

all  $t$  the convergence of the absolute moments of  $S_N/B_N$  to the absolute moment of the normalized Gaussian distribution. In other words, we have the relation

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k(t) \cos kx \right| dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x| e^{-x^2/2} dx = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$$

for almost all  $t$ . Hence, using the well-known equality

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=1}^N \cos kx \right| dx = 0,$$

we obtain the relation

$$(7) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=1}^N (\varphi_k(t) + 1) \cos kx \right| dx = 2\sqrt{\pi}$$

for almost all  $t$ .

Let us fix an irrational number  $t_0$  with this property. Let  $n_1, n_2, \dots$  denote the successive indices  $k$  for which  $\varphi_k(t_0) = 1$ . Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n_N} (\varphi_k(t_0) + 1) \cos kx = 2 \sum_{k=1}^N \cos n_k x$$

and, according to (7),

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=1}^N \cos n_k x \right| dx = \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{n_N} + o(\sqrt{n_N}),$$

which completes the proof of II.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Salem and A. Zygmund, *Some properties of trigonometrical series whose terms have random signs*, Acta Mathematica 91 (1954), p. 245-301.  
 [2] W. Sierpiński, *Teoria liczb*, Warszawa-Wrocław 1950.  
 [3] I. Winogradow, *Elementy teorii liczb*, Warszawa 1954.  
 [4] Z. Zahorski, P 168, Colloquium Mathematicum 4 (1957), p. 241.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 3. 7. 1959

#### ON A PROBLEM OF MAZUR AND ULAM ABOUT IRREDUCIBLE GENERATING SYSTEMS IN GROUPS

BY

V. DLAB (PRAGUE)

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1935 S. Mazur and S. Ulam have stated the following problem <sup>(1)</sup> (for the terminology see the end of this section):

*Let a group possess an irreducible generating system. Does each of its subgroups also have this property?*

The problem mentioned has been solved negatively in paper [1]; e. g. the abelian group

$$G(p^\infty) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i(p),$$

where  $G(p^\infty)$  is the Prüfer group of the type  $p^\infty$  and  $G_i(p)$  cyclic groups of the prime order  $p$ , possesses an irreducible generating system but none of its non-reduced subgroup with a finite reduced component has this property (it is easy to see that the subgroups in question are all those having no irreducible generating system; see also [2]). It is the purpose of this note to give some more general constructions of groups  $G$  with

PROPERTY P. *The group  $G$  possesses an irreducible generating system, but there exists a subgroup  $H \subset G$  every generating system of which is reducible.*

Throughout this article we consider predominantly non-abelian groups written multiplicatively;  $\times$  and (in the abelian case)  $+$  denote the direct product.  $\sum$  denotes the weak direct sum of abelian groups.  $G^n$  for a fixed natural  $n$  is the subset (of the group  $G$ ) of all elements  $g^n$  with  $g \in G$ . The power of a set  $\mathfrak{M}$  will be denoted by  $m(\mathfrak{M})$  and the order of an element  $g \in G$  by  $O(g)$ .

For any non-void subset  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $G$ ,  $\{\mathfrak{M}\}$  denotes the subgroup of  $G$  generated by the elements of  $\mathfrak{M}$ ; thus  $\{G\} = G$  means that  $G$  is a genera-

<sup>(1)</sup> The Scottish Book, Problem 63, p. 27. I am indebted to Jan Mycielski for calling my attention to this problem.

ing system of the group  $G$ . A generating system  $\mathfrak{G}$  of a group  $G$  is said to be *irreducible* if the set  $\mathfrak{G} \setminus (g)$  is not a generating system of  $G$  for any element  $g \in \mathfrak{G}$ . In the contrary case it is called *reducible*. If  $\mathfrak{G} \setminus (g)$  is a generating system of  $G$  for any element  $g \in \mathfrak{G}$ , then  $\mathfrak{G}$  is called a *strongly reducible* generating system of  $G$ .

Let  $H$  be a normal subgroup of a group  $G$  and  $\mathfrak{M} \subset G$ . We denote by  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$  the natural image of  $\mathfrak{M}$  in  $G/H$ . Especially, if  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a generating system of  $G$ , then  $\overline{\mathfrak{G}}$  is obviously a generating system of  $G/H$ .

Let  $\Pi$  be a non-void set of primes; a group  $G$  is said to be a  $\Pi$ -group if the order of each element of the group  $G$  is finite and the primes of  $\Pi$  are its only prime divisors.

