(T)\|_{1, \Omega_T}^2 + \|u_{tt}(T)\|_{0, \Omega_T}^2) \\ \leq \psi_2(A, T)\alpha$$

(where $\mathcal{A}_{T, \Omega_T}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{T, \Omega_T}$ are given by (1.6) and (1.5), respectively), which yields $(v, \varrho_\sigma) \in \mathfrak{M}(t)$ for $t \leq 2T$.

To extend the solution to $[2T, 3T]$ we have to prove

$$(3.58) \quad \bar{\phi}(t) \leq \alpha \quad \text{for } t \leq 2T.$$

First, we show the estimate

$$(3.59) \quad \varrho_1 < \varrho(x, t) < \varrho_2 \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}_t, \quad t \in [0, 2T].$$

In view of (3.51) we prove

$$\varrho_1 < \eta(\xi, t) < \varrho_2 \quad \forall \xi \in \bar{\Omega}_T, t \in [T, 2T],$$

where by η we denote ϱ written in the Lagrangian coordinates $\xi \in \Omega_T$ connected with the Eulerian coordinates x by the relation

$$x = \xi + \int_T^t v(x, t') dt' = \xi + \int_T^t u(\xi, t') dt'.$$

In view of (3.55) and (3.57) we get

$$\|u(t)\|_{2, \Omega_T}^2 + \|\eta_\sigma(t)\|_{2, \Omega_T}^2 \leq \psi_2(A, T)\alpha.$$

Hence

$$(3.60) \quad |u|_{\infty, \Omega_T \times (T, 2T)}^2 + |\eta_\sigma|_{\infty, \Omega_T \times (T, 2T)}^2 \leq \alpha c(\Omega_T) \psi_2(A, T),$$

where $c(\Omega_T)$ is a constant from the imbedding lemma and by Remark 3.5,

$$[\alpha c(\Omega_T) \psi_2(A, T)]^{1/2} < l,$$

where l is the constant from assumption (3.47). Therefore, (3.60) implies (3.59).

Now, we prove that the volume and shape of Ω_t change in $[0, 2T]$ no more than they do in $[0, T]$. To do this we consider $\int_0^t v(x, t') dt'$ for $0 \leq t \leq 2T$. We estimate $\int_0^T v(x, t') dt'$ by applying Lemma 3.3, and to estimate $\int_T^{2T} v(x, t') dt'$ we use inequality (3.57) for the local solution in $[T, 2T]$. Thus we have

$$(3.61) \quad \left| \int_0^t v(x, t') dt' \right| \leq \int_0^T |u(\xi, t')| dt' + \int_T^{2T} |u(\xi, t')| dt' \\ < c_{13} T^{1/2} \left[\left(\int_0^T \|u\|_{2, \Omega}^2 dt' \right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_T^{2T} \|u\|_{2, \Omega_T}^2 dt' \right)^{1/2} \right] \\ \leq T^{1/2} \left[\left(c_{17} \int_0^T \|v\|_{2, \Omega_{t'}}^2 dt' \right)^{1/2} + c_{14} \alpha^{1/2} \right] \\ \leq T^{1/2} \left[\frac{c_{17}}{(c')^{1/2}} \left(\int_0^T \bar{\phi}(t') dt' \right)^{1/2} + c_{14} \alpha^{1/2} \right] \\ \leq T^{1/2} \alpha^{1/2} \left[c_{17} \left(\frac{\mu_1}{c'} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^T e^{-\mu_2 t'} dt' \right)^{1/2} + c_{14} \right] \\ \leq T^{1/2} \alpha^{1/2} \left(\frac{c_{17} \mu_1}{(c' \mu_2)^{1/2}} + c_{14} \right),$$

where c_{13} and c_{14} are the constants from Remark 3.5, c' is the constant from (3.11) and we have used the fact that $\mu_1 > 1$.

If α is sufficiently small then estimates (3.61) and (3.59) imply that the differential inequality (2.58) can be derived in $[T, 2T]$ with the same constants c_1 and c_2 as in $[0, T]$. Similarly, the other constants c_i and c', c'', μ_1, μ_2 are the same in $[T, 2T]$ as in $[0, T]$.

