

ON THE UNIFORMIZATION OF HARTOGS DOMAINS  
IN  $\mathbb{C}^2$  AND THEIR ENVELOPES OF HOLOMORPHY

BY

EWA LIGOCKA (WARSZAWA)

**1. Introduction and statement of results.** Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . We say that  $\Omega$  is a *Hartogs domain* iff for every  $(z, w) \in \Omega$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $(z, e^{i\theta}w) \in \Omega$ . We denote by  $\Omega_z$  the set  $\{w \in \mathbb{C} : (z, w) \in \Omega\}$  and call it the *vertical section* of  $\Omega$  at  $z$ . We say that  $\Omega$  is a *Hartogs domain over  $D$*  if  $\Pi_1(\Omega) = D$ , where  $\Pi_1(z, w) = z$ .

There are numerous papers devoted to Hartogs domains and their envelopes of holomorphy, e.g. [10], [8], [2]. In these papers the Hartogs domains with connected vertical sections were studied. Diederich and Fornæss [3] introduced an important class of Hartogs domains with disconnected vertical sections, the so-called “worm domains”.

Barrett and Fornæss [1] gave a simple geometric construction of a Riemann surface  $R(\Omega)$  associated with a  $C^1$ -smooth pseudoconvex, bounded Hartogs domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  such that  $\Omega$  is biholomorphically equivalent to a Hartogs domain in  $R(\Omega) \times \mathbb{C}$  and over  $R(\Omega)$  with connected vertical sections. Unfortunately, for nonpseudoconvex Hartogs domains this nice construction leads to non-Hausdorff spaces.

In the present note we give another construction, based on Malgrange’s construction of envelopes of holomorphy (via sheaves of holomorphic functions) [5].

This construction will permit us to associate with every Hartogs domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  an open Riemann surface  $R(\Omega)$  (a Riemann domain over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) and a biholomorphic embedding  $\Psi : \Omega \rightarrow R(\Omega) \times \mathbb{C}$  with the following properties:

(a) If  $\Omega$  is pseudoconvex then  $\Psi(\Omega)$  is a Hartogs domain in  $R(\Omega) \times \mathbb{C}$  with connected vertical sections, and  $\Pi_1(\Psi(\Omega)) = R(\Omega)$ .

(b) If  $\Omega$  is nonpseudoconvex then its envelope of holomorphy  $E(\Omega)$  can be represented as a Hartogs domain in  $R(\Omega) \times \mathbb{C}$  with connected vertical sections and such that  $\Pi_1(E(\Omega)) = R(\Omega)$ .

(b) is a generalization of Corollary 2.5 of [2].

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 32E, 32H.

We now use the Koebe–Poincaré uniformization theorem for open Riemann surfaces (see [6]) and find a holomorphic covering map  $\Psi : \Delta \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} R(\Omega)$  (or  $\Psi : \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} R(\Omega)$  in the nonhyperbolic case);  $\Delta$  denotes here, as usual, the unit disc in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

We consider the mapping  $\tilde{\Psi} : \Delta \times \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} R(\Omega) \times \mathbb{C}$  (or  $\tilde{\Psi} : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} R(\Omega)$ ). It is of course a holomorphic covering map.

The set  $\tilde{\Omega} := \tilde{\Psi}^{-1}(E(\Omega))$  is hence (by Stein’s theorem [9]) a pseudoconvex Hartogs domain in  $\Delta \times \mathbb{C}$  (or  $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ ) and over  $\Delta$  (or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) with connected vertical sections, such that  $\Pi_1(\tilde{\Psi}^{-1}(E(\Omega))) = \Delta$  (or  $\Pi_1(\tilde{\Psi}^{-1}(E(\Omega))) = \mathbb{C}$ ). Finally, we get our

**MAIN THEOREM.** *For every Hartogs domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  there exists a pseudoconvex Hartogs domain  $\tilde{\Omega}$  over the unit disc  $\Delta$  (or over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) with connected vertical sections and a holomorphic covering map*

$$\tilde{\Psi} : \tilde{\Omega} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} E(\Omega)$$

where  $E(\Omega)$  denotes, as before, the envelope of holomorphy of  $\Omega$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *Every pseudoconvex Hartogs domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  can be holomorphically covered by a pseudoconvex Hartogs domain over  $\Delta$  (or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) with connected vertical sections.*

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $\Omega$  is a pseudoconvex bounded Hartogs domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  not intersecting the complex line  $\{w = 0\}$  and the homotopy group of  $\Omega$  has exactly one generator then  $\Omega$  is biholomorphically equivalent to a Hartogs domain over  $\Delta$  with connected vertical sections.*

Corollary 2 is a generalization of the same statement for worm domains [1].

**2. The construction of  $R(\Omega)$ .** Let  $F$  denote the family of all holomorphic functions  $f$  on  $\Omega$  for which  $\partial f / \partial w \equiv 0$  on  $\Omega$ .