2. SOME TYPES OF GROUPS HAVING PROPERTY P

First of all we are going to prove the following simple lemmas:

LEMMA 1. Let  $H$  be a normal subgroup of a group  $G$  and  $\mathfrak{G}$  a generating system of  $G$  such that

$$(1) \quad g_{\delta_1} g_{\delta_2}^{-1} \notin H \text{ for every pair } g_{\delta_1}, g_{\delta_2} \text{ of elements of } \mathfrak{G}.$$

If  $\overline{\mathfrak{G}}$  is an irreducible generating system of the quotient group  $G/H$ , then  $\mathfrak{G}$  is also irreducible.

Remark. Supposing only  $g_{\delta_1} g_{\delta_2}^{-1} \notin H$  for every pair  $g_{\delta_1}, g_{\delta_2}$  with the exception of a finite number of them, one can prove the existence of an irreducible generating system  $\mathfrak{G}^*$  of the group  $G$  satisfying  $\mathfrak{G}^* \subset \mathfrak{G}$ .

Proof. It is easy to see that according to our assumptions the relation

$$g_0 \in \{\mathfrak{G} \setminus (g_0)\} \text{ for a certain } g_0 \in \mathfrak{G}$$

implies

$$\overline{g_0} \in \{\overline{\mathfrak{G}} \setminus (\overline{g_0})\} \text{ with } \overline{g_0} \in \overline{\mathfrak{G}},$$

contradicting the hypothesis of  $\overline{\mathfrak{G}}$  being irreducible.

LEMMA 2. Let  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  and  $\mathfrak{G} = (g_\delta)_{\delta \in \Delta}$  be a generating system of  $G$ . Let

$$g_\delta = g_\delta^{(1)} g_\delta^{(2)} \text{ with } g_\delta^{(i)} \in G_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{G}^{(i)} = (g_\delta^{(i)})_{\delta \in \Delta}$  is a generating system of the group  $G_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

Proof. The proposition of Lemma 2 is obvious; it is sufficient to make use of the commutativity of the elements of groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ .

Lemma 1 easily implies the following theorem, stating a general construction of groups with property P:

THEOREM 1. Let  $G$  be a group and  $H$  its normal subgroup with the following properties:

(I)  $H$  has no irreducible generating system;

(II)  $G$  possesses a generating system  $\mathfrak{G}$  satisfying (1) such that  $\overline{\mathfrak{G}}$  is an irreducible generating system of the quotient group  $G/H$ .

Then  $G$  has property P.

Now, using the preceding assertion we can formulate more special results.

COROLLARY 1. Let  $G = H \times A$  be the direct product of a group  $H$  satisfying (I) and a group  $A$ , and let the inequality

$$(2) \quad m(H) \leq m(A)$$

be fulfilled. Let  $n_0$  be such a number that

$$(3) \quad A^{n_0} = (e)$$

and

$$(4) \quad \{H^{n_0}\} = H.$$

Hence, especially, if  $H^{n_0} = H$ , (4) is fulfilled.

If, further, an infinite irreducible generating system  $\mathfrak{A}$  of the group  $A$  exists, then  $G$  has property P.

Proof. Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be a generating system of the group  $H$ ; in view of (I) it is necessarily infinite. Moreover, according to (2),

$$(5) \quad m(\mathfrak{S}) \leq m(\mathfrak{A})$$

holds. Let  $\varphi$  be a one-to-one correspondence between the set  $\mathfrak{S} = (h_\delta)_{\delta \in \Delta}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}' = (a_\delta)_{\delta \in \Delta}$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}' \subset \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $a_\delta = \varphi(h_\delta)$  for  $\delta \in \Delta$ . Let us define the set  $\mathfrak{G}$  as follows:

$$(6) \quad \mathfrak{G} = (g_\delta)_{\delta \in \Delta} \cup (\mathfrak{A} \setminus \mathfrak{A}'),$$

where

$$(7) \quad g_\delta = h_\delta a_\delta \quad (\delta \in \Delta).$$

We are going to prove that  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a generating system of  $G$ . If  $h \in H^{n_0}$ , then there exists an element  $h_0 \in H$  such that  $h_0^{n_0} = h$ . We have

$$h_0 = h_{\delta_1} h_{\delta_2} \dots h_{\delta_n} \text{ for suitable } h_{\delta_i} \in \mathfrak{S} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