Next, we prove that assumption (3.21) implies (3.22) for $t \leq 2T$. To do this integrate (2.8) with respect to t over $(0, t)$ ($t \leq 2T$). Using Lemmas 3.2–3.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.62) \quad & \|v\|_{0, \Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_\sigma\|_{0, \Omega_t}^2 \\
& \leq c_{11}c \sup_{0 \leq t' \leq t} \phi(t') \int_0^t \phi(t') dt' (1 + \sup_{0 \leq t' \leq t} \phi(t')) + c_{10}c_{11}C_0 \\
& \leq \frac{c_{11}c}{c'} c_3 \mu_1 (1 + c_3 \alpha) \alpha \left(\int_0^T \bar{\phi}(0) e^{-\mu_2 t'} dt' + \int_T^{2T} \bar{\phi}(T) e^{-\mu_2(t'-T)} dt' \right) + c_{10}c_{11}\delta \\
& \leq \frac{c_{11}cc_3\mu_1}{c'} (1 + c_3 \alpha) \alpha \left(\alpha \int_0^T e^{-\mu_2 t'} dt' + \mu_1 \int_T^{2T} \bar{\phi}(0) e^{-\mu_2 T} e^{-\mu_2(t'-T)} dt' \right) \\
& \quad + c_{10}c_{11}\delta \\
& \leq \frac{c_{11}cc_3\mu_1}{c' \mu_2} (1 + c_3 \alpha) \alpha^2 [1 - e^{-\mu_2 T} + \mu_1 (e^{\mu_2 T} - e^{-2\mu_2 T})] + c_{10}c_{11}\delta \\
& \leq \frac{c_{11}cc_3\mu_1^2}{c' \mu_2} (1 + c_3 \alpha) \alpha^2 + c_{10}c_{11}\delta,
\end{aligned}$$

where c_{10}, c_{11} are the constants from Lemma 3.4 and c_3 is the constant from Lemma 3.2. Therefore (3.22) is satisfied for $t \leq 2T$, so by (3.55) and Lemma 3.7 we obtain (3.58) and the existence of a local solution (v, ϱ) such that $(v, \varrho) \in \mathfrak{M}(t)$ for $t \leq 3T$.

Finally, assume that there exists a local solution in $[0, kT]$ (where $k \geq 3$) satisfying

$$(3.63) \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{A}_T, \Omega_{iT}}^2 \leq A \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k-1,$$

$$(3.64) \quad \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{B}_T, \Omega_{iT}}^2 \leq \psi_1(A) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k-1,$$

$$(3.65) \quad \bar{\phi}(t) \leq \alpha \quad \text{for } t \leq (k-1)T,$$

$$(3.66) \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{A}_T, \Omega_{iT}}^2 + \|\eta_\sigma\|_{\mathcal{B}_T, \Omega_{iT}}^2 \leq \psi_2(A, T)\alpha \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

Moreover, assume that the volume and shape of Ω_t change in $[0, (k-1)T]$ no more than they do in $[0, T]$ and estimate (3.51) holds for $t \leq (k-1)T$ (so the constants $c_i, i=1, \dots, 17, c', c'', \mu_1, \mu_2$ are the same in each $[(i-1)T, iT]$, $i=1, \dots, k-1$). Since the argument used to show estimate (3.51) for $t \leq kT$ is the same as for $t \leq T$ and for $t \leq 2T$, to prove the existence of a local solution in $[0, (k+1)T]$ it remains to show that the volume and shape of Ω_t change in $[0, kT]$ no more than they do in $[0, T]$ and that assumption

(3.21) implies (3.22) for $t \leq kT$. In fact, applying Lemma 3.3 and estimates (3.63)–(3.66) we have, for $t \in [0, kT]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.67) \quad & \left| \int_0^t v(x, t') dt' \right| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \int_{iT}^{(i+1)T} |u(\xi, t')| dt' < c_{13} T^{1/2} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\int_{iT}^{(i+1)T} \|u\|_{2, \Omega_{iT}}^2 dt' \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq T^{1/2} \left[c_{17} \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} \left(\int_{iT}^{(i+1)T} \|v\|_{2, \Omega_{i'}}^2 dt' \right)^{1/2} + c_{14} \alpha^{1/2} \right] \\
& \leq T^{1/2} \left[\frac{c_{17}}{(c')^{1/2}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} \left(\int_{iT}^{(i+1)T} \bar{\phi}(t') dt' \right)^{1/2} + c_{14} \alpha^{1/2} \right] \\
& \leq T^{1/2} \left[c_{17} \left(\frac{\mu_1}{c'} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} \left(\bar{\phi}(iT) \int_{iT}^{(i+1)T} e^{-\mu_2(t'-iT)} dt' \right)^{1/2} + c_{14} \alpha^{1/2} \right] \\
& \leq T^{1/2} \left[c_{17} \left(\frac{\mu_1}{c' \mu_2} \right)^{1/2} (1 - e^{-\mu_2 T})^{1/2} \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} (\bar{\phi}(iT))^{1/2} + c_{14} \alpha^{1/2} \right] \\
& \leq T^{1/2} \left\{ c_{17} \left(\frac{\mu_1}{c' \mu_2} \right)^{1/2} (1 - e^{-\mu_2 T})^{1/2} [\bar{\phi}(0)(1 + \mu_1 e^{-\mu_2 T} \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. + \mu_1 e^{-2\mu_2 T} + \dots)]^{1/2} + c_{14} \alpha^{1/2} \right\} \\
& \leq T^{1/2} \alpha^{1/2} \left[\frac{c_{17} \mu_1}{(c' \mu_2)^{1/2}} (1 - e^{-\mu_2 T})^{1/2} \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-\mu_2 T})^{1/2}} + c_{14} \right] \\
& = T^{1/2} \alpha^{1/2} \left(\frac{c_{17} \mu_1}{(c' \mu_2)^{1/2}} + c_{14} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where c_{13} , c_{14} are the constants from Remark 3.5, c_{17} is the same constant as in inequality (3.61), c' is the constant from (3.11) and we have used the fact that $\mu_1 > 1$.

