

GENERALIZED PROJECTIONS OF BOREL AND ANALYTIC SETS

BY

MAREK BALCERZAK (ŁÓDŹ)

For a σ -ideal \mathcal{I} of sets in a Polish space X and for $A \subseteq X^2$, we consider the generalized projection $\Phi(A)$ of A given by $\Phi(A) = \{x \in X : A_x \notin \mathcal{I}\}$, where $A_x = \{y \in X : \langle x, y \rangle \in A\}$. We study the behaviour of Φ with respect to Borel and analytic sets in the case when \mathcal{I} is a Σ_2^0 -supported σ -ideal. In particular, we give an alternative proof of the recent result of Kechris showing that $\Phi[\Sigma_1^1(X^2)] = \Sigma_1^1(X)$ for a wide class of Σ_2^0 -supported σ -ideals.

1. Introduction. Throughout the paper, X is a fixed uncountable Polish space. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(X)$ the power set of X and by $\mathcal{B}(X)$ the family of all Borel sets in X . Let $\Sigma_\alpha^0(X)$ and $\Pi_\alpha^0(X)$ ($0 < \alpha < \omega_1$) stand for subclasses of $\mathcal{B}(X)$ defined as in [Mo, 1B, 1F]. The families of all analytic sets and of all coanalytic sets in X will be written as $\Sigma_1^1(X)$ and $\Pi_1^1(X)$. Denote by 2^ω the Cantor space and by ω^ω the Baire space.

We consider proper σ -ideals of subsets of X , containing all singletons. A σ -ideal \mathcal{I} is called Σ_2^0 -supported if each set $A \in \mathcal{I}$ is contained in a set from $\mathcal{I} \cap \Sigma_2^0(X)$. A closed set $F \subseteq X$ is called \mathcal{I} -perfect if, for each open set $U \subseteq X$, the condition $U \cap F \neq \emptyset$ implies $\text{cl}(U \cap F) \notin \mathcal{I}$ (where $\text{cl}(E)$ denotes the closure of E). The family of all \mathcal{I} -perfect sets will be written as $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}$. We say that \mathcal{I} satisfies the *countable chain condition* (in short ccc) if each disjoint subfamily of $\mathcal{B}(X) \setminus \mathcal{I}$ is countable. Following [KS], for a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$, we define

$$\text{MGR}(\mathcal{F}) = \{E \subseteq X : (\forall A \in \mathcal{F})(E \cap A \text{ is meager in } A)\}.$$

Let us quote two latest results on Σ_2^0 -supported σ -ideals.

THEOREM 1.1 [KS, Th. 2]. *Let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ be a Σ_2^0 -supported σ -ideal. Then precisely one of the following possibilities holds:*

- (i) $\mathcal{I} = \text{MGR}(\mathcal{F})$ for a countable family \mathcal{F} of closed subsets of X ,

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 54H05, 04A05, 54E45.

Key words and phrases: analytic set, σ -ideal, Effros Borel structure, meager set.

(ii) there is a homeomorphic embedding $h : 2^\omega \times \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$ such that $h[\{x\} \times \omega^\omega] \notin \mathcal{I}$ for each $x \in 2^\omega$.

Observe that (i) implies that \mathcal{I} satisfies ccc, and (ii) implies that it does not. Thus (i) yields a characterization of Σ_2^0 -supported σ -ideals satisfying ccc, and (ii) yields the characterization of Σ_2^0 -supported σ -ideals without ccc.

THEOREM 1.2 [So]. *If $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is a Σ_2^0 -supported σ -ideal then, for each $A \in \Sigma_1^1(X)$, either $A \in \mathcal{I}$ or there is an \mathcal{I} -perfect set $F \subseteq X$ such that $A \cap F$ is comeager in F .*

Theorem 1.2 is an equivalent version of the original formulation (cf. [So, Th. 1; Remark (2), p. 1024]) and it generalizes the result of Petruska [P] dealing with the σ -ideal of sets that can be covered by F_σ Lebesgue null sets in $[0, 1]$.