Hence for the element

$$g_0 = g_{\delta_1} g_{\delta_2} \dots g_{\delta_n} = h_0 a_{\delta_1} a_{\delta_2} \dots a_{\delta_n}$$

we obtain by (3)

$$h = h_0^{n_0} = g_0^{n_0} \in \{\mathfrak{G}\}.$$

Thus  $\{\mathfrak{G}\} \supseteq H^{n_0}$  and, by (4),  $\{\mathfrak{G}\} \supseteq H$ . According to (6) and (7) we imme-

diately deduce  $\{\mathfrak{G}\} = G$ . Since (I) and (II) is obviously valid for  $\mathfrak{G}$  we are ready to apply Theorem 1 and we obtain the desired result.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $\Pi$  denote a fixed non-void set of primes. Let  $G = H \times A$  be the direct product of a group  $H$  satisfying (I) and the relation*

$$(8) \quad H^p = H \text{ for every } p \in \Pi$$

*and of a  $\Pi$ -group  $A$  with (2). If, further, an infinite irreducible generating system  $\mathfrak{A}$  of the group  $A$  exists, then  $G$  has property P.*

*Proof.* Following a similar line as in the proof of Corollary 1, we easily deduce inequality (5), where  $\mathfrak{S} = (h_\delta)_{\delta \in \Delta}$  is a generating system of the group  $H$ . Let  $\varphi$  be again a one-to-one correspondence between  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}' = (a_\delta)_{\delta \in \Delta}$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}' \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $O(a_\delta) = n_\delta$ ; by (8) we can choose an element  $h_\delta^* \in H$  satisfying  $h_\delta^{*n_\delta} = h_\delta$  (for every  $\delta \in \Delta$ ). Let us define the set

$$\mathfrak{G} = (g_\delta)_{\delta \in \Delta} \cup (\mathfrak{A} \setminus \mathfrak{A}'),$$

where  $g_\delta = h_\delta^* a_\delta$  ( $\delta \in \Delta$ ). Clearly  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a generating system of the group  $G$ , which in view of Theorem 1 is irreducible.

Let us distinguish two special cases (remembering that a non-zero divisible abelian group has no irreducible generating system, see [1]).

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $H$  be a  $\Pi_1$ -group with property (I) and  $A$  a  $\Pi_2$ -group possessing an infinite irreducible generating system; let  $\Pi_1 \cap \Pi_2 = \emptyset$  and (2) be fulfilled. Then  $G = H \times A$  is a group with property P.*

**COROLLARY 4.** *Let  $H$  be a non-zero divisible abelian group and  $A$  a torsion group having an infinite irreducible generating system and satisfying inequality (2). Then  $G = H \times A$  has property P.*

We shall conclude this section with the following

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $G = H \times A$  be the direct product of a group  $H$  satisfying (I) and a group  $A$  having a finite generating system. Then any generating system of  $G$  is reducible.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a generating system of the group  $G$ ; let us denote by  $\mathfrak{H}$  the set of components of the elements of  $\mathfrak{G}$  in the subgroup  $H$ . According to property (I) and by Lemma 2 we easily deduce

$$m(\mathfrak{G}) \geq m(\mathfrak{H}) = m(H) \geq \aleph_0.$$

Since  $A$  has a finite generating system, there exists a finite subset  $\mathfrak{G}_0 \subset \mathfrak{G}$  satisfying  $\{\mathfrak{G}_0\} \supseteq A$ . By (I) there exists necessarily an element  $g \in \mathfrak{G} \setminus \mathfrak{G}_0$  such that the relation

$$h \in \{\mathfrak{H} \setminus \{h\}\}$$

holds for its component  $h$  in  $H$ . Now we easily obtain the following relations:

$$g = ha \in \{\mathfrak{H} \setminus \{h\}\} a \subseteq \{\mathfrak{G} \setminus \{g\}\} \{\mathfrak{G}_0\} \subseteq \{\mathfrak{G} \setminus \{g\}\};$$

this completes the proof of Theorem 2.

### 3. SOME REMARKS

1. As we know, any generating system of a non-zero divisible abelian group is reducible; moreover, any generating system of it is strongly reducible (see [1]). Let  $G = H \times A$ ; supposing that every generating system of the group  $H$  is strongly reducible and that there exists a generating system  $\mathfrak{A}$  of the group  $A$  satisfying  $m(\mathfrak{A}) < m(H)$  one can easily prove the reducibility of any generating system of the group  $G$  (the proof follows the same lines as that of Theorem 2). Thus, the preceding assertion shows that assumption (2) in Corollaries 1-4 cannot be dispensed with.

