

ABOUT AN ESTIMATION PROBLEM OF ZAHORSKI

BY

P. ERDŐS (BUDAPEST)

Z. Zahorski [4] has asked for the best possible estimation from above of the integral

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\cos n_1 x + \cos n_2 x + \dots + \cos n_k x| dx,$$

where $0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k$ are integers. He observes that the estimation of $c\sqrt{k}$ is trivial, but he conjectures that $\text{clog} n_k$ is also valid. We shall refute this question twice.

I. We find a sequence n_i for which

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \cos n_i x \right| dx > ck^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}.$$

II. We find a sequence n_i for which

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \cos n_i x \right| dx = \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{n_k} + o(\sqrt{n_k}),$$

which proves that $O(\sqrt{n_k})$ is the best estimation.

Since the proof of I is much more elementary than the proof of II, we also include it.

The problem remains whether for every sequence $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have for $k > k_0(\varepsilon)$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \cos n_i x \right| dx < (\sqrt{\pi} + \varepsilon) \sqrt{n_k}.$$

Proof of I. Let us put $n_i = i^2$; $1 \leq i \leq k$. We are going to prove that

$$(1) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \cos i^2 x \right| dx > ck^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}.$$

To check this observe that clearly

$$(2) \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \cos i^2 x \right)^2 dx = \pi k,$$

and it is not difficult to see that for every $\eta > 0$ and $k > k_0(\eta)$

$$(3) \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \cos i^2 x \right)^4 dx < k^{2+\eta}.$$

Namely, in order to prove (3), observe that

$$(4) \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \cos i^2 x \right)^4 dx < c_1 \sum_{\substack{i_1^2 \pm i_2^2 \pm i_3^2 \pm i_4^2 = 0 \\ 1 \leq i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \leq k}} 1 < k^{2+\eta}.$$

Indeed, at least two terms in the sum $i_1^2 \pm i_2^2 \pm i_3^2 \pm i_4^2$ have the same sign. If these terms are i_1^2 and i_2^2 , we can write $2 \leq i_1^2 + i_2^2 = \pm i_3^2 \pm i_4^2 \leq 2k^2$. The inequalities $2 \leq \pm i_3^2 \pm i_4^2 \leq 2k^2$, $1 \leq i_3, i_4 < k$ have $O(k^2)$ solutions. We denote by $\lambda(x)$ the number of solutions of the equation $i_1^2 + i_2^2 = x$. It is well known that $\lambda(x) = o(x^\epsilon)$ ⁽¹⁾. Hence the number of solutions of the equation $i_1^2 \pm i_2^2 \pm i_3^2 \pm i_4^2 = 0$ is

$$k^2 \max_{x = \pm i_3^2 \pm i_4^2} \lambda(x) = o(k^{2+\epsilon}).$$

From (3) we observe that the set in x for which

$$\left| \sum_i \cos i^2 x \right| > tk^{1/2}$$

has a measure less than k^η/t^4 . Thus, a simple computation shows that

$$(5) \int_I \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \cos i^2 x \right)^2 dx = \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \int_{I_u} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \cos i^2 x \right)^2 dx = o(k),$$

where I is the set in which

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^k \cos i^2 x \right| > k^{\frac{1}{2}+\eta},$$

and the sets I_u are those in which

$$2^u k^{\frac{1}{2}+\eta} < \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \cos i^2 x \right| \leq 2^{u+1} k^{\frac{1}{2}+\eta}.$$

⁽¹⁾ Indeed, $\lambda(x) \leq \tau(x)$, where $\tau(x)$ is the number of the divisors of x (see e. g. [2], p. 398), and $\tau(x) = o(x^\epsilon)$ (see e. g. [3], p. 26). (*Remark of the Editors*).

Formulae (2) and (5) imply

$$(6) \int_{I'} \left(\sum \cos i^2 x \right)^2 dx = \pi k + o(k),$$

where I' is the complement of I , i. e. for $x \in I'$ we have

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^k \cos i^2 x \right| \leq k^{\frac{1}{2}+\eta}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \cos i^2 x \right| dx &\geq \int_{I'} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \cos i^2 x \right| dx \geq \frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{2}+\eta}} \int_{I'} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \cos i^2 x \right)^2 dx \\ &= \frac{\pi k + o(k)}{k^{\frac{1}{2}+\eta}} > ck^{\frac{1}{2}-\eta}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of I.