These functions depend locally only on  $z$ . Fix some point  $(z_0, w_0) \in \Omega$ . Consider the sheaf of holomorphic functions with values in  $\mathbb{C}^F$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $R$  be a component of the above sheaf space containing the point  $[F]_{z_0}$ , the germ of the family  $F$  at  $z_0$  ( $[F]_{z_0} = \{[f]_{z_0}\}_{f \in F}$ ). Then  $R$  is a Riemann domain over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

We can now define a biholomorphic embedding of  $\Omega$  into  $R \times \mathbb{C}$  as  $\Phi(z, w) = ([F]_z, w)$  and define  $R(\Omega) = \Pi_1(\Phi(\Omega))$ . Then  $R(\Omega)$  is an open subset of a sheaf space and therefore a well defined Riemann surface (a Riemann domain over  $\mathbb{C}$ ).

**3. Proofs.** Every function  $f$  holomorphic on the Hartogs domain  $\Omega$  can be written in the form

$$f = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_j w^j, \quad \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial w} \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega \text{ for each } j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This implies that if  $g \in H(\Phi(\Omega))$  then  $g = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} g_j w^j$ ,  $\partial g_j / \partial w \equiv 0$  on  $\Phi(\Omega)$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The construction of  $R(\Omega)$  also implies that if  $(\xi_0, w_1), (\xi_0, w_2) \in \Phi(\Omega)$  and  $|w_1| < |w_2|$  then every function  $g$  holomorphic on  $\Phi(\Omega)$  extends to a function  $\tilde{g}$  holomorphic on an open neighborhood of the set  $\{(\xi, w) \in R(\Omega) \times \mathbb{C} : |w_1| \leq |w| \leq |w_2|, \xi = \xi_0\}$ . Moreover, if  $(\xi_0, w) \in \Phi(\Omega)$  and  $|w_1| < |w| < |w_2|$  then  $\tilde{g}(\xi_0, w) = g(\xi_0)$ .

Thus there exists a Hartogs domain  $\tilde{D}$  in  $R(\Omega) \times \mathbb{C}$  with connected vertical sections such that  $\tilde{D} \supset \Phi(\Omega)$  and every holomorphic function on  $\Phi(\Omega)$  extends holomorphically to  $\tilde{D}$ .

Analogously to the case of Hartogs domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  (see [2]),  $(\tilde{D}, R(\Omega) \times \mathbb{C})$  is a Runge pair if  $\tilde{D} \cap \{(\xi, w) : w = 0\} \neq \emptyset$ , and  $(\tilde{D}, R(\Omega) \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}))$  is a Runge pair otherwise.

Hence the envelope of holomorphy  $E(\Omega) \approx E(\Phi(\Omega)) = E(\tilde{D})$  is a Hartogs domain in  $R(\Omega) \times \mathbb{C}$  with connected vertical sections. This last statement can be proved in exactly the same way as an analogous fact in [2]. However, we can obtain an easier proof if we use the representation of  $E(\tilde{D})$  as the set of linear multiplicative functionals on  $H(\tilde{D})$  (the space of holomorphic functions on  $\tilde{D}$ ) (see [4]). The fact that  $(\tilde{D}, R(\Omega) \times \mathbb{C})$  or  $(\tilde{D}, R(\Omega) \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}))$  forms a Runge pair and  $R(\Omega) \times \mathbb{C}$  and  $R(\Omega) \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$  are Stein manifolds implies  $E(\tilde{D}) \subset R(\Omega) \times \mathbb{C}$ . Now  $E(\tilde{D})$  must be a Hartogs domain, because the action of the group  $\{r^{i\theta}\}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} = T$  extends to an envelope of holomorphy in an obvious way and must agree with  $(\xi, w) \rightarrow (\xi, e^{i\theta} w)$  on  $H(R(\Omega) \times \mathbb{C})$  (or  $H(R(\Omega) \times \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$ ). Moreover,  $E(\tilde{D})$  must have connected vertical sections by the first part of our proof.

Thus (a) and (b) are proved and the Main Theorem follows.

Corollary 1 is an immediate consequence of the Main Theorem.

If the assumptions of Corollary 2 are satisfied then  $R(\Omega)$  must be simply connected, and therefore it is conformally equivalent to the unit disc by the Riemann mapping theorem.

**4. Admissible families of holomorphic functions.** Let  $\Omega$  be a pseudoconvex Hartogs domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Let  $F$  denote, as before, the family of holomorphic functions  $f$  on  $\Omega$  for which  $\partial f / \partial w \equiv 0$  on  $\Omega$ .

Let  $F_1 \subset F$  be a subfamily of  $F$ . We can repeat the construction from Section 2 taking the family  $F_1$  instead of  $F$ . As a result we get a Riemann

surface  $R_1(\Omega)$  and a biholomorphic imbedding  $\Phi_1 : \Omega \rightarrow R_1(\Omega) \times \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\Pi_1(\Phi_1(\Omega)) = R_1(\Omega)$ .

We say that the family  $F_1$  is *admissible* if  $\Phi_1(\Omega)$  has connected vertical sections.