Thus, the right-hand side of (3.67) is the same as the right-hand side of (3.61). Therefore, for α sufficiently small the shape of Ω_t changes in $[0, kT]$ no more than it does in $[0, T]$ and the constants c_i ($i = 1, \dots, 17$), c' , c'' , μ_1 , μ_2 from Theorem 2.8, Lemmas 3.2–3.4, 3.7, 3.8, Remark 3.5 and inequality (3.11) are the same in each $[iT, (i+1)T]$ for $i = 0, \dots, k-1$.

In the same way we prove

$$(3.68) \quad \|v\|_{0, \Omega_t}^2 + \|\varrho_\sigma\|_{0, \Omega_t}^2 \leq c_9 \alpha^2 + c_{10} c_{11} \delta$$

for $t \leq kT$, where c_i ($i = 9, 10, 11$) are the constants from Lemma 3.4.

Estimates (3.67)–(3.68), (3.65) and Lemma 3.7 yield $\bar{\phi}(t) \leq \alpha$ for $t \leq kT$ and hence we obtain the existence of a local solution (v, ϱ) of (1.1) such that $(v, \varrho_\sigma) \in \mathfrak{M}(t)$ for $t \leq (k+1)T$. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Agmon, A. Douglis and L. Nirenberg, *Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions, I, II*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 12 (1959), 623–727, 17 (1964), 35–92.
- [2] L. Landau and E. Lifschitz, *Hydrodynamics*, Nauka, Moscow, 1986 (in Russian); English transl.: *Fluid Mechanics*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1987.
- [3] V. A. Solonnikov, *Solvability of a problem on a motion of a viscous incompressible liquid bounded by a free surface*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat. 41 (1977), 1388–1424 (in Russian).
- [4] —, *On a nonstationary flow of a finite mass of a liquid bounded by a free surface*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. LOMI 152 (1986), 137–157 (in Russian); English transl.: J. Soviet Math. 40 (1988), 672–686.
- [5] —, *On a nonstationary motion of an isolated volume of a viscous incompressible fluid*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 51 (1987), 1065–1087 (in Russian).
- [6] —, *On a nonstationary motion of a finite isolated mass of selfgravitating fluid*, Algebra i Analiz 1 (1989), 207–249 (in Russian).
- [7] V. A. Solonnikov and A. Tani, *Evolution free boundary problem for equations of motion of viscous compressible barotropic liquid*, preprint, Paderborn Univ.
- [8] G. Ströhmer and W. M. Zajączkowski, *Local existence of solutions of the free boundary problem for the equations of compressible barotropic viscous self-gravitating fluids*, Appl. Math. (Warsaw), to appear.
- [9] —, —, *On the existence and properties of rotationally symmetric equilibrium states of compressible barotropic self-gravitating fluids*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 46 (1997), 1181–1220.
- [10] —, —, *On stability of equilibrium solution for compressible barotropic viscous self-gravitating fluid motions bounded by a free surface*, to appear.
- [11] E. Zadrzyńska and W. M. Zajączkowski, *On local motion of a general compressible viscous heat conducting fluid bounded by a free surface*, Ann. Polon. Math. 59 (1994), 133–170.
- [12] —, —, *On global motion of a compressible viscous heat conducting fluid bounded by a free surface*, Acta Appl. Math. 37 (1994), 221–231.
- [13] —, —, *On the global existence theorem for a free boundary problem for equations of a viscous compressible heat conducting fluid*, Ann. Polon. Math. 63 (1996), 199–221.
- [14] —, —, *On the global existence theorem for a free boundary problem for equations of a viscous compressible heat conducting capillary fluid*, J. Appl. Anal. 2 (1996), 125–169.
- [15] —, —, *Local existence of solutions of a free boundary problem for equations of compressible viscous heat-conducting fluids*, Appl. Math. (Warsaw) 25 (1998), 179–220.
- [16] W. M. Zajączkowski, *Existence of local solutions for free boundary prob-*

- lems for viscous compressible barotropic fluids*, Ann. Polon. Math. 60 (1995), 255–287.
- [17] —, *On nonstationary motion of a compressible barotropic viscous fluid bounded by a free surface*, Dissertationes Math. 324 (1993).
- [18] W. M. Zajączkowski, *On nonstationary motion of a compressible barotropic viscous capillary fluid bounded by a free surface*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 25 (1994), 1–84.

Ewa Zadrzyńska
Institute of Mathematics and Operations Research
Military University of Technology
Kaliskiego 2
01-489 Warszawa, Poland
E-mail: emzad@impan.gov.pl

Wojciech Zajączkowski
Institute of Mathematics
Polish Academy of Sciences
/Sniadeckich 8
00-950 Warszawa, Poland
E-mail: wz@impan.gov.pl

*Received 28 January 1998;
revised 2 November 1998*