For a σ -ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ we consider the generalized projection $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}} : \mathcal{P}(X^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ (denoted further by Φ) given by

$$\Phi(E) = \{x \in X : E_x \notin \mathcal{I}\}, \quad E \in \mathcal{P}(X^2),$$

where $E_x = \{y \in X : \langle x, y \rangle \in E\}$ for $x \in X$. Note that if $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ then $\Phi(E)$ is exactly the projection of E onto the first factor. If \mathcal{I} is one of the following σ -ideals:

- of all meager sets in X ,
- of all Lebesgue null sets in \mathbb{R} ,
- of all countable sets in X ,

then

$$(*) \quad \Phi[\Sigma_1^1(X^2)] = \Sigma_1^1(X).$$

These are classical results; compare [Ke, 29.E]. Note that the inclusion “ \supseteq ” in (*) is obvious since for each $A \in \Sigma_1^1(X)$ we have $A \times X \in \Sigma_1^1(X^2)$ and $A = \Phi(A \times X)$. Following [Sh], if (*) holds, \mathcal{I} is called Σ_1^1 -definable. For the first two σ -ideals listed above, we additionally have

$$(**) \quad \Phi[\Sigma_\alpha^0(X^2)] = \Sigma_\alpha^0(X), \quad 0 < \alpha < \omega_1$$

(cf. e.g. [G, Th. 2.2]). For Mycielski σ -ideals [My] in $X = 2^\omega$, the behaviour of Φ with respect to Borel and projective subclasses was studied in [BR]; then (*) does not hold since $\Phi[\Sigma_1^1(X^2)] = \Pi_2^1(X)$. Further results for generalized Mycielski σ -ideals are contained in [R]. For special product σ -ideals, condition (*) was proved in [Sh]. We are going to verify conditions (*) and (**) for Σ_2^0 -supported σ -ideals.

2. An alternative proof of a theorem of Kechris. We denote by $\text{CL}(X)$ the space of all closed subsets of X . It is known [Ke, Th. 12.6] that

there exists a Polish topology τ on $\text{CL}(X)$ such that the σ -algebra of Borel sets with respect to τ is identical with the σ -algebra generated by the sets

$$\mathbf{W}(G) = \{F \in \text{CL}(X) : F \cap G \neq \emptyset\},$$

where G varies over open subsets of X . That is the *Effros Borel structure* of $\text{CL}(X)$. We also consider the sets

$$\mathbf{V}(G) = \{F \in \text{CL}(X) : F \subseteq G\}$$

for open sets $G \subseteq X$. Recall that, if X is compact, the topology generated by the subbase consisting of the sets $\mathbf{V}(G)$, $\mathbf{W}(G)$ (where G varies over open subsets of X) is the *Vietoris topology* on the hyperspace $\mathcal{K}(X)$ of compact subsets of X . In that case $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is compact (and metrizable by the Hausdorff distance), and the Effros Borel structure of $\text{CL}(X)$ is identical with $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}(X))$ (cf. [Ke, 12.11]). Consequently, for a compact X , we may assume that the above-mentioned topology τ is equal to the Vietoris topology (then we will treat the topological spaces $\text{CL}(X)$ and $\mathcal{K}(X)$ as identical). Note that, for a general Polish space X , sets $\mathbf{V}(G)$ are coanalytic in τ and they need not be Borel (cf. [Ke, 27.7]).

From a recent result of Kechris [Ke, Th. 35.38] one immediately obtains the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. *If $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is a Σ_2^0 -supported σ -ideal such that $\mathcal{I} \cap \text{CL}(X) \in \Pi_1^1(\text{CL}(X))$ then $\Phi[\Sigma_1^1(X^2)] = \Sigma_1^1(X)$.*

In this section we give an alternative proof of Theorem 2.1. Our argument uses Theorem 1.2 and some descriptive set-theoretic facts involving $\text{CL}(X)$ and meager sets which can be of independent interest. Our previous version of Theorem 2.1 working with $\mathcal{K}(X)$ had a similar proof. At the time we were not aware of the existence of its general version in [Ke]. We would like to thank J. Pawlikowski who has informed us about it.