2. The following result proved in [3] is closely related to the above theorems: The abelian group

$$R^+ + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{u_i\}$$

where  $R^+$  is the additive group of all rational numbers and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{u_i\}$  the abelian free group of countable rank has an irreducible generating system, while the subgroup

$$R^+ + \sum_{i=1}^n \{u_i\}$$

for an arbitrary non-negative  $n$  has not this property (see also Theorem 2).

3. Let us show that the considered group  $H$  need not be a direct factor of the group  $G$ . Let us consider the additive abelian group of all rational numbers whose denominators are products of arbitrary powers of a fixed prime  $p_0$  and of square-free numbers. Let  $H$  be the subgroup of  $G$  of all numbers whose denominators are powers of the prime  $p_0$ . Let  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_i, \dots$  be all primes. The set

$$\mathfrak{G} = (g_i)_{i=1,2,\dots}$$

where  $g_i = 1/p_0^i p_i$  is obviously an irreducible generating system of the group  $G$  (the cosets  $g_i H$  form an irreducible generating system of  $G/H$ ). On the other hand, it is easy to see that any generating system of the subgroup  $H$  is reducible (and, moreover,  $H$  is not a direct factor of  $G$ ).

It is easy to prove that the subgroups having property (I) are just the subgroups consisting of rational numbers whose denominators are products of powers of  $p_0$  (those powers being not bounded) and primes of a fixed finite set  $I$ .

4. The subgroup  $H$  need not even be normal in  $G$ . Let  $G = H \times A$  be a group with property P, where  $H$  satisfies (I). Let  $B$  be a group posses-

sing an irreducible generating system and having a non-normal subgroup  $C$  with a finite generating system (e. g. the symmetric group  $S_n$  of degree  $n \geq 3$ ). It is evident that the subgroup  $H_0 = H \times C$  is not normal in the group  $G_0 = H \times A \times B$  and according to Theorem 2 has no irreducible generating system; the group  $G_0$  has, of course, property P.

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. Dlab, *Заметка к теории полных абелевых групп*, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal 8 (1958), p. 54-61.  
 [2] — *Некоторые соотношения между системами образующих абелевых групп*, ibidem 9 (1959), p. 161-171.  
 [3] — *The Frattini subgroups of abelian groups*, ibidem (to be published).

Reçu par la Rédaction le 2. 3. 1959

## EMBEDDINGS IN GROUPS OF COUNTABLE PERMUTATIONS

BY

M. KNESER (MÜNCHEN) AND S. ŚWIERCZKOWSKI (WROCŁAW)

The aim of this note is to answer a question put forward by J. Mycielski. The question is whether, given an arbitrary group  $G$ ,

(\*)  $G$  is isomorphic to a group of permutations of a set  $X$  such that every permutation displaces not more than countably many elements of  $X$ .

We shall prove

THEOREM 1<sup>(1)</sup>. (\*) is true for every abelian group  $G$ .

THEOREM 2. If  $F$  is a non-abelian free group with more than  $2^{\aleph_0}$  free generators,  $F'$  is the commutator subgroup of  $F$  and  $F''$  is the commutator subgroup of  $F'$ , then the group  $G = F/F''$  does not satisfy (\*).

If  $G$  is an abelian group of order  $2^{\aleph_0}$ , then Theorem 1 follows from a result of N. G. De Bruijn [1]: Every abelian group of order  $2^n$ , where  $n$  is an arbitrary infinite cardinal, is isomorphic to a group of permutations of a set of  $n$  elements.

Our proof of Theorem 2 can easily be generalized to a proof of the following result: If  $n$  is an arbitrary infinite cardinal and  $F$  is a non-abelian free group with more than  $2^n$  free generators, then  $G = F/F''$  is not isomorphic to a group of permutations of a set  $X$  such that every permutation displaces at most  $n$  elements of  $X$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. We start with three lemmas:

(i) If  $G$  is countable, then (\*) is true.

To see this it is enough to regard each  $g \in G$  as the permutation  $x \rightarrow gx$  on the set  $X = G$ .

(ii) If  $\{G_\tau: \tau \in T\}$  is a collection of groups and each  $G_\tau$  satisfies (\*), then the direct sum  $G = \sum_{\tau \in T} G_\tau$  also satisfies (\*).

To prove this let us denote by  $X_\tau$  disjoint sets such that (\*) holds with  $G_\tau, X_\tau$  instead of  $G, X$ . Each  $g \in G_\tau$  then acts as a permutation on

<sup>(1)</sup> We have been informed that A. Hulanicki found independently a proof of this theorem.