The proof of II is based on a theorem of Salem and Zygmund [1]. Let us write

$$S_N = \sum_1^N \varphi_k(t) (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx),$$

where $\{\varphi_n(t)\}$ is the system of Rademacher functions,

$$c_k^2 = a_k^2 + b_k^2; \quad B_N^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_1^N c_k^2,$$

and let $\omega(p)$ be a function of p increasing to $+\infty$ with p , such that $p/\omega(p)$ increases and that $\sum 1/p\omega(p) < \infty$. Then, under the assumptions $B_N^2 \rightarrow \infty$, $c_N^2 = O\{B_N^2/\omega(B_N^2)\}$, the distribution function of S_N/B_N tends, for almost every t , to the Gaussian distribution with mean value zero and dispersion 1.

Let us set $a_k = 1, b_k = 0 (k = 1, 2, \dots)$; then $c_N^2 = 1, B_N^2 = \frac{1}{2}N$ where $N = 1, 2, \dots$. Moreover, it is easy to verify that the function $\omega(p) = \sqrt{p}$ satisfies the conditions of the Salem-Zygmund theorem. Consequently, for almost all t , the distribution function of

$$\frac{S_N}{B_N} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k(t) \cos kx$$

tends to the Gaussian distribution with mean value zero and dispersion 1. Furthermore, since the variance of S_N/B_N is equal to 1, we have for almost

all t the convergence of the absolute moments of S_N/B_N to the absolute moment of the normalized Gaussian distribution. In other words, we have the relation

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k(t) \cos kx \right| dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x| e^{-x^2/2} dx = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$$

for almost all t . Hence, using the well-known equality

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=1}^N \cos kx \right| dx = 0,$$

we obtain the relation

$$(7) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=1}^N (\varphi_k(t) + 1) \cos kx \right| dx = 2\sqrt{\pi}$$

for almost all t .

Let us fix an irrational number t_0 with this property. Let n_1, n_2, \dots denote the successive indices k for which $\varphi_k(t_0) = 1$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n_N} (\varphi_k(t_0) + 1) \cos kx = 2 \sum_{k=1}^N \cos n_k x$$

and, according to (7),

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=1}^N \cos n_k x \right| dx = \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{n_N} + o(\sqrt{n_N}),$$

which completes the proof of II.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Salem and A. Zygmund, *Some properties of trigonometrical series whose terms have random signs*, Acta Mathematica 91 (1954), p. 245-301.
 [2] W. Sierpiński, *Teoria liczb*, Warszawa-Wrocław 1950.
 [3] I. Winogradow, *Elementy teorii liczb*, Warszawa 1954.
 [4] Z. Zahorski, P 168, Colloquium Mathematicum 4 (1957), p. 241.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 3. 7. 1959

ON A PROBLEM OF MAZUR AND ULAM ABOUT IRREDUCIBLE GENERATING SYSTEMS IN GROUPS

BY

V. DLAB (PRAGUE)

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1935 S. Mazur and S. Ulam have stated the following problem ⁽¹⁾ (for the terminology see the end of this section):

Let a group possess an irreducible generating system. Does each of its subgroups also have this property?

The problem mentioned has been solved negatively in paper [1]; e. g. the abelian group

$$G(p^\infty) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i(p),$$

where $G(p^\infty)$ is the Prüfer group of the type p^∞ and $G_i(p)$ cyclic groups of the prime order p , possesses an irreducible generating system but none of its non-reduced subgroup with a finite reduced component has this property (it is easy to see that the subgroups in question are all those having no irreducible generating system; see also [2]). It is the purpose of this note to give some more general constructions of groups G with

PROPERTY P. *The group G possesses an irreducible generating system, but there exists a subgroup $H \subset G$ every generating system of which is reducible.*

Throughout this article we consider predominantly non-abelian groups written multiplicatively; \times and (in the abelian case) $+$ denote the direct product. \sum denotes the weak direct sum of abelian groups. G^n for a fixed natural n is the subset (of the group G) of all elements g^n with $g \in G$. The power of a set \mathfrak{M} will be denoted by $m(\mathfrak{M})$ and the order of an element $g \in G$ by $O(g)$.

For any non-void subset \mathfrak{M} of G , $\langle \mathfrak{M} \rangle$ denotes the subgroup of G generated by the elements of \mathfrak{M} ; thus $\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle = G$ means that \mathfrak{G} is a genera-

⁽¹⁾ The Scottish Book, Problem 63, p. 27. I am indebted to Jan Mycielski for calling my attention to this problem.