From now on, fix countable bases $\langle U_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ and $\langle V_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ of nonempty open sets in X and ω^ω , respectively. Fix also a bijection $r : \omega \times \omega \rightarrow \omega$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is a σ -ideal such that $\mathcal{I} \cap \text{CL}(X) \in \Pi_1^1(\text{CL}(X))$ then the set $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}$ of all \mathcal{I} -perfect sets in X belongs to $\Sigma_1^1(\text{CL}(X))$.*

Proof. For a fixed open set $U \subseteq X$, consider the mapping $g_U : \text{CL}(X) \rightarrow \text{CL}(X)$ given by $g_U(F) = \text{cl}(U \cap F)$ for $F \in \text{CL}(X)$. Thus, for an open set $G \subseteq X$, we have

$$g_U^{-1}[\mathbf{W}(G)] = \mathbf{W}[U \cap G].$$

Hence g_U is Borel measurable. If $F \in \text{CL}(X)$ then

$$F \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}} \Leftrightarrow (\forall n \in \omega)(U_n \cap F = \emptyset \vee g_{U_n}(F) \notin \mathcal{I} \cap \text{CL}(X)).$$

Now, the assertion follows from the assumption and the Borelness of g_{U_n} . ■

Remark. In some cases the conclusion of Proposition 2.1 is not sharp. For instance, if X is metric and compact, and \mathcal{I} consists of all countable sets in X then $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{K}(X)$ is in $\Pi_1^1(\mathcal{K}(X)) \setminus \Sigma_1^1(\mathcal{K}(X))$ [Ku, §42,III]. But $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}$ consists of all perfect sets in X and it forms a G_δ set in $\mathcal{K}(X)$ [Ku, §42,II, Th. 3].

The next two propositions are modified versions of classical results.

For a Polish space Z and $A \subseteq Z \times X$, we define

$$A^* = \{\langle z, F \rangle \in Z \times \text{CL}(X) : A_z \cap F \text{ is nonmeager in } F\},$$

$$A^{**} = \{\langle z, F \rangle \in Z \times \text{CL}(X) : A_z \cap F \text{ is comeager in } F\}.$$

PROPOSITION 2.2. *If $A \in \mathcal{B}(Z \times X)$ then $A^*, A^{**} \in \mathcal{B}(Z \times \text{CL}(X))$.*

Proof. First let $A \in \Sigma_1^0(Z \times X)$. Then for $\langle z, F \rangle \in Z \times \text{CL}(X)$ we have

$$\langle z, F \rangle \in A^* \Leftrightarrow (\exists m, n \in \omega)(F \cap U_m \neq \emptyset \ \& \ z \in U_n \ \& \ U_n \times U_m \subseteq A).$$

Hence $A^* \in \mathcal{B}(Z \times \text{CL}(X))$. Assume that $1 < \alpha < \omega_1$ and that the assertion holds for sets from $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \Sigma_\beta^0(Z \times X)$. For instance, let α be a successor. If $A \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(Z \times X)$, $A = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n$ and $A_n \in \Pi_{\alpha-1}^0(Z \times X)$ for $n \in \omega$, then

$$\begin{aligned} A^* &= \bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n^* \\ &= \bigcup_{n, k \in \omega} \{ \langle z, F \rangle \in Z \times \text{CL}(X) : U_k \cap F \neq \emptyset \\ &\quad \& \ U_k \cap F \setminus (A_n)_z \text{ is meager in } F \} \\ &= \bigcup_{n, k \in \omega} ((Z \times \mathbf{W}(U_k)) \setminus ((Z \times U_k) \setminus A_n)^*). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $A^* \in \mathcal{B}(Z \times \text{CL}(X))$, by the induction hypothesis. If α is a limit number, the proof is similar.