We have the following

**PROPOSITION 1.** *If  $F_1$  is an admissible family then  $R_1(\Omega)$  is conformally equivalent to  $R(\Omega)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\xi \in R(\Omega)$ . Take  $w$  such that  $(\xi, w) \in \Phi(\Omega)$ . Define  $\Psi(\xi) = \Pi_1\Phi_1\Phi^{-1}(\xi, w)$ . Since  $\Omega$  is pseudoconvex,  $R(\Omega)$  has connected vertical sections and  $\Psi(\xi)$  is well defined. Since  $R_1(\Omega)$  has connected vertical sections we have  $\Psi^{-1}(\xi) = \Pi_1\Phi\Phi_1^{-1}(\xi, w)$  for  $(\xi, w) \in \Phi_1(\Omega)$ .

Let us give the following two examples of admissible families:

(i) Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded pseudoconvex Hartogs domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with  $C^1$ -smooth boundary.

Let  $K((z, w), (t, s))$  be the Bergman kernel function of  $\Omega$ . It can be written in the form

$$K((z, w), (t, s)) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} k_j((z, w), (t, s))w^j\bar{s}^j,$$

where for each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\partial k_j/\partial w = \partial k_j/\partial \bar{s} = 0$  on  $\Omega \times \Omega$ .

Take  $F_1 = \{k_j((z, w), (t, s))\}_{(t, s) \in \Omega, j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . If  $F_1$  is not admissible then there exists a larger domain  $\tilde{\Omega}$  such that  $K((\cdot, \cdot), (t, s))$  extends holomorphically to  $\tilde{\Omega}$  for all  $(t, s) \in \Omega$ . Since  $K((z, w), (t, s)) = \overline{K((t, s), (z, w))}$ , there exists  $\tilde{\Omega}_1$  with  $\Omega \subset \tilde{\Omega} \subset \tilde{\Omega}_1$  such that  $K((z, w), (z, w))$  extends to a real-analytic function on  $\tilde{\Omega}_1$ .

However, Ohsawa [7] proved that if  $\Omega$  is bounded, pseudoconvex with  $C^1$ -smooth boundary then  $K((z, w), (z, w)) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $(z, w) \rightarrow \partial\Omega$ .

Hence  $F_1$  must be admissible.

(ii) Let  $\Omega$  be a worm domain (see [3] or [1]). It was shown in [1] that  $F = \{x^{1/p}\}$  is admissible for  $p$  sufficiently large (depending on  $\Omega$ ).

**PROBLEM 1.** Which pseudoconvex Hartogs domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  admit finite admissible families of holomorphic functions?

**5. Planar Hartogs domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .** An open Riemann surface  $R$  is called *planar* if it is conformally equivalent to an open domain in  $\mathbb{C}$ . An open Riemann surface  $R$  is planar iff every Jordan curve in  $R$  dissects  $R$  (see [6]).

A Hartogs domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  will be called *planar* iff  $R(\Omega)$  is a planar Riemann surface. We have the following

PROPOSITION 2. *If  $\Omega$  is a planar Hartogs domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  then its envelope of holomorphy  $E(\Omega)$  is biholomorphically equivalent to a Hartogs domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with connected vertical sections.*

This is an immediate consequence of (a) and (b).

There exist nonplanar Hartogs domains (see [1], §5, Example).

PROBLEM 2. Does there exist a pseudoconvex, bounded Hartogs domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with  $C^1$ -smooth boundary, which is not planar? (Worm domains are planar!)

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. E. Barrett and J. E. Fornæss, *Uniform approximation of holomorphic functions on bounded Hartogs domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$* , Math. Z. 191 (1986), 61–72.
- [2] E. Casadio Tarabusi and S. Trapani, *Envelopes of holomorphy of Hartogs and circular domains*, Pacific J. Math. 149 (1991), 231–249.
- [3] K. Diederich and J. E. Fornæss, *Pseudoconvex domains: an example with non-trivial Nebenhülle*, Math. Ann. 225 (1977), 275–292.
- [4] R. Gunning and H. Rossi, *Analytic Functions of Several Complex Variables*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1965.
- [5] B. Malgrange, *Lectures on the Theory of Functions of Several Complex Variables*, Tata Institute, Bombay, 1958 (reissued 1965).
- [6] R. Nevanlinna, *Uniformisierung*, Springer, 1953.
- [7] T. Ohsawa, *A remark on the completeness of the Bergman metric*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 57 (1981), 238–240.
- [8] M. Shirinbekov, *Construction of envelopes of holomorphy for multiple Hartogs domains*, Mat. Zametki 27 (1980), 77–87 (in Russian).
- [9] K. Stein, *Überlagerungen holomorph-vollständiger komplexer Räume*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 7 (1956), 354–361.
- [10] V. S. Vladimirov and M. Shirinbekov, *On the construction of envelopes of holomorphy for Hartogs domains*, Ukrain. Mat. Zh. 15 (1963), 189–192 (in Russian).

Department of Mathematics, Informatics and Mechanics  
Warsaw University  
Banacha 2  
02-097 Warszawa, Poland  
Email: elig@hydra.mimuw.edu.pl

*Received 14 October 1997;  
revised 29 December 1997*