The assertion for A^{**} follows from $A^{**} = (Z \times \text{CL}(X)) \setminus ((Z \times X) \setminus A)^*$. ■

PROPOSITION 2.3. *If $A \in \Sigma_1^1(X^2)$ then $A^*, A^{**} \in \Sigma_1^1(X \times \text{CL}(X))$.*

Proof (cf. [Mo, 4F.19]). First we show the assertion for A^{**} . Assume that A is the projection of a closed set $B \subseteq X^2 \times \omega^\omega$ along ω^ω . Define H as the set of all $\langle \varepsilon, x, F \rangle \in \omega^\omega \times X \times \text{CL}(X)$ satisfying the formula

$$(\forall k, n \in \omega)((\varepsilon \circ r)(k, n) = 1 \ \& \ F \cap U_k \neq \emptyset) \Rightarrow B_x \cap ((F \cap U_k) \times V_n) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let D consist of all $\langle \varepsilon, y \rangle \in \omega^\omega \times X$ satisfying the formula

$$\begin{aligned} &(\exists k, n \in \omega)((\varepsilon \circ r)(k, n) = 1 \ \& \ y \in U_k) \ \& \\ &(\forall k, n, p \in \omega)((\varepsilon \circ r)(k, n) = 1 \ \& \ y \in U_k) \\ &\Rightarrow (\exists k', n' \in \omega)((\varepsilon \circ r)(k', n') = 1 \ \& \ y \in U_{k'} \\ &\ \& \ U_{k'} \subseteq U_k \ \& \ V_{n'} \subseteq V_n \ \& \ \text{diam}(U_{k'}) < 2^{-p} \ \& \ \text{diam}(V_{n'}) < 2^{-p}). \end{aligned}$$

(Here $\text{diam}(E)$ denotes the diameter of a set E .)

Now, we will prove that for $\langle x, F \rangle \in X \times \text{CL}(X)$ we have

$$(\Delta) \quad \langle x, F \rangle \in A^{**} \Leftrightarrow (\exists \varepsilon \in \omega^\omega)(\langle \varepsilon, x, F \rangle \in H \ \& \ \langle \varepsilon, F \rangle \in D^{**}).$$

To show “ \Rightarrow ” in (Δ) , consider $\langle x, F \rangle \in A^{**}$. Hence $A_x \cap F$ is comeager in F . By the Jankov–von Neumann selection theorem [Mo, 4E.9] we can find a function $f : F \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ with the Baire property which uniformizes $B_x \cap (F \times \omega^\omega)$. Choose a G_δ set $C \subseteq F$ comeager in F and such that $f|_C$ is continuous. Pick any $\varepsilon \in \omega^\omega$ such that

$$(\forall k, n \in \omega)((\varepsilon \circ r)(k, n) = 1 \Leftrightarrow (U_k \cap C \neq \emptyset \ \& \ f[U_k \cap C] \subseteq V_n)).$$

Using the fact that $A_x \cap F$ is comeager in F , we see that $\langle \varepsilon, x, F \rangle \in H$. Additionally, $C \subseteq D_\varepsilon \cap F$ by the continuity of $f|_C$. Since C is comeager in F , therefore $D_\varepsilon \cap F$ is comeager in F . Hence $\langle \varepsilon, F \rangle \in D^{**}$. To show “ \Leftarrow ” in (Δ) , assume that $\langle \varepsilon, x, F \rangle \in H$ and $\langle \varepsilon, F \rangle \in D^{**}$ for some $\varepsilon \in \omega^\omega$. Let $y \in D_\varepsilon \cap F$. Thus we can define inductively subsequences $\langle U_{k_i} \rangle_{i \in \omega}$ and $\langle V_{n_i} \rangle_{i \in \omega}$ such that

$$y \in F \cap U_{k_i}, \quad U_{k_{i+1}} \subseteq U_{k_i}, \quad V_{n_{i+1}} \subseteq V_{n_i}$$

and

$$\text{diam}(U_{k_i}) < 2^{-i}, \quad \text{diam}(V_{n_i}) < 2^{-i}, \quad B_x \cap ((F \cap U_{k_i}) \times V_{n_i}) \neq \emptyset$$

for each $i \in \omega$. Hence there is a Cauchy sequence $\langle y_i, z_i \rangle \in B_x \cap (F \times \omega^\omega)$ and it tends to $\langle y, z \rangle$ for some $z \in \omega^\omega$. Since B_x and F are closed, we have $\langle y, z \rangle \in B_x \cap (F \times \omega^\omega)$ and thus $y \in A_x \cap F$. We have shown that $D_\varepsilon \cap F \subseteq A_x \cap F$. Now, from $\langle \varepsilon, F \rangle \in D^{**}$ it follows that $\langle x, F \rangle \in A^{**}$.

Finally, observe that $H \in \Sigma_1^1(\omega^\omega \times X \times \text{CL}(X))$ and $D \in \mathcal{B}(\omega^\omega \times X)$. Thus $D^{**} \in \mathcal{B}(\omega^\omega \times \text{CL}(X))$ by Proposition 2.2, and (Δ) yields the conclusion.

To show the assertion for A^* , notice that for $\langle x, F \rangle \in X \times \text{CL}(X)$ we have

$$\langle x, F \rangle \in A^* \Leftrightarrow (\exists n \in \omega)(U_n \cap F \neq \emptyset \ \& \ \langle x, g_{U_n}(F) \rangle \in A^{**}),$$

where $g_{U_n}(F) = \text{cl}(U_n \cap F)$. Since g_{U_n} is Borel measurable (compare the proof of Proposition 2.1), the proof is finished. ■

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 2.1. By Theorem 1.2, for any $A \in \Sigma_1^1(X^2)$ and $x \in X$, we have

$$A_x \notin \mathcal{I} \Leftrightarrow (\exists F \in \text{CL}(X))(F \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}} \ \& \ \langle x, F \rangle \in A^{**}).$$

By Propositions 2.1 and 2.3, the formula $F \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}} \ \& \ \langle x, F \rangle \in A^{**}$ defines a set in $\Sigma_1^1(X \times \text{CL}(X))$. Thus $\Phi(A) \in \Sigma_1^1(X)$.

Remarks. (a) In the case when X is metric and compact, one can assume in Theorem 2.1 that $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{K}(X) \in \Sigma_1^1(\mathcal{K}(X)) \cup \Pi_1^1(\mathcal{K}(X))$ since, by [KLW, Th. 11], if $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{K}(X) \in \Sigma_1^1(\mathcal{K}(X))$ then $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{K}(X) \in \Pi_2^0(\mathcal{K}(X))$.

Note that the collection of Π_1^1 σ -ideals of compact sets is quite wide (cf. [Ke, 33.C]).

(b) Observe that there are Σ_1^1 -definable σ -ideals which need not be Σ_2^0 -supported. For instance, the σ -ideal of Lebesgue null sets in \mathbb{R} is not Σ_2^0 -supported but it satisfies the statement of Theorem 2.1. Nevertheless, the assumption that \mathcal{I} is Σ_2^0 -supported cannot be omitted, which follows from [BR, Th.3.1(b)], where $\Phi[\Sigma_1^1(X^2)] = \Pi_2^1(X)$ and $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{K}(X) \in \Pi_2^0(\mathcal{K}(X))$ [BR, Corollary 2.2].

3. Further results

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ be a Σ_2^0 -supported σ -ideal.*

(a) *If \mathcal{I} satisfies ccc then*

$$\Phi[\Sigma_\alpha^0(X^2)] = \Sigma_\alpha^0(X) \quad \text{for } \alpha < \omega_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi[\Sigma_1^1(X^2)] = \Sigma_1^1(X).$$

(b) *If \mathcal{I} does not satisfy ccc then $\Sigma_1^1(X) \subseteq \Phi[\Pi_3^0(X^2)]$.*

(c) *If $\mathcal{I} \cap \text{CL}(X) \in \Pi_1^1(\text{CL}(X))$ and \mathcal{I} does not satisfy ccc then*

$$\Phi[\Pi_3^0(X^2)] = \Phi[\Sigma_1^1(X^2)] = \Sigma_1^1(X).$$

Proof. (a) Since \mathcal{I} satisfies ccc, condition (i) of Theorem 1.1 holds. Let the \mathcal{F} appearing there consist of closed sets F_n , $n \in \omega$. For $A \subseteq F_n \times X$ put

$$\Phi_n(A) = \{x \in F_n : A_x \notin \text{MGR}(F_n)\}.$$

Since

$$\Phi(E) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Phi_n(E \cap (F_n \times X)) \quad \text{for } E \subseteq X^2,$$

the assertion follows from the analogous properties of the operators Φ_n .

(b) Since \mathcal{I} does not satisfy ccc, condition (ii) of Theorem 1.1 holds. If $h : 2^\omega \times \omega^\omega \rightarrow X$ is the embedding appearing in that condition, the set $B = h[2^\omega \times \omega^\omega]$ is of type G_δ in X [Ku, §35,III]. We can extend the continuous function $\text{pr}_1 \circ h^{-1} : B \rightarrow 2^\omega$ to a Baire 1 function $f : X \rightarrow 2^\omega$ [Ku, §35,VI]. (Here $\text{pr}_1 : 2^\omega \times \omega^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ stands for the projection on the first factor.) Then

$$f^{-1}[\{t\}] \supseteq h[\text{pr}_1^{-1}[\{t\}]] = h[\{t\} \times \omega^\omega] \notin \mathcal{I}$$

for each $t \in 2^\omega$. Let $A \in \Sigma_1^1(X)$. Pick $D \in \Pi_2^0(X \times 2^\omega)$ so that A is the projection of D along 2^ω . Put

$$E = \{\langle x, y \rangle \in X^2 : \langle x, f(y) \rangle \in D\}.$$

Then $E \in \Pi_3^0(X^2)$ and $A = \Phi(E)$. (The final part of that argument is derived from [B, Proposition 2.4].)

Assertion (c) is a consequence of (b) and Theorem 2.1. ■

Let us show one simple application.

COROLLARY 3.1. *If \mathcal{I} is the σ -ideal of all sets in $X = \mathbb{R}$ that can be covered by F_σ Lebesgue null sets then*

$$\Phi[\Pi_3^0(X^2)] = \Phi[\Sigma_1^1(X^2)] = \Sigma_1^1(X).$$

Proof. The σ -ideal \mathcal{I} is Σ_2^0 -supported, not-ccc (cf. [B]), and $\mathcal{I} \cap \text{CL}(X) \in \Pi_1^1(\text{CL}(X))$ (cf. [Ke, p. 292]).

Remark. We do not know whether Π_3^0 can be replaced by Π_2^0 in the above corollary. Obviously that is possible when $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ and also when \mathcal{I} consists of all countable sets in X (cf. [Ke, Example 29.21]).

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Janusz Pawlikowski for his stimulating questions and comments, and Sławek Solecki who has helped me in completing the references.

REFERENCES

- [B] M. Balcerzak, *Can ideals without ccc be interesting?* Topology Appl. 55 (1994), 251–260.
- [BR] M. Balcerzak and A. Rosłanowski, *On Mycielski ideals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 110 (1990), 243–250.
- [G] M. Gavalec, *Iterated products of ideals of Borel sets*, Colloq. Math. 50 (1985), 39–52.
- [Ke] A. S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Springer, New York, 1994.
- [KLW] A. S. Kechris, A. Louveau and W. H. Woodin, *The structure of σ -ideals of compact sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 301 (1987), 263–288.
- [KS] A. S. Kechris and S. Solecki, *Approximation of analytic by Borel sets and definable chain conditions*, Israel J. Math. 89 (1995), 343–356.
- [Ku] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vols. 1, 2, PWN and Academic Press, Warszawa and New York, 1966, 1968.
- [Mo] Y. N. Moschovakis, *Descriptive Set Theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [My] J. Mycielski, *Some new ideals of sets on the real line*, Colloq. Math. 20 (1969), 71–76.
- [P] Gy. Petruska, *On Borel sets with small covers: a problem of M. Laczkovich*, Real Anal. Exchange 18 (1992–93), 330–338.
- [R] A. Rosłanowski, *Mycielski ideals generated by uncountable systems*, Colloq. Math. 66 (1994), 187–200.
- [Sh] R. M. Shortt, *Product sigma-ideals*, Topology Appl. 23 (1986), 279–290.
- [So] S. Solecki, *Covering analytic sets by families of closed sets*, J. Symbolic Logic 59 (1994), 1022–1031.

Institute of Mathematics
 Łódź Technical University
 al. Politechniki 11
 90-924 Łódź, Poland
 E-mail: mbalce@kryisia.uni.lodz.pl

Received 30 